

Verbatim Comments from Public Consultation

Following the Mayor and Cabinet approval in March 2019, the Council conducted a consultation with the public on key changes to the parking policy. This consultation ran from 10th June to Friday 2nd August 2019.

This document includes all verbatim comments received during the consultation. These are presented as submitted, although personally identifying information such as email addresses, postal addresses and phone numbers have been removed. These comments were considered by the Council as part of the overall report and summary of the consultation presented to the [Lewisham Mayor and Cabinet Committee on 15th January 2020](#) as part of the “Parking Policy Update” report.

A summary of the consultation including details of the questions asked and an analysis of the results can be found in [Appendix 1 of that report](#).

- Section 1 – Resident permits proposal response (verbatim comments)
- Section 2 – Resident permits overall consultation ideas (verbatim comments)
- Section 3 – Business permits proposal response (verbatim comments)
- Section 4 – Business permits overall consultation ideas (verbatim comments)
- Section 5 – Visitor permits proposal response (verbatim comments)
- Section 6 – Blue badge proposals proposal response (verbatim comments)
- Section 7 – Blue badge proposals overall consultation ideas (verbatim comments)
- Section 8 – Pay & Display proposal response (verbatim comments)
- Section 9 – Pay & Display overall consultation ideas (verbatim comments)
- Section 10 – PCN proposal response (verbatim comments)
- Section 11 – PCN overall consultation ideas (verbatim comments)

Section 1 – Resident permits proposal response (verbatim comments)

LB Lewisham public consultation

Verbatim comments

2,419 comments from 3767 surveys – 64.2%

What else could Lewisham do to help improve air quality in the borough?

Bring in controlled parking across the borough. No discounts, no free parking. Make drivers pay and free up space for the small number of residents willing to pay to use the public highway. This consultation seems to be about improving everywhere in Lewisham EXCEPT the Evelyn Ward. The poorest, most polluted area is ignored. Please put a CPZ in. All the new (car-free) Developments have provided funding for this. Make the bar lower than other areas because car ownership is lower.

- Convert all buses to electric propulsion. Since they return to their depots on a regular basis they should be able to recharge whenever needed.

- Convert all taxis to electric propulsion. Same argument

- Allow residential streets to be converted into cul-de-sacs if the residents agree. I was told there is a plan to do this but the conversion is stretched up to 2040. Way too long into the future. Residents could just put some large flower pots at one end of the street and be done with it.

- Allow residential streets to be completely blocked to cars if residents agree, i.e. they all agree not to own a car, with access still available to bin lorries and emergency vehicles and the occasional builder

- Install more air quality monitors along busy roads and block them if air quality is below a required minimum. This should also apply to important through roads. People need to learn to make a connection between their behaviour (i.e. driving an ICE) and air pollution. All current measures are designed not to inconvenience anybody, but they must be inconvenienced to drive behavioural change (it's just human nature). Pricing often does not help much.

- Enforce the speed limit ! It's nice to paint 20mph signs onto the road and set up road side signs, but they are usually ignored. However, I don't have any good idea how to enforce it since it would either require costly man power or technology and the council does not have any money left. There is one idea: Vigilantism, allow residents to enforce the speed limit by giving them the right to levy fines immediately. I'm sure that would cause all kinds of legal and health and safety cries of "You cannot do this !" ...

- Cycle schemes

- Improved walking routes around the Borough

- Diversion of the South Circular to less populated area

- Improved public transport links

- Restrictions on single-passenger vehicles during peak hours

- cycle storage

- pilot Parklets (see Sustrans website) on parking spaces to take ownership of public space and create gardens and seating.

- outdoor play schemes and road closures

- enforcement of speed limits. People do not respect 20 miles an hour limit.

- tree planting schemes

- more car share coverage

- Free parking for electric vehicles.

- more charge points for electric vehicles to be encourage cleaner vehicles. At present there are very few. These need to be present before people make huge investment decisions or they won't make them.

- make planning permission for new housing developments contingent on

having only parking for disabled persons or those with low or zero emission vehicles

- Higher availability of electric vehicle charging points
- Ability for residents to apply for permission to install a kerb-side charging point outside their home - removing the biggest obstacle for people without off-street parking buying electric cars: 'How will I charge it?'
- Identify businesses that may contribute to the air pollution problem in the borough and discuss how they could better balance their needs and the ones of the environment - possibly offering them loans to upgrade their equipment

- Identify businesses who could have a green roof with plants and trees and give them funds/incentives

Plants trees around these businesses and also in the streets that do not have any - and there are quite a few in Lewisham

Create special walls that serve as beds planted with moss to clean the air. One of these walls is supposed to filter as many particulates out of the air as 200 trees. Check Dresden town about this

Identify places where there could be more meadows area, like near the newishish Sainsbury in Catford

Bring the Bakerloo Line to Lewisham/Ladywell/Hithergreen - the tube will be one of the greatest incentive for people to stop using cars as more reliable and runs more often than trains

- Improve bus links to Blackheath (e.g. from new developments such as Kidbrooke Village) so that people choose to use public transport instead of driving to the borough
- Lewisham station has a lot of busses and the quality of air is awful around there. Move to electric busses
- Improve frequency of train services.

- Improve cycle network / better and safer cycle lanes.

Encouraging people out of their cars is fine, but there needs to be a viable alternative.

- improve the quality of its parks
- reduce lorry and heavy vehicles traffic
- improve rail network and increase frequency of trains
- tax through traffic instead of residents
- Introduce traffic calming measures on Lee Road
- Reduce speed limit throughout Lewisham to 20mph
- Introduce a dedicated cycle lane on Lee Road (northbound side)
- Enforce a ban on idling cars outside all nurseries, schools and restaurants
- make owning a car really expensive and as difficult as possible!!! unless they have a good reason, anyone driving a personal car in Lewisham is frankly selfish and lazy...
- no more parking permits for any new housing, unless disabled or vehicle is needed for work
- bike or walk to work schemes (financial or some other kind of bonus) for council employees and other public places - schools etc (and encourage similar for private businesses)
- employ freelancers to do drama/ art/ workshops in schools about pollution, cycling, public transport etc: child pressure on parents!

- increase police prosecution and monitoring of bike theft
 - increase access to cycle confidence training
 - freeze bus fares and increase frequency/ reinstate cut routes (eg 53, 171 etc)
 - close roads in a certain radius of schools to cars at drop off and pick up time
 - car free days (ideally...)
 - I saw a really good advert/ poster in Stockholm: a visual of a bus showing xx bus passengers = 1 car. Can't find it online but it was very simple and striking - maybe copy that!
 - Make sure that cars aren't parked in cycle lanes (for example all along Ashby Road) so that more people feel happy to cycle, since it's a safer option.
 - Prioritise pedestrians over cars when planning crossings so that more people are happy to let their children walk around and so that is generally safer and more pleasant to be a pedestrian. For example there should be a crossing at the end of Friendly Street where it meets Brookmill Road, where all the children cross into Broadway Fields. There should be a safe way to cross Malpas and Florence road at the cross road with Lewisham Way (an actual green man). There should be a safe time/green man for crossing Wickham Road and another to cross Friendly Street, at the crossroads with Friendly Street and Lewisham Way. All of these are currently disgracefully dangerous places for children or old people to cross, and I'm sure this disincentives walkers.
 - Transport links to central and North London are great. Transport links across south London from east to west are still lacking. If those were improved, people wouldn't get in their cars so much.
 - Prioritise trees and green spaces. Protect the street trees we have and increase the number we plant. Hold developers to account when they allow trees to die and not be replaced, eg in central Lewisham outside the Glassmill Centre. Fiercely protect the parks, allotments and nature reserves we have. They are our 'lungs'. Support groups who are doing their bit in these places, such as the Luxmore Gardens group.
 - Raise awareness to people about not paving over garden spaces.
 - Raise awareness about idling at school gates, in partnership with schools.
 - Create more electric car charging terminals around the borough so that electric cars are an attractive option.
 - Keep fining people who are illegally parked on pavements, so that life is easier and safer for pedestrians.
 - Participate in the public bike scheme
 - Improve public transport in evenings and weekends
 - Discourage daily/regular car usage (i.e. make the cost of using a car higher, rather than the cost of keeping one)
 - Avoid encouraging 'car upgrades' (which tend to follow the paradigm of car usage instead of breaking it).
 - Slow traffic.
 - planting more trees to help offset air pollution
- there is a noticeable difference in traffic flow during school holidays- seems when school is in more traffic on the roads. Are parents dropping off kids at school and then heading into work / shopping? Could the council promote walking short distances?
- some places of worship where they have large gatherings also seem to have large car usage - maybe more promotion on car sharing and walking short distances.
- more electronic charge points to encourage electric cars. Living in a terrace house or flat as a car owner - there is limited places to charge your car.

- More car share bays
- Reduce through traffic / rat running in residential areas.
- Require all new developments (residential and commercial) to significantly increase green space within those developments. This could include grassed areas, planting of mature trees, wetland type areas for large developments , etc.
- Encourage modern builds / extensions / developments that will result in lower energy use rather than focusing on what are poor planning outcomes already
- Stop people parking / idling vehicles near schools
 - Cleaner buses, better training for drivers to uses less fuel and drive more efficiently. We have SO MANY buses!!!
- Street tree planting
- Encourage/grants to plant and maintain hedges along school boundaries and front gardens
- Extend CPZ across entire borough in conjunction with an Article 4 direction to prevent commensurate loss of front gardens
- Refocus highway design towards hierarchy of pedestrians-cyclist-public transport-private car.

- Close specific roads at school drop-off, pick-up

"Our updated policy will help to make the borough greener by reducing greenhouse gas emissions and improving air quality".

Parked cars don't emit harmful emissions...

Making people buy lower emission cars - increases the number of lower emissions but then increases those cars and all emissions.

(1) Lobby the mayor to increase the boundary of th ULEZ to cover the entire borough.

(2) Create segregated cycleways.

(3) Charge for parking in public spaces including Lewisham parks.

(4) Introduce CPZ to discourage commuter parking in residential areas.

(5) Take a strong stance against idling with enforcement, especially around schools.

(6) Introduce more EV charging points throughout the borough.

(7) Close off school roads to through traffic during drop-off and pick up times to encourage parents to walk children to school.

(8) Remove the Forest Hill station cark park and turn it into a green space.

Protect rail users from breathing in the fumes from the South circular.

* Electric/hybrid busses

* More electric vehicle charging

* Provide much cheaper public transport.

* Be more supportive of alternative modes of transport such as cycling.

£50 is way too low as a surcharge, it has to be higher to be able to act as a barrier to use private vehicles. They should only be use a luxury/ultimate necessity.

£50 surcharge is on the high side. Consider £25 - more so, ULEZ will be introduced soon to cover South Circular and Lewisham area

1 car per household limit

1) accelerate the implementation of charging points within the borough, especially for residents without driveways for implementing home charging units, so that the adoption of electric vehicles is increased.

2) provide council tax discount for residents buying electric vehicles.

3) provide some sort of discounting scheme for residents to buy a bicycle,

similar to the "cycle to work" scheme provided by employers.

4)

1) Concentrate on the mileage that vehicles do in the borough, not whether one vehicle has a somewhat higher emission than another.

2) Stop unnecessary school runs in private vehicles.

3) Plant more trees.

1) improve sequencing of stoplights along Lewisham way to prevent traffic building up.

2) encourage streets to hold regular pedestrian only days

3) more green space

1) Improve the provision for cyclists - better and more cycle stands, more reserved cycle lanes, and better designed speed bumps that are less harsh on cycles (some are bell shaped in profile with a gentle lead in, some are D shaped in profile which are very harsh on the rider).

2) Greater absorption of pollutants - plant more NOx and particulate absorbing plants, and look at the fabric filters pioneered by Helen Storey.

3) Reduce the causes of bottlenecks so those cars that do pass through the borough are spending less time at idle, e.g. restore the Lewisham roundabout to its emergency configuration (the one just before the H junction) which seemed to be the best flowing in the 40 years I've been a road user in the borough.

1) Maintain and improve our green spaces e.g. clear away rubbish from the parks, water ways, bushes near our roads.

2) Plant more bushes in and around schools and other children facilities.

3) Fine parents etc who drop off and pick up children in their cars very close to schools and nurseries especially in built up areas.

4) Install CCT cameras near parks and walk ways where people allow their dogs to poo and not remove it and dispose of it appropriately e.g. dog poo bins.

5) Ban wood burning fires in the homes.

6) Think about reintroducing trams around the borough.

1) More pedestrianised zones.

2) Industrial sized air filters installed through out the borough. It could be a stipulation in building control that all new build blocks of flats for example have to one fitted on the roof as part of their green plan.

3) Remove all speed bumps within the borough. In-between speed bumps you remain in a lower gear which burns more fuel and thus more pollutants, by removing speed bumps means drivers will naturally cruise along in a higher gear, burning less fuel and therefore less pollutants being expelled into atmosphere. Except around schools and nurseries and parks, they all should have suitable traffic calming measures in the roads that they are on.

1) More trees & plants

2) traffic control

3) reduce the transport fares so public can use more public transport

1) Only allow one permit per household to reduce the number of cars

2) install more electric charging points

1) Sanctions for drivers, and black cabs who sit on ranks, idling for long periods pumping out emissions. Educate them and fine them!

2) Remove free parking on Montpelier Vale after 7pm and on Sundays. This contributes to long tailbacks along Montpelier Row, South Row and beyond as multiple lanes of traffic squeeze into the narrow entry into Blackheath Village

1) Subsidise buses.

2) Create a free to use car share app for Lewisham residents.

3) Plant more trees.

- 4) Remove all speed humps.
- 5) Do not penalise drivers more than the ULEZ and road tax already does as these measures are effective.
 - 1). There should be significant 'no parking zones' surrounding all schools in the borough. The amount of cars parked outside my child's school and all along the street down the side of the school with their engine idling is extremely worrying.
 - 2). Should consider a ban on smoking in 'outside' public spaces. Every time I walk down the main roads into Lewisham, I can feel my lungs filling up with someone else's cigarette smoke.
 - 3). I don't know how feasible it would be but would the council consider a 'one car per household' policy unless that second car is for work/employment purposes ie a builder's van?
 - 4). Plant more trees and ensure there are more green spaces.
 - 5). Ensure regular deliveries to supermarkets and business etc are timed better so that there isn't an influx of large vehicles at any one time.
 - 6). Have substantial parts of Lewisham become traffic free on a regular basis - ie one day every month, a large part of the borough has a no cars, buses, taxis or moped / motorbikes zone.
 - 7). Every new housing development has to be built with reducing air pollution front and centre. ie encouraging solar panels, wind power etc
 - 1)INFORM ALL DRIVERS TO SWITCH OFF THEIR ENGINE IF STATIONARY FOR MORE THAN TWO MINUTES.
 - 2)GET PARENTS OF CHILDREN AT INFANT AND JUNIOR SCHOOL TO WALK INSTEAD OF DRIVE LESS THAN QUARTER OF A MILE, TO THE SCHOOL GATES,IT'S CRAZY.
 - 1,As few Lewisham residents have cars it's evident that rat runners through Andi to borough cause most pollution.
 - 2, borough should plant more trees. And start to have a scheme to tree line all roads. Plant more binco biloba trees
 1. Actually get going with Catford gyratory System - lots of talk for years but no action!
 2. Get the Bakerloo extended to Catford and beyond much sooner than currently being talked about - Phase 1 is due to stop at Lewisham, but much more needs to be invested in local transport infrastructure, and faster!!
 3. Invest in car charging points. You are right to try to tackle air pollution, but from what I can see there is no significant investment making it easier for people to switch fuels. Currently Diesel vehicles are being targeted, next it will be petrol vehicles, next hybrid. If the council are serious about tackling air pollution, rather than just charging people more money (and you making money in the process!), build an infrastructure which will help people use less polluting fuels and then people can switch to greener vehicles quicker. At the moment, even if you had the resources (which most people don't) people can't change vehicles as there are not enough fuelling points.
 1. All schools should be made a no drive zone and children/parents should be encouraged to walk their children to school and/or also to the school gate which would in turn stop parents blocking school roads with their cars; parents who often also double park etc to the detriment of other children and other road

user including householder on these roads and around. It will also reduce traffic/congestion in the areas around schools; which in turn would clearly improve air quality.

2. To support individuals who cannot afford to purchase a lower emission vehicles

1. At present the pedestrian pavements are inefficient. They are wide and sometimes there are few people walking around.

What I suggest is that these pavements are converted to give better access to cycles. There is plenty of room for both cycles and pedestrians to use them in safety. There is absolutely no need for cyclists to be on the roads at all. This would ensure safety and more people would cycle everywhere.

2. Government must ensure that companies using delivery and haulage vehicles comply with the emission zone requirements. This means the use of cameras and proper enforcement to those who offend.

1. Better synchronisation of traffic lights with pedestrian lights in centre of Lewisham. So often I turn right for Greenwich at traffic lights then have to stop immediately for pedestrian lights. To be honest this area is very badly designed from the viewpoint of reducing emissions because of the heavy congestion of traffic so near pedestrians.

2. Be stricter about emissions from buses. The road south of Lewisham stations where the bus stops are going south is a really bad pollution hotspot. This is worse for pedestrians as we seem to have to wait far longer now especially for the P4 and the 284. If you really want residents to stop using their cars permanently then bus services must improve and increase in frequency using hybrid or ideally electric vehicles

3. Be stricter about cars and vans parked but running their engines to provide heating or air conditioning. This frequently happens along Brockley Grove and doubtless elsewhere.

1. Exert pressure on London Council to extend the ULEZ to //include// the South Circular;

2. Encourage //much more strongly// use of public transport in the borough;

3. Discourage commuter parking by (eg) introducing parking charges near railway stations to commuters who drive into Lewisham to continue their journeys into the centre of London by rail where such charges don't currently exist (Sydenham; Honor Oak; undoubtedly other stations), and raise such charges where they do exist (if any - Forest Hill; New Cross &c?)

1. Grow more trees.

2. Raise the cost of parking in the area.

3. Permit parking only near all schools in the borough other than special needs schools.

4. Encourage businesses, health centres and council buildings to turn off lights at night light pollution is also a problem.

1. Improve public transport ensuring that it is as low emission as possible.

2. Overhaul the cycle network and re-think how cycle routes can be integrated safety in the borough. Re-think the road network to prioritize cycling and pedestrians. Cycling in Lewisham is incredibly dangerous and I will not allow my teenage children to cycle independently knowing of so many accidents, which is a huge shame. Educate drivers about cyclists on the road. Give financial incentives for reducing traffic through cycling.

3. Increase tree and hedge planting. Raise awareness within local authority departments, business and in education. Get business sponsorship for planting huge amounts of street trees and vegetation that are known to have a real impact on pollution. Discourage the paving over of front gardens which adds to the problem. Increase tree planting and suitable planting in parks.

Encourage greening of public buildings with outside space such as schools and office buildings. Encourage planting in planters and large containers, shops and businesses, focus on sustainable plants that benefit pollution and clean the air. Encourage indoor plants in public buildings which also help to clean the air. Raise awareness in the business community and education through campaigns and incentives. Encourage window boxes...subsidise .

4. Use cameras or other techniques which force drivers to reduce their speed (in Hither Green lane near me, drivers routinely exceed 30 mph)

4. Increase traffic calming strategies such as build outs to slow traffic and change the character of a road.

5. Increase facilities for electric cars.

6. Work with companies to help those with lower incomes lease electric vehicles. Financial incentives for electric vehicles.

7. Look at what other places have done to promote pedestrianisation, have no car days or festivals, have cycling days, have campaigns and events that inspire businesses and everyone to re-visit what they do and how they do it, can they do anything differently? What would help them ? Get input from every area and get people working together, to see that in working to improve the air quality, so many other positive things could follow on from that. Inspire people to see that instead of being inconvenient, expensive and different, making these changes will have fantastic benefits that they have never imagined. Help people to believe and expect more for themselves and their area....and educate them so that they can help to make it happen.

1. Incentives to travel without a car 🚗 i.e free bus vouchers for doing something for the community; rewarded with something local for cycling.

1. on the spot fine those in parked vehicles with their engines going.

2. do not penalise add blue latest diesel engines otherwise there is no incentive for those with old much more polluting diesel engines to upgrade their vehicles

3. distinguish between vehicles with stop/start engines and those that dont

1. Outright ban on the most polluting vehicles. Paying more in taxes and levies will not stop those who pollute most and have most money - corporations who use huge engined lorries. Lewisham (via the A2 through Blackheath) his now the preferred way for international ferry freight to get to central London. The road is choked by inbound lorries in the morning. Pollution is made worse by stop-starting caused by congestion and traffic lights. (Vehicles use most fuel moving from stationary. This is repeated up and down Lewisham Hill as a result of traffic light caused congestion as well as sheer volume of traffic. Even more fuel is used because of the gradient of the hill.)

What are the alternatives? Electric lorries have now arrived. We need to lead the way with using them. Haulage companies can decouple cargo oat a transfer station outside London (revenue and development opportunity there) and a cargo will be re-coupled to clean, electric lorries for ongoing travel to the destination within London. Smaller loads can be delivered by electric vans. Vans would probably be an intermediary solution until the electric lorry fleet is assembled, with diesel lorry deliveries continuing for extremely large items, but paying a significant premium, to discourage all but essential use, as well as fund the clean alternatives.

2. Sort out the debacle by Lewisham station. It needs to be a roundabout/gyratory to allow constant flow of traffic. It was promised that the new development would improve ease of access to Lewisham centre from the station. The opposite has occurred. It is now a horrible traffic trap, and pollution generating nightmare that damages the health of everyone living and working in the area. The new format has significantly and measurably made traffic

worse, nit better. The developers and planners need to be held accountable. It is criminal to allow this to continue. Traffic lights need to be removed to allow free flow of vehicles. Pedestrians and vehicles need to travel by means that do not impede each other as zebra crossings do. Roads for vehicles, raised walk ways and bridges or tunnels for humans. The raised option is probably more affordable, though escalators/lifts would be required for disabled users. Weather protection for such technology would probably necessitate covered walk ways. The developers should pay for this to make good on their assertion that ease of access would be improved.

3. Box junctions need to be introduced and enforced to prevent vehicles blocking off other lanes, and roundabouts - and thus causing congestion/pollution. This applies to most high traffic roundabouts in Lewisham including Sun in Sands.

4. Filter lanes need to be introduced to prevent unnecessary build up at traffic lights.

5. Traffic lights need to actually respond to traffic flow and demand. At the moment they trap more traffic than they allow to flow. This is probably to generate revenue from fuel tax however. (Vehicles burning fuel going nowhere while trapped at traffic lights, or in congestion are effectively pumping money straight into government coffers via fuel tax - that fuel will need to be replaced after all. Pollution is an inconvenient side effect of this revenue generating formula.)

6. Introduce tolls on major arteries through Lewisham such as the A2. This will not only discourage residents with unnecessarily large and polluting vehicles (which are used occasionally in daily terms), but the main cause of pollution - heavy freight who do not park in lewisham but pass through. Use the Dart charge model, but make fees sufficient to pay for pollution mitigation/alleviation measures.

7. Discounted permits for Zero emission vehicles, A network of charging points need to be introduced to facilitate this. (Potential revenue stream - especially if linked to parking meters.)

1. Pay for complete rewiring to all properties in the borough. If all cars were to go electric the power infrastructure could not support the increased power required to charge electric vehicles.

2. Put a free charging point on every lamp post. As I only have on street parking, I cannot get an electric vehicle as I have no access to a charging point.

3. Remove all road humps. These cause vehicles to slow down and speed up causing more pollution.

4. Remove all bus lanes. These cause more congestion hence more pollution.

5. Remove cycle lanes where they cause congestion and therefore increase pollution.

6. Instead of adding £50 surcharge for diesel engines, support research funding into making diesel engines less polluting. Diesel engines are the most efficient transport engine type and should be encouraged!

1. Plant more trees in Lewisham Centre to help control / scrub air: the new junction and buildings are just grey, like as though the council hadn't Put any thought into this at all.

2. The parking surcharge is a charge on leaving a diesel vehicle at home, but

pollution only comes from using it... Lewisham should push for a higher cost to driving polluting vehicles in the ULEZ, not through parking. So if the £50 is an annual charge, I agree but only if research can validate the assumption it will lead to less diesel car usage.

1. Plant more trees
2. competition/awards for local initiatives to improve local environment
1. Put more efforts into controlling car speeds, e.g. through more use of cameras and traffic control measures.
2. Do more to support and encourage cycling - particularly in terms of creating/facilitating routes that are clearly safe for children, not just confident adults.
1. Reduce the number of buses travelling down unsuitable roads - they are mostly diesel and really stink, particularly when they get stuck in my road and tick over their engines
2. Lorries and vans using diesel should be made to have their engines converted to reduce the amount of pollution coming out of them
3. Charge bus companies and black cabs a surcharge for entering our roads

From carrying out my own research I believe that modern petrol cars are the cleanest engines on the road, and as long as people properly maintain their vehicles, they will remain road worthy and clean!

1. Stop driving schools using low lying residential areas for training
2. Insist that driving schools using roads in low lying areas (ie recognised flood areas) only use 'electric only' vehicles
3. Reduce any bottlenecks, including rephrasing traffic lights to ensure traffic flows freely
4. Review the 20 mile an hour limit to apply only to some times of day and then revert to 30mph where the road and conditions permit, to ensure free flowing traffic
5. Ban all leaf blowers that are not electric
6. Ban the use of all diesel compressors and similar highway work aids that are not electric
7. Ensure that all council vehicles and their contractors including large lorries are only electric
8. Remove all diesel standby generators from council premises
9. Stop residential property building next to low lying GLA roads
10. Require the cessation of diesel and diesel electric traction units on train goods routes running through the borough by a defined date.

2 cars per household

2+ car lanes

20mph borough enforced by speed cameras everywhere, dedicated cycle routes across the borough that take space away from motorised traffic, punitive parking charges, limited parking spaces, traffic calming measures, borough-wide parking charges (all residents' vehicles will need a parking pass), ban people from turning their front gardens into driveways, stop putting in dropped curbs, set up a proper cycle scheme for council employees, incentivise cycling to work (set up a fund that pays employees for every mile they cycle to and from and at work/ reward businesses that can prove high rates of their employees cycling to work), switch council fleet over to electric vehicles, incentivise electric vehicle ownership, install more electric vehicle charging across the borough, encourage children to cycle to school, car-free days (if Paris can do it, so can Lewisham), Amsterdam-style one-way system around pedestrian centres (Lewisham, Catford, Deptford) to encourage alternative transport, encourage electric or pedal powered last-mile delivery (maybe have

an electric delivery hub like the DHP one in Lambeth), generate electricity locally to power more electric vehicle charging, patrol the streets and fine diesel drivers for idling,
24 hour regular public transport, it exists only in part at the minute.

At present I work shifts in W London, the bus takes over an hour and now involves a change as the 436/N36 route was altered. To drive takes 25 mins.

If problems like this could be resolved, then I would choose public transport 24 hour travel on trains / DLR / buses and more frequent service. So local residents won't require cars. Increasing permit costs does not reduce emissions. Just another hidden tax to raise funds for council. Alternatively remove permit charge for low emission cars altogether.

A big source of air pollution in London is trucks and buses travelling through the borough to central London. Many of the buses used in the borough are not the modern cleaner buses. These need to be updated as a matter of urgency! There has also been talk of introducing cycle more cycle lanes and decreasing the availability of road use. However, Lewisham still does not have a cycle super highway to the city. Can we convert the A2 to have the underground running beneath it, single-lane traffic and a big bike lane! Is that not common sense?

A huge percentage of the traffic through Lewisham are not resident and don't park in Lewisham, and the failure of the Government and Lewisham to do anything to reduce congestion on the South Circular creates most of the problem.

A lot more protected cycling parking like bike hangar.

A lot of residents in my street mostly use their car at weekends. There is a lot of passing vehicle traffic in the borough because of traffic from other boroughs in London or country.

Public transport in Lewisham is awful especially at weekends - irregular buses, overcrowding and abusive or rude passengers. Lewisham has some of the rudest people in London!

Council should divert or stop passing traffic going past Lewisham as it's obvious that its not the locals who cause air pollution on a daily basis therefore shouldn't be penalised with increased parking fees.

A parked car is not polluting, so why would it be charged more?

Charge per journey and have a few per journey.

Bigger fees for larger cars don't equate to a better parking or reserved spaces ...so if the service, or space, is the same how can different fees be justified?

A surcharge on all diesel vehicles is a blunt instrument. My historic vehicle is kept on the street and so now needs the permits you have recently introduced. It is not used daily. Average mileage is very low. In my street the highest emissions are from cars waiting outside the school with engines running for up to 20 minutes. On a hot summer day you can smell the street as you walk around the corner into it. Empowering school heads to tackle the emissions caused by the school run would have a far greater impact than surcharging low usage cars.

a tram network!!!

Absolutely disagree. The council did not support my three neighbours and I when we got hybrids. Now we all have petrol and diesel cars; we felt thoroughly unsupported and received scripted responses to each of our queries, over a period of 8 months.

Actively discourage people from sitting in their cars with the engine running with a publicity awareness campaign. Taxi drivers are often seen doing this as

well as other drivers.

Actively discourage people from using their cars with an awareness campaign.

Provide public transport subsidies for all.

Plant more trees and protect and nurture green spaces with trees especially in high density areas.

Restrict building on those areas with established trees as they take a long time to grow.

Actually diesels with Blue (urea) system don't emit much NO and emit significantly less CO2 than petrol. Also diesels were encouraged by the Government.

Add a tax to residential smoking occupants

Adding more santander/barclay bicycles

Additional electric car charging points particularly in lampposts

Address all the commuter routes through the borough and charge vehicles of non-residents to drive through the borough

address some of the red parking areas, the slow traffic lights and police to move people along to improve flow of traffic

parking charges are aggressively applied, commission based

traffic flow, dumped cards and criminal activity matter more to people than air quality

Address the ghastly south circular including the flow of traffic through catford town centre so it's not a complete vortex of pollution.

Address the issue last re diesel buses and all the building works going on causing air pollution not to hammer small business and residents already facing a disastrous vehicle tax called the Ulez charge after all we are paying all the taxes and we live in an area with appalling public transport so how are we meant to carry on working and living this is not helpful

What might be more useful is a drive on planting trees which would help with pollution and climate change issues

This feels like a cynical and unfair tax on the working classes and small business owners who cannot afford to replace and who rely on their vehicles to get around at a time we are already facing an unsustainable future with a potential no deal Brexit. And a huge ULEZ charge looming how do you expect us to manage and pay all the tax and vat and employ local people?? Has anyone seriously thought this through or is it just another good idea from people who won't be affected by it or who can afford electric vehicles. There isn't even a suitable electric van available yet or a hybrid and the ceo of zero carbon futures messaged me on linked in saying that regarding business and the Ulez charge "business will be stuffed" and zero emissions will not be possible for at least 10 years this would collapse the economy

Please have some mercy you are attacking our small living with your policies addressing fly tipping

trees

Adopt a 'no idling' policy and sign this widely with ability for CEOs to be trained to encourage motorists with idling engines to switch them off. This is particularly noticeable around schools where children are most vulnerable and in off street car parks where motorists are waiting for spaces.

Advertise more active travel initiatives for residents to be aware of and get involved in. E.g. cycle training, group walks.

Review all the Community Transport vehicles to ensure they are not high emission

Look at what other boroughs are doing and take tips on what could be implemented. Maybe Southwark.

Advertise the Lewisham Cycle Scheme better and invest funds into the community.

Make the roads safer for people to cycle and more cycle lanes.

Make sure cycle lanes are marked out properly.

Encourage people to walk and increase the number of bus lanes. Fix the road where the big roundabout used to be in Lewisham, that area itself probably makes up most of Lewisham's air pollution and the bus garage is in the worst place possible. There's always traffic!

Cars and the cost of running them is expensive enough, putting permits up just plunges people further into debt and does nothing for air pollution. Where does the money you raise from permits go?

Aim to have all buses in the area that are low emission.

Aim to make Lewisham completely cycle friendly.

Make walking more appealing and safe.

Air quality is global and I don't think a surcharge will change that

Maybe an incentive with local dealerships to encourage people to buy a new car , most residents in Lewisham will struggle to afford a new car ,

Using public transports is not one of the safest methods particularly in Lewisham where violence, theft and assaults are all things commuters have to consider

Air quality mediation measures e.g. hedges around school playgrounds that face the road (often with bus stops nearby)

Traffic enforcement e.g. speed traps on "rat runs"

All and any campaigns to get drivers out of their cars! More alternatives to individuals driving to school; improved cycling infrastructure

All Buses should be electric.

All council vehicles run on electricity. Buses run on electric.

Council properties to be as environmentally friendly as possible.

More use of solar panels on public /council property.

Provide grants so residents can ensure their own properties are environmentally friendly.

All public transport should be low emission

All transport works should put active travel and public transport first. Any road design project should follow this prioritisation. The Council must do more to enable cycling journeys within the borough.

All vehicles on council work including in our parks should be electric, more charging points, for electric cars

easier access to station platforms

better linking buses eg between Lee and Greenwich

no charge for electric cars permits

Disabled cars must be electric in three years

Allow only resident's cars in certain areas

Allow people to car share. I share a car with my sister who lives in a different borough. I have the car for some months and then she has it for a few months.

However, I was not able to restore my car for a permit in Lewisham because the car is registered in her name. I produced an insurance document that I was insured on the car, an ownership signed letter from our relative who gave us the car, road tax and breakdown insurance all in my name for the vehicle OMG with my council tax letter and mortgage papers for my flat that I own in Lewisham Borough and I WAS STILL told that I couldn't have a permit unless I produced a V5C form in my name. But you can only have one person registered to a vehicle through DVLA and my sister needed to be as well for her local council permit. It's just ridiculous. You'd think the council would want to encourage car sharing if you say you want greener air. Please begin to accept more forms of documentation to allow people living here to get parking permits. It should be easier considering they're not free and we pay council tax and own a property here. And this was the only permit I was buying registered to this address.

Improve public transport route so that people didn't have to drive as much. Especially to other areas of south London. To travel even to places that are a few miles away such as Croydon, Dulwich, Forest Hill, Sydenham etc I need to travel into London Bridge and then back out again.
Allow residents with on street parking to charge their electric vehicles from their home car chargers by introducing scheme to build an openable cable tunnel in the pavement so that they can run the charging cable (they are armoured) to the car without danger to the public. That is the cheapest charging method for an EV ownership.

ULEZ should be made stricter from 2025 to allow only petrol hybrid and zero emission vehicles.

Allow technology to catch up leaving a viable alternative for businesses.
Allow time for vehicle owners to transition phased over a few years - older residents, small traders/businesses cannot easily change even though they want to

Along with making sure ALL buses going through Lewisham High street have the modern, 'green' engines, make the diesel forklift trucks used by the market traders pay a surcharge. Their use in the High Street, Albion way and Bonfield Road has increased significantly in recent months, adding to the air and noise pollution, as well as general litter in the high street area.

Move or re-design the flow of "Lewisham Bus Station" bound/departing buses so that they don't sit with their engines running, waiting for the lights to change by the DLR station. Every morning, train commuters are expected to walk past these - hardly good for their health!

Already answered

Amend the A2 which goes through the borough so central Lewisham is pedestrians only as I believe this is the main problem as the Borough is a through way to get into central London and Dover

Amend the awful road layout in Lewisham centre. It's badly thought out and creates masses of congestion, leading to more cars sitting still and emitting fumes instead of getting to their destination and turning the engine off!

Any ideas/measures that deter parents driving children to school would help. We live near several primary schools and the drop in traffic at drop off/pick up time once holidays arrive is very noticeable.

Any measures are welcome without disturbing the residents with further bills. Anyone driving a heavily polluting vehicle should have to pay a weekly surcharge.

All gas guzzlers should be banned from going near schools. There should be an exclusion zone around schools that range rovers etc are banned from entering.

There should be heavy penalties for anyone who leaves their engine running while parked near a school.

Anything that reduces the amount of enormous 4x4 vehicles, that are generally diesel and not designed for our narrow roads and parking spaces seems like a good idea to me.

Are only idiots solutions! An electric car produce more pollution in the car life than other. In Lewisham area the public transportation is crap, with all this imposition means to disrupt life and economy of lower wage people. Reduce the pollution against shops with conditioned air etc. Shame of you!

Are you aware electric car batteries produce more CO2 than diesel. It need 8 years for this cars to balance. It's been already proven. Electric cars no emissions-Propaganda.

Are you not concerned that the air quality will get worse around Lewisham in 2021 (especially Catford) due to the South Circular not being in the Ultra Low Emission Zone boundary. This will only move the pollution away from areas and bring them to another - ie South Circular. Lorries and vans will be using this route more.

As a fairly poor area, with lower than average car ownership, I don't think the solution lies in penalising the people with older, less efficient cars. It is the manufacturers and sellers of vehicles that should be penalised.

If you can provide a cost effective scrapage and purchase scheme for residents to trade in their less efficient cars and vans and replace them with more environmentally friendly vehicles that would be preferable.

Alternatively, you could introduce a higher tax for all Car Dealerships in the borough - if they reduced the prices of the more efficient vehicles, so that residents could afford to buy them, they could get a tax break.

As a low emissions car owner I already pay a reduced parking permit fee, so that part of your proposal isn't new. However I currently pay a much reduced fee compared to what you are proposing. It seems more likely to me to be a way of the council justifying a price increase!

As a resident of Lewisham Council I am very unhappy the way the Lewisham council is being run.

I am a full tax payer on the council tax which is over £1250. On top of this I pay the resident parking of £120. I know that we as tax payers we are not getting value for money for this amount of tax we pay the council.

I live in Mallet Road and the streets around the area are never cleaned or swept

There are people who are living in sheds in Brightside Road, Spring Bank Road, Elthurda (probably illegally). There is rubbish that is being thrown in the pavement and roads all the time. It takes days and sometimes weeks for this to be cleared.

As a father of two young children I was shocked to see condoms and needles on the Elthurda Road scattered around near a rubbish bin bag thrown on the pavement. And even more shocking it was left there for more than four days.

The parking permit currently costs £120/year. With extra costs for visitors. In my view this is very high. Resident parking was at a cost of £60 per year. It was increased by 100% to £120. Never I've come across for an increase of a service of 100%.

As an infrequent driver of my car (I mostly cycle and use public transport to commute), adding a surcharge for my diesel vehicle is an unfair penalty. I do believe people who use diesel vehicles on a daily basis for work commuting and business should pay a higher rate and the extension of the ULEZ area is going to have a massive positive effect.

Other ideas for improving the quality of air are to improve the circulation of traffic throughout Lewisham, ensuring motorists do not wait outside schools with engines running.

As I'm very concerned about climate change, I think people should also be encouraged to keep existing cars rather than buying new ones (so people with old cars shouldn't be penalised)

I also think you should provide more places for people to lock up bikes.

As someone who has a lung condition I do NOT agree with the Council penalising people & charging them more in the so called attempt to improve air quality.

It is another way to put money in the COUNCILS POCKET!

As the government is doing through very low car tax rates, encourage low polluting diesel cars

As the optimum working speed of an engine, no matter what fuel it uses, is 30mph - remove the 20 mph limits all over the borough. Low speeds = low gears = more fuel used = more pollution.

Assess actual vehicular use in percentage that is within the borough.

At present they are doing their best to improve air quality.

Attacking residents via parking permits is not the way to go. Just continue to educate and reward the positive to help encourage the change over when the person/resident can sensibly afford to change their car.

- What about rewarding residents with low emissions with mostly prize draws, vouchers or discounts in local shops or other.

- £50 Amazon gift card Prize draw to people who change their car to one with a lower emission.

Reward the positive and encourage the change over, do not penalise and force it.

Avoid really poor planning decisions like the re-working of the central Lewisham roundabout in order to build the dreadful Lewisham Gateway development. This has led to relative gridlock in the central part of the Borough, but more worryingly, has caused greatly increased traffic in surrounding areas. Ladywell Road, for instance, is frequently gridlocked in the peak, with cars pumping out pollution as they idle while attempting to avoid the town centre.

It seems to me that Lewisham Council has made air pollution much worse through this ill conceived piece of planning. And the main proposal for improving the situation proposed here is to tax local residents for parking their cars outside their homes. You couldn't make it up!

Awareness campaigns.

Promote cycling.

Plant more trees.

Bakerloo line extension

Regulate the industrial units more

ban cars with unhealthy high emission

Ban all diesel vehicles particularly lorries.

Prohibit parents dropping their children to and from school. Enforcement zone should be placed around areas where school are--particularly primary.

Ban all kinds of bonfires in the borough. Currently domestic bonfires and the burning of wood in bonfires are permitted. These should all be made illegal.

Ban all vehicles, make cycling easier.

Ban big SUVs dropping children everyday at school

Ban bonfires / burning of garden waste in people's gardens. This is pollution. We all live on top of each other. When someone's burning something in their garden, I can't even see where, I have to close the windows because the smell is suffocating. People complain about this all the time on local neighborhood Facebook groups. There is no need for people to burn stuff.

Ban bonfires immediately & reintroduce free regular garden waste pickup service. Neighbours in my area don't want to pay for this, so burn their waste instead; usually on lovely summer days which is also a fire hazard.

Ban cars around schools.

Offer grants and incentives for lower emission vehicles.

Ban cars from being stationary with motor on near schools, ban ice cream vans, separate cycling lanes

Ban cars from town centre. Walking/cycling only. Build more cycle lane to encourage safe cycling.

Ban cars in certain shopping centres - New Cross Gate, Deptford

Ban cars that are high polluters don't charge people more to use them. Offer grants or incentives for people to use lower polluting vehicles. Improve cycling lanes to encourage cycling over driving.

Ban coal fires, diesel buses, but increasing the running costs for drivers again is disgusting. I drive a petrol/diesel car and not electric, as there are NO public charging points for 60 miles at my hometown which defeats the object of shorter journeys etc, and where does all this extra electric come from????

Wind farms have many disadvantages including killing flying wildlife with the rotary blades!

Ban cruise ships in Greenwich. Install electric car charging points everywhere

Ban diesel engines

Ban driving apart from trade and disability permits

Ban driving kids to school unless they have a special need. Majority of children live in a catchment area of the school which is walking distance.

Ban heavily polluting vehicles completely.

Ban heavy duty vehicles from residential areas.

Ban HGVs from driving during daylight hours. Encourage car free days. More segregated cycling lanes.

Ban highly polluting vehicles from driving close to schools and hospitals

Ban ice cream vans on combustion engines. They pollute in sensible areas to children and in front of residential properties

Ban ice cream vans. They are mostly old diesel vans. They stop in the street for significant time while leaving the engine on, yet they do not pay parking fees.

Discount Contractor permit for residents.

Ban ice-cream vans with engines on, especially in fronts of schools and in parks

Ban idling

Ban idling, particularly outside schools.

Ban lorries

Ban lorries and high polluting vehicles from non-major roads in the borough.

Ban lorries and trucks

Ban lorries from using the roads between 9am and 6pm

Ban old vehicles

Protect schools and children on the way to school from air pollution

Ban older model Black cabs from the borough immediately.

Unfortunately I think a decision to ban specific types of vehicles would require a law change and a big purse for the compensation pay-outs so would probably have to be a governmental decision.

Ban parents who live within 1 radius of a school from driving it's pure laziness unless child has a disability there is no excuse

Ban petrol and diesel HGVs

Pollution from HGVs for new developments; river traffic and woodburning stoves pollute

Lewisham, especially Deptford and Catford

Ban polluting vehicles, industries etc. Make an obligation for motorists to switch off their engine at every opportunity (for instance when the vehicle is still).

Make charging for electric cars easily accessible in the Borough. Support residents to make the switch to electric vehicles (financially etc.) improve cycling and walking routes, public transport links...

ban smoking of all kinds, cigarette, cigars, roll up and vaps should be the FIRST and most important priority.

absolutely disgusting and ALSO print large notice to ask smokers to stop standing in upwind positions of all bus stops, and outside of hospitals, its absolute ridiculous and inconsiderate

Ban the motorbike

Band 12 and 13 cars registered after, say, 2010, should have a surcharge of £100 for their permit. Only the richest Lewisham residents can afford to drive cars like this.

Older polluting cars may be owned by poorer residents, but newer models are disproportionately owned by people who can afford to buy large, polluting cars. These owners should pay for this privilege of polluting Lewisham borough and emitting far more CO₂ than the average car.

Unfortunately, the proposed pricing model is very modest: for a Band 13 car, I would only need to pay £250 for an annual permit, compared with £220 for a Band 11 car. £30 per year is a tiny amount of money to those who can afford to drive a Band 13 car, such as a Range Rover Sport 5 litre v8 (the example Band 13 car cited in your parking policy). It won't be enough to incentivise them to switch. Please consider a large surcharge on Band 13 and Band 12 cars to nudge drivers away from these cars.

Base resident parking charges on miles driven per year. A car parked causes no pollution.

Be consistent. Just a few years ago, the government (and the council) actively encouraged people to buy diesel cars - and now those very people are being punished for following this very advice. Isn't it a little weird? And, to clear, I do now any vehicle - neither diesel nor petrol, I just think this is unfair.

Be more careful in planning changes to roads e.g. since the changes in Sydenham a few years ago there have been long queues on all routes that go

to and through Sydenham, traffic jams with idling cars, lorries and vans create more fumes in the neighbourhood. Make more effort to encourage parents to walk primary school children to school which would take a great number of cars off the road and prevent parents from sitting outside schools with their engines idling.

Be more stringent in issuing tickets for speeding and harrasing other car drivers to drive faster. This is so stressful and unnecessarily uses petrol and emits extra fumes.

Also ticket people at all times of day for engine running when cars are idling/'parked up'.

Be radical and don't let residents act selfishly without knowing the consequences.

Become part of the congestion charging zone, or ban cars altogether. better (and more reliable) public transport. Building more and more (high-rise) properties, which means more people use a below-par transport system. Forcing more people to use trains which are overcrowded and constantly delayed doesn't help.

Better / safer cycle routes

Better and more frequent public transport - ideally 24 hours.

Cycle lanes - wide so they are safe for children

More parking attendants.

Speed cameras and speed bumps

20 mile per hour restrictions in the area to stop speeding.

Better and safer cycle lanes or roads just for bike access

More secure bike storage areas at the stations

Plant more trees

Better bicycle lanes

Better bike lanes

Penalties for driving large cars with one driver

Better consideration in traffic planning and calming. Cycle lanes, additional traffic lights and road alteration measures lead to slowing down if the traffic flow which has the biggest contribution to pollution in the borough.

Better control traffic and parking areas on minor roads e.g. frequent queues on ravenbourne park with associated pollution simply because too much parking is allowed on narrow road which impede traffic flow.

Better cycle highways with cycle traffic lights.

Better cycle infrastructure with pathways separate from cars and pedestrians. I think a lot more people would bike if this were done. Would be much safer and easier to get around.

Better Cycle lane makings and promotion and use of Advanced Stop Lines and more cycling friendly traffic calming .

Better cycle lanes

Better cycle lanes throughout the borough

Enforce 20mph speed limit

better cycle lanes would encourage more people to cycle.

Better cycle lanes, and better/ faster public transport

Better cycle lanes.

Bike parking on residential streets.

Better CCTV to prevent bike theft.

Better cycle parking at stations

Better cycle paths

Extend the underground or dlr

Better cycle/walking infrastructure.

Too many ineffectual/dangerous "white paint" cycle lanes in Lewisham.

Quick win: stop cars parking on pavements.

Better cycling facilities.

Better cycling infrastructure and pedestrian routes.

Reduce number of black cabs outside Lewisham station.

Enforce rule so cab drivers don't leave engines idling.

Improve awareness of damage of idling vehicles and that it is illegal to leave engines idling when parked.

Clamp down on those who leave engines idling.

Create safer clean air particularly around schools.

Control learner driver areas, so learner drivers do not congregate in built up areas with engines running unnecessarily.

Better cycling lanes and cycle storage facilities at key locations eg at the station or shopping centre.

Be a flexible employer that doesn't force workers to travel in rush hour and allows working from home and incentivise local companies to do the same

Better enforcement of speed limits especially in 20mph zones

Change all council vehicles to petrol or better still electric.

Improve the emissions on buses at a quicker rate

Provide more electric charging points in the borough

Work with/encourage car sales showrooms in the area to create a sensible scrappage scheme for diesel vehicles manufactured before 2015

Plant more trees

Better enforcement on illegal parking, esp misuse of blue badges

Better flow of traffic in key areas e.g. A21 to stop vehicles waiting around with engines running.

Better time controls on Lorries/vans going through the borough.

Better footpaths, cycle lanes, better bus service.

Better infrastructure for cyclists and pedestrians. The borough is far too car-centric. The resident vehicles are only a part of the problem - there is a lot of through-traffic from the south circular and surrounding roads that contribute massively to poor air quality and other environmental issues.

Better placing of trees and bushes around the borough to absorb some pollutants.

Greatly improve roads and traffic lights running order to stop traffic congestion build up.

Use technology better in getting roads moving when otherwise set traffic systems stop them.

Better provision of cycle lanes

Better public transport

Better public transport

Less constructions in Lewisham - there are locations in Lewisham where you cannot feel the wind or see the sun because buildings are sky high and very close to each other.

Better public transport, eg tube, more trains, working bus lanes

Higher taxes for all cars

Parking based on emission is unfair, many have off road spaces

Better public transport, more bus lines and trains (overground extension)
Better public transport, more frequent trains, more local bus routes. Continue to improve bus and taxi emissions.

Better public transport. In catford south buses to the stations are slow and overcrowded. There should be more buses to near hither green station and to Bellingham. Thus would reduce the number of people driving to the stations.

And where buses do run there should be dedicated lanes and junctions so they don't get caught in traffic and end up being slower than walking.

Better public transport. My wife and I are now both 70 and not quite as fit as we used to be. We are roughly equidistant from all stations in the borough and there's only bus services to 3 of the 5, and no service without either a half mile walk or a tour of the whole borough. The only effective way to get there is by car, or not at all. So, I haven't been there for years and have no idea what's there. I can see when I pass through by train that a lot of money has been spent there, other than preventing cars getting anywhere near the station. If we want to shop, we have to go to Bromley.

Better road and path conditions as well as parking for cyclists so that is safer and easier for people to commute using this method in the borough - including school runs.

Better road and transport planning rather than taxing the residents. Most don't have a drive or garage but need a car for access to amenities ie hospital visits. You're not solving the problem when you endorse town planning measures which contribute to greater traffic and pollution.

Better separated cycle lanes.

better street cleaning and rubbish collection

Better streets - if you make streets a better place to walk/cycle, you'll encourage more people to leave their car at home.

Better planning - while you can remove the need for people to own a car, they still need basic access (e.g. supermarket drop offs, minicab drop off). Plenty of the developments that have gone up recently haven't thought about this.

Better cycling facilities - cycling around Lewisham town centre is confusing. It requires you to know when and where you need to go on pavements to have any chance of successfully navigating the area, and connections east to west are poor.

Better enforcement - I use Lewisham station daily, and the amount of minicab that access the station (when it should be a bus/taxi only road) is tremendous. Don't make zones bus only if you're not going to enforce it!

Better target policies at the problem. I use public transport and walk where I can and am a low user of my car but I do need a car. I tried living without a car with a family for 2 years and it was a nightmare - totally inconvenient and more expensive. I am not the main cause of the problem so its unfair to penalise me.

You can also do a lot more to green the borough. Plant more trees to offset pollution, green the spaces and build more cycle lanes. Lewisham centre is a concrete jungle!

Better traffic flow allows more economic driving and prevents the polluting stop-and-go traffic

Better traffic light control - these cause traffic and increase pollution due to idling engines.

Road works cause traffic jams and idling engines - these need to be coordinated with all utilities.

Better road layouts.

eg. catford bridge. Instead of building all those flats you should have built 2 one bridges over the railway line along Dogget road. Holbeach and Bradgate roads could have been the lead up taking it over the rail lines to Ravenborne road. Instead you have built flats that ONLY increases traffic without any foresight to traffic jams.

The same is true for Ladywell bridge. You seem to me to want to create traffic jams or you just don't care.

Lewisham clock tower to Lewisham station work is stupid. You should have had a bridge from Loampit Hill to Lee High Road and Rushy Green so people who don't want to stop in Lewisham don't have to in the created traffic jams.

Your parking restrictions last all day, why? We were told it was put in to stop non residents/commuters from parking and then catching a train to the city. Greenwich put part day restrictions which just as effective. Why have you not done that? It seems that it is simply revenue generating, basically taxing us for nothing, filling your coffers for your pet projects and pockets. It is disgusting and you should be ashamed of yourself.

Better walking options - improve the quality of pavements, signpost walking options away from traffic, public toilets on walks e.g. there is no public toilet available in Lewisham before 9.00 (? or maybe later) and no toilet at the stations.

BEV's (battery electric vehicles) should receive free parking permits as an incentive for all drivers to change to electric drive vehicles.

Bigger surcharges for polluting trucks

Fines for idling with engines on.

More electric vehicle charging points

Subsidies for electric vehicles

Bring in cycle and scooter hire stations and heavily promote cycle use

Fix access issues at Hither Green station- we need ramps and lifts

Visible displays of air quality levels e.g. beside roads

Make developers plant trees and other plants

Add wild flowers to blackheath

Bike lanes

Bike lanes properly segregated

Block off roads to stop out of borough commuters rat running at speed through residential areas. For the same reason make use of one way systems and no right/left turns. Prevent commuter parking (bring cars into borough) near stations etc. Take action to prevent parking near schools and encourage students to walk to school. Fine those who sit in cars with the engine running- a

big problem near schools. Impose tariffs on through traffic eg tourist coaches etc

Bolder steps: introduce one way streets, more patrols around schools to stop people driving 500m, tax cars with only one person driving through the borough
BRING ELECTRIC BUSES , STOP HEAVY VEHICLE DRIVE AROUND
RESIDENTIAL PARKING ZONE, TURN THE TRAFFIC AWAY FROM
RESIDENTIAL AREA LEWISHAM ROUND ABOUT IS BECOMING
IMPOSSIBLE AT PICK HOURS.

BEFORE TAXING RESIDENT YOU SHOULD LOOK AT THE EMISSION
SOMEWHERE ELSE.

Bring the ulez forward to 2019 or 2020, have 'school streets' at all schools.

Enforce idling fines. Have borough wide car free days.

Bring the ulez in sooner.

Reduce free parking especially in the Evelyn ward.

Bring forward cs4 plans more aggressively

Build better / safer cycle lanes

Build better cycling infrastructure. This has to start with the kids going to school, creating safe spaces near school and getting parents not to drive their kids to school.

Build more cycle lanes and clamp down on people parking on existing cycle lanes. Install more electric car charge points. Build the extension of the bakerloo line as soon as possible.

Build more cycle lanes and extend the bike hire scheme to Lewisham
build more electric car charging points and subsidise electricity in them from diesel surcharge

Build more electric charging points

Build proper, separate cycle lanes on main roads.

build segregated cycle lanes particularly along the Bromley Road that is plenty wide enough

Buses

Buses - Lewisham could ask bus operators to use hybrid electric buses on routes within the borough.

Better roadside emission monitoring.

Getting TfL to extend the cycle hire scheme to northern parts of Lewisham.

I'd actually increase parking permit prices for most polluting vehicles even more, which should also supplement council revenue.

Buses and taxis should be electric

Buses should also be low polluting. Stop building high rise blocks next to busy roads as they make pollution worse - for example air quality around Lewisham station is much worse since it was redeveloped.

Buy diesel cars from people at a high rate so they also can be encouraged to get lower emission cars. Promote green living by banning plastic immediately. Reduce pay of high earners and filter that money to poor people so they live green and educate their children to do the same. Give everyone equal schooling opportunities and educate people on the same level.

Buy in air from Somerset and Devon.

By all means surcharge non residents but residents should not be penalised for using a car which was the type we were encouraged to have in previous years.

People in Lewisham generally can not afford to just get a new car and most older cars available second hand are diesel not petrol.

By encouraging people NOT to drive short journeys, say 2 miles or less. In which case attending to the dreadful pavements in much of the borough would help that (see motion from LaWP CLP) and getting parked cars off the pavement. NSL doesn't seem to realise that pavement parking is illegal

By enforcing speed limits and reducing rat runs

By making fewer stop-start journeys for vehicles i.e. co-ordinating traffic lights for traffic to go through with fewer stops at lights so vehicles are not idling while waiting at lights.

Campaign for less polluting public transport.

Keep heavy vehicles- lorries etc. Off residential streets

Encourage/enable more people to use trains by campaigning for/providing lifts/ other access to all platforms at another Green station

Campaign to stop card idling outside schools. More encouragement from schools to stop parents driving to school.

Campaign to stop drivers leaving engines idling when stationary.

Campaigns

Can large commercial vehicles / lorries at certain times of the day and on certain days.

Cancel the useless Source London charging arrangements that are ridiculously overpriced and install more reasonable fast chargers. This will encourage take up of electric vehicles. The system used in Liverpool is good, with Type 2 sockets on street lamps so drivers without off street parking can use them. The source London arrangement is the same price (or more) than petrol, so no incentive. Car clubs are good, but not reliable.

Car clinics- cars can get checked. Drivers advised on any preventive measures they can take.

Loans for electric cars.

Car free days or zones. Increased planting of trees, bushes that help absorb pollution. Significant increase in charging points for electric vehicles and plug-in hybrids to encourage transition to less polluting vehicles.

Car free days

No idling around schools

Invest in electric vehicles for council staff and services (e.g. rubbish trucks)

Car Free days, better cycle infrastructure, greener buses

car free days, car-free schools, car exclusion zones around schools, incentives for children to walk to school, improve pedestrian access/crossings in

Lewisham and Blackheath centers, reduce areas of free parking around

Blackheath to discourage driving to the Heath/Greenwich park, more car club

spaces and availability - particularly electric car club spaces, incentives to encourage business's to encourage car-free commuting, increase parking

enforcement around schools at peak times,

Car free roads outside of schools. More encouragement to use public transport/cycle/walk rather than drive.

Improved and more cycle paths

Car free streets around schools

Encourage residents to car pool with discounted permits

Encourage residents to apply for car free days on their streets

Lobby tfl to increase buses on smaller routes (e.g 284, 484, p4)

Car idling campaigns, especially around schools.

Car pooling/ sharing

Reduce burning of garden waste

Look at other sources of machinery causing air pollution such as garden machinery

Car share where you can only use the main road with 2 or more people in the car.

Careful consideration of traffic reduction measures for side roads used by commuting and non-local commercial drivers to avoid main heavier congested routes. We suffer from heavy lorries, etc avoiding breadth restriction barriers on an adjacent road.

car-free days and rethink the highly congested roads and aim to reduce congestion.

Also make more 'cycle'-friendly roads. Some cycle roads are blocked by cars parking on the lanes!

Cargo Bike Grants for businesses (including start up and sole traders)

Carry out Lewisham's excellent 'Air Quality Action Plan' and in addition as and when finances allow to slowly convert all the council service vehicles to electric.

It is the vehicles passing through and visiting/servicing Lewisham that causes air pollution not the parked residents vehicles.

Carry through with implications of policies and not one rule for some and another.

Cars in band 1, with under 100 Co2 should not be charged more!!!

Also

Right now older motorcycles are not tested for emissions and therefore automatically are not ulez exempt. This should be changed as this data is available from the manufacturers. For example I own 1999 Suzuki SV650 which is known as a vehicle with low enough emissions to be ulez exempt but due to its age this data is not registered on the V5 and therefore is bound to be charged. Which is absolutely unfair to those that like older yet efficient motorcycles.

Central government thru road and petrol tax already instigate penalties against these types of vehicles.

If there is a direct link between more electric buses and more effective road junctions in the borough yes - if as it appears it is an additional income source with no direct change to the borough then this is wrong!

Certainly introduce higher band charges for diesel, but don't make it effectively retrospective. Don't penalise people who already have diesel vehicles. Some were bought when the government was promoting diesel usage. Some were bought more recently, by people deliberately choosing modern low-emission diesel cars. If you are to introduce an anti-diesel policy, (which is not unreasonable) apply it to people who register diesel cars for the first time after a given date. But it would be wrong, unfair and discriminatory to penalise people who innocently acquired diesel cars in the past - often at the prompting of government.

Change all buses servicing the borough to electric. The ones currently in use appear terribly polluting. Increase protected/dedicated cycle paths and cycle parkings.

change all council vehicles to low emission

Change parking design on residential streets where parking currently straddles the pavement e.g. parts of Shell Road, SE13. This prioritises car movement and speeding over pedestrian experience and environmental quality and prevents tree planting which can mitigate car fumes.

Change road planning to discourage people from using residential roads like Manor Park as a shortcut.

Bring in the ULEZ in 2020.

Discourage visitors from driving to the borough by making it mandatory to have permits (residential or visitor) to park on residential roads at all times, not just during working hours.

Change the buses

Stronger penalties for idling

Block up rat runs where possible

Bakerloo extension to improve public transport

Need to discourage parents from driving children to school as a cyclist I notice the level of additional traffic this causes and emissions near schools

Support expansion of shared use vehicles like zipcar

Change the council's fleet of bin lorries to electric to show an example.

Change to all green buses. Change to all green bin trucks. Change to all green flytipping trucks.

Change to road layout around Lewisham station. The current layout has caused more traffic in the area than the old roundabout ever did.

Change traffic light phasing outside schools

Change traffic lights times to make them green for longer in order to reduce standing traffic and keeping vehicles moving

Charge a levy on older petrol cars that are poorly maintained

Charge all diesel and higher emission cars visiting and parking in the area .

Put in infrastructure to enable electric and hybrid cars.

Ensure all cabs and buses working in the area are electric or hybrid or low emission.

Reduce charges for lower number of cars per number of adults Registered in household

Ensure trees and green areas are maintained to soak up pollution.

Ensure developments once completed have plans in place for continued maintenance of the green areas and that this is not just grassland .

Charge any car more than 3 years old a surcharge of £250 -£500, to acquire a resident or business permit. New cars are less polluting. This would be a simpler system for the average person to understand, instead of Vehicle omission stats. Pay and display charges could also factor in the age of the vehicle by registration and charge a surcharge per hour for old vehicles.

Charge cyclists road tax and stop hitting the motorist with multiple charges. We were told by YOU The Government that diesels were better to buy instead of petrol, then they did a u-turn and penalised us for it all in the name of 'environmental friendliness'. As a motorist I bought my car and paid VAT, paid insurance plus VAT, paid ROAD TAX, and continually paying for petrol plus VAT, and maintaining my car plus VAT. We have subsidised this Government more than enough. So please stop making your bogus claims on your second and third homes and After Eight mints and spend OUR public money properly!

Charge for non residents commuting through the borough and increase parking charges for non residents. Most pollution comes from vans and lorries driving through Lewisham, not from residents using cars. You are targeting residents unfairly but not tackling the real issue of Transit through the borough.

Charge for vans and lorries driving through Lewisham

Charge Lewisham staff that don't require vehicles for their job to pay high rates including other visitors to the borough not just people who live in the borough.

Low emission buses/refuse/recycle trucks

Help to reduce vehicle usage peak times of driving around the borough rush hour and school pick up drop off.

Protect parks and green spaces. Stop building so many flats in close proximity causing areas to be dense in population.

Charge more for diesel cars. £50 won't deter people from using a diesel car. Personally i cannot afford to buy a new car (mine is diesel) so i will have to pay thw additional charge. I am careful with the way i use my car and try to take public transport as much as possible.

Charge more for massive four by fours

Charge more per vehicle to people who own more than one car. Ban large vans and lorries in residential areas.

Charge parents who drive to pick up their children from school when they are within walking distance of the school. Impound and crush the Romanian scrap vans littering Stainton Road.

Charge parking across the borough. Encourage residents to walk/cycle/use public transport.

Charge people more for their permits if they have more than two cars per household

Deter driving by reducing on street parking availability particularly in residential areas

More Pedestrianised area

Get buses that do not run on diesel. Air quality is an issue because Lewisham is a main route for lorries, coaches and buses. Charge these vehicles to come through Lewisham rather than charging residents more for parking particularly when residents cars often stay parked during the week unused therefore causing no pollution

Charge polluting cars to drive, not to park. This will be achieved for me with the ULEZ extension anyway. As an infrequent car user, it will be worse overall for the planet if I change my car in terms of carbon footprint.

Charge the log burners

Charge the vehicles that drive through the borough that aren't residents.

Lewisham has said that 47% of households in the borough do not have a car (2017), so why are you penalising residents.

Many people commute from Surrey and Kent, as Lewisham is a main artery into the city and beyond,causing an increase in CO² emissions.

Charges should apply only for high income earners that don't own a low emission car.

Safer cycling route.

More public parking for bicycles in lee high road (we have been waiting 3 years and cannot store a bike in our small flat)

Better wider pavements to walk and use with wheelchairs (they are all broken in lee high road and around).

Making people pay is not a solution...invest in your Council & stop developers to gentrify it with plans that were already old 10 years ago and with Brexit are dumed to fail (see Lee Gate or Lewisham station roundabout).

Charges should be based on how much driving is done in the borough. Those driving every day having a greater effect on increasing pollution than those who only drive occasionally and rely on walking and public transport on a daily basis.

The council can encourage people to drive cars that create fewer emissions, but this a borough that isn't very affluent and new cars are out of reach for many.

Charging cars to be stationary it counter to what you are proposing to achieve. Cars cannot be emitting emissions if they are parked.

This is a thinly veiled attempt to extort money out of residents.

If the charge was for driving the cars then it would be more appropriate

Charging people doesn't improve air quality.

I know countless people who wishes to cycle but fear for the life's because of some drivers who doesn't pay attention to other road. Users.

Charging people more money for vehicles is a money making motive.

Health concerns are used to disguise this fact.

It is quite evident that cars are becoming more a 'necessity' for many users, in addition, this 'tax' on vehicle emissions by Lewisham council is a direct penalisation on the poorer members of the society who may have older cars due to affordability. This is only going to hurt the lower income members of the community. It is an extension of the CO2 emissions charge that is already in force which is already forcing or preventing poorer people from entering the zone. Now Lewisham wants to penalise these same people on there own doorstep.

Charging stations for electric cars

Charity begins at home! Your own council fleet should all be electric where possible. Also the fleets of partner organisations such as Lewisham Homes, Phoenix, Glendale etc

Cheap Loans to replace pollutant car s.

Cheaper and better public transport. I work in the NHS, it takes me 15 minutes to drive to work and a minimum of an hour, Often longer, to do the same journey on public transport (to an NHS base that is 4 miles away). The public transport journey is a 15 minute walk followed by 2 buses. I have 2 children so cannot add another 90 -120 minutes to my long working day as I need to be at home for my children. It also costs me more to do the journey on public transport as I use 4 buses in a day. As a public sector worker, it is too expensive to do this.

To improve the road systems so that traffic flows better, reducing the pollution from static traffic- the South circular is often static, the Lewisham station traffic island is appalling at almost any time if the day! So badly designed.

Cheaper public transport

Cheaper public transport tickets

Cheaper, more regular buses.

More trees/ stop cutting trees down.

Living walls: on shops and ends of terrace.

Fully electric buses.

City of London should look to phase out old London cabbies and old buses (eg the hop-on tourist ones) - theses are incredibly polluting (I particularly notice this on my bike).

Clamp down on business polluters instead of always going for the poor man on the street!

Clamp down on the worst polluting vehicles. Ensure delivery and taxi vehicles are the cleanest.

Clamp down on vehicles not properly serviced

Clean piles of rubbish dumped everywhere in the borough causing a stink for a start.

Clean the sewers in Deptford, and properly wash the streets after the market traders finish trading.

Clearly marked cycle Quietways with cycle lanes physically separated from traffic

Close off more roads. At present, too many roads are used as "cut through" roads and closing streets to through traffic or making them one way would improve air quality.

Planting more trees

Close rat runs down residential streets.

Close residential roads to through traffic.

Close residential streets to through traffic, expand CPZs to cover the rest of the borough, build cycle lanes on main roads.

Close roads around schools at drop off and pick up times. Provide a walking route to a safe area. Pupils should live within walking distance

Close roads around schools.

Put up signs to explain the dangers of idling cars.

Plant more trees.

Put in more electric charging points

Collect bins on time. Stop planes from passing above. And resurface roads.

Come up with a better idea than yet more parking charges!

Commercial vehicles like taxis, vans and lorry's are the worst culprits as they are on the road all the time. They should be strongly encouraged to drive lower emission vehicles. Also all buses should be hybrid.

Commission a major high profile "Lewisham Air Quality Project" for all schools that encourages children to research and make suggestions about air quality.

The Project could present challenges sponsored and supported by local business so the cost to the council would be minimal. For example a Lewisham High Street retailer could invite a school to research and make proposals about how it could reduce emissions.

Complete road works faster as this significantly impacts traffic movement and increases congestion. Perhaps open lanes closed for road works on weekends when it is safe to do so

completely discourage the use of cars. The amount of people driving around with one person in the car during rush hour in particular is crazy. I'd be looking to get people to give up cars as much as possible and promote public transport and potentially shared car schemes

Concentrate on the lorries, not the residents cars

Congestion charge extension. Car free days. Alternate days when cars can be used. Enforce red route for buses, revoke parking bays that block them.

Enforce air quality exhausts on fast food restaurants that use wood burning ovens

Consider emissions from buses, more cycle lanes, more regular DLR/train services, increase street safety to allow individuals to walk safely particularly at night

Consider more closing more through way residential streets where possible and making streets one way to enable space for cycle lanes.

Plant more trees and greenery.

Enforcement of no park zones around schools, extended out at drop off &

collection times and initiatives to encourage walking to schools.
Enforcement of 20mph speed limit to encourage more cycling.
Consider more radical measures eg pedestrianisation of more areas such as town centres; lobby for extension of the ULEZ out to m25
Consider pedestrianising certain areas - particularly where vehicle ownership is low.
Consider pedestrianising certain streets near schools, with access only barriers to residents. Also consider spot fines for vehicles left idling for more than a certain number of seconds.
consider the effect of council planning policies which with the large number of high rise towers built and planned have contributed significantly to pollution levels due to the large number of highly polluting lorries cranes etc required in construction, added to this council road policies especially in Lewisham central that have pushed previous through traffic onto smaller by ways and created a wider area of pollution.
Constant reliable bus service making all areas of the borough accessible.

Electric charging stations at all car parks at all parking bays.

Cycle lanes that separates cars and pedestrians so parents and children are safe to cycle to and from school.
Lanes are superhigh ways to and from schools.
Continue benchmarks what other LA's are doing
Continue to campaign about cleaner air
Continue to improve and enhance other modes of independent transport.
Take a portion of money from some of the above items and allocate to a more national/ international research to assist in reducing carbon foot print.
Continue to invest in transport, walk ways and tree planting
Continue to promote cycling and improve the quality and quantity of cycle paths, which will make it easier for those who are not already cyclists to consider cycling. Ensure cycle paths aren't also parked on by cars.
Continue to promote cycling and providing adequate cycle lanes/routes.
Continue to promote walking as well as cycling - walking in particular because it is more accessible than cycling. Those maps in central London that show walking times are helpful - might something like that encourage more walking here? Better cycle parking in lewisham town centre: I'm always on edge when I lock mine up there - ideally something with an attendant or regular patrols and cctv.
Continue to search for ways in which the flow of traffic at key times of day, on main roads, could be improved e.g. Loampit Vale into Lewisham centre during afternoon weekday drivetime. This would reduce idling.
Continue to support public transport and safe cycle routes as viable alternatives to having a car.
E.g. Supporting low bus and train fares, introducing cycle lanes on main roads.
Continue with the amazing work you are currently doing within parks and encourage more green areas. Have more electric car charging points.
contribute to london wide policy of providing grants to owners of polluting vehicles to exchange for buying less polluting vehicles
Control the population
Control the speed limit and encourage electric cars by providing charging points.
Convincing people that travel by car, particularly individually owned ones is unnecessary in most cases. Public transport is good and buses will be speedier if car vlome is reduced. A generation is growing up with the belief

that car ownership is essential. Every vehicle adds to pollution - the fewer the vehicles, the better.

Could do with a few more cycling lanes.

Could have higher costs for a second or third permit for the same address.

Plant more hedges which research shows to absorb lots of exhaust level pollution. Could plant along large areas of Lewisham homes properties alongside roads.

increase the number of zebra crossings to make roads more pedestrian crossings

Could there be restrictions on the number of buses or cars at certain times of the day?

council should change its vehicle to electric and get setup borough purchasing scheme use volume discounts

change fares during off peak hours on bus's and trains to £1 and £2 bands

Change all bus in the borough to electric or hydrogen power

Council vehicles should all be low emission or electric as well the buses. Free carparking and hop and ride buses to take people in and round catford & Lewisham shopping centres.

Crack down on idling.

Educating businesses (delivery).

I live on a busy road (Malpas road/Shardeloes road) close to businesses and with a long single yellow line. The number of drivers stopping to pop at the shops or to have a snack and leaving their engine on is unacceptable. I always ask them to switch off their engine (specially trucks) some drivers are nice about it, the others very rude and don't understand why.

Create a safer cycle network, stop promoting private car usage (eg electric vehicles) and create safe walking band cycling routes to all schools. Review all formal pavement parking locations and enforce illegal car parking and pavement obstructions.

Create a ULEZ including the south circular to reduce polluting vehicles using the south circular and preferably the entire borough.

Create an incentive

Create conditions for safe cycling. Filter rat runs. Build the Lewisham Spine.

Segregation on main roads.

It is a madness that it is impossible to ride safely across Catford Bridge or through the gyratory. The council blocks all safe routes to bypass the gyratory. And no thinking at all about interim measures like light segregation to make the bridge safe. The car is king here. Which is why so many feel they need their car. There is no alternative except the bus.

Create cycle lanes. I cycle around London but I won't cycle in Lewisham or catford as too dangerous.

create far more segregated cycle lanes.

Be incredibly bold about it, be known as the most cycle friendly borough in London/UK.

Yes, you'll get a lot of flak for it but you need to argue strongly for the fact that the most cycle friendly borough is also the healthiest, cleanest and most family friendly place to live.

Create incentives to not use your car, perhaps working with local businesses to provide vouchers or something for employees who walk or cycle to work rather than drive.

Create more CPZ like in the Culverly Green area to stop the area being a commuters car park.

Create more gardens, parks, introduce a park and ride service if able and plant more trees.

Create more green spaces.

Apply for Santander bikes or a similar rent a bike system here.

Introduce a litter picking system in local parks.

Increase pedestrian and cyclist only zones, in addition actually enforce these zones to ensure no cars are using these as cutaways e.g. Catford Broadway.

Increase disabled bays in residential areas to reduce the amount of cars parked and make it more accessible for those less able.

Create more greener spaces and less high raised building

Create more 'mini Holland' neighbourhoods.

Discourage traffic on residential roads.

Air filters in schools close to main roads.

More trees.

Create more pedestrian streets and area.

Planting additional trees and bushes. Allotment scheme.

Creating a bike lane to allow a safe cycling to school and work for commuters, families and kids.

Increasing number of roads where only buses and taxis are allowed.

Increase frequency and type of public transports.

Support bike renting scheme (also long term scheme as leasing for kids).

Try to reduce school drop off - pick up by car (banning the surrounding roads from private vehicles, supporting "footbus" scheme and cargo bike..)

Create more pedestrianized zones (no vehicles) on major high streets? This would help to stop traffic build up (due to high count of pedestrians crossing streets etc.), therefore, leading to less stopping and starting/idling of engines.

Create pedestrianised areas &/or areas limited to public transport only; create bike lanes & ensure they are safe & well-maintained; encourage schools to set up walking bus schemes (& similar) & install measures in schools to help filtering out air pollution; deploy traffic wardens to deter people from unsafe school-run driving/parking; & plant more trees.

Create 'pedestrians only' zones around schools, hospitals and shopping centers and reduce/limit access to vehicles.

Create posters and information in various formats to make everyone, especially car/van drivers (and especially those with diesel vehicles) aware of terrible damage to health caused by vehicle exhaust fumes.

Encourage drivers to get rid of cars or drive less. Reminder that sitting in car with engine running is against the law and irresponsible. Create incentives not to drive.

Create safe cycle routes to encourage more cyclists. Your new road system that replaced the big roundabout doesn't work. Long queues at busy times (cars sat there with engines running), not enough yellow boxes there to avoid traffic crossing over, blocking junctions when lights change.

There's no cycling lanes around that area, this will put off leisure cyclists and encourage more driving.

Cut down on the excessive amount of buses with Diesel engines polluting the air

Cut down the flow of heavy goods vehicles in the area. And the constant heavy road maintenance vehicles that have high polluting vehicles running.

cut down the use of so many buses with no one on them in the day time.

Cycle and walking routes to encourage people to avoid walking besides south circular in Catford. Plant trees on Brownhill Road

cycle lanes - separate cycle lanes! the back road network is pretty good but getting through Lewisham centre is a bad experience especially coming from Lee towards New Cross. If you want cycling then looking globally then separate lanes seems to be the only policy that works and has huge positive spill over for health, air quality etc.

There's doubly reason to do it if you think about where micromobility could be in 5-10 years with e-bikes, scooters etc.

Cycle lanes

Repair the potholes so it's safe to cycle

Have your own air quality zone

Forcing people to pay more to park will result in more front gardens being given over to parking, and more pressure on areas that don't require a permit. People already park on grassed areas (see Shaw road!)

Do something that impacts all car users equally. I have a drive and live in a non-permit area, so this doesn't affect me at all

Cycle safety and secure cycle parking could be improved

Cycle secure storage provided to residential areas for those who are not able to buy or install on property. Protect and encourage green spaces and planting to road sides to screen homes from vehicle emissions. Better pedestrianised areas and cycle tracks particularly through Lewisham centre where vehicles are given priority. Planning policy considers better local shops and amenities to new residential developments to encourage people to walk rather than get in car. More electric car points and rental vehicles to be electric. Incentives for electric vehicles eg parking discount in Lewisham.

cycling lanes

Cycling route

Daily rental of electric car clubs

Day free of motor vehicles,

Deal with car manufacturers

Deal with public and commercial transport before car owners

better traffic management to increase speed limit to 30 mph vehicles at lower speeds burn more fuel especially when stationary in jams.

Consider ways of charging non residents who drive through the borough and are the major factor in polluting the air. Do not pick on residents

Deal with road works quickly. Roads are being dug up and taking ages to repair. This causes long queues and cars/vans are pumping out exhaust fumes whilst stuck in traffic. Not every one has a car/van which turns off when idle (which mine has). I have an eco engine which is very efficient but especially old

vans are emitting fumes whilst held up in traffic. Some major roads with 20 mile limits are causing traffic congestion and defeating the object of lean air. Deal with the busses and lorries firstly as we are yet to see over 30% of TfL buses in Lewisham converted to electric.

Change the council vehicle from diesel to petrol, if I'm going to be fined for having a diesel car that is still one of the best performing vehicles of its class for economy driving and pollution the council should also be fined. Deal with the car manufacturers for being deceptive to customers about use of diesel cars being good for air quality. And stop penalising innocent car users. Dear Sir/Madam

It's a rip off to charge residents for extra money, it's double whammy if I cross London I have to pay ULEZ and congestion charges, lack of government policy on climate impact and cleaner air has caused public to pay simply because they have a car.

Several years ago government and car industry was in favour of diesel cars and not petrol, so I bought a new diesel car in 2015. Government should have made this proposal of clean air to public and emphasised importance of it before like of me decided to buy diesel, all car users whether buying an old or new diesel car should have been told or at least warned that there is an issue with diesel cars, they failed to do so and so did local council. Why should public pay, solution will be give each diesel car user at least minimum of 5 years to replace a car with hybrid as it is non-hybrid car pay more taxes.

I have Jaguar XF and I am struggling to sort out emission issue with them. Decrease prevalence of speed bumps - cause vehicles to brake & accelerate - brake & accelerate - that causes much higher emissions. Plant more trees especially around busy roads. Lobby for all London buses to be electric / hybrid / hydrogen.

Dedicate the equivalent of at least one car parking space on every street to secure parking for bikes

Dedicated cycle lanes.

Dedicated separate cycle lanes on main roads and more signed cycle routes, including car free routes. Dedicated walk and cycleways over busy junctions/ roundabouts (like in Netherlands).

Incentives to cycle. like work/ council bike loans, cheap cycle confidence courses, better bike parking.

Charge people who use Lewisham as a driving commuter route- charging a higher fee for one person per car, getting less with each additional person in the car (like Canada/ USA/ France).

Working in conjunction with TfL to get more trains/ tubes/ DLR that allow bikes or have a bike carriage to encourage people to cycle/ use public transport.

Ban parking/ idling near or at schools.

demand money from car companies to replace car fleet in Lewisham

support trees and garden .. don't penalise them as you do know .. why should you pay more to tender a garden reducing CO2 .. why do I not get a council tax deduction for having trees

the council is silent re the Bakerloo line extension .. of course Lewisham won't say boo against Sadiq Khan or TfL

diesel cars are owned by normal families .. stop attacking struggling families ..

those middle class families driving a diesel .. they are the devil .. tax them into oblivion

diesel cars were promoted by government

lewisham should not be supporting the extension of the ULEZ

stop being hypocrites

Designate times of the day when Lorries can use the South Circular e.g; evenings/ late nights/ early hours and avoid school peak hours

Deter cars completely

Develop long term plans to reduce overall use, calm traffic, address diesel using taxis buses and freight before attacking residents

Develop the cycle network to encourage cyclists.

Devise rules that are not punishing people that cant easily change cars without great expenses!

Devise ways of reducing cars using Fernbrook Road and Leahurst Rd as rat runs! We cannot open our windows because of the pollution! It's also dangerous trying to cross the road with cars driving so fast!!

Diesel engines are simply the most polluting both they and petrol produce CO2 a global warming gases but the amount of particulates which really do the damage to individuals is staggering. I would recommend you ban all Diesel engined cars, buses vans & lorries. Obviously it could not be done overnight but there are hydrogen busses in London why not insist they are used on all routes in Lewisham? Step by step

Diesel Surcharge should also apply to short term car visitor parking (50% Surcharge to hourly rate) and business permits.

Charge more for permits and visiote parking in areas identified by LBL as being poor for NO2 and PM2.5 emissions, exceeding WHO recommended levels.

Spend 25% of parking revenues on LIP and delivering Mayor's transport strategy.

Discourage car usage in general, not just for high emission vehicles. There is so much public transport available locally there is just no need to use a car to travel around London. I own a car, but I only use it when I'm leaving London to go elsewhere in the country.

Discourage car use into the borough as well as within the borough by all means possible including charging, so in effect car uses are paying to subsidise even better public transport. Plant even more trees to offset CO2 emissions. Discourage Lewisham employees (and contracted out services) from driving into work, particularly the town hall by giving subsidised travel passes. Press ahead with cycle lanes. Have a big poster campaign to inform people not already aware of these issues (TFL are doing this). More resources and sanctions against idling. Make sure all councils vehicles are low emission vehicles.

Discourage cars entirely and reduce the number of pavement parking spaces Discourage cars from driving along residential streets when "cutting through" between major roads to a) reduce the convenience of cars and so discourage driving and b) protect people on smaller residential streets from car fumes around their homes. This would mean that children could play closer to their houses without being exposed to so much pollution.

Discourage driving short distances, up to say 2 miles. People can walk, cycle or catch a bus. Having said that many pavements are in a dangerous state of repair and pavement parking is ignored, so walking can be treacherous. Discourage non-residents from driving through Lewisham by using ANPR to charge them, like the congestion charge but NOT for residents who have no choice but to be here.

i.e. residents pay taxes to enable the council to address all issues including emissions. Commuters and other non-residents do not.

Discourage parents driving and leaving their cars running when delivering and collecting their children from school.

Enforce existing legislation on vehicles leaving their engines running when stationary.

Give Lewisham councillors and staff Oyster cards.

Discourage parents using their cars for the school run. Encourage people to walk and cycle for short journeys if practical. Get people to vary their journey times so as to avoid gridlock

Discourage people taking their children to school by car. Ensure that children are allocated to their most local school.

Discourage school runs, taxis idling at station rank, escalate bands for household car ownership so second and third cars cost more than first. Higher bands for large cars especially unnecessarily large "SUVs" and 4x4s. Better secure cycle parking - at Lewisham and other stations.

Discourage, make it costly for them to park anywhere in the borough or ban them from the borough.

Discuss the wide-scale implementation of electric charging points for private use would be one suggestion. If I knew the council was investing in infrastructure to allow me to easily access a charging point, I would absolutely swap to an electric car. But the only ones I know of are public ones and in Greenwich. My dream is to be able to park outside my house, in an allocated bay, with a charging point for my car.

Idling coaches along Blackheath and Greenwich Park don't help matters at all. Divert all traffic, including the dangerous buses that knock down pedestrians regularly, around the back of the shopping center. Bring back the pedestrian zone.

divert buses from the high street

Divert through traffic away from the borough. Major roads cause pollution that drifts to nearby residential streets and elsewhere largely because they are so congested. The recent consultation to reduce traffic in residential areas is likely to WORSEN pollution by making main roads more congested.

Do far more to encourage active transport. Limit access to motor vehicles in areas other than the Healthy Living neighbourhoods.

Do more to encourage active transport. The Gateway junction near where I live is hostile to pedestrians. More should be done to offer safe and pleasant routes into the town centre for pedestrians and people on bicycles. In your briefing notes you say there is more being done to provide bike lanes, but it is too little and too slow.

Do more to encourage cycling and facilitate a greater uptake of cycling, by measure such as:

1. Creating safe cycle routes to schools - the school run generates a huge amount of traffic
2. More secure cycle parking, e.g. the secure cycle hangers installed in on road in Lambeth

3. Removing through motor traffic on minor/residential streets
4. Installing physically protected space for cycling on main roads
5. Support use of bicycles for deliveries - e.g. with grants and/or rental schemes for businesses for cargo bikes. There are some compelling electric assist options available.

Do more to encourage cycling, especially for local journeys. Making road layouts safer for children and families to cycle can cut out on a lot of short car journeys (e.g. school run, trip to the park, quick run to the shops, etc.).

Enforce 20 mph speed limits.

Do more to stop people driving their children to school - make it harder to park or stop near schools.

Encourage and support car sharing schemes and employers who promote such schemes.

Encourage locker schemes for parcel / Amazon-type deliveries.

Plant more trees!

Do more to encourage cycling. Improved signage on bike routes like Southwark. Adopt mini Holland schemes like Waltham Forest. Car free residential streets. Introduce parking charges around Lewisham college especially during market days.

Do more to encourage people to walk and cycle. Build better segregated cycle lanes, enforce 20mph zones, reduce space for cars and increase space for walking and cycling. Reducing charges for low emission vehicles does nothing to reduce traffic and congestion.

Do more to encourage people to walk or use public transport rather than as a first response tax vehicles, many residents (not myself) will have families and living without a car will be difficult for them, furthermore a number of residents will have higher emission cars as these are older and therefore cheaper so you could end up taxing people who can't afford it yet can't live without a car for family reasons

The council could also do more to improve planting in public areas to contribute towards air quality and improve road networks.

More pool cars which could be electric within the borough at a reasonable rate.

More electric charging points for people who would like to have an electric car. Do more to enforce anti-idling, especially around schools. Look at the licensing of ice cream vans who idle in parks and outside schools for far longer than their permits allow. Look at introducing no-drive streets around schools.

Do much more to make cycling as safe as possible. Have a proper pedestrian crossing at Hare and Billet / Mounts Pond Road; this would have the effect of traffic calming. Although Lewisham has a "20 mph" speed limit I feel that this is very largely irrelevant! If cars drove at this speed there would be less pollution and roads would be much safer; however, this limit is never enforced and so is unfortunately meaningless.

Do not agree with any policy that increases the cost to local residents of travel. The council should look at initiatives that do not increase local costs. Lewisham is one of the poorer boroughs and is the London borough with the 3rd highest Caribbean communities. How have already suffered at the hand of the government with the Windrush scandal and now the local authorities are also trying to penalise them to travel. Apauling

Do not double charge owners of homes and businesses in the borough.

Introduce a dart charge system for those that use it as a thoroughfare

Do not give parking permits to polluting cars at all.

Do NOT issue free guest parking permits - it only encourages people to use cars when public transport in the area is excellent. Either Lewisham is trying to limit pollution damage - or not! Offering free guest parking encourages car use!

Do not think you can do much else. Aircraft overhead add to the pollution, ships on the river also and you can't do much about them!!!

Do proper research and fact-checking, rather than just blindly subscribing to the green agenda. Learn how reducing speed limits ultimately creates more pollution (and more illness and death) and leads to higher car costs as they wear out more quickly.

Do something about the hideous bus traffic around Lewisham station

Do something about the queuing traffic. Roads need less congestion.

Congestion causes more emissions as fumes pour out through idling cars.

Do something about the standstill traffic on Lewisham High Road at peak hours. Whilst obviously car usage is the main factor, the junction near Lewisham shopping centre / station (the former roundabout) is a contributing issue, and the layout needs reviewing.

Remove the ULEZ exemption for London taxis - they should be subject to the same charges as private hire vehicles (ie only exempt until 26 October 2025 due to being wheelchair accessible).

Diesel busses should be phased out ASAP.

Doing a good job I think. Public transport is good, speed control is good.

Remaining options are limited. Perhaps any kind of enforcement to prevent drivers leaving engines on when waiting.

Don't allow cars to go to outside schools

Don't charge residence for permits

Don't drive into Leeisham or provide more frequent public transport

Don't encourage people to drive in the first place. Regardless of the amount a car emits, driving shouldn't be encouraged.

Get rid of the bottleneck at Catford Bridge - too many set of lights and only one lane. Pointless spending money to divert the south circular when you fail to tackle a key problem in the flow of traffic.

Don't improve air quality by penalising others people can not afford to change their cars immediately and adding a £50 surcharge to diesel vehicles is criminal. How can people afford to pay this?

Don't reduce lower emission vehicle permits but seriously consider increasing costs for more polluting vehicles and larger SUV vehicles

Don't allow lorries to travel within London during the day, much like New York, they have to operate at night, which cuts pollution but also makes the city safer for pedestrians and cyclists.

Lewisham should be implementing speed restrictions throughout the borough, less speed means less pollution.

Lewisham should be actively planting many more trees, especially around busy congested areas. For instance Deptford Bridge is a clogged up polluted area with many pedestrians and yet there are no trees planted here.

Don't build so many houses with no infra structure so that people have to go to work elsewhere. Public transport is inadequate, crammed, dirty, unreliable and expensive.

Don't increase the price of the parking permit for any car.

Don't jack up prices for high emissions, also give discounts for lower emissions. It just means that polluting the air is just taxed for the rich to do
Don't let the lorry cross the city between 06:00 am to 09:30 am good plan to reduce the pollution.

Drivers should be encourage to change their vehicles to low emission engines. Furthermore, October 2021 is fast approaching where £12.50 daily charge will weed out many vehicles from the road. Beside if technology could be found to eliminate the emissions of NOx from diesel engines, that would be great. More trees could be grown in Lewisham Borough to absorb and filter the air.

Ease the flow of traffic, stop non beneficial road works that take over a year (Grove Park) remove bus garages in built up areas Grove Park. Make sure kids get placement in Schools that are within walking distance

Restrictions to cab drivers every other car is a cab!

Ease the traffic lights and improve the roads. Currently there are many traffic lights that seem to be configured to create traffic. Lots of cars are only able to edge towards the next light and so this causes lots of idling, which I believe contributes to the air pollution more than if we could have the cars move though the borough quickly. This is also prevalent in my local area (Beckenham) where so called improvements to the high street have created huge traffic issues.

Educate population on the effects of pollution , How to minimise its effects of it can't be fully eradicated,

NHS to be at fore front of disseminating this information in schools colleges and households

Educate people on the ways to reduce their emissions without unnecessary taxation. Education is key

Educate the general public starting from parents at schools. Some of them tend to leave their engine running when they are waiting for the school pick up. The council could help all schools in the borough with putting signs out of every school reminding parents to turn off their engines.

Educate your selfs properly, and realise technology Will sort all the emissions problems out, stop trying to use topics to charge people, or should i say tax people more, RUN the council properly, and the DLR doesn't cover all of Lewisham.

Education about leaving cars idling. An exclusion zone to stop parents dropping children off at schools. Road safety for children so they can walk to school.

Education. The only way change can be made is by educating people rather than scaremongering.

A Reward scheme for using public transport. For example, every journey earns you points which you can purchase a free/reduced journey. Or use the points at a retail outlet.

Education/ infprmation on options for people buying a new car so that they are able to make more informed choices.

Electric buses

Electric buses

Electric buses

NOT CHARGES THE ALRESY EXISTING DIESEL VEHICLE

electric buses

put in some cycle lanes

Electric buses, well delimited cycling lanes. Making sure works on the public roads are done quickly (on time) as road obstruction cuse jams and more fumes. More parking spaces because if it is easier to park people won't drive around looking for where to park.

Electric buses.

Electric car charging points for every house without off-street parking. Consult on this quickly - work with Skanska - link it to the wider transport policy - get it in place by 2025. This is where you could increase fees for parking if it results in charging points outside people's homes.

Council should be more visibly supportive of the Bakerloo line extension - making it even easier for people to ditch the car. Political manoeuvring might be useful to ensure funds are available.

Create tree lined pedestrian routes along direct roads between the major towns in the borough. Trees soak up pollution, provide shade for our increasingly hot climate, and make walking more attractive, and links into idea of London as a national park.

Open up more sections of the rivers as pedestrian routes. Development planning should allow new rights of way. Example - why is it not possible to walk along the river Ravensbourne all the way from Ladywell to Greenwich/ Deptford Creek?

Electric car charging points. Petrol cars have higher co2 emissions
Electric car loan scheme, much like the bicycle loan scheme.

Invest in electric car charging points.
There are frighteningly few.

Off peak driving ULEZ rates.

I think Lewisham needs to start thinking about how it's largely victorian housing stock is heated.

Electric charging points in appropriate locations. Top up Grants for installing charging in your own home (adding to existing grants so that the cost is actually covered).

Stronger measures on larger roads passing through communities eg Lewisham Way, New Cross Road. Stronger local action with or without TfL. Get tougher.
Electric charging points

Does the 20 mile an hour limit make pollution worse?

Electric Charging points.

Electric Charging points, ban diesel buses, enforce electric only buses or Hydrogen. Electric council vehicles

Electric kick bikes to rent

Electric scooters. Boris bikes / ones that dock where you can scan and use. Electrify its fleet, provide more charge points for electric cars. Use the alternate number plate system that is used in Milan so people learn to do without their car on some days.

Eliminate the ridiculous changes of information and if you want people to no longer use diesel cars, which they specially purchased according to government instructions should be COMPENSATED before ANY FORM OF PUNISHMENT FOR FOLLOWING GOVERNMENT INSTRUCTIONS, which you are now asking us to do AGAIN?

SHOULD WE INSURE AGAINST YOUR CHANGING YOUR MIND?

Encourage all public sector workers to not own vehicles and only use public transport.

Plant more trees in all green spaces.

Build NOx and CO2 air filtration plants to purify the air.

Encourage and incentivise people to walk and cycle as much as possible.

Encourage car clubs. Provide much more cycle parking where it's needed - near shops, leisure facilities etc. (As an example there is still NO cycle parking provided outside the shops etc in The Place, Ladywell, which is crazy). As I understand the proposed charges, the difference between parking charges for level 1 vehicles (no emission) and others seems too small. Also the proposed increases seem to be just barely above inflation. In a climate emergency this is an inadequate response.

Encourage car free days and cycle days. Improve the public transport network in the area. Improve the road network to reduce the amount of time stuck in traffic. Better pavement/road schemes to encourage slower more careful driving. Improve the flow of traffic on the south circular.

Encourage cycling by introducing cycle lanes, it does not feel safe to cycle around and have some bicycles to rent like in Central London

Encourage cycling by making road layouts more cycle friendly. The junction in front of Lewisham station is a good example. It was recently reconfigured but the opportunity wasn't taken to introduce cycle lanes. Better maintenance of pavements may also encourage more walking particularly among less vulnerable residents and during icy weather. There are lots of loose flag stones on the pavements.

Encourage cycling with more dedicated cycle routes and blue cycle lanes

More places to charge electric vehicles

Park and ride schemes to reduce congestion

Encourage cycling!

Encourage cycling, cycle lanes, cycling instructors in schools, car free Sundays.

Encourage cycling, walking and discourage school runs. Look at environmental buffers such as planting more trees.

Encourage cycling.

Encourage cycling. Better bike friendly routes, and more secure bike storage facilities

Encourage drivers to switch off engines when in a traffic jam or at red lights

Encourage engine turn off instead of idling

Improve cycle paths, routes and cycle schemes

Red routes outside schools and nurseries

Introduce more electric charging points across the borough to incentivise purchase of hybrid/electric cars

Encourage hybrid cars

Encourage more bike users

Encourage more car sharing schemes. Provide more electric charge points.

Tree line the major road routes through the borough. Solve the congestion areas in Lewisham and Catford.

Encourage more cycling

Encourage more cycling, better cycle paths

Encourage more cycling,

I cycle to work but drive a diesel car.

This another tax on those that can't afford to change their car.

Encourage more motorcycle use which have very low NOX emissions.

Encourage more people to cycle by improving cycle lanes and places to lock up your bike safely.

Encourage more people to walk and take public transport

Encourage more people to walk cycle and use public transport.
Encourage more recreational areas to be established with plants and trees for residents and visitors .

encourage more trains to pass through Lewisham borough for commuters
Encourage more use of public transport

Plant more trees

encourage more walking and cycling, provide more car club bays and promote these to resident permit holders when their permit is up for renewal, offer an incentive for residents to get rid of their car when it is not essential (for example membership of a car club).

Also work with big employers / companies in the borough to get them to reduce their impact on air quality through things such as servicing management plans, travel plans, freight consolidation etc.

Lobby TfL to put low emission buses on key corridors such as Lewisham High Street, which in turn will make the environment nicer to walk in and reduce peoples exposure.

Encourage other boroughs next to ours to encourage their drivers to stop driving through our borough!!!!

encourage other ways of getting around like discount/free bus rides or more bike sharing hot spots

Encourage parents to avoid parking near to schools to drop off or pick up their children.

Encourage parents to walk their children to school.

Encourage people to use public transport more.

Incentivise people not to use their car (offer gift vouchers for local shops maybe?)

Encourage parents to walk their kids to school. Don't use their cars.

Encourage parents to walk to school. Stop vehicle idling outside schools. Ice cream vans need to be sorted out.

Encourage pedestrian only zones in high streets

Encourage people not to drive but to use public transport. Get HGVs off the roads.

Encourage people to give up their cars.

Encourage people to hire cars by making parking easier for residents who don't have a parking permit or a permanent car

encourage people to make more use of the public service. there are homes where people have one vehicle per person. there is no moderate use. They use the car even to go to the market that is close to their area.

Encourage people to to put their car in their garage.I live in BELMONT PARK & out of 14 garages only 2 are used for the car-the rest are used as storage.

Let's have cars off the road & parked in the garage.

Encourage people to turn of their engines when they are not driving, maybe this could be done by the parking enforcement officers.

Discourage parents from driving children to and from schools.

Cut down on vehicles using Lewis Grove .

Encourage people to use non diesel vehicles by helping lowering the cost of less polluting vehicles, rather than punish people who can't afford an electrical one. If they are parked, they are not polluting.

Encourage people to use public transport by improving the interchange between buses and trains at Lewisham station which is terrible at the moment.

Reorganise the crossings between the station and the shopping centre which are in the wrong place.

Encourage people to utilise public transport/discourage car use e.g. extend use of parking permits in residential areas near stations. I live in the Hatcham Park Estate in New Cross and lots of non-residents appear to drive and park in the area to use New Cross Gate station because it is free.

Encourage people to walk and cycle. Encourage people to shop locally and use fewer deliveries by van. Reduce emissions from buses.

Encourage people to walk and use public transport.

I think more areas should have resident parking. This would encourage people to walk rather than drive.

I walk to work in Deptford and pass 3 primary on my route. I am always dismayed to see how many children are driven to school. Lots of parents then park near the school for the day. Children who are dropped off outside the schools are often put in danger as cars mount the curb and encourage the child to get out of the car into the road.

Encourage people to walk children to school

Make roads safer for cyclists

Penalise the big 4 x 4 cars - no one needs a car like that in London.

Encourage people to walk more or use alternate routes to drive away from the town

Encourage people working in the borough to commute by walking, cycling or public transport. Especially their own staff!

Encourage residents to have grass lawns and plants in their gardens instead of paving over them so that they can park off road.

Reduced rates for residents who use their garages for their car at night etc.

Instead of storage. Have garages available for rent.

Encourage residents using petrol cars

Encourage school kids to walk to the school and ask parents not to use the car to drop them off. It is possible for the school to organise local groups to walk together. In the country where I attended school, we have this system successfully which involves no car and encourage more walking.

Air pollution also comes from the incinerator which burns plastic wastes.

The council need to have a dialogue with major plastic waste producers such as leading supermarkets to review this matter urgently and at the same time, educating residents on this issue.

Improve Green area, plant more.

Encourage residents to plant more in the free space.

Encourage schools on main roads to plant a 'green wall' - the foliage helps absorb pollution to some extent.

Encourage scrappage scheme

Encourage switch to electric cars adding charging points. Prioritise buses especially electric/hydrogen. Help electric car clubs to grow

Encourage switching to Hybrids and Electric by making free for three years.

Encourage TfL to stop cutting bus routes, which will make it easier for people to travel into town by bus rather than car.

Also to improve links across south London - often you have to travel into London just to come back out again. If there were more trains across the south east - south west, many would use public transport.

Encourage the Government and manufacturers to develop less polluting vehicles, stop penalising owners of vehicles who have very little choice over

what they can afford.

Those with less money can't afford the better vehicles, they have to settle for older vehicles then they get hit with added charges when plans like this get bought in.

Would air quality be improved if traffic were free to use other routes?

Aren't pollution levels only taken as parts per area? If due to traffic measures the majority of vehicles are forced to use specific routes you increase the amount of pollutants in that area.

Yes we of course want to reduce pollution completely but would diluting pollutants over a greater area be better than one way streets or no entry/through roads pushing pollutants in to one spot.

Encourage the main government to start by getting car manufacturers find a way to sort all those diesel cars out by maybe installing some emission reduction system. Increasing cost of running these cars is just a punishment to the owners and a way of government enriching their pocket. Penalizing car owners who bought their car in good faith, is not the solution to climate pollution. Increase in digital technology is a problem too, n too many high storey buildings is not helping either.

Encourage the phasing out old cars , don't penalise the poor with penalties

Encourage the use of electric car and provide more charging points

encourage the use of motorbikes and scooters as they produce the smallest Nox. They prevent congestion and if only 10% of motorists switched to PTWs pollution be lowered to 40% overnight. Why are the council not embracing PTWs?

encourage the use of PTWs , motorcycles and scooters instead of ignoring them as they are the lowest Nox producers . Most at under 0.0118g/km yet you as a council ignore them as an asset to travel around the borough. they ease congestion and in doing so ease pollution.

Encourage those that are able to cycle , walk or take the bus to reduce the number of cars trooping in and that will give space for disabled who constantly need to drive more access to attend their appointments. Also encourages low emission cars. May be for diesel cars a surcharge of £20 my opinion the £50 is on a high side. Council should not change an existing policy on disabled parking and how the blue badge should be used in resident homes it will cause more stressful situation on Career's and their young ones

Encourage tree planting.

Make public transport cheaper.

encourage use of euro 6 omissions vehicles in line with ULEZ

Encourage use of hybrid/electric cars.

Encourage use of public transport.

Buses to run on time, time limit to drivers changing over as they sometimes take longer when passengers are waiting.

Encourage walking

Encourage walking and cycling.

Encouraged residents to use low emission cars

Encouraging parents to walk to school, having traffic wardens to police the zig zag line as that is where most of the cars idling are.

Enforce a pick-up/drop-off vehicle ban within local limits of road access to schools. This would prevent pupils being ferried to school in private cars, except where Registered Disabled. It would also encourage walking and use of public transport to get-to/from schools and colleges in line with the LB of Lewisham and Mayor of London's policies.

Enforce emission and noise limits on motorcycles.
 Regulate moped delivery vehicles to ensure they comply with gaseous/particulate limits and noise limits
 Enforce existing legislation about speed limits and idling.
 Enforce 'idling' restrictions.
 Enforce parking restrictions around schools and make a better effort to reduce the number of parents making short journeys to drive their children to schools.
 Bring back 'bike sheds' for schools so that cycling to school comes back into fashion.
 Invest in promotion of cycling and walking 'buses' which will give more opportunity for safer cycling and walking.
 Invest in more 'safe cycling' lessons for schools - I see one class regularly in Newquay Road but don't know who runs it.
 Consider education in schools to stop the overuse of buses by secondary children who could easily walk to and from school
 Review the 20mph and ensure that those who are caught on camera exceeding it more than once are given penalties.
 Enforce idling vehicles, especially by schools (Elliot Bank, Holy Trinity) . I see so many every day, drivers need to understand the consequences and be educated. And be fined!
 More school streets to ban people driving to school.
 There is no CPZ on my street so cars or vans often just stop there outside my house and idle and the air is thick with fumes.- I live on Kirkdale
 It's also v steep for cycling so more ebikes for hire would be great!
 Southwark did a Beat the Streets challenge and that was v popular and encouraged active travel last year
 Enforce no parking around schools and parks
 Enforce parked cars to not run their engines whilst stationary in residential parking areas for more than 5 minutes. Have all buses become hybrid or low emissions and add heavy surcharges to diesel heavy goods vehicles that drive through the borough
 Enforce rules on commercial vehicles being stored in residential streets.
 Prevent schoolrun vehicles from waiting with engines running, parking across junctions etc.
 Enforce rules regarding bus engines idling while stationary. Keep traffic flowing, the crossroads at Downham way/Baring Rd continues to queue despite recent updates. Improve one way system at Catford, terrible pollution there. Plant more trees.
 Enforce speed limits properly and have more police on the beat to witness traffic infringements. There are constantly groups of motorbikes racing on Sydenham road, pulling stunts, revving and releasing noise and air pollution, putting pedestrians in danger. There are also a lot of dangerous drivers who crash pedestrian lights. Too many cars during school run.
 Enforce speed limits. Enforce parking restrictions around schools.
 Enforce Taxis to move away from diesel
 Move more buses to low-emissions engines
 Enforce the 20mph speed limit

 Encourage council vehicle drivers to switch off their engines when parking
 Enforce the current parking restrictions. Provide marked car bays. Stop people living in flats from getting permits. Extend the current parking restrictions to include later nights and especially Sundays. Enforce restriction on builders parking where they like !

Enforce the law against idling and try to reduce the number of delivery vans that operate within the borough.

Enforce the law against idling engines. I see so many people sitting in their cars with their engines running.

Enforce the law! Public info campaign and fines for idling

Heavily restrict car access around schools and other places where children and those most vulnerable are exposed to air pollution

Hard hitting street art on dangers of air pollution and climate change to engage people on the issues and change behaviours

Introduce electric bike clubs, work with Zipcar and others to get more electric vehicles into operation in the borough

Green the Lewisham fleet

Target white van drivers - the most polluting vehicles and the worst culprits at idling!

Enforce the speed limits.

Control vehicles with dirty exhausts.

Control people who sit in their cars with the engines idling.

Enforce the speed limits.

Enforce drivers to switch off engines when stationary.

Enforcing 20mph speed limits

More cycle paths

Pedestrianising the high street

Engine idling is a serious problem throughout London and in Lewisham. Taxi Drivers and Van users are the main culprits I think but I have lost count of the number of people who sit in their cars with the engine on just looking at their phone. Why do you not have a Borough Wide policy against this. It is bad enough with parents in my area driving kids around.

I am shocked at the number of large vehicles being used by 1 parent to carry 1 child and also short journeys should be strongly discouraged. I have seen a child driven 400 metres from their home and then taken to the front door it is ridiculous.

There is no real effort being made to stop people driving their children to and from school and this needs to be addressed urgently. The drop in traffic in my area is no less than 50% during school holidays, that really is no exaggeration. Many of these parents also drive large SUVs and many I have to say are Asian and African. Other cultures are not being targeted to think about Environmental Issues because they believe showing wealth is more important. Enhance the South circular in road capacity to increase speed through the borough instead of idling when stopped in traffic jams due to inadequate road capacity, especially over the bridge at Catford and polluting the air for commuters.

Ensure that the car drivers / owners not in the Car Parking Permits are applied are charged the same as those in the in the Car Parking Permits Zone.

Ensure all buses are hybrid and replace diesel with petrol engines

Create safe cycle routes

Ensure ALL LBL vehicles are clean energy.

Ensure all the big organisations who impact on air pollution and environmental issues change their practices relating to toxins, omissions, recycling etc

More green and open spaces with trees, flora and fauna.

The roundabout in Lewisham is a nightmare for drivers and pedestrians, this needs to be rethought and changed.

Recompense resident drivers fully to change their vehicles to comply with new requirements.

Bring an alternative plan of when drivers change their vehicles this is when they must comply with the new requirements.

Ensure buses and council vehicles are run as Green as possible.

Charge larger businesses for their emissions.

Ensure buses and taxis are also subject to the same regulations. Also look at improving traffic flow by making traffic lights and crossings better coordinated and lanes better thiyght through as to left and right turns.

Ensure cars with dodgy engines emitting awful fumes etc are identified and dealt with.

Ensure charge contractors more for using polluting vehicles - Gelendales

Ensure Lewisham Council's own vehicles and those of their contractors are low emission vehicles; Bring forward works to improve traffic routes, including realignment of the South Circular in Catford, to reducing queueing traffic emitting fumes needlessly;

Plant more trees (including using the current Mayor of London's grant scheme to its fullest extent and helping local organisations to do so); Improve cycle routes to make it safe to use a bicycle in the borough; provide cheaper parking for Lewisham Council staff so that they do not repeatedly "tour the borough" in their cars looking for free parking spaces to avoid the Council's charges.

Ensure ll public transport is green and have a better traffic flow for all vehicles as there is always a lot of sitting traffic which contributes to the poor air quality

Ensure no new parking permits or on-site parking spaces in new developments.

Extend the TfL cycle hire scheme to Lewisham.

More and better bike lanes to encourage cycling.

Generally make driving more difficult and less attractive - filtering, pedestrianisation, etc.

Car free days - I know we're getting a London-wide one in September, but you should also work on more regular borough-wide ones.

Ensure public transport connects the borough and outside providing a viable alternative to car use.plant trees, create more parks and green links. Ensure safe and green walking and cycling routes. Incentivise cycling.

As well as surcharges for diesel, dirty old petrol cars need a surcharge.

Ensure safe cycling lanes to encourage cycling (some of the bigger junctions in Lewisham are dangerous for cyclists). Separate cycling lanes and routes, cycle boxes at the front of junctions and separate lights to give cyclists a head start would be great to see.

Ensure smooth flow of traffic by coordination of traffic lights to avoid cars staying still with motors idling.

Ensure that all abandoned cars are removed quickly, to prevent youths trying to drive them.

maybe limit the number of cars in one household if more than 3 increase the parking permit, especially if older vechicals.

Ensure that all buses licensed to operate in the borough and council vehicles have as low emissions as possible

Ensure that all buses that use the area are as environmentally friendly as they can be.

Increase awareness of the illegality of idling vehicles- this is a particular problem when vehicles wait outside houses and keep their engines running
Ensure that all Council vehicles use non-pollutant technology AND are driven in a way so as to minimise pollution.

Ensure that buses and taxis, and lorries pass around main residential areas. Also that any buses are electric or at least low emission sources that actively transport passengers on the main streets of Lewisham.

I believe the main source of pollution are the buses and unregulated cabs lorries and van.

Residents have their cars MOT and have to ensure they are low emission. Not too sure the public vehicles have that same restriction.

Ensure that low mileage is enforced. At the moment it's not and neither are cars with bad drivers that have eg faulty exhausts not prosecuted. Drop to 10mph outside schools with no idling in a wide area. Make drop-off areas where possible away from school gates with parent rotas for walking children the rest of the way or levy from drop-off parents for 'lollipop lady' to accompany.

Ensure that road works are completed in the shortest possible time. Numerous incidents of contractors starting work and then leaving it for days. This causes horrendous congestion. The Council can implement this policy without charging its residents more.

Ensure that TfL eliminates all non-electric busses (the 54 & 89 up Belmont Hill are particularly toxic). Improve traffic flow, especially the mess that is Rennell Street, to minimise engines idling in traffic jams. Improve traffic flow by prohibiting roadworks from leaving roads closed for days on end without any actual work being done (charge any business doing roadworks by the hour for any lane closure -- for example, make Thames Water pay for leaving Belmont Hill dug up for days on end and reducing traffic to a single lane).

Ensure that the entire borough has a charge or permits for parking, not just selected street

Introduce a ban on parents double parking and leaving engines running when collecting children from schools

Ensure that the public transport network is as good as it can be and that buses are all low emission or hybrid vehicles themselves.

Drivers who leave their car engines idling should be fined.

Ensure the increase in new accommodation build matches the requirements on transport. Specifically the trainlines serving Lewisham.

Ensure traffic keeps flowing rather than stagnant or stop and go. For example traffic lights for pedestrian crossings could be better synchronised

Ensure trees are kept and not removed for more building works. plant more trees in areas where lots of congestion

Establish a Car-Free Lewisham Centre.

Restrict motor traffic passing through the borough on a daily basis especially the New Cross Gate > Lewisham Way, and New Cross > Deptford High Street > Blackheath routes by narrowing the roads and introducing an extension of the London Congestion Charge.

Develop extensive safe bicycle routes not by moving cyclists onto pavements but by providing large scale cycle lanes.

Extend the capacities and frequency of all forms of Public Transport with reduced Fares to encourage adoption.

EV charge points everywhere.

I am shocked that we have been refused planning permission for these in our area. It makes a mockery of Lewisham's pretence at doing something about the environment.

I am shocked that so many mature trees have been chopped down. Replacing them with saplings will take 20 + years to give the same effect as we used to have, leaving us with a huge void. Again, it makes a mockery of Lewisham pretending to be 'green'.

Ev charging stations, Santander bikes

Even "zero" emission vehicles produce particulate matters from break and tyre wear. Therefore, the best way to reduce vehicle emission is reducing vehicle mileage.

If there is road capacity available, traffic will fill it up! If you introduce higher charge (e.g. parking charge) then the poor people will make less trips but they will be replaced by the richer people as they can afford it.

In order to reduce vehicle mileage (emission), it is best to REDUCE road capacity for private cars / vans and encourage people to switch to public transport. This could be done by reallocate the road capacity from highway to public transport (e.g. more bus lanes and turn some road spaces to pavements)

Workplace Parking Levy should be introduced to discourage people driving to work!

If people must use cars/vans then electric vehicles are better than diesel/petrol but charging points are limited. The council should work together with to install them in the borough.

Everybody who has an older diesel knows that it is very polluting and we want to get rid of them, you should try and financially encourage us to get rid of them not make life so expensive £50 penalty plus other costs with them that we can't afford to change them. It is a classic Catch-22, you will get more results if you put in a scrapage scheme for diesels or give us an incentive such as a scrapage amount available within 2 years and after that introduce the diesel penalties.

You should probably extend Controlled Parking Zones. Free Parking in areas like Forest Hill encourage driving especially commuters who drive long distances such as from Kent and then pollute Lewisham but there is also a problem with locals who drive to the swimming pool/schools as parking is free. Make it costly and people will use the brilliant public transport if they can't walk which most can as the distances are small.

Exhaust hotline, so a number plate can be captured and sent to department and the car can be have its MOT looked at.

Expand bike lanes, make them more connected and visible. Educate about leaving engines running. Delivery drivers etc. are particularly bad and can leaving engines chugging for 30 mins. More zipcars in more locations. Make them cheaper. Have a proper transport link across south London to Brixton/ Streatham. Have a proper feeder lane from the Blackheath section of the A2

onto the motorway section at Sun in the Sands roundabout. Expand A2 to three lanes. Tunnel under Lewisham :)
Expand the cpz zones around stations to discourage commuters from driving and parking near to railway stations.

Get on with Bakerloo line extension.

More separated bike lanes.

Lobby for a coordinated London wide transport strategy - more trains/ less overcrowding, air conditioning, double decker trains,/ increased capacity/ cheaper fares

Roll out network of electric vehicle charging points/ stations.

Extend bakerloo line to encourage more use of public transport. More regular buses/24 hr buses. Increase green space, plant more trees.

Extend bakerloo line. Lewisham is underserved by TFL making owning a car necessary for some residents.

Extend no car day from once a year to a more regular event, make it the norm to not drive on a Sunday for example.

Plant more trees along busy roads, especially around schools!

Enforce the no idling around schools to prevent pollution around children.

Extend parking permits across the borough. What is the point of charging diesel cars in cpzs a higher rate when most of the borough, including innermost reaches around new cross Brockley and deptford have no parking control and are widely used as free car parks for those travelling in from other boroughs.

Also what about widespread parking on pavements, outside premises, largely uncontrolled. 50£ a year on lewisham residents with diesel cars is a tax on residents that provides limited additional value. Making cpzs more widespread in the borough would make parking easier for residents (value), improve air quality, raise money for council to reinvest in transport and allow for more widespread paid parking. Most importantly it would discourage the idea that north lewisham is a free public car park for goldsmiths students, commuters, people from bexley/Kent, coach companies that are routinely parked around new cross (gate) and Brockley stations.

In short, the best way to improve air quality would be to put in place the right incentives for all. No parking anywhere in lewisham borough without residents permits or paying. Make electric vehicles exempt from payments (or pay less). Enforce parking restrictions especially in new cross / deptford, areas with maximum clownery when it comes to parking.

Extend parking permits to every household, including new build properties.

Extend permit parking so people don't drive around and park to make their commutes easier. Non residents drive a few mile to ladywell and park to use trains into London. This produces extra traffic and pollution

Extend resident parking permit zones

Make some areas pedestrian only, especially around parks etc

Extend residents parking zone around winterstoke road to dissuade out of town divers to drive in to use the station and increasing pollution

Extend some of the transport links such as the overground line from New Cross. Reduce amount of learner drivers in the Hither Green / Lee area as there are lots of slow moving or stationary vehicles with engines running.

Extend the boris bikes scheme within The borough

Extend the low emission zone further out. I live near the South Circular and am concerned that the extension of the zone might lead to further congestion on the South Circular itself - it's already horrible to cycle on due to pollution.

I would favour a permit/rationing system to drive in zones 1-3 at all but I know I am extreme.

Extend the tube line

Improve train services

Improve regularity of bus service

Create more safety for cyclists around Lewisham station - it is very busy and dangerous for cyclists commuting into London in this area

Extend the ULEZ standards to the entire borough,.

Have 'pedestrian only' access on as many streets as possible

Continue to encourage cycling and walking

Extend the ULEZ to cover all of the Borough of Lewisham.

Ban diesel vehicles from School gates when children are going to and from School.

Build better and more cycle lanes.

Give residents who don't have a car council tax relief.

Plant trees on as much green space as possible.

Give out saplings free to garden owners to encourage them to grow more (native) trees.

Extend the ULEZ to include cars that use the south circular. This seems like a ridiculously obvious solution to improving air quality in Lewisham. Target the vehicles that are actively driven rather than parked up most of the time.

Extend the ULEZ to include the south circular. Why on earth exclude the road that creates more pollution than any other road in Lewisham?

Extend the ULEZ zone beyond the South Circular (I'm concerned it will cause more pollution just outside it, where I live on the Corbett Estate); put in more CPZ areas; create school streets or parking exclusion zones around schools; install average speed check zones around the borough - this would not only help with speeding but deter people from driving as it becomes more and more inconvenient; publicise and get tougher on anti-idling - put up no idling signs all over borough as many other London boroughs have, as well as signs like St Albans in Hertfordshire has which read: Turn off your engine for cleaner air; make all buses and taxis electric ASAP; make more roads one-way to discourage driving.

Extend the ULEz zone to include the south circular as well as we are most affected. Fund more trees along the south circular and neighbouring roads.

Invest in better education of residents of what they can do to reduce pollution.

Introduce more green spaces and electric car benefits to promote usage

Extend ULEZ South

Encourage more education on idling

Improve Secondary schools to reduce journeys out of borough

Enforce parking around civic suite

More school walking buses

Extend ulez to south circular sooner, and include more recently built diesel and petrol vehicles in scope of the charge

Lewisham gyratory puts off all but the most confident cyclists. The new design is substantially worse than the roundabout

Make hither green station step free. A car is more of a necessity than it would otherwise be for parents living in hither green because of this

On street electric car charge points. I wanted to buy an electric car, however there is no provision within practical range from home to charge (we don't have off street parking)

Extend ultra low emission zone even further

Plant trees

Extended permits time as when I'm driving back home there is no space for me to park as all bringing cars back without paying - if you got all to pay that how you save environment and help improve air quality- 9-16:30 means 40% of cars won't pay you single penny as it's outside parking times won't mention weekends - if we drive for shopping I can forget abt space to come back as all keep cars for free in front my house - and it cost me £120 a year - so unfair - look from that how to get money of those who don't care and stop cars outside 9-16:30 when everyone st work - busiest driving time is outside this time

Extent the ULEZ in London Borough of Lewisham

Facilitate more tree growth

Far more ambitious policies to make it easier to walk and cycle. No repeat of the appalling road design and transport policies that have taken place in Lewisham town centre. Also clarify if Barratts will deliver a footbridge from the Catford dogtrack development - what has happened to the Section 106 money?

Ensuring more train stations are fully accessible.

Ensuring that planning policies under the London Plan for the delivery of electric charging points in large developments are actually implemented. Through public questions the council was unable to provide any assurances that this policy was being implemented.

Far more ambitious policies to tackle idling of vehicles.

Ensuring that Lewisham Council actually gets Sadiq Khan to block foolish plans for the Silvertown Road tunnel and instead use public resources to extend the Bakerloo Line to Lewisham and for deliver a pedestrian and cycle bridge between Rotherhithe to Canary Wharf.

Far more restrictions. If people have a need for a car fine (e.g. disability, transport of tools etc.), but we have so many public transport options in the area I don't think this is true in the vast majority of cases.

Fewer speed humps, except where really needed, as they just encourage drivers to brake then accelerate . More EV charging points (are there any at all in the borough?). Scrap the borough-wide 20 mph speed limit which is widely ignored (I agree with 20mph limit on selected roads, which I believe would then be more widely followed). Plant more trees and bushes along major roads.

Find a replacement for those horribly smelly and polluting ice-cream vans

Find a way of reducing stop/start traffic through residential streets i.e. not speed bumps.

Find a way to enforce speed limits esp. in 20mph zones

Find a way to provide a huge rollout of charging points on residential streets to make owning electric cars viable.

Most homes don't have their own driveway so street parking is necessary.

There is no way to charge an electric vehicle in these situations so people can't buy electric cars, even if they want to. In an ideal world, EVERY parking space would have a metered charging point.

Find ways to discourage driving to school - and idling near schools

Fine drivers of highly polluting vehicles passing through the Borough even though they may not stop
Fine people who idle in front of schools. I live beside a school and people have their engines running for up to an hour outside school in the morning. This is horrendous for both the health of my family and the school
Fine those caught parked and idling in their cars / put up signs telling people of the detrimental health effects if they leave their cars running whilst parked (on the side of the street).

(Ladywell Arena it happens A LOT whilst people are waiting to pick up others there)

Fine vehicles with the engines running while waiting for long times, black cabs do it all the time for example.

Fines for cars idling

Fines for cars that park and leave their engines running

Promote people to get their children to school by walking or public transport

Fines for idling, especially outside schools and nurseries

Fines for idling, reduce large vehicle traffic in residential areas

Fines for keeping engines running in standing traffic.

More frequent bus services around peak hours.

First start with buses and public transport. Police vehicle/dust bin vehicle.

Don't see the need for price increase. For permits as it's expensive all ready
Firstly charging people more money does nothing to change the quality of the air and nothing can be put in place to change that. The cost of car disposal and the effects on the environment are greater than what it is to get people to change their cars.

I feel the council should do more to penalise people who have bonfires as these also make a huge difference to air quality and are mostly created by the council wanting £80 a year for garden rubbish which along with a lot of other rubbish could be used for alternative fuel and also a lot of these fires contain toxic materials like plastic.

The fact is when a car is parked it doesn't emit any fumes so why introduce higher or new fees for people who can not afford to change their car, it's always a war on the people who can least afford it and I think it's very conservative thinking. It seems whatever the council can make money on they do to the detriment of the working class and maybe if they gave more thought into how they design roads ie the new roads around Lewisham station which is a nightmare for buses emergency services not to mention the general public which by itself must create the highest pollution in the whole borough.

Firstly stop all council employees from driving to work and remove any parking concessions only available to employees. Plant more trees.

Firstly, Don't double up on the Mayor's punitive tax. Be aware of the scale of increase in costs you are dumping on residents and stop being so punitive.

If you want to improve matters further, secure car-free new development and improve the alternatives I.e. more public transport capacity and more car clubs
Focus on all the congestion. If traffic was moving there would be less fuel emitted by stopped engines.

Focus on buses and taxis as these are usually exempt from any limits.

Ensure traffic flows more freely around Lewisham station as the number of cars queueing up and idling is a major issue

Focus on buses. Improve traffic to reduce vehicle idling

Focus on improving public transport and ensuring all of it is hybrid first before making residents pay extra.

Focus on major corporations rather than just people trying to get by.

Focus on the most polluted vehicles with higher cost to die l've in the borough, rewards this with lower polluted vehicles but please do not bring out a parking charge - there are no emissions when parked

Focus to tackle air pollution should be focused on Diesel cars which emit NOx and impact health of people in the borough. Less focus should be placed on reducing general CO2 levels which is a global issue and Lewisham alone cannot solve. Furthermore, there are no immediate impact on health from co2. Follow the Ultra Low Emission Zone rules rather than the arbitrary rule against diesel cars. See further below.

For residents like myself who were encouraged by the government to buy a diesel car, we should not be penalised, especially where we cannot afford to replace the car. Anyone with a newer diesel should it a surcharge as the pollution issue is now known, but we should expect those with older diesel car who were persuaded this was the environmental option several years ago. Rather than just penalise residents we should tackle cars that idle while parked and think of simple things residents can do to improve air quality in addition to vehicle engines

Force all taxis to be hybrid or electric. Put electric charging points by the taxi tank and also on any other new build roads. Reduce council tax for people buying an electric car and getting rid of their petrol or diesel one. Turning entire roads into cycle lanes. Closing roads for one day per month (or more).

Force buses and taxis to switch off engines when sat idle in traffic.

Force observance of the 20mph zones. Speed cameras and for anyone doing over 25mph

Free bus service

Free buses

free buses

Free incentives and rewards for not using a car, restricted areas only for Lewisham residents to reduce traffic

Free parking for electric vehicles in CPZs. No resident permits for vehicles over ten years old.

Free parking for electric vehicles, add charge points on local roads, using the lamp post.

Free travel on buses & free bikes

From an emission point of view it is the driving, not the parking that is the problem. Therefore increasing parking charges for high emission vehicles, can have the undesired effect that they are being moved more frequently, just to get them out of the parking charge zone.

Effective interventions need to target directly the activity that is doing harm. In this case it is combustion of fuel or diesel, and this takes place only when the engines are running.

Running vehicle engines need to be penalised by a) prohibitive fuel and Diesel taxation (this is outside the borough's remit, and b) a congestion charge. I welcome the ULEZ, the sooner the better, the stricter the better, and the borough can lobby strongly for this.

Full support to the continuance of the Victoria - Lewisham train route

Maximise green verges on busy routes

Review sleeping policemen - some are so severe that they require a lot of low gear changes braking and acceleration - not an air pollution specialist but feel it must increase emission rates

Fund street tree planting and ongoing maintenance of existing trees.
Have a cohesive and sensible cycling route. Not allow new developments that don't factor in usable and safe pedestrian and Cycle routes. (Eg. New central Lewisham road layout now makes cycles have to go round unsafe layout with cars that can't work out which lane they need to be in!! No routes from where cycle path used to run from Glassmill area over to Blackheath.)
Have accessible and frequent cycle racks.
New developments and street layouts to have a few cycle racks by shops (not two spaces with loads of racks!)
Make streets more beautiful, remove all your detritus, poles posts, have beautiful lighting and think about where lamp columns should go...not some schematic plan that gets delivered and looks a mess.
Sort out excessive signage for cpz. Remove cpz signs that instruct and narrow footpaths.
Employ decent designers.

Street art, fine designs, level/flush cross overs, not sited 8 m out of the way on junctions, will help pedestrians. ...did I say more trees and green spaces, good quality planting, and fund this from the CPZ and parking scheme.

Educate people that they don't need their massive cars...hard to see over when cycling...like vans!
Also have short drop offs away from schools so parents on 6 missions might have to drive, but have space away from school gates. Ban parking very close to school gate!

Educate children (don't make it another job for school) that their not wanting to walk is bad for their health. Make safer cycling and scooting routes.

Improve enforcement of illegal parking in public parks.
Encourage own staff not to think because they work, they can drive in parks...bad example.

Get better buses across borough connecting eg Brockley/crouton Park and Deptford. Poss other places not well served.

Again: make it easy and pleasanter to walk and cycle..look after your street trees and fund work so trees don't end up being felled.
Charge people more for cross overs as gardens are a beautiful healthy asset and good for our health.
Funding people with learning disabilities instead of taking away their freedom passes as you are doing currently
Further improve public transport. Encourage cycle hire schemes
More zip car (electric) parking sports
Discourage HVGs using south circular
further investment in pedestrian and cycling traffic free areas
further promote walking, cycling and bus priority
Further restrict parking more generally - there are many households with multiple cars and too many people who drive their car to stations to commute. This is unnecessary and causes pollution, as well as ruining the streetscape and causing difficulties for other local residents.
Get a involved with the no car day event and Green GB week

Get more frequent trains so they're not as busy during rush hour. I currently drive to work as I suffer from panic attacks due to the crowds on the trains. Better street lighting, so it doesn't feel so dangerous to walk, more police on streets - so feel safer.

Get on with constructing the Lewisham Spine cycleway.

Bring the Bakerloo Line to Lewisham AND Catford/Lower Sydenham, with a potential new stop in between, as that area is poorly served by buses - and the long walk home from a bus stop feels dangerous at night (which means we tend to favour the car).

get rid of all diesel buses as you are targeting diesel van and car owners
Clamp down on amount of mini cab companies we do not need so many
Get rid of all speed bumps, which have been proven to increase emissions by encouraging cars to break and then accelerate again. A lot of drivers do not slow down for them anyway as modern SUVs and delivery vans have such good suspension that they don't need to.

Get rid of all speed ramps and unnecessary traffic lights.

Get rid of all the lorries that are parking all the time in Lewisham

Get rid of bus lanes and open up the roads so that cars can get to their destinations without sitting in Council created traffic jams pumping out pollution that you are now attempting rather badly to prevent.

Get rid of half of the buses. The buses cause the congestion and thus contribute more than anything else to pollution and poor air quality.

Get rid of speed bumps and the 20 mph limits as slowing down and accelerating, lower gear use produces more pollution.

Short journeys is a major factor in causing pollution. I live off the High Street shop workers and shoppers if they have resident permits are entitled to park here. The number of shop workers parking is increasing shoppers have always done so. If a new parking zone was introduced formed of streets directly off the High Street these highly polluting short journeys would stop.

Get rid of speed bumps.

Get rid of the 20 MPH zone and remove speed humps as these have been proved to increase the dangers and pollution from vehicles (noise as well as airborne)

Ban buses from swapping drivers outside the town hall as this causes major delays, especially when the double park whilst doing it. Also, keep tighter rein on bus emissions and parking on main roads (e.g. on the Catford gyratory in peak hours whilst the drivers visit McDonalds)

Limit the number of minicabs and keep a close check on their driving standards.

Reconsider the use of bus lanes, they increase journey times and pollution for a minor part of the population, often I have more passengers in my vehicle than the bus has, yet the bus is far more polluting and noisy.

The traffic island outside Sydenham library is a typical ill thought out attempt at social engineering: it is dangerous and causes delays for everyone and more pollution...

It is noticeable that when you leave Lewisham borough, the smoothness and efficiency of your journey improves, years of anti-road transport policy has caused the pollution problem, not the cars (government actively encouraged diesel use by taxing CO2 emissions,) and there remains NO clear case regarding NOx, trust me, I deal with it for work!

Control roadworks more efficiently; the works in Grove Park have massively overrun to little benefit for anyone.

Get rid of the 20mph speed limit which creates more tailbacks thus increases emissions as opposed to reducing them

Get rid of the buses

Get rid of the south circular through Catford ASAP! Also include the south circular in the ultra low emission zone.

Get the bakerloo Line extended or the docklands light railway

Introduce cheaper transport trips for everyone.

Jumping on a bus should be 50p no matter where you are travelling from

Make the streets safe and clean and fine people who cause more environmental problems such as fly tipping.

Get the people responsible to pay for it , ie oil companies and motor manufacturers

Politicians and councils always take easy option - monetary penalties on normal people and not solving the real issue

GET THE SANTANDER BIKES INTO THE BOROUGH. Get rid of the useless bus lanes so traffic can pass through instead of just sitting there creating emissions.

Get the traffic moving more quickly. Stationary or slow moving traffic produces more pollution.

Get the traffic moving... there are so many traffic lights, cars standing still and you wonder why the emissions are so high!

Give all vehicles 10 minutes free parking before issuing a ticket outside a shop. If vehicles are forced to drive round looking for parking spaces they pollute more than they need to. We all need to quickly pop into a shop or post office sometimes and being able to park outside or near is good for the local economy. Having no coins or having to download apps and opening an account is frustrating and wastes time and fuel when all you want to do is post a letter

Give an incentive to people with diesel cars to upgrade to a less toxic vehicle rather than punishing them

Encourage more companies to use electric vans. Hovis is a great example and more firms should follow

Give discounts to new and cleaner vehicles but do not penalise exhausting ones until they are phased out

Give free bikes

Give grants to help people to change their cars

Give incentives to car owners to become non car owners. I have made a conscious decision not to have a car, non car ownership could be promoted by incentives such as a free book of 10 x 1hour parking permits. Persuade car owners who drop kids off at school gates to drop them off in safe places monitored by the schools at a distance of 100 - 400 metres from school .

Give incentives to own hybrids

Give me a permit and don't penalise me for following government advice on buying a diesel car? How about Lewisham homes vans - which are all diesel, fo electric rather than passing on the costs to your residents? Do all your staff have to drive hybrids or cycle, walk etc? If not why not? Do they get permits without any hassle?

Give more cycle lanes and maybe make them permanent so cars can't go in them at all (like a pavement between cycle lane and road) to promote safe cycling

Give more time for residents to change their cars to less polluting cars. Target 3 years from now - electrified cars are not ready yet and still expensive. Charging point infrastructure isn't ready. Many residents cannot afford public transport. ULEZ expansion is too aggressive and I think it will be what decides who the next mayor becomes.

Give pedestrians the right of way. Traffic calm all high streets so that pedestrians feels safe and can move across the road safely and easily. Help residents make streets community-friendly by encouraging planting round street tree pits, generally providing more trees, traffic calming, so that moving around in the communal road spaces feels safe and friendly.

I think that all the measures you can employ to make streets happy for pedestrians and (careful) cyclists and which will slow up cars, will discourage car ownership, discourage car journeys and lead to lower emissions. At the moment the roads are dominated by cars and other motor vehicles which assume absolute right to own the road.

Give people a little more time to change to lower emission vehicles . Not everyone can afford to change their vehicles so quickly. Then the £50 charge will seem unfair. If lorry drivers will be charged. Are manufacturers making low emission lorries?

Give priority to pedestrians and bikes over cars in residential roads, more use of one way streets, creating living walls on buildings, tree planting on streets with less street furniture (needs a clear policy as pavements are a mess), increase electric car charging points.

Give residents more incentives as the majority of people who live in Lewisham are on a low income

Go further.

Campaign for even stricter controls on those driving polluting vehicles.

Advertise cycling schemes in the shopping centre / leisure centres

Make Lee High Road easier to walk along. The pavement is really restricted for pedestrians so it's difficult for people to walk.

Also ban wood fires and bonfires as the cause pollution too.

Good road

goods trains

Gradually phase out car ownership other than for professional, caring or health reasons through reducing on street parking availability. This would bring existing homes into line with new build, take care off the road and get people walking and cycling. Obviously this needs to be done in tandem with high investment in quality alternatives. Make cycling and walking the natural choice, especially for short trips. Don't allow car drop off at schools. Kids should walk, cycle or catch a bus.

Grants for electric cars and more charging points. Fines for idling with engine on.

Plant more trees

Green buses

Green buses

Plant more trees

Divert the south circular elsewhere

Not by making residents pay for parking permits- that's just being money grabbing

Green walls for schools/hospitals

Green walls on all schools and side of buildings- like with Laurence house

Sorting out congestion around the gyratory

Moving pedestrian crossings to less polluted areas.

Financial support to families to help swap old cars for electric ones
Need electric charging points everywhere
Greener cars incentives not penalties
More electric car charging stations
Honesty from policy makers
Stop robbing the poor

Encourage car users to avoid idling their cars
Combine errands into one trip
Keep cars well tuned, tires inflated properly to reduce exhaust emissions
More education on the matter.
GREENER SPACES, USE OF ALTERNATIVE VEHICLES I.E HYBRID OR ELECTRIC.

DESIGNATED FREE PARKING FOR SUCH VEHICLES WITH ENFORCEABLE PENALTY

Grow trees in the streets that do not have any
Harsher fines for cars parked illegally causing obstruction
Have a big campaign to get drivers to turn off engines when they park.
Introduce fines for idling engines.
Have a campaign against idling, using traffic wardens to enforce it. Introduce proper bike lanes, separated from the traffic and allow bikes to go on some pavements, as in Germany (eg, Hamburg) to improve bike safety. Make the appalling Lewisham town centre traffic scheme safe for pedestrians and bikes (I've stopped using my bike since it was unveiled, its so dangerous and scary).
Lobby for tubes in the borough!
have a car free day or zones - or indeed time zones around schools where they are ' car free'
Have a good look at Manor Lane and the industrial estate and the enormous lorries that go up and down all day and all night... we can smell the fumes it's awful and noisy... there should be at least an overnight curfew!!
Have a scheme to encourage people to trade diesel cars in for cash or vouchers towards a low emission car. People who were lied to years ago and told that diesel was better for the environment now have diesel cars that they can't afford to get rid of.

More cycle lanes and cycle awareness training to encourage families to feel safe cycling on the roads
Have better (more frequent and later) public transportation. Have more available free parking, especially at train stations. Half of us that NEED a car spend half our road time looking for a legal place to park!
Have better bus services
Have charges for non-residents driving through the borough.
Have control over car clubs, so they aren't so expensive.
Have electric powered council transport, install electric charging units on residential streets where there are flats only for free for electric powered cars with keys for residents in said flats only.
have integrated cycling routes.
have cycle racks in sensible spaces..maybe get cyclists..parent cyclists? to review your cycle routes.
cleaner less junk on footpaths.
Clear posts that are not for pedestrians from footpaths.
have level crossovers.
Get crossings wide enough for pedestrians, eg. Lewisham centre...narrow footpaths, no cycle route, trip hazard in design .

Have less buses which clog the main shopping area and often have hardly any passengers.

Re route the buses so that they don't clog the main shopping area
have more charging points.

remove all CPZ's to help distribute parking over wider area.

plant a lot more trees

make public transport cheaper

Have more electric car charging points

Have more TfL Bike stations available in the area.

Improve train services running in and out of Lewisham (thus reducing the number of people using other forms of transport when trains are delayed... on an almost daily basis).

Ensure all busses en-route through Lewisham are Hybrid/Hydrogen/Electric.

Have no car days in lewisham shopping area

No buses in shopping area once a month

Have no-parking zones around schools, especially primary schools/ nurseries whilst also facilitating school travel eg, school bus. The number of people driving their kids to school and then sitting idling their cars in the faces of young children, is disgusting. Should be a 2-block no-parking zone around a school with enforcement, during morning and afternoon school hours

Have parking wardens stop and fine people who are parked with their engine running. I live on marnock road by Crofton park station and this happens a lot, is not allowed by council rules and should be enforced. Also enforce double yellow lines with heavy fines. Increase parking permit costs significantly. There is very good public transport in lewisham and people need to use it. Make charges higher for larger cars and also for two car households. Block roads, such as marnock road, which are used to take shortcuts between main roads to make it less convenient to drive.

Have pedestrian only areas.

Do something about the flow of traffic around the borough so that few cars are sat idling in traffic jams.

Have pedestrian only zones

Have special green walls or posts that are planted with plants that draw in co2 and help neutralise the air

Have traffic light phased to stop nip points. Rethink the Lewisham junction

Having polluted the Borough for many decades with diesel vehicles and restricted traffic flow by introducing traffic calming measures the councils and government should pay for any air quality measures introduced and not burden the public with more taxes

Having some of the busiest roads in London passing through the borough we should charge vehicles from out of the borough to pass through.

Hello,

I do feel that cars should all be battery powered and that cars that use fuel should be banned. I don't see how you raising the fair for petrol/diesel cars will help this. I work in London and pay London rent which is highly expensive. This is another thing that the rich can afford and the people who work 5 days a week and just get by suffer.

I feel this was not properly looked at, I can't afford a brand new nice eco friendly car. I would love one but the money I get just gets me by. Now the only people who will benefit again is the rich or the well of people.

I can see where you are going with this and I agree we need to cut the fumes,

bit charging the people more who can't afford these new cars is a joke. It is upsetting that you feel this will help, it won't. Just another added fee to get more money coming in. Where will this extra money go? Hopefully one day I will have the money to make better decisions than this. It always ends up being the lower person who has to pay the most or suffer something that will not bother the well off.

Think of a plan that does not involve taking more money from the people who physically can't afford a new car. Maybe have some pay as you go bikes so people consider riding to work.

Very upset about this matter, just another thing to take money from the poorer people.

Help fund greener public transport (electric buses)

Electrify official Lewisham fleet vehicles

Define more one-way routes for residential streets to discourage cut-throughs
Extend roads with wider pavements and/or dedicated cycle lanes (n.b. cycle lanes that end prematurely, are subsumed into the pavement or otherwise encroached upon by cars are a disgrace!)

Help me and others to find better ways to buy or lease electric or hybrid vehicles by providing multi-modal on-street charging points. I'd be very interested in the potential of participating in a pilot project if the Council is developing on street charging points nearby, Ermine Road/ Brookbank Road. Help out in changing these cars with a good amount of money giving to help with the cost

Help people out with purchasing new more efficient and cleaner vehicles

Help people remove diesel gas car.

help people to avoid newer cars

Help people to buy electric and hybrid cars. This would make it easier for people to accept surcharges and ULEZ payments.

Help poor people to replace dirty cars.

Help provide many more charging points for electric vehicles

Help residents upgrade the vehicles they need transport around the borough to low emission vehicles and recycle older vehicles.

Help the motorist rather than penalise them has no one thought that maybe people with older vehicles cannot afford a cleaner car? because you cannot get a cleaner car we will charge you more is that fair? Why cannot there be help for drivers after all government and councils have been raking in money from fuel parking etc can't there be help rather than penalising drivers.

Help those who have a car/van in converting to lower emissions

Help to make public transport more convenient and competitively priced.

Currently there is no incentive for people to use alternatives to their cars. Why bother when it's cheaper and more convenient to just jump in a vehicle?

High turnover of traffic on Mount Pleasant Road due to mosque air quality would be higher.

High mileage drivers, people who use vehicles more regularly in Lewisham should pay more.

If car ownership in Lewisham is amongst the lowest in the country (47% of households don't have a vehicle at all), I'm interested in understanding how residents' private motor vehicles are a significant source of air pollution in the borough, I would like Lewisham Council share knowledge and help residents understand how increases to permit charges and surcharges will reduce

pollution. Could high pollution levels be caused by private and commercial vehicles visiting, passing through and or working but not living in the area, Higher charge for diesel vehicles say £200. And higher charge for older and thus more polluting cars.

Hire more civil servants directly and locally thereby keeping jobs nearby.

Plant more trees.

Institute land taxes penalising shopping centres or anything with big car parks.

Make it illegal to idle a car by the roadside.

Stop incinerating rubbish.

Households with multiple cars should pay addition tax / charge of some sort.

Driving to school unless necessary should be banned .

Cyclist need cycle routes across the borough and around London, if you want to encourage non car use then this should be a priority.

Introduce incentives to ditch the car and public transport and walking.

Households with two cars or more should face higher parking charges.

How about improving traffic flow on the roads , cars stuck in traffic produce loads of fumes from them.

Ban food delivery companies that use cars and scooters (get them use bicycle)

How about grants and other measures to allow people with older more polluting cars to upgrade. Seems this policy will target those less well off unable to replace older more polluting cars

How on earth do parked cars contribute to air pollution??????

And why should Parking permit holders pay more when there are very many so called high polluting cars parked in all the streets that don't have resident parking areas??? Absolutely ridiculous, discriminatory and NOTHING to do with clean air!

Hsnsjxnsjsbsvvsj

I agree that it makes sense to look at Lewisham residents, but there is a risk that you could penalise people with diesels (given that a few years ago, people were encouraged to buy them by government policy)? These residents may not necessarily use their cars very much; contrast this with the large number of Uber cabs and Amazon deliveries, which I guess continues to increase and must be far worse for the environment?

I agree that the council should support a reduction in pollution. Also worth considering:

- close residential roads for through traffic
- provide electric car charging points to encourage electric car purchases
- proactive traffic management to reduce jams and idling engines

I agree that what ever is decided that the council does should not benefit more money been took from the permits as I did my permit calculator and my car registration come up more that 35 pound for 3 months and at the moment my car does not need to pay the extra emissions charge so why should I have to pay more money which can only benefit the Lewisham council, you should look to make all bourghs should need to pay for permits because there is lots that don't pay for for permits which are is not fair eg. Places which don't have to pay for permit Sydenham, new Eltham, bexley petts wood and there many more, when I have to pay plus now your trying to put them up, which is not fair and can only benefit the Lewisham bourghs

I agree with all the information about dangerous emissions and the need to reduce car use. I need a car as I am a pensioner with quite severe physical disabilities with arthritis and breathing problems and cannot walk a long distance. I would not be able to get around the borough very easily if it were only on public transport. I would like to change to a Hybrid car to reduce my emissions, however as a pensioner this is an expensive aim and I think that the borough should put pressure on the government to make hybrid and fully electric cars cheaper and to give a discount to owners, as they do in Norway, where I understand that over a third of vehicle owners in the population have changed over to very low polluting vehicles. The answer is not to take cars away from everyone but to help to reduce emissions in a variety of ways. (also put in plenty of charging points at a reasonable cost.)

I agreed that Lewisham wants to help to improve the air and climate but at this present time things are quite difficult for most people, by adding £50 extra is too much.

I am amazed the borough can claim to care about resident's health, yet continue to build flats on some of it's busiest roads and actually agreeing to a build flats in an area so polluted, the council will advise residents to keep their windows closed.

Also take a look at the new ridiculous, totally unthought out road layout around Lewisham shopping centre. Totally gridlocked most times of the day.

I am deeply concerned that in the quest to lower emissions, we are simply replacing one environmental crisis with another.

Electric vehicles are not the solution because of the devastating impact caused by manufacturing the lithium battery and mining the necessary metals.

I discussed the issue with a colleague who is also a scientist and he emphasised the gravity of encouraging the use of electric vehicles.

Ideally, hydropowered vehicles are the way forward and 100 percent 'recyclable'

I contacted Lewisham Council several months ago to advise them about systems currently available which immediately lower carbon emissions from your vehicle, at an incredibly low cost.

These are carbon cleaning systems with proven results.

Surely, it makes sense to deal with these vehicles here and now.

I purchased my diesel vehicle believing it was better for the environment.

I have mobility issues which means walking can be difficult, my disability assessment may not result in being entitled to motability.

I cannot afford to trade my car in for a new one and I have two young children.

What is one to do?

I would be more than happy to discuss ideas with Lewisham Council if you are willing to listen.

I am not sure but penalising people that might be able to afford buying another car is wrong.

I am not sure what can be done but changing extra for diesel cars is not fair as the government used to say that they were better and advised people to use them

I am sure that if people could afford to buy a greener car they would. I understand that the council has to take measures in reducing the pollution within the borough, but how does passing the cost onto residents help this? More incentive should be given for bike riding such as free lessons for children and adults. Also, creating more areas for trees, plants and flowers will encourage community pride as well as contribute to lower pollution levels.

I am sure there doing what they can

I am very aware of the following issues that exacerbate the air quality problem in the borough:

1. High levels of speeding (we have cars speeding down Brookmill Rd at the bottom of my road at fantastically high speeds regularly. This obviously contributes to increased pollution and is also frightening.
2. Idling. Many drivers sit in their cars looking at their mobiles whilst their engine is running. Sometimes they sit outside my house for half an hour with their engines on. I politely ask them to turn their engine off and explain to them that this is causing increased pollution (I have a high success rate so far!)
3. Parents driving their children to school. Most parents who do this don't need to. Usually they are late and drive very fast to get their children to school on time. I do believe this is the scourge of modern society and it would be great if the council could do more to stop parents driving their children to school (especially secondary school!). It's outrageous.
4. Introduce a congestion charge!
5. I know about the Lewisham Greening fund but obviously the council could do more to encourage green spaces. I am soooo disappointed, for instance, that Lewisham council bulldozed the ancient garden at the old Tidemill school site. That is pure vandalism. The council could have had the best of both worlds by building the new buildings inclusive of required social housing around the garden and made it an attractive feature of a fairly unattractive place. It's incredibly disappointing and lacks joined up thinking. The borough has destroyed lots of green spaces and is now asking people to raise funds to create more. It doesn't make sense.

I believe that old cars should be used until they die then recycled - do not encourage turn around of new buys as this impacts MORE on environment. Also polluting cars should NOT be sold to other countries, once they no longer work, then scrap and recycle metal.

I think that encouraging people to buy Diesel and now punishing them is unjust. I believe that the proposal will hit the poorest in our borough as order to benefit from the change or not be charged more you need to go electric or at the very least switch from diesel to petrol. I would suggest you look at what can be done to improve the roads in Lewisham so the millions of commercial and non resident vehicles that clog up our roads every weekday contribute in some way to the initiative rather than charging the people who actually live here even more for the privilege. A parked car is not causing pollution of the environment. But sitting in traffic whilst trying to drive through the borough most certainly is. I believe the council needs to rethink the System it runs the main problem is there is too much traffic on the road and it is all pushed into one circle and all at the same time. You could stop putting children in school so far away from their house so their parents wouldn't have to take them to school by car and they could walk and if everyone didn't have to start the day all at the same time this would really help

I bought a diesel car in good faith having been told it was the way forward ecologically. Now I am going to have to scrap my car with no compensation come 2021. I have mobility issues who is going to help me I cannot travel on a bus. The ulez law will only affect the poor rich can easily buy a new car. I bought my diesel car because it was supposed to be better for the environment and it has low car tax as a result of £20.

I can see the logic of the proposal but I can also see a major flaw. Car ownership and the good transport links mean that the vast majority of Lewisham residents do not use their car for travel within Lewisham. I only use my car when I am travelling long distances outside of London. Most air pollution within Lewisham is caused by vehicles passing through the Borough yet you

wish to penalise those owning cars within the Borough but do not use them to travel within the Borough. Given the low use of residents vehicles within the Borough the policy will have little or no effect on air quality and be seen as a penalty for simply owning a car. This is token politics. were you to establish that the use of vehicles by Lewisham residents within the Borough was higher than I estimate then you would have a case. Without that evidence I feel your case is flawed.

i could see nothing in the documentation about penalising parked vehicles with engines left running?

I disagree with your statement;

"the council should encourage people to drive vehicles with lower emissions?"

The policy should always be: "the council should encourage people to drive less (regardless of the type of car and its emissions.)

Link sustainable transport policy with public health at all times. Walk; jog; cycle - then public transport.

I do not have any ideas but charging people more to park defeats the purpose as the air will still be polluted if you pay £500 or £5000, for me this just seems like a money making thing as if people pay the extra money the air will still be polluted.

I do not think it is right to charge residents to be able to park their cars within the Borough. People do not only use their cars to get around the Borough they use them for work and other activities where public transport is not available.

The worse polluting road is the A2 which is essentially a through road for heavy duty vehicles. Charging residents in an already impoverished borough is not going to change this.

Plant some more trees in areas where you have removed them instead of trying to financially punish people who are just trying to live their lives.

I don't know but taxing diesel cars which the government encouraged us to buy for years is an absolute disgrace.

I don't think it's just about cars alone, there are all sorts of pollution out there, but it's a start

I don't think that car pollution is the cause of the issues we face with air quality - I think that the majority of the issues is with the pollution of airplanes and the lack of trees and green shrubs that could be neutralising and filtering the fumes out of the air - that's what is done along motorways and in the countryside why not replicate it more in Lewisham.

I don't agree with all the 'Yes/No' choices. There should be more room for 'Other'; I worked for six years as a survey interviewer, and I found the best answers were in the 'Other' category.

People in the carpark below my window idle the cars even on hot days like today. That is a way of gassing ppl. There was an inquest recently on a young girl who died because of air pollution as she lived near the S Circular.

There should be a bye-law against ppl keeping their engine on while they are parked in a car park etc. If temperature goes below a certain level, there could be exceptions. Or they could get a blanket.

I don't feel qualified to answer this

I don't know if there are any solutions but the main roads A20 & A2 and the major junction at Loampit Vale & Lewisham High Street where at peak times there is a lot of stationary traffic.

I don't see how emissions should affect parking since a vehicle is only emitting whilst in motion.

Lower charges should be levied to low mileage where annual mileage is

available on MOT certificates.

See also below.

I don't think increasing parking permit is a medium through which the council can improve air quality. This will be like ripping people off in the name of climate change.

Council charge residents huge amount to bring down their drive way kerb and people who could not afford the price pay parking permit to council to park in front of their houses. Now instead of council reducing the parking permit for them instead they are asking them to sell their cars and buy low emission cars, thereby increasing poverty in the borough.

Let me ask this question, if everyone in the borough of Lewisham sell their cars to buy low emission ones, who will buy those cars from them? Residents are pushed to buy new expensive cars and still pay for residential parking.

Please, council should consider people with low emission cars paying for residential parking because they cannot afford to pay to bring down their drive way kerb.

Thank you.

I don't think it right that I should pay so much, when my car is clean enough not to pay the ultra low emission charge.

I don't think that it is fair to penalize residents whose cars are stationary for a lot of the time. The problem is the cars that pass through the area on a daily basis. Also penalizing residents who own a diesel car after the government pushed for everyone to move to diesel and now change direction isn't fair. I would love to move to fully electric but apart from the big Sainsbury's in Charlton and the few power stations by the cinema in Greenwich there don't seem to be any other charging points, never mind the fact that the electric vehicles are still priced so high that most people cannot afford to replace their cars. The extension of the ULEZ boundary will definitely reduce the amount of cars on the road purely because people will not be able to afford to replace their cars.

i dont think this matter has been thought through at length, these ideas are made by people who dont have mobility problems and can not always travel on london transport, of which we do not have the underground on this side of the thames, it would be different if we had the underground there would be a lot of people who would use it to go to work, so we are already being discriminated against by not having the equal transport system like the rest of london.

I don't want people to be constantly 'punished' for driving into town - not everyone can afford to change their vehicle - this seems to be another money making project by the local authority

I drive a euro cat 4 diesel. When I bought it(new) I was encouraged by the government as at the time in 2007 Diesel with a Diesel Particulate Filter was regarded as the cleanest option.

90% of the pollution created by a car is when it is manufactured and scrapped at the end of its useful life. Also by driving when not necessary. My car is now 12.5 years old and has done 34,000 miles since new. I would create more pollution by scrapping a perfectly good car in immaculate condition and buying another one.

People should be encouraged to walk or use the local transport rather than penalising people with perfectly good older cars or people who can not afford to buy a newer cleaner car. In time these cars will be disappearing anyway.

This is just an excuse to make more money from your residents and I disagree with it

I feel sorry for all the people encouraged to buy a diesel who are now being penalised, I don't own one but many do. The Government who encouraged everyone to buy diesel should pay the £50 surcharge for existing owners but new owners going forward should pay for their own if they chose to buy a diesel.

I feel that this is a tough one as there are a lot of people I know that have older cars and cannot afford to replace them quickly, that will be penalised should you charge for diesel cars. Perhaps the diesel surcharge could be graduated in its introduction; it could start off at £10 in year one, increase to £25 in year 2 and then move to £50 in year 3.

Is there a way that commercial vehicles could also be charged when parking in the borough. If it's diesel then parking per hour would be higher than a hybrid.

Personally we have a drive way and if the parking was to increase from £120 to £255 I think we would be forced to consider moving to our driveway to park. I feel that we should be talking about vehicles that pass through Lewisham rather than the borough owners as you have stipulated only 47% of Lewisham residents own a car so it's the traffic through that must be of more concern? I find it that most residents have not heard of ULEZ. I have just bought a secondhand petrol compliant car because my 15 yr old car was not compliant.

I had the money to change. On the whole I believe Lewisham is not full of well off residents. My daughter is a Teaching Assistant. She has an old non-compliant van. She will probably end up without a vehicle. Good for our lungs but not in the least for her. There are many many residents like her in this area. The people with diesel cars were told by the government to buy diesel. Again, by all means charge them but it's rather unfair for people doing what they were told to do.

I hope you will give a time zone of notice in which these people can change their car if possible, they already have the ULEZ deadline.

I have a diesel but I drive it once a week maximum, so I don't see why a surcharge on parking diesels is a good idea. Diesels don't produce pollution when they are parked with the engine off. The ULEZ is a much better idea because I'll get charged every time I drive my car.

I have been waging a polite war with coach drivers who park outside Greenwich Park and leave their engines running all day for heating in winter and for air conditioning in summer. Often there are up to 20 coaches there. I realise this is within the Greenwich boundary but the quality of the polluted air that the drivers leave behind must often blow into Lewisham and affect the residents there. Also I talk to car drivers who leave their engines running whilst stationary. Often they are surprised that this is an offence and when I explain that I have taught children with respiratory problems and describe the effects that pollution has on their lives, the drivers are sympathetic and switch off their engines. More information needs to be prominently displayed at a local and also at a national level for people to be made aware of the problems they are thoughtlessly causing future generations.

I hope this not an opportunity to make to take more money from us

I live in Friendly Street, and it is very busy and traffic can be waiting to continue their journey so lots of pollution in both directions. Why can't Friendly Street be made one way going up towards Brockley and down traffic using St John's Vale, therefore reducing the volume of traffic on one street alone.

I live on Davenport Road which is used as a rat run for traffic bypassing catford for the south circular. The introduction of one way systems for roads like Davenport and surrounding roads could help improve air quality on what should be quiet and peaceful roads. Similar traffic calming/re-routing schemes across the borough would help to make driving a less attractive option for many. I fully support further segregation of roads to give more space for pedestrians, cyclists and public transport and take it away from cars. A tramline from Bromley to Lewisham would provide valuable extra capacity and enable more people to leave their cars at home. Strongly encouraging cycling and walking to school and setting up car exclusion zones around schools at drop off and pick up times. Generally create a 'hostile environment' for cars to de-incentivise driving as a desirable form of travel.

I see a lot of people driving to work etc. Who don't have to, I really think you should have to have a permit to drive in the area at commuting hours.

Exceptions would be granted for those with disabilities etc.

I should be compensated for the loss of value invested in my chosen vehicle due to the change in policies taking place. Why?...

I chose to buy a small diesel car because it was promoted by authorities as better and incentives (e.g. low road tax) were in place accordingly. Now you want to punish me for listening to you? I would rather have bought a performance petrol vehicle but I tried to be more considerate and trusted authority.

Also, it is still a small and modern car, therefore even as a diesel is relatively low impact and I don't use it very much. I find it hard to trust that you will implement a fair system. Sounds like a blanket attack on any owner of a Diesel engine which authority tricked me into being in the first place.

I strongly believe that we need to act now, I also believe in leading by example. I think that if London were to wave charges for parking EV's there would be a much higher uptake. The infrastructure is OK in the city but will require heavy investment over the coming year to make EV'S the logical choice for everyone who drives, not just people like myself that have concerns for our future. I think Lewisham Council should have already invested in new waste collection vehicles. As an ex lorry driver, being told that Adblue is being used to help with diesel pollution doesn't cut it with me. The technology is available for us to change but, in truth, our leaders are only giving this lip service as their true agenda is short term and financial. Act now or die in despair as we watch our sons and daughters struggling to help their children breath.

I strongly disagree with extending the ULEZ into Lewisham.

I strongly disagree with my parking permit going up by over 50%. I dont live anywhere near a rail station. This is a stealth tax. Also I don't understand why my zone is 9am to 7pm and others are 10am-noon. Very annoyed.

I strongly oppose putting a further charge on parking permits. A residential parking permit links to a car NOT being used, rather than being used. The plans are illogical and unfair. If someone is using a residential permit, it is to park their car relatively close to their residence. The car is then not being used. Many if not most people will not be able to consider buying a new more efficient car. Therefore it makes no sense and is unfair to make the charges this way. There will be people who are actively trying NOT to use their car - perhaps once every so often - and their car is safely parked on a permit. Certainly I know of people in this situation. Focus on people actually using their cars instead.

And if you increase visitor parking permits (after any first ten free ones) , people will suffer even more from friends and family not being able to spontaneously visit. Hence I think social interaction could slowly reduce further, with potential effects on well-being.

As for parking permits near shops etc, I think already the charges are too high, and this will have further negative consequences on high street and other shopping.

The issue of more efficient cars is being addressed at a governmental level, and within London.

Instead, focus on education, particularly with regard to not keeping engines running. And perhaps there could be some sort of informal warning system for people who do keep engines running (e.g., members of the public could (anonymously) report car and van etc registrations that keep engines running for a certain amount of time e.g., outside schools, shops etc. If a vehicle registration gets reported, say, 3 separate times, an educational letter could be sent.

I strongly support encouragement of move to electric vehicles and public transport and walking.

Its really important however that as well as bringing in penalties for polluting vehicles that

- more cycling and walking routes are opened and made viable
- life is not made better for people who live on 'residential' roads at the expense of those who live on main roads - which are also residential roads
- those who need their vehicles for work and disability are not pushed into poverty through these measures

I strongly support the council's current Healthy Neighbourhood plans to remove through traffic from residential areas across the Borough which should reduce motor vehicle related pollution in residential areas.

I believe some of the revenues from the residential parking charges should be spent on encouraging active travel modes (walking and cycling) across the borough e.g. secure bike storage hubs at major stations, cycle lanes, school superzones and improved public realm for pedestrians. This encourages modal shift away from motor vehicles helping to improve air quality.

I think that people should be encouraged and incentivised to change their cars rather than be punished for having a diesel, particularly as only a few years ago diesel cars were purported to be better for the environment

I think advertising communicating the message should be displayed upon parking machines to further raise awareness...

I think charging people more for parking based on emissions won't achieve the desired effect. I rarely use my car (less than once a week, less than 3000 miles a year) but based on this proposal I could end up paying more for parking than others who frequently use cars / generate more emissions. If Lewisham council is serious about reducing emissions it should remove the right for new developments near stations to have parking permits. It should also consult on congestion in key areas i.e. the junction near Lewisham station, and improve and enforce yellow boxes in these areas. It should look to enforce the 20mph speed limit by recalibrating cameras and regular patrols.

I think considering the increasing amount of people living and commuting into and around London the public transport means have not improved or increased to accommodate. I've been commuting to work via trains/busses in London for 14 years and services get worse year on year. They get slower, more cramped, more expensive and people's mentalities are more angry and aggressive to boot. The only people I know in London that drive drive because they work

hours away, work overnight when no public transport available or are contractors/construction workers moving from site to site with machinery/equipment. Most Londoners use public transport. The only people I know that needlessly drive are people that have no idea what it's like to step onto a bus or train and usually are of a certain stature or level of wealth. And they have the most expensive and newest cars so aren't being penalised anyway. You're just penalising people that have no choice or can't afford anything better. All schemes like this do is stop the average joe blog from being able to live and/or work in London because they can't afford it and the costs outway the possible.

Too short sighted. Maybe look to improve/increase the public transport system and make it cheaper and more efficient or have other alternatives so the need for a car diminishes. Perhaps better and more enticing schemes/support for people to encourage them moving to lower emissions/electric vehicles.

I think emission based charging is a good idea.

I think it will all change once the low emission zones expanded. To penalise now in respect of the future is just a money making mission. I'm a driving instructor and fully aware of the future, but eco cars are expensive and maybe by, not putting the permit charge up, will enable me and them to save I think it's good council are thinking about encouraging people to drive polluting vehicles less. But motor vehicles, even electric ones still produce pollution, particulates from tyres, for example, So need to incentivise people to get smaller vehicles, including cargocycles and cycles, through grants and loan schemes.

I think mileage should be added to the calculations. I do not use my car within Lewisham it is solely used to travel to Kent to visit my family, a journey too far to use Zip cars. My annual mileage is very low and this should be used with emissions to calculate what the residential permit should be.

I think one problem is that you have actively encouraged and built a 6 lane highway right through the middle of Lewisham, that is at a standstill much of the time, emitting terrible fumes. We also already have some of the worst air quality in the capital around Deptford.

As it's not exactly one of the wealthier areas of London, resident who need a car are more likely to have an older / less efficient / less clean car. That's certainly true for me, I run a car that's over 13 years old and fails to ULEZ. I'd like a cleaner car but currently can't afford one.

I think over the years Lewisham has done a great job of opening up the hidden and forgotten green spaces that allow people to cycle and walk safely across the borough, e.g. Ladywell through to Bell Green along the river. Are there any more 'green' ways like this which could be opened up?

I think we probably suffer a bit from through traffic, those travelling from Kent to central London for example. Lots of work has been done to re-design the road system, such as in central Lewisham. I'm not sure much else can be done given the rail way and river bottlenecks around the borough.

I would have thought one of the main causes of pollution is standing vehicles in traffic which would be much less severe if there were fewer total cars on the road. The ULEZ will help I hope. Perhaps Lewisham should lobby the Mayor of London to extend this to the outer London Boroughs too? I do wonder if pollution will spike just outside of the south circular and Lewisham are in a perfect position to monitor this.

I think penalising drivers is not the answer. I never know what the council uses the money for. I took out a diesel car on credit which I am still paying for and won't be able to get rid of it as I cannot afford to buy another one. The government should do more for people to get rid of their diesel car and why are diesel cars still manufacturing? There was a time the government was saying diesel cars are better for the environment now diesel cars are bad. I think more research should be done into pollution. It is not fair that people who are struggling to pay off their diesel cars are charged more. Stop making diesel cars and cars that are over 6 years scrapped and compensation owners. Diesel cars will eventually phased out.

I think policy makers should stop viewing motorists as cash cows and remember that at one point they were encouraging consumers to purchase these same Diesel vehicles . So they should be more considerate about the rising and excessive cost been impacted on Drivers as a result of change in policy. regardless
regardless of the new data that is used to make a case for these changes more must be done for individuals who had there vehicles before this change of trend and new information that makes us better aware of the dangers. The Government must do more to compensate families who may not be able to afford, modernise or change there vehicles to the recommended new standards. But I also agree in principle that we must change our ways to assist with the development of cleaner air to benefit our Nation.

I think targeting diesel cars is a knee jerk reaction. While they may in certain circumstances have higher NOx emissions they have a much lower carbon footprint as they need much less fuel per mile when compared to petrol. I think the council and government would be better tasked with finding ways to clean up diesel engines than simply finding new ways to persecute owners.

As it stands, the resident parking permit scheme does not represent great value in my opinion. If anything the price for permits should be going down rather than up.

I think that Lewisham should be discouraging car use generally and working to increase public transport options as well as assisted travel for those who need it. Whilst removing NOX and Pm2.5 is great 60% of emissions come from tyres and breaking systems.

A strategy to reduce car, van and lorry traffic should be adopted as well as this policy in line with your commitments after declaring a climate emergency.

I think that most problems with air quality are caused by vehicles sat in traffic with their engines running, do more to aid movement of traffic around the borough and banning all HGVs during daylight hours.

I think that people should be able to make their own choices of vehicle they use without being penalised by every angle. We are beginning to feel like robots where government is forcing people to change their vehicles or pay penalties wherever they go, you are forcing people into hardship. Car drivers pay enough with tax, parking charges, permit charges, congestion charge, emissions charge, why don't you leave drivers alone and find revenue from elsewhere I think that targeting the residents is not the solution. You have already stated that the amount of households that actually have a vehicle is pretty low. I think the main concern is the vehicles that use the borough to travel through. There are extremely busy roads all throughout the borough especially the South Circular. The amount of traffic on the A2 is ridiculous and usually caused by vehicles travelling through, not residents of Lewisham. Maybe there is a need for redirecting traffic. Would this solve the huge pollution epidemic? The residents

do obviously need to make some changes and I believe the £50 surcharge for Diesel cars could help but slapped with the huge increase in the residents parking permit just penalises those who can barely afford a vehicle in the first place. I need a car for my job and intend to change my vehicle to a lower emissions vehicle although I am a single parent on a low wage. Being forced to do this quicker than I can afford is going to cause me and my family issues. I think the council and ultimately the government should work with incentives and not with fines/ penalties, as the more polluting cars /older cars will generally belong to the poorer inhabitants.

I would suggest an incentive scheme for those who cycle/walk/use public transport which could be in the form of a council tax rebate.

For older vehicles/ diesel cars I would suggest a scrappage scheme (would need the government to fund) that allows people to change their car/van if they need one for work/personal reasons, as everyone would choose a more modern car if they could afford one.

I think the current proposed methods are enough.

I think the diesel surcharge should be higher - at least £100. Diesel is by far the worst polluter. There should be significant investment and improvement to cycle paths, prioritising these above cars and vans. The borough should look to pedestrianise large areas, not token 'green spaces', high streets in particular should be pedestrianised where ever possible. Lewisham should take a tougher stance and really push discouraging cars but must also make cycling and walking more appealing and easier. This means wide cycle lanes that are well maintained (i.e. no overgrown bushes/trees on the path, no potholes, clear signage etc). Also consider making certain roads limited access to vehicles. The South Circular in particular is extremely polluting and large part due to the bad flow of traffic. Significantly more trees would also help.

I think the government already have this in hand to a point, with the ULEZ being extended in 2021, any cars that are not compliant regardless whether they are being driven will have an added daily cost (parked residents).

I think the government should support Lewisham to improve air quality. Not many have the mean to purchase electric cars.

I think the scheme and charges should be based on euro ratings for emissions like the ULEZ does.

I think the ulez is enough for now

I think the ultra low should be across the whole borough

I think there is a lot of idle traffic within lewisham borough due to people heading towards the blackwall tunnel and rotherhithe tunnel.

If something can be done to reduce traffic jams and increase the the flow of traffic I believe this will help reduce a lot of pollution.

I think this is a great idea. I think introducing more roundabouts instead of traffic lights could help with cars/buses just running and stationary - roundabouts at least keep traffic moving. I think what you've done at Lewisham gateway is much worse then before for traffic and pollution because everything is always congested.

I think you guys have already made up your minds , this is only a paper exercise , making money is at the root of this , maybe we all should get a horse & cart but I'm sure the price cart is in the making

I think you need to include the newer types of diesel (post 2015) which have lower emissions.

Far better speed limit enforcement would curb emissions .

I think you need to plant between pathways, cycleways and busy roads, and possibly divert more cars from pedestrian areas. Lets face it the biggest issue isnt local cars sitting parked, and parking has been a massive cash cow for Lewisham...yet much more crucial to air quality is the cars going through the borough as the south circular. You need to analyse the biggest blockage points and improve traffic flow, like the bad planning around Catford bottlenecking lorries, and Lewishams now horrendous replacement for the roundabout. Planting could have been put between the cars and people, and while you were at understand how traffic flows as this was created by an idiot as anyone who has almost been party or witness to accidents going round it now will testify. Better flow and separation improves the economy by getting things moving, but more importantly as the cars sitting idling or moving slowly are scientifically known to be a bigger issue than cars going through more quickly.

I think you should put a scheme in place to help those with ultra low emissions upgrade to a more eco friendly vichle. I'm sure you data will be able to inform you that those with the most air damaging vichles belong to the poorest households.

I think you should stop penalising drivers with older vehicles and focus your attention on the buses instead! They produce vast amounts of pollution from including noise pollution and drive around the borough 90% empty most of the time. Why do we have numerous double decker buses driving up and down tiny roads such as a Sangley Road with 2-4 passengers onboard most of the time?! The sheer quantity of them is outrageous and the level of congestion and pollution they produce is very infuriating for resident of a road such as Sangley.

I wanted to buy an electric car. However, there are no charging stations locally and you wouldn't do resident in street chargers. Not sure how a lack of electric charging for vehicles fits in with your clean air policy.

I will take longer to replace my Diesel car because I will be spending money on your proposed surcharge and the ULEZ charge. People with older, more polluting cars tend to be less well off and struggle to replace their car due to the expense. Hence these measures are a tax on the poor and potentially prolong the period with more polluting cars.

A scrappage scheme for residents would be more effective at improving air quality

I would be interested to find out more about the affect of the freight and passenger trains that continually pass through Lewisham have on air quality in comparison to the cars. I regularly see workers arrive to work on the rails at St.Johns driving diesel vans and lorry's. That is where the burden of cost should lie. Everyday residents can not afford to be forced to buy more efficient cars and you should incentivise it positively rather than with a negative twist. Seems quite bullish to force those on lower incomes to pay higher for their parking , especially as quite often they need the most help.

Stop commercial vehicles parking in residential areas, for example a large van regularly parks on our street taking up two car parking spaces.

I would consider a plug in hybrid for my next car but I have no means of charging it outside my home. Until the council take putting in widespread electric charge infrastructure seriously, I don't see why you should punish anyone with surcharges until the alternatives are viable and practical.

I would encourage the council to reduce traffic around the schools in the borough. My daughters go to Brindishe Green school and at the end of the

school day cars are double parked in the street with their engines on. This is causing dangerous pollution around very young children. I would like to see more traffic wardens on duty around schools at the end of the school day or a complete ban on parking around the vicinity of the schools.

I would really like it if drivers were discouraged, perhaps even fined, for leaving their car engines running (idling) while they are stationary. I've noticed this is happening much more commonly these days, and nobody seems to discourage it. I often see people sitting in their cars outside schools at picking-up time with their engines running, this is crazy! But I would like it to stop everywhere, not just outside schools. Idling needs to become a disgusting habit to be ashamed of, like smoking has become.

I would really like to have an electric powered vehicle. I cannot do this at present as I live in a terraced house and I have no way to charge it. If I could charge an electric vehicle I would buy one immediately and dispose of my diesel powered car.

I'm not quite sure what could be done in terms of vehicles and the reduction of the pollution from cars. Most cars now a days or being made to have petrol that is more friendlier to the environment. If you was to restrict vehicles and force more people to use public transport then more public transport such as buses would be needed to accommodate more passengers, which would defeat the object of air pollution from vehicles.

Idling is a huge problem

Idling is a problem. Fines for idling.

Deliveries are a problem eg Amazon. Charges for through traffic.

Smaller resident roads being used as rat runs. Traffic monitoring.

Idling of vehicles is an issue but difficult to enforce.

Industry (e.g. on Surrey Canal Road) occasionally creates odours and poor air quality

Trees are being felled (e.g. on Alloa Road) and not replaced.

If a car is parked it is not contributing to pollution so cars parked for longer should have a discount

Residents pay council to enforce parking and council should not introduce spurious taxes for pollution

If Side roads in Lewisham are designed to keep the majority of traffic on main routes then those routes could have a 8 to 10 ft partition made from bushes or man made materials between the road and pavement this would also stop people parking in restricted areas along the road which in turn slows traffic and increases pollution your increased prices should be able to pay for this. Close roads at certain times outside schools for safety as well as reduced pollution

If the vehicle meets the ultra low emissions criteria even though it's diesel why are you proposing to further penalise because it's diesel? In 2021 when the ultra low emissions is expanded why is Lewisham adding further charges? It's just another way to tax car users

If this is the case then you need to make every road in the Lewisham borough a residential permit as this would be completely wrong to only charge the areas that are permit only at the moment

If wind direction could be controlled

If would want to expand the ULEZ to the south circular you should provide some financial support to those people driving diesel car which does not meet your criteria of ULEZ.

Otherwise it is not fair from the council to introduce ULEZ to Lewisham.

Some needs their car to travel to work and font have enough money to buy a new electrical car or a new petrol car.

If you are going to discourage diesel cars you should differentiate as not all the engines are the same. Diesel EU 6 engines are much better than previous iterations to which a lot of the statistics apply.

If you are surcharging diesel vehicles, ensure that credits & financial help are given to the owners of the diesel vehicles in order to replace them

If you have a work vehicle you have no control over its purchase so charging for that is not fair on people. As yet some people do not have a chance or choice to change their vehicle just because where they live is charging an excess for parking.

If you took away factories and put financial bans on people burning items in their gardens this would have an impact

If you want people to be more green then green cars should be cheaper and more dockets for charging available

If you're going to charge residents more you can use the money to improve the air quality by planting more trees and getting TfL to install the bike scheme in the borough

If your car meets the ULEZ emissions and is not charged then it should be ok with the council too.

I'm more concerned with older cars in general and also CO2 emissions.

Diesel may have more NOx but we seem to be getting distracted from the danger of ever increasing CO2 emissions.

I think the a surcharge on diesels is harsh. It should be on if they meet the EURO emissions standards in general rather than the type of fuel used.

I've seen newer cars spewing out smoke and wonder why the police do not stop these drivers. There should be a push for for occasional spot checks on vehicles the same way they used to do for road tax. This will get poorly maintained cars off the road.

Implement a changing method to parking areas around in the council area to prevent people to bring their cars into the council and use more public transports.

Implement air filtration/carbon capture billboards

Implement government/council subsidised electric charging points for EV's that is cheap enough to make petrol/diesel so much more expensive per mile that it makes no sense to drive petrol/diesel.

Implement parking permits to stop commuters and have more charging points for electric cars

Implement the use of environmentally sympathetic measures in all aspects of life in the borough - recycling made affordable to eliminate fly tipping, cycle friendly, school education, building measures, small industry needs to be encouraged in the borough, housing policy, keep borough low rise, improve and increase green spaces ...

Improve cycling safety and bike paths. Improve walking paths and trails.

Improve & increase cycle lanes

Improve access facilities to stations such as Hither Green, which have no lifts, so discourage people with mobility issues or mothers with pushchairs etc. This would encourage more people to use trains rather than cars

Improve and increase green spaces, with more trees and better planting. More effective traffic management designs that keep traffic flowing better

Improve bus lanes to make buses more reliable and speedy. More regular trains with greater ability to travel to south west London.

Improve bus priority generally.

Specifically there should be a presumption against parking on bus routes. E.g. parking should only be permitted where it can be demonstrated there is no

impact on bus speeds and bus operations generally.

The Council should also have a plan to reduce the existing parking supply significantly over the next 10 years.

Improve bus routes crossing from Lewisham to east Greenwich.

Bicycle scheme?

Improve bus routes. More buses more frequently.

Improve bus services and make them less expensive

Improve bus services, improve bike lanes.

Improve car club provision especially of automatic e cars and automatic hybrid cars

Provide easy car charging especially for people who don't have their own driveway

Improve cycling provision of cycle lanes and e bikes

Improve conditions for pedestrians and cyclists. Measures should include pedestrian crossings in Lewisham town centre, it's incredible that there are currently none whatsoever along the stretch of Lewisham High Street opposite the shopping centre. There should be a much lower speed limit for the buses and other vehicles as well, in order for it to be easier for shoppers and town-centre users to cross, including the elderly. It's also very difficult to cross at the bottom of Belmont Hill (opposite HSBC) as there is no time when the traffic stops in both directions, so you have to cross whilst being alert to traffic coming either down the hill, or from around the corner where you can't see it coming

Improve congestion to prevent vehicles standing still producing fumes. Prevent cars from going down Catford Broadway, this would discourage local traffic.

Improve cycle conditions to encourage nervous cyclists onto the roads.

Separate cycle lanes; increased Quietways: greater enforcement of vehicles in cycle space at traffic lights; better enforcement of parking in cycle lanes; phased bike traffic lights at more junctions - like on the quiet ways; a complete rethink of cycle routes through the boroughs main junctions and gyratories.

Improve cycle facilities.

Monitor speed limits.

Stop drivers leaving their engines on.

Improve cycle lanes across borough and work with other London boroughs to improve cycle lanes across London

Improve cycle lanes and provision for cyclists generally.

improve cycle lanes to be separate from cars and pedestrians to make it much safer.

plant lots of trees

reduce traffic on most polluted road

Improve cycle lanes with a barrier between cars and bikes.

Improve cycle lanes

Plant more trees

Put oyster top up machines At bus stops

Employ walking wardens for the school run

Use cycle buses for school run

Offer incentives not punishment to people to drive less and use public transport

Improve bus routes

Improve cycle lanes. Improve phasing of traffic lights

Improve cycle routes and signage

The ulez will come and without a functioning alternative then the whole thing could be viewed as a money making concept as a pose to a better air quality project. South eastern trains and other services need to be brought into the tfl

umbrella to make rail travel affordable for south and south eastern Londoners also . Infrastructure needs to support these ideas your cycling roads are in poor repair

Improve cycle ways.

Don't build school entrances on busy roads like the A20 (Ashmead).

Increase pollution monitoring.

Close school streets to traffic.

Plant more green walls around schools.

Improve cycling and cycle lanes

Improve waiting times for pedestrians at crossings

Install more pedestrian crossings

Plant more trees - especially fruit and nut trees

Make cycling easier- install cycle racks/cycle sheds FOR ALL RESIDENTS EVERYWHERE- there are a lot of flats here with no outside space and nowhere to safely keep a bike without carrying it up x number of flights of stairs.

Reward people who use public transport/walk/cycle ie credits?

Introduce compost recycling with local composting points (decreases waste and refuse dumpsters on the road)

Plant more wildflowers

Install air condition counters on road so people can see the damage driving does

Promote lift sharing within the borough

Give out free face masks to all residents especially school children

Make idling with car engine on ILLEGAL and ENFORCE IT

Turn roads into cycle lanes

Improve cycling and make it safer. YES to reduce permits for better cars. NO to putting my permit up

Improve cycling and walking options. Lewisham gateway was a blank sheet you could have done something positive with. Instead it is appalling for both cyclists and pedestrians, eg crossing to lewisham shopping centre in wrong place - people want the shortest route - you should work with 'desire lines'

Improve cycling infrastructure

Restrict rat runs by closing roads at one end but allowing cycles through- it will encourage people to consider alternative means of transport

Improve cycling infrastructure and work with police to give confidence to cyclists

Improve cycling infrastructure by providing dedicated cycle lanes that are separated from other road traffic.

Improve the number of charging points for electric vehicles.

Implement plans for council vehicles to become fully electric, if not already doing so.

Pressurise TfL, London Transport and the government to speed up the introduction of non-polluting public transport.

Monitor more closely the air quality in public spaces, including schools, nurseries and parks and share the information more widely with the public. This would increase awareness of the effects of pollution.

Campaign for central government to introduce incentives for people to change from petrol to electric cars.

Improve cycling infrastructure, especially supporting the proposal of a bridge between Rotherhithe and Canary Wharf. Provide more street furniture for cycle parking.

Improve cycling infrastructure. Create incentives to cycling while de-incentivise motor vehicles even the lower emissions...reduce parking areas or make them more expensive. Increase pedestrian pavements and cycle lanes network.

Increase number of zebras crossings....

Tax incentives for those walking cycling and taking public transport to work?

It's an idea.

Also incentives to use car sharing companies rather than owning a car...

Improve cycling paths that are more tucked away from the main roads. Reduce parking spaces to create restorative common areas with greenery.

Improve cycling/walking opportunities

Improve cycling/walking routes. Provide cycling parking and facilities. Car free days

Improve design of the roads in central Lewisham near dlr and train station - new layout makes for lots of idling cars and busses at peak times and this increases pollution.

Put back the trees that were removed in this area - trees assist to remove pollution.

Plant more trees in general.

Pedestrianise the Lewisham high street during daytime hours.

Improve electric charging infrastructure

Improve facilities at the stations

There are not enough trains running through hither green and lewisham at peak times, regularly people cannot board the commuter services

For families and the disabled there are not enough platforms with lifts or no lifts at all meaning that family travel is far more by car

Cycling is great but you will probably end up dead, if you can improve cycle routes then more people would cycle

More people are moving into the borough, more flats are being built but there is no improvement of public transport so people drive

Improve facilities for cycling.

Increase parking fees

Plant more trees

Improve flow of traffic through the borough. A large part of the traffic pollution is caused by vehicles passing through the borough on the main traffic routes not through car owners in the borough making short local trips. Too long spent idling in traffic jams and at traffic lights contributes enormously. The traffic flow at system replacing the large roundabout by Lewisham station is appalling and contributes to continuous traffic jams and excessive idling vehicles. Similarly traffic flow on other major roads is not allowed or designed to flow effectively.

Improve flow of traffic, so the South Circular is a real Circular like the North Circular. Then instead of spending a long time and more fuel on congested roads, at lights, and queuing at roundabouts and junctions, traffic would flow through more quickly.

Improve frequency of buses especially at school closing and rush hour.

Introduce a scheme to help people get rid of their more polluting vehicles.

Many people can't afford a new/greener vehicle.

Stop penalising try to encourage

improve infrastructure and road layouts in the centre of the borough to prevent extensive traffic queuing due to inefficient road layout (Lewisham central roundabout and high street)

The Lewisham roundabout to Loampit vale exit is particularly poorly planned with only one lane, which hen merges with buses pulling out from the station causing further obstruction, movement suspension and idling of vehicles

Ensure all buses are low emission, particularly as there are frequently more than seven buses in one section of the high street idling

introduce pay/hire bikes in the borough

Properly assess the environmental impact of the overdevelopment of the town centre, although these are mostly car free schemes the ongoing impact of the construction traffic on already poor road layouts will create further impact as there are still three major sites still to be developed

Improve infrastructure for walking, cycling and bus routes

Improve Local transport, to encourage more use of buses etc.

Find masks that are transparent and restrict the effects of pollution.

Improve more parks and free more spaces to park so we don't have to drive our car to work etc because there also children don't have anymore free spaces to play so we have to pay a lot of money outside the borough to take them extra curricular activities and we need the car. So therefore we must drive.

More activities free fitness for adults working adults bikes etc

..every exercise is paid ..only elderly gets discounts..So people not motivated to walk or cycle.

Improve on the already existing cycle routes and work with public transport to make it more efficient and affordable for residents

Improve pedestrian walkways and introduce more.

Plant more trees.

over traffic in morning and evenings when kids are walking to schools.

Introduce no car days and areas.

improve public transport

Improve public transport & encourage walking

Improve public transport access to South London, ie Brixton. The P4 is inadequate and leads to more drivers.

Improve public transport although this may be out of local council control. Increase and promote car sharing options.

Improve public transport and accommodate the roads for safe cycling,

Improve public transport and cycle options.

Improve public transport and cycle paths

Improve public transport and stop building high rise.

Improve public transport day and night travel. Introduce residents parking near all stations and within 10 minute walk to encourage use of public transport rather than cars.

Improve public transport frequency and security and close off residential roads to lorries.

Improve public transport in the residential areas - more frequent buses would be great. Of course, if the underground gets extended to New Cross and Lewisham stations that will encourage a lot of people to drive less too. Also, enforce more energy-efficient and "green" regulations for businesses and new buildings.

Improve public transport links across the borough. More bus lanes to make travel quicker. Sort out the catford gyratory - congestion there has to be the biggest cause of pollution in the borough.

Improve public transport links and provide safer cycle routes and secure cycle storage in areas of need.

Improve public transport links with other borough to deter people from using private vehicles to commute.

Improve public transport options

Improve public transport service

Improve public transport,

Ban HGV down side roads

Enforcement of speed limits

Improve electric car Infrastructure

Improve and extend cycle network

Clean roads to improve particles

Limit deliveries to off-peak times

Introduce a scrappage scheme for most pollutive cars

Improve public transport.

discourage driving to train stations, to park all day, thereby reducing a high number of journeys and supporting local businesses by freeing up parking for those using local businesses

Improve public transport. A tube station would help.

Improve public transport. Better planning for traffic flow by improving road planning / better coordination of road works.

Assist people and business to reduce car journeys or upgrade their vehicles.

Improve public transport. Improve cycle lanes. Improve pavements, new walking routes. Plant trees. New green spaces. Improve neglected public parks. Discourage dense housing developments.

Improve public transport. It is rubbish in the area, particularly in Catford and the surrounding areas. For example a car takes 20 mins to reach Brixton. Public transport takes 1 hour. Why? Because it is rubbish in the area. Improve it!

Increasing car charges will not help if nothing else is improved.

Improve public transport.

Increase the amount of pedestrianisation of town centres.

Restrict parking around schools and encourage parents to walk children to school.

Improve public transport: introduce electric buses or hydrogen buses.

Decrease public transport fees over the weekend or make public transport free.

Increase the amount of time a person can travel on buses on the same ticket to 1h30 or 2h and increase the number of buses a person can use on the same ticket to 3 or simply do not impose a limit. This would allow a better commute to work and be mindful of parents that need to do the school drop-ins or pick-ups.

Ensure that public transport is available in areas close to schools, nurseries, hospitals.

Create more lanes dedicated to cycling.

Increase the parking fee for the parking spaces situated in the proximity of schools, nurseries and hospitals.

Create shortcuts between gardens and properties on the less polluted streets, to be used for walking and / or cycling.

Plant more trees and maintain green spaces. Provide incentives for residents to plant trees and maintain green spaces.

Improve road and junction layouts to make cycling easier and safer.

Improve road conditions and modernise traffic lights

Improve road management. In Lee Green there are now 3 schools, when the schools kick out, the bus stops are very busy. I, and I am sure many others have been stuck in stationary traffic while buses, particularly the 202 and 261 route going towards Lee station, are sat at the bus stop opposite Sainsbury's.

The road is one lane heading towards the station and more often than not there is no safe way of passing the bus. The pavement is very wide at this stop, I'm sure the bus stop could be cut in further to the pavement to allow traffic to safely pass. The queue behind the bus has often extended across the junction as far as the Old Tigers Head pub or around the corner back to the fire station. All that Stationary traffic can't be good for air pollution.

Improve road surfaces, get rid of the impossible 20mph as cars cannot manage it with the flow of traffic plus it actually causes more congestion. Regulate cyclists, improve public transport and make them electric. Get rid of width restrictions and humps

Improve roads for cycling and walking. Schemes to help busy parents get kids to school safely and cleanly eg safe walking and cycling groups. Campaign against the Silvertown Tunnel as it will increase people driving through borough.

Improve safety and ease of walking cycling and public transport

Improve South Circular traffic flow

Remove road humps on main roads (Such as Hither Green Lane), and replace with average speed enforcement cameras

Improve the bus, train, tube infrastructure to give people more viable choices.

Improve the council's fleet.

Improve the cycle lanes especially linking up with central London

Improve the facilities for cycling. The new junction outside Lewisham station is terrifying on a bicycle, how can you talk about improving air quality and make this so difficult to navigate on two wheels. Just make parking permits more expensive for every type of car. Make more roads no-through roads except for people on foot or bicycle.

Improve the facilities for cyclists and increase cycle lanes and parking for bikes!

Improve the flow of traffic and invest in a better way of vehicles moving through Lewisham. The roundabout by the post office in Lewisham doesn't work well as busses block the roundabout causing congestion backing up to laydewll.

improve the flow of traffic around Gateway roundabout

review 20mile per hour speed limit on certain roads eg Lee road, as going slowly causes cars to emit more

improve the flow of traffic in and around catford town centre and around lewisham train station... the new road junction around the housing development isnt very good

Improve the flow of traffic in the borough to avoid build up of idling vehicles.

The new layout of the Lewisham centre 'roundabout' has not improved the flow of traffic and the traffic light phasing makes traffic build up. The one way system in New Cross is also a big issue, especially with the amount of buses that move through the area.

Improve the flow of traffic in the congested areas such as the Lewisham way and the formally known Lewisham round about

Improve the flow of traffic through the borough. Rennell St junctions are a prime example.

Improve the flow of traffic, the less time the engine is running and accelerating/braking the less pollution & emissions will be emitted.

Improve access to charging points for electric vehicles, these are really only an option for people with their own driveways.

Reduce the number of cars per household that can have permits

Improve the frequency of the trains. Improve cycle routes. Introduce electric scooters and bikes.

Improve the infrastructure for electric vehicles to the extent the borough is able to.

Improve the Lewisham gyrator system. It is ridiculous that the redesign is worse than the original roundabout causing more backlog, more fumes and emissions.

IMPROVE THE PASSAGE OF ALL TRANSPORT THROUGH THE BOROUGH INSTEAD OF MAKING IT MORE DIFFICULT AS YOU PERSIST IN DOING

Improve the payments to enable more opportunities to cycle

Spacious and regular transport links from South East London to East London

Offer more incentives (rather than charges) for the residents to drive less, more Boris bikes or scooters

Improve the phasing of traffic lights

Improve the provision for cyclists, the new road layouts in the town centre

Rennell St does not have any provision which is crazy. The focus is buses and cars, there aren't even many pedestrian crossings!

Build less high rise building so the air can circulate better.

Have fewer buses going through the borough.

Encourage council workers not to sit in their cars with the engines running.

Create a congestion zone system, for freight vehicles using routes in the town centre.

Remove on-street parking in town centre and limit parking available.

Open up no through roads to alleviate congestion.

Improve the quality of the roads for cyclists

Improve the road network to reduce traffic in peak hours so that cars spend less time going through the borough

Improve the state of the centre of Lewisham. For traffic it is a nightmare of trying to get across one set of traffic lights, often having to sit with engines idling while the lights change three or four times until able to get across. This has a knock on effect to the WHOLE of Lewisham, with weekends being a particular nightmare. I have had no choice but to drive in the past as my father had Parkinsons disease and pushing a wheelchair through the traffic of Lewisham is not something I would wish on anyone.

Seriously, Lewisham is a complete mess. What the hell have you done?

Improve the traffic congestion through Lewisham

Improve the transport system by making it safer, cleaner and more frequent, also to stop treating people like cattle we pay more to go to work in peak hours and we are packed into the bus trains like animals

Improve traffic around schools. Put one restriction on heavy goods vehicles.

Offer free parking for electric vehicles. Provide more e charging facilities.

Improve traffic circulation at the new Lewisham centre roundabout so as to keep vehicles moving. Rephasing traffic lights would help.

Improve traffic control and alternative transport in the borough.

Improve traffic efficiency so that cars spend less time idling in the borough.

Improve traffic flow

Improve traffic flow and reduce congestion. Lots of traffic in the borough is caused by the school run, particularly St Dunstan's, which backs up the whole A205. Why not have a school bus system?

Kids could be picked up at more convenient drop off points (e.g. stations and other convenient drop off points). Rather than the narrow roads around St Dunstan's. Plus parents might be pleased the extra supervised time for

children given current safety concerns.

Also, what about working with TfL to extend the cycle hire (so-called Boris Bikes) to zone 3? Plus an alternative for those that can't ride a bike.

Improve traffic flow by removing a lot of traffic lights. There are too many and cause MORE pollution everytime cars have to wait therefore more pollution. New 20mph is more than enough.

Improve traffic flow in the area and traffic filters. The replacement of the old roundabout has caused more traffic and with no box junctions it is very difficult to navigate when cars are blocking the road outside of the Police Station.

Improve traffic flow in the borough, to avoid car / vehicles from staying in queues too long therefore emitting more emissions than necessary.

Improve traffic flow instead of restricting it which causes more pollution.

Improve traffic flow on the south circular from Lee down to Catford, it's definitely getting worse.

Improve traffic flow rather than fine people for having diesel cars... the emissions are coming from the thousands of vehicles coming into london through lewisham from kent and other areas its not the locals cars having this impact so why fine the locals? As a country our tax goes up already on older vehicles. This will not help. I live in lewisham and use my car only a few times a week, sometimes i dont use it at all and when i do its to go out of london so why should i pay more? Traffic flow is the problem, cars sitting in traffic is causing pollution in built up areas ...

If you had to put your loved one in a room with an old car running for 10 minutes or a new car running for 2 hours which would it be??

Exactly! Sort out the traffic flow , encourage people to use motorcycles or scooters that live out of town and commute in.. fining people will not sort this problem.

Making people buy newer expensive cars only increases the carbon footprint.. scrapping perfectly good vehicles is crazy... but then if you take this advise you wont make alot of money to fill the void in council funding.. which is really what your trying to do...by uping permit and pay and display costs.

Improve traffic flow so less queues with idle traffic including buses making fumes. Maybe ban cars in the centre so buses can get around easier.

Introduce cameras and fines for vehicles running red lights. Increase bus frequency.

Improve traffic flow so that vehicles spend less time idling in traffic. This might include adjusting the timing on traffic lights to increase flow at peak times.

Improve traffic flow so that vehicles using the roads to transit through the borough do so without sitting idle in traffic jams.

Rethink traffic calming measures so the vehicles are not constantly braking and accelerating, which causes far higher pollution than steady driving. It also generates less noise pollution than cars under acceleration.

Improve traffic flow somehow...

Improve traffic flow! The pollution comes from running vehicles, not parked ones. There is a major problem with the A2210 where it joins the A20: during peak hours queues full the whole of the A2210. Please consider changing the traffic light patterns, widening the roads or rerouting traffic.

Improve traffic flow.

Remove speed humps

Improve traffic flow.

Speed up roads rather than reducing speeds. Vehicles spend longer travelling so produce more polluting overall.

Vastly improve public transport systems to 24hrs.

Stop penalizing low income families.

Improve traffic flow. New system by station is a start.

Improve traffic light ordering. Smart traffic lights which reduce queuing would reduce emissions.

Improve traffic routes through Lewisham to reduce standing/idling traffic

Improve transport infrastructure to make it easier for people to cycle and walk and harder for people to drive.

Excess charges for households with more than one car.

improve transport system with electric buses

Improve walking and cycling access/infrastructure.

Lower rates for "cleaner" cars still ultimately encourages car/van travel and doesn't tackle full life CO2 of each vehicle - Buying a "cleaner" car every year releases more total emissions than running an older car that you replace less frequently.

Low income residents/ vehicle users will get hit the hardest by the new proposals.

Improve your cycling policy! You do pretty much nothing for cyclists. When you redo roads, you don't add cycling lanes. The Lewisham centre redevelopment is an absolute shame. It's all very well and good to 'punish' diesel, but what do you do to encourage cycling? Nothing. There is no cycling policy. We don't need different types of cars, we need less cars.

Improved and joined up signage for cycle routes/paths.

Improved cycle lanes to encourage more people to cycle.

Improved cycle paths. The current town centre is woefully inadequate for bike users. In addition the new road system adds considerably to pollution in the area.

Improved cycling schemes, including promotion of dockless bicycle providers in the borough and segregated cycle lanes / quietways to London. Introduction of parking permits next to all commuter stations, e.g. Honor Oak Park. Increased frequency of bus services.

-Improved public transport capacity is an incentive.

-Find ways to incentivise without losing revenue/charging more.

-Think of the impact on your residents, especially the less well off who will not have extra pay to fund your proposed changes

-Think outside the box...Will businesses on side streets outside the centre of Lewisham relish an increase in parking to patronise their premises...at the same cost as the centre

Lowering rates for low emission (newer) vehicles without also raising for higher emission (older) vehicles could be a reasonable incentive for those that could afford it. But what you are proposing is to exponentially raise the rate for older more polluting vehicles which both additionally taxes the less well off/poor (who are more likely to have older cars) and aides you recouping any lost revenue from the newer less polluting cars that will pay less than before.

As an incentive to buy a new car or stop using the old one is a false and idealised premise.

Yes in theory less cars on the road with lower emissions is good but taxing older cars for inner city residents who conceivably cant afford a newer less

polluting car, whilst needing their car with no additional incentivising improvement or capacity in public transport is disingenuous at best. Improved road safety for pedestrians, such as crossing patrols by every school. As a parent, I would volunteer to help with this at our school, if a scheme was available.

Improved traffic light systems at major intersections

Improved traffic movement, stopped stalling cars add more pollutants. Stop daisy chaining of road works. Improve buses. Plant more trees.

Improvement of routes in borough if cars don't stay in traffic then is lower emission it's simple.

Improvements in public transportation are essential. Being on a packed bus when temperatures rise above 25 degrees sans air conditioning is no picnic.

Safety is also questionable as my friend recently broke her ankle in three places when the bus lurched as she was navigating the stairs.

Rail/Overground travel costs are also extortionate with many trains also lacking a/c, overcrowded, smelly and blue upholstered seats that are so dirty they are black! Wanting people out of their cars when the alternatives are so unappealing is a bit rich. Improve the alternatives then talk about raising costs.

The Govt encouraged the purchase of diesel; and yet is is the public that has to pay the cost of that mistake. Typical.

Improving cycle lanes in all directions will encourage less people to use their cars to commute. Cycling needs to be made safe for people of all ages, through better education for drivers on their responsibilities of shared road use. Co-operation with the DVLA to improve cycle awareness in driving tests would be beneficial.

On smaller residential streets, unregulated mopeds and scooters are a problem: scooters produce slightly higher concentrations of carbon monoxide and nitrous oxides than cars.

Indoor air quality is also an issue. I live in a property where second hand cigarette smoke passes up through the floorboards and kitchen cabinets in winter, and in through open windows in summer as inconsiderate neighbours smoke outside. I have contacted the council about this previously with no support being offered. What is the point of making the air cleaner outdoors if lewisham residents are being poisoned in their homes?

Improving cycling infrastructure across the Borough, investigate why people carry out short journeys in cars.

Improving road layouts and congestion that is constantly building up throughout the town.

Less congestion means less idle engines, resulting in less emissions.

Tackling the problem directly, rather than charging already financially burdened households more for parking would be a great use of council time.

improving the traffic, 20mph limits are in some areas useless, the traffic is much slower anyway.

also buses in Lewisham are usually older (hence more polluting) comparing to other, richer parts of London.

i would crack down on dodgy MOT centers - sometimes you can see cars with black smokes coming from them (it makes you think how those cars managed to pass MOT)

Improving traffic flow through bottle necks such as Verdant Lane onto the South Circular. The traffic light sequence could be improved to prevent cars sitting in the same spot for so long,
In addition to large trucks, vans etc Enforce that all Uber drivers operating in the borough use low emission cars only.
Plant more trees especially in and around children's schools. Too much concrete and not enough green in playgrounds
Introduce air quality filtration systems in school class rooms
In areas which have residents parking permits extend them to 7 days per week rather than 5. This will ensure people who can use public transport do, rather than electing to drive because they can get free parking.
In central Lewisham buses seem key to improving air quality - can we make progress there?
In order to improve air quality in the borough. The low emission cars should be affordable to ordinary people in the borough.
In order to improve air quality you must provide us residents with a means to start improving.

We need to use battery powered cars that require charging points, local government needs to contribute toward the cost of these battery cars.
In the colder weather the taxis waiting outside Lewisham station keep their engines running all the time presumably to keep the drivers warm.
This means pedestrians walk to the station through clouds of exhaust fumes.
Most taxis have Diesel engines.....their idling engines just add to the air pollution.

Incentive walk to school

Incentives such as free parking and lower or no taxes for electric cars.
Incentivise people to not use a car at all, improve public transport and reduce council tax to homes that don't have a car
Incentivise cycling by:

- Installing covered bike rides in residents streets.
- Build safe cycling lanes
- Existing cycle lanes need to be well kept (some of them are in a dreadful state and therefore very dangerous)
- Pedestrianise as many streets as possible (make it more difficult for us to drive and therefore easier for us to take the streets by walking/cycling!)

Also:

- Plant LOTS of trees - get citizens engaged, most people will be willing to contribute towards greening their streets
 - Incentivise/protect the rich biodiversity spaces we have in the borough
- Incite people to cycle by making Santander bicycles or similar bicycles in the borough

Include the south circular in the low emissions zone. It should be covering the whole borough if you are serious about air quality. Ensure that all new housing developments have bicycle storage and/or car club membership, rather than car park spaces. This will encourage more non/low use car drivers to live in the borough. Ensure equitable access to services for non-car users eg. Visitor parking permits, free waste tipping. Currently there is an incentive to own a car as these services are only available to car owners and all those who choose, for the greater good, to not own a car, are having to pay for the privilege.

Increase the CPZ

Increase the number of car club bays and bring docking cycles to Lewisham

Increase access to Dr Bike sessions to support greater use of cycles.
Increase and develop more green spaces.
Plant more trees

Increase and encourage other options... bikes etc.
Increase and improve protected cycle lanes to encourage more residents to use cycling and walking as active transport.
Offer subsidies and grants to local companies that use electric or electrically assisted forms of delivery over petrol/diesel vans.
Consider a subsidised cargo bike hire system like Waltham Forest:
<https://www.enjoywalthamforest.co.uk/cargo-bike/>
To encourage more local shopping to be done without a car.

Don't allow developers to build bloody huge roundabouts that discourage walking, cycling and slow public transport...
Increase availability of electric vehicle charge points. Particularly residential streets where houses don't have driveways.
increase awareness of and enforce charges for idling vehicles. eg. no idle zones in residential areas and near schools.
increase car club enrolment

increase bicycle use by using boris bikes scheme or similar
Increase ease of cycling, green areas and timetabling of trains
Increase infrastructure for EV vehicles as a priority - and introduce other incentives which can encourage Lewisham residents to convert to EV vehicles.

Introduce 20 Mile an hour speed restrictions on all roads - including south circular when it runs through urban pedestrian areas Forest Hill etc.

Plant more trees.
increase measures to reduce consumption.
work to reduce London transport costs to the traveller.
Increase parking charges so that it is ALWAYS cheaper to take public transport rather than taking a car - particularly for short shopping trips.
Increase parking permits for second or third car per address
Increase permit zones
I live in a street (Blashford Street) which is not a parking permit zone and all kinds of vans and old cars are parking on our street - including vehicles left here by the two local carages .
increase rates for parking permits ; remove access for large vehicles outside nighttime [11pm-5am] so they are not stuck in jams polluting and not polluting when kids are on way to and from schools; ban all large vehicles from roads near schools.

Increase sage cycle tracks
Increase the number of buses, and make them safer and cleaner to travel in.
Increase the number of charge points to encourage the uptake of electric vehicles. Install charge points outside terraced homes and use lamp posts.
Increase the number of street trees
Introduce / extend cycle hire schemes
Improve "green corridors" and rail crossing points to encourage more walking
Increase the number of trees on roads.
Encourage residents to plant their front gardens.
It is great that the speed limit is 20 in most residential roads. However on busy residential roads it is not respected. The council needs to put controls on those.

Increase the rates of parking permits

Taxing older vehicles

Making the roads more cycling friendly

Increase the size of pavement for pedestrians and increase the number of bus lanes, increase the number of cycle lanes and in doing so reduce the lanes for cars and disincentivise using the borough as a 'cut through' as well as generally reduce the volume of vehicles and increase other safer/more environmentally friendly transport modes.

Increase the tax on business owners

Increase tree planting in very polluted areas

Increasing parking charges will make no difference on its own to air quality.

If the aim is to improve air quality all money raised should be spent on improving air quality including:

Banning cars on roads outside schools for an hour morning and evening. This would substantially reduce traffic by making parents and children walk to school. Enforce with number plate recognition cameras and fines.

Install pollution monitors throughout the borough and pollution filters on major roads, focusing first on every junction along the south circular, along all high streets and at Bell Green.

Plant more trees.

Put pressure on the mayor to extend Ulez beyond the South Circular because leaving it outside the zone will concentrate polluting vehicles on this road which runs through heavily populated areas of the borough and other boroughs.

Plant more trees

Increasing the number of charging points for EV

Discouraging HGV's from travelling on the borough's roads.

Supporting TFL with introducing electric powered buses.

Supporting tree planting schemes.

Assisting residents with installing more efficient home heating, eg boilers, solar panels and double glazing.

Increasing the permit charge for higher polluting vehicles is the wrong approach.

For the duration of the Labour government, diesel cars were promoted as better engines. People have spent money buying cars with the engines promoted by the Labour government and now the labour Council want to penalise people with these cars. People can't afford to chop and change cars. Furthermore I would argue that a 15yr old 2ltr petrol car is more polluting than a brand new same size diesel with a DPF.

Influence TfL to run better public transport services and/or bring forward investments ASAP (bakerloo line, extension of DLR) Infrastructure. As in electric charge points. I would get an electric car happily if there were actually ways in the borough to charge it.

Insist TfL redesign the road system in central Lewisham. 1. Synchronise traffic lights and introduce and enforce more yellow boxes; 2. reallocate lanes in Rennell Street and the High Street - two lanes each way in the High Street south of Rennell Street to accommodate two lanes turning south out of Rennell Street; 3. No building on the land next to the DLR so as to allow a complete rethink of the whole system.

Install charging points on residential roads

Install electric charging points for cars, eg in lampposts.

Make better provision for cyclists eg in the Gateway road scheme (this was a lost opportunity).

Ensure that all new residential developments are genuinely car free (previously this has not been followed through and people have been issued parking permits).

Actively support the introduction of the ULEZ as soon as possible.

Actively support efforts to improve public transport eg the Bakerloo line extension.

Install electric charging station or provide reimbursement for home installation
Install Electrical Charging points. There are no were to be seen! Approve only building plans that have Electrical Charging Points for each parking spot. Plant more trees. Use the roof of the buildings for green plants. Force the Bus companies to provide Electrical Buses. Same for the Taxis. Provide electrical bikes to be rented. Make plans for electric trams.

Install infrastructure to enable on street charging for electric vehicles.

Not penalise people for 'parking' their car - whilst parked it is not contributing to air pollution! When the emission zone is extended, there will be a charge for when people drive their car and hence a charge for when they are causing air pollution.

Install more bicycles stations around residential area to encourage people to use bicycles.

Install more charging points

Install more charging points to enable residents to make the switch to electric and hybrid cars, most residents have street parking and they worry they will not be able to charge their vehicles. Deptford has a pitiful amount of charging options .

Install more cycle lanes, allow storage facilities for bikes on streets (cycle hoops) and front gardens.

Install more electric charging points for hybrid cars. Make the process for installing an electric charging point near to someone's residence simple and straightforward. We live in a house in Lewisham on a street where a CPZ operates. We do not have designated parking bays for each house so are wondering where an electric charging point could be installed on our street to ensure that if we switched to a hybrid vehicle we would always be able to park by the charging point. Support in this matter would greatly assist our decision about the next car we buy.

Install more electric vehicle charging points to encourage take up of these.

Install motorbike anchors for safer bike parking.

Install rapid charging hubs for electric vehicles to encourage those who cannot charge at home to use an EV. Avoid using Source London who are the most expensive charging solution but consider partnering with other more affordable providers.

install Santander bikes to encourage using them instead of cars, e.g. there's none nearby me in Cufford

Installation of more electric charging points around the community and local streets

Instead of a complicated system, introduce tax at source - petrol stations. This way - proportionate taxation without a complications which are full of loopholes to catch unsuspecting drivers

instead of charging drivers an extortionate amount to park their cars in the borough charge them a parking base rate & a surcharge per annual mileage done.

Instead of increasing PARKING charges charge to drive through would be more sensible

Instead of penalising motorists solve the issues with government ,oil companies and motor manufacturers

Instead of penalising residents for having a car, why not help incentivise us to move to lower emissions vehicles and improve bus routes to make the borough more accessible.

For many people having a car is not a luxury but a necessity, this will penalise and negatively impact many of the poorer residents of the borough who need a car but can't afford a home with off street parking or an expensive EV.

Our former car - a Renault Scenic - a standard family car would incur a charge of £205 for an annual permit - while it would incur no charge under the central London ULEZ system - that seems somewhat ridiculous and unbalanced and makes it very difficult to trust the council and the charges they are going to impose.

Instead of penalising residents in Lewisham (an area of significant deprivation), the council should first look to lower its own carbon footprint. Lots of us won't be able to afford to upgrade to a lower emission vehicle. Public transport is appalling and does not provide an adequate substitute for a car.

Intall a Tram system.

Introduce a scrappage scheme for the residents who have fallen fowl of new government initiatives, due to old government initiatives.

Introduce a ban on people smoking in public in Lewisham, or impose a punitive fine on them for smoking. Smoking is just as polluting for the lungs.

Introduce a congestion charge - so much rush hour traffic (SE23), which is often at stand still and thus belching out more smoke, is caused by vehicles with only one occupant.

Introduce a dense network of modal filters on residential streets and cycle tracks on main roads, to enable modal shift away from cars to cycles and walking.

Introduce a levy for cars passing through the borough but not to the local residents.

Introduce a low emission charge,.. Nothing too much just a fiver to push people to get hybrid or low emitting vehicles

Introduce a low emissions zone for cars passing through Lewisham. You cannot tax your constituents further for living here

Introduce a one way system in the roads around Hither Green.

Introduce better bike lanes

Introduce bike hire facility? Have safe cycling corridors.

introduce buses to drop/pick up kids to school, this will eliminate a lot of the traffic at pick hours and reduce the emissions

Introduce car free days
 Encourage walking and cycling more than at present
 Ban car parking at or near schools and make sure that ban is enforced
 Introduce car free streets and offer council tax reduction for people with no car/van.
 Ban delivery van (amazon etc.) from residential roads after 7pm
 Introduce car free zones around all schools.
 Introduce charges for car providers and manufacturers instead of penalising consumers.
 Introduce charging points for electric vehicles that could be used by residents without a driveway
 Introduce charging zones within half a mile of schools during school hours.
 Introduce congestion charging on busy roads in Lewisham such as the A2 and Ladywell highstreet
 Introduce controlled parking zones throughout the borough
 Introduce controlled parking zones. Encourage active travel with extensive segregated cycle lanes. Stronger air pollution campaigns. Ban cars around schools at drop off and pick up times.
 Introduce CPZ around Bellingham station. A large number of cars drive to the station to take advantage of zone 3 prices.
 A bus lane and cycle lane along Bromley Rd.
 Extend ULEZ to the whole borough.
 More CPZ to discourage parking.
 No stopping/idling on red routes at any time.
 Close surrounding school streets to cars
 Introduce CPZ throughout the whole borough to discourage people from driving into north Deptford, leaving their car there then commuting the rest of the way. This would also discourage all of the "road side" repair shops in this area. Enforcement of CPZ is essential.
 Introduce electric / cleaner buses ASAP. Restrict the use of heavy goods vehicles to night-time only.
 introduce electric charging points in residential areas
 Introduce electric charging points.
 Introduce extensive and expensive parking permit schemes. This would help people to question whether they need a car at all.
 Introduce hydrogen buses
 Introduce low emission vehicles in its own fleet.
 Introduce more controlled parking zones, and enforce them.
 This would deter people from buying cars when they aren't really necessary.
 Cycling and walking need to be encouraged.
 Introduce more cycle lanes
 Make a deal with manufacturers of electric cars to enable lewisham residents to purchase electric cars at a cheaper rate
 Install electric car charging points everywhere
 Offer a scrapage scheme to enable people with diesels to swap for less pollutant vehicles
 Introduce more electric car charging points across the borough, and also set up electric car hire facilities such as zip car.
 Introduce more electric charging points.
 Introduce more electric vehicle charging stations in parking zones and car parks across the borough.

 Introduce more cycle routes

Introduce more green plants, encourage more people to walk/take bus by offering initiatives. Doesn't seem fair that those with diesel cars who only drive their cars at the weekend are penalised by the charge.

Introduce more hybrid buses, especially in Lewisham Town Centre

Introduce more judder bumps on residential streets to slow traffic

Introduce more pedestrianised areas. Introduce congestion charge. Close some roads, like high streets, to vehicles on certain days.

Introduce more safe cycle lanes. Rather than charging people who already have to resort to older, less environmentally friendly cars due to a lack of finances - you should consider other barriers or incentives to encourage people away from these types of vehicles. If it was safer to cycle in Lewisham, I would happily take that over a car or bus.

Introduce more tax breaks for people who purchase electric plug-in cars - especially professionals. Commit seriously to having charging bays. Penalize drivers who park on charging bays without an electric car. Make public transport cheaper in particular for people with lower incomes.

Introduce more, safer ways to lock up bikes and more bikes for hire - make cycling safer on the roads / ban trucks on back streets

Introduce one way systems for smaller roads that cars use as rat runs. More effective use of speed barriers. Encourage people to use public transport or walking. Encourage schools to encourage parents to not use their car for the school run. Better cycle paths to encourage cycling.

Introduce one-way roads as interchanges that connect road traffic to the south circular

Introduce parking permits for Lewisham residents, and charge non residents to park . Have a traffic free Sunday once a month

Introduce parking permits to all residential streets. Even 12-2 as in Herne Hill would stop commuters filling residential streets with cars, leaving residents unable to park once they drive away from their own street.

Workers from the local Audi garage who all have large Audi cars are another problem. They park on Earlsthorpe or Princethorpe despite having an enormous amount of parking space on their own premises.

Introduce parking permits to the whole of the borough not just parts of it.

Introducing higher charges for certain vehicles will mean that people will park them in non permit streets increasing the pollution elsewhere. Introducing permits to all area with free 10 hour visitor parking will stop residents from other boroughs using Lewisham as a car park and then catching the train. We need to stop people driving into the borough in the first place not just encourage residents to park their cars elsewhere. It's a ridiculous idea.

Introduce parking restrictions on all Lewisham roads

Introduce resident parking in the culverly green area.

Introduce Santander bikes and increase taxes for car owners

Introduce school buses for school children, that would reduce parents driving children to and from school. It will, at the same time ensure safety for school children, reducing the level of knife crime at the same time.

Introduce school buses, that collect and drop off kids from school like in the USA.

Reduce the amount of speed humps, as drivers need to accelerate more between humps, causing increased pollution.

Introduce weekend parking restrictions around the borough especially around Lewisham Hospital

Introduce, earlier than the rest of London, the ULEZ

Introducing lower speed restrictions

Introduction of more bus routes. More frequent buses on popular routes.
Smart traffic lights to sense buses are nearby and lights remain green until they cross.

Dot matrix on all main shelters

Invest further in public transport.

Car is still the most efficient way to get around. For example it takes me 15 minutes to drive from Lee to North Greenwich. It usually takes over an hour on the bus because there are always delays. While I agree there are many bus routes, there sometimes aren't enough buses on those routes and if you have a baby in a pram you can be left waiting for the next bus. So I tend to drive.

Invest in infrastructure for electric cars. One of the main reasons we haven't got an electric car is - where would we charge it? The UK isn't ready. There aren't enough charging stations.

The councils should be forward thinking - we are moving to a future with more and more hybrid and electric cars. We need charging points all over Lewisham. The councils should be at the forefront of a moment to make electric car ownership the cultural norm. How about offering free parking for electric cars for the first year of ownership for example.

Invest in electric busses. In the morning by the train station there is always a traffic jam of busses pumping out quite noxious amounts of fumes.

Invest in electric vehicle infrastructure to encourage uptake for said vehicles. Specifically, we need a lot more residential charging points.

Cost isn't what stops people from adopting EV. Practicality is.

Invest in green spaces and stop selling and destroying land to build affordable housing.

Invest in public transport and infrastructure improvements for walking and cycling. Clamp down on traffic offences.

Invest in your cycle scheme and extend it. Give free bikes and free bike repair to residents, students, and workers. Bring more cycle hire schemes to the borough. Invest in bike paths. Make more roads car free. Invest in TFL and public transit. Make businesses pay a surcharge for every van or lorry making deliveries to them. Make businesses pay a much higher fee for diesel vehicles and any non-electric vehicles. The lowest earners should not have to shoulder the burden of this when businesses in the borough are by far the largest polluters.

Invest massively in cycling and electric. Ban large lorries during day time. Get more small electric vehicles - scooters etc. Make buses electric. Get more parking enforcement officers. Make an app so citizens can report offences. Make the entire borough controlled parking - no free on road parking anywhere for non electric cars.

Invest more in electric charging stations to help people convert to zero emission vehicles. Lewisham's investment is poor. Too much stick and not enough carrot.

Also car clubs aren't available on every street, which would be needed to get me to give up my car.

Invest more money in public transport and reduce cost of travelling on public transport. Tax polluting industries. Invest in more renewable energy such as wind and solar.

Investigate efficiency of roads through Lewisham.

Is Lewisham taking the lead in making the fleet of vehicles it uses electric to lead by example? Are you also insisting your suppliers do the same? The

council school mini bus I often cycle behind in the mornings stinks and is polluting - will you be changing it for an electric vehicle?

Is the council providing us with money to buy green cars?

It baffles me that lorries are never included in any of these statistics. They are free to do as they please. Double standards.

It could have bays where electric cars can be charged this will encourage people to get more electric cars or hybrid cars.

It could lobby government to encourage car manufacturers to speed up development of electric vehicles and charging points. It could lobby government to put pressure on car manufacturers to lower costs of electric vehicles.

It should lobby TFL to improve bus services and lower bus emissions.

Specifically by improving timetabling, so large numbers of buses are not clogging up streets and emitting high levels of pollution. It could start a campaign encouraging people to switch off engines and not let them idle when stationary, especially lorries, taxis and buses.

I think you could charge extra for households with more than one car, and include houses that have been converted into flats. (Our road has several houses, that have three cars).

It could plant more trees, improve public transport

It could stop permitting the construction of unaffordable high rise residential blocks that fill Lewisham with lorries and disrupt traffic causing more pollution from gridlocked cars

It is a well known fact that urban trees have significant effect upon the amelioration of air quality in respect of both gaseous and particulate emissions. The Council should plant more trees in streets. More particularly, the Council should have a policy of replacing all trees removed as a result of them having reached the end of their natural or safe life. For example, when we moved to Embleton Road in 1985, it used to have approximately 25 trees. The Council has removed all except two of them and none have been replaced. In itself this is inexcusable, but the concerns regarding air quality make reversal of this policy or practice imperative.

To this end, additional parking revenues generated as a result of changed policy, or changed charges should be ringfenced to fund the planting of trees - and particularly to the replacement of trees which have been removed. The Council should have a strict policy of no net loss of street trees on a street by street basis, and should aim to have an overall net increase.

It is just a tax which you are cashing in on. Have your Social Justice Warriors stopped to think of the consequences of running these vehicles off the road. It is not just the cars registered in the Borough that are causing poor air quality. There are huge numbers of vehicles who drive through the Borough to get home or to businesses that the Council needs to tackle.

It is unfair to tax the diesel cars more heavily when many of these belong to those on low incomes who cannot afford to buy a different car. Their car may be essential to transport themselves or their family. Their needs to be a financial incentive for diesel owners to change vehicles not a penalty for owning them.

It is totally wrong that the government and councils are targeting car drivers. People rely on cars to get in and out of London and do not necessarily drive them during the working week. To have to pay more/less depending on the vehicle you have is ridiculous and having a diesel surcharge is even more ill thought and absurd. Encouraging the disposal of perfectly good cars with

years of life left in them is wasteful and very unsustainable. An old car has a far lower carbon footprint than a newer car. It is disgusting that policy makers encouraged everyone to buy diesel not that long ago and are now having a complete u-turn. Taxing the poor people who happen to own a diesel car is NOT the answer.

It is unfair to add a diesel surcharge (tax) when people are unable to change their cars to a more eco-friendly option. Electric cars are overpriced and there is nowhere to charge them.

Lewisham has no plans to provide houses with electric outputs or discounted access to electric vehicles.

It is very hard to move to a greener car such as electric. In a multi million pound house I still have no drive due to the extortionate property prices so I have no idea how I could charge a vehicle without a drive which will be the case for many of us.

It may help to try to reduce the amount of through-traffic in particular areas: in the Lee Green ward we have a lot of vehicles, many of which are commercial/diesel vans and lorries, cutting through residential streets between the main arteries of the South Circular, A20 and Burnt Ash Hill. This is a significant problem from both a pollution and road safety standpoint.

It would also help if there was a uniform policy towards the use of Controlled Parking Zones in the borough – some roads have them, some roads don't, and the latter suffer an increase in traffic, non-resident parking and abandoned vehicles as a result. A case in point is Birch Grove.

It potentially makes sense to charge residents or pay machines more based on emissions for permits. Conversely a visitor who is using a residents visitor permit has no reason to change the mode of transport, as the reality is the resident will have to pay, either for a visiting child carer, plumber or cleaner. The car owner of a visitor will not be encouraged to change their car.

However the ultra low emission zone will encourage people passing through Lewisham or visiting to change behaviour more.

It seems distinctly wrong to create a culture of penalising diesel engines in a blanket fashion rather than focus on the actual Co2 emissions. The diesel car I have is 2016 reg (Euro 6) with lower emissions (130g/km) than many of the petrol equivalents (which are more like +180g/kg). It is wrong to create this 'pseudo tax' for diesel engines rather than focus on the actual emissions. Why charge someone more who is generating lower emissions? It is plain unfair and unjust of the council to do this! How are you justifying this decision? Surely it is right to reduce emissions but the right response must be to tax or penalise according to the ACTUAL level of emissions. My car is ULEZ compliant and so how is Lewisham council able to extend beyond the Euro 6 category? You either comply with the standard or you don't. Please don't start making up an alternative car tax system to suit budget proclivities.

It seems that there are some main roads (notably the A2) which have a heavy amount of very large lorries and trucks..... I think there should be some sort of tariff imposed on the usage of these vehicles in the borough

It should be explained how these increases in charges are going to benefit the borough. There is mentions of the increases in prices but that is not going to deter people from having vehicles. Its another way of taking more money away from households. There should not be a surcharge on ULEZ exempt diesel cars at all. To improve air quality vans, lorries and high polluting vehicles should be diverted from town centres. Lorries delivering should be forced to do so out of sociable hours.

It should be much more expensive to park in Lewisham. Cheap on-street parking in Lewisham contributes to the climate crisis, poor air quality, a lack of active travel, and poor quality of life. As a non car-user I feel I am subsidising car users who are making my life and the lives of most Lewisham residents less safe and more unpleasant.

On-street car parking makes walking and cycling more dangerous, by blocking sight-lines for pedestrians, increasing the risk of 'dooring' collisions for cyclists and narrowing the carriageway. On-street parking also slows down bus journeys and reduces the amenity value of streets.

There should therefore be a far higher price attached to the privilege of storing your personal possessions on public streets. Increase all the parking charges, by a lot.

On top of that, Lewisham should more aggressively enforce the existing parking regulations, for example against the epidemic of pavement parking around Catford. The same goes for 20mph speed limits, which are constantly flouted. If we don't start fining people who break these rules we're never going to see any change.

It should not be a council role to tackle this issue. This should be dealt with by national legislation.

It should not be the council's place to do this .

It should not be up to the borough to drive this policy, it's a waste of public funds, vehicles should be taxed once, they already are, parking permits are irrelevant - potentially it's a double tax, people will not select a different car because of parking permits, they will park elsewhere instead.

It will be important to understand what the council intend to do with the funds raised from this surcharge. It should be clear that if this is brought in the money must be used directly for air emission reduction schemes within the borough.

Much more remote air emission monitoring points around priority areas (nurseries, schools, church's and other similar community areas) and the data should be uploaded on to an easily accessible online website with trend analysis included and some summary level of interpretation. This website should be well maintained and up to date. Based on this data you could consider diverting traffic or other alternatives around sensitive areas (e.g. pickup/dropoff at nursery etc).

If all boroughs decided to go with these surcharges or something similar then funds could be used towards a special London residents discount on public transport to promote those other transport options which are often more cumbersome, time-consuming and much much more expensive than driving. It would be useful to investigate how much of traffic related pollution is caused by traffic simply going through Lewisham, rather than local traffic. Through traffic will not be impacted by parking changes, and the council may need to work with GLA to see if road/infrastructure design in Lewisham can be improved to reduce traffic.

The extension of the Bakerloo line into Lewisham is a good example of an improvement that will help.

it would help that when designing road layouts with t.f.l that designing in congestion was stopped.an example being the new Lewisham layout and the Deptford Broadway junction.

lights seem to be phased to red far too long at certain junctions causing more traffic jams and pollution.

if traffic is deliberately held up pollution will be worse. for the benefit of all road users and pedestrians traffic should be kept moving where possible.

most of the traffic on Lewishams roads is commuter traffic the introduction of the extended ulez will make the most difference to air quality , your proposal will make little difference.

there is a desperate need for pollution control measures but this is not it.

It would only be fair to introduce a £50 surcharge for diesel vehicles, if it applied to all diesel vehicles in the borough, and not limited only to residents that have to already pay for a parking permit to park in front of their own homes. This singles out residents unfairly from those that have garages or driveways or live on roads without parking restrictions.

It's all very well proposing to penalise car users. However the restructure of the roundabout at Lewisham station has in my opinion resulted in increased traffic and hence emissions for cars driving through the centre. Perhaps when you are town planning you should consider how this affects drivers and pedestrians. It is also a false economy to introduce more CPZ as this affects residents as people who do not live in the borough and drive in will just not drive in and residents then bear the cost of this. It would also be great if the underground could come to Lewisham sooner rather than later! All of this I'm sure will help improve air quality

It's Central Government's job to address this. They should be incentivise switching to hybrid and lower emissions vehicles. Taxing local residents who may have just recently purchased vehicles or who can't afford a hybrid or lower polluting vehicle is completely unfair. The wealthier residents are those who can afford hybrids and lower polluting vehicles. What you're proposing means that the wealthier residents escape the financial burden of the scheme. It's economic and social discrimination that will prove poorer and even middle income households out of being able to afford to drive.

It's unfair to expect people to have to pay more for their vehicle if they really can't afford it. It's a tax on the poorer people who can't afford to purchase a newer vehicle. And a penalty on them much like punishment. Totally unreasonable. Funding to help these people upgrade their cars should be provided not a tax on their vehicle. They already pay road tax.

It's a pity that for the last 20 years we have been strongly encouraged to adopt Diesel cars.

This "about turn" telling us what was strongly recommended it now lethal should be done in a more careful and graduated manner.

It's crazy to force more polluting cars into using the south circular- it's busy and polluted enough already. I live near the south circular and it is at crawling speed at times, the emissions zone seems to protect the inner city more affluent areas, why not put the people of Lewisham first. What about the more polluting planes flying overhead will you be taxing them!

For those like me who take home less than 22000 a year and have to use a car for work (I am an essential car user), any financial penalty is hard. You are causing hardship, please consider not all people can afford new cars, I have been asthmatic since birth so I so agree with environmental improvements and get the bus to work when I can,

It's important to understand that cars that live in the area make a fraction of all cars that use our roads. My neighbour who has an old diesel car and parks it in my street isn't really driving it up and down the road near home, but leaves it there and drives away when needs to. It's a crazy traffic of thousands of cars that is a real issue. I'm looking forward to ULEZ expansion.

its not about charging extra for cars cycling should be made safer and not share the road with buses and cars shorten wide pavements and give bikes their own space with concrete barriers away from cars. or use pavements one side of the street for bikes and people the other

sharing the road is not safe for bikes they tend to break the road rules putting themselves in danger also make them have insurance for bikes this protects car drivers from car damage and people walking in case of a crash
It's parked cars running their engines that are a problem.

Even as I type, there are 2 cars parked outside my house, running their engines. Not in a traffic jam, not doing anything, just drivers parked up checking their phones and polluting the atmosphere.

I've had to tick "yes" to the above questions - but I only KNOW it's so, because we've all been TOLD it is"

Joined up thinking. Allow those who have no car to pay for a permit but change the registration easily including to vehicles not registered at their address so they can park hire cars, shared cars and borrowed cars without being penalised.

Have a borough-wide parking permit system, at a much lower price but restricted to one vehicle per household. No exceptions. No higher fee for second car, just not permitted.

Instead of repeatedly giving tickets to vehicles of elderly and infirm who have clearly not understood the parking permit system so have failed to buy a permit, knock on their door and explain it, and help them get a permit. Be kind, not money-grabbing.

Just DON'T penalise those with less money = older cars. It's not a fair way to tackle the problem.

Just make it clear that new diesel cars sre cleaner than petrol. I completely disagree with extra charge for diesel because petrol cars with Euro4 are strong pollutants.

Petrol car produce high levels of CO2...

Keep going the way you are

Keep local parks and green spaces going and keep educating people on this problem that were having.

Keep lorries and delivery vans to a minimum that come into the borough, especially those that are diesel.

Keep planting more trees.

Improve road quality and infrastructure to prevent traffic build up as this is a disincentive to use buses.

Control building / road works that cause major disruption better.

Introduce trams.

More double yellow / red line routes.

Keep residents updated on charges that may affect them either by email, lewisham life magazine or leaflets.

Keep the resident charges for electric cars the same as now £30 per annum, and not unfairly more than double them to £70 per annum as per the consultation proposal.

Keep the same charge for resident parking and increase for diesel / high emission vehicles

Keep the traffic to the main road routes, and , stop rat run roads, example Manor Lane, from South Circular to Lee High Street

Kerbed off cycle lanes, longer pedestrian/cycle crossing traffic light cycles, to make car a less effective means of transport for getting around, make grove road leading to Lewisham High Street fully pedestrianised, buses re-routed to behind shopping centre, cobble the roads through the centre to slow traffic, plant more trees,

Large diesel vehicles should travel after a certain time in the evening, say after 20:00hrs.

Latest Euro 6 diesel is ultra low NOx. Better to enforce / reward latest technology engines than mandate an objective whilst limiting options?

If clean air is what you want, do not limit the solution to get there. Euro 6

Diesel is low CO₂ and PM, petrol has ultrafine Pn but lower NOx.

Look at the exhaust of a two year old diesel compared to petrol.

Why should the driver of a modern clean diesel be penalised the same as the driver of a 1999 dirty diesel van? How can a 20 year old petrol car not attract a penalty?

Change the diesel penalty in stages, according to emission ratings.

LBL has failed to manage traffic flow through the borough. The decisions seem dictated by slowing traffic flow to the extent that significantly more pollution is caused through speed humps lower speed limit. Siting bus stops and pedestrian crossing places that brings traffic to a halt. Not controlling road closures by utility companies and not fining them when works are left in place long after completion of works or works not undertaken in reasonable time scales

Leave this to central government... it is not a local issue since the car fumes are air born and don't stay within borough boundaries.

Less cars on the road, more buses maybe free buses would stop so many cars

Less constant and regular building developments - especially near the center of LEWISHAM and near schools.

Make buses safer.

Less delivery vehicles and buses

Less HGVs in the area

Less high rising buildings and more trees

Less new build.

Less parking near schools

Less parking outside schools

Less traffic jams which pollutes the atmosphere. Less traffic lights that stop the flow of traffic.

Use more solar panels in offices and housing.

Be more car friendly instead of trying to ban them, assist them...

Let global warming do its bit, Lewisham Council has no evidence that raising parking permit price reduces pollution.

This is simply money making scheme.

They are paying for parking spot, other cars have already been penalised by DVLA we do not need local council double whammy stealth tax after raising more than a billion pound which has done fuck all to the environment.

Let the traffic flow more freely so cars are not sitting in one place idling. Add a surcharge to households with more than two vehicles.

Lewisham and Catford are very heavily used through routes for vehicles which are not owned by Lewisham residents. THIS, AND THIS ALONE, IS THE CAUSE OF AIR POLLUTION PROBLEMS IN THE LEWISHAM BOROUGH.

If you increase parking charges for older vehicles you will be behaving like a typical right wing government - putting all the expense onto those who can least afford it!

Lewisham could debar, restrict or/and stop polluting vehicles from passing through its roads to other Boroughs and other places, because this pollution is caused by all non-resident vehicles.

Why cost-punish Lewisham residents by fees and various parking charges???

Lewisham could introduce separated cycle paths. It could create neighbourhoods as in the dutch model with one entry and exit point for cars by blocking roads to cars to encourage people to walk and cycle as the quickest most efficient form of transport. It could reduce parking spaces across the borough to make driving less convenient. It could rigorously enforce parking outside schools to reduce unpaid parking at meters. Currently parents driving to school do not pay for parking permits as it is not enforced. Traffic light phasing could be changed to favour pedestrians and public transport over cars and other motor traffic. Bus lanes could be 24 hours a day instead of during peak hours. Main roads should be suitable for unaccompanied young people to cycle safely, and for their parents to feel confident that they are safe doing so. Lewisham could stop demolishing local gardens like Tidemill in order to improve the quality of air in this borough. We all will die from the lack o oxygen soon and something must be done to make our lives and health better. A lot of money is allocating in knocking down the trees and nature in Deptford. It should be abolished by law.

Lewisham Council must force TFL to introduce only electric buses or hydrogen buses that go through LEWISHAM STATION (Station Road) where more than 500 people live around that area.

The most of the Hybrid buses are only in DIESEL mode.

I know personal bus drivers who confessed the real truth o this hybrid buses.

Lewisham council need to start serving the people rather than the people serving Lewisham council.

Lewisham Council needs to do everything it can to address its own emissions. Idling by taxis and buses should not be allowed.

Lewisham council needs to massively up it's game in providing and facilitating provision of electric charging infrastructure. Right now it's not easy to see how people living in flats and houses can get electricity to their cars - even whilst the Ulez will make it a necessity within two years. There is going to be a massive amount of older/diesel cars sold and disposed off (at significant environmental cost) which clearly provides the opportunity to switch to electric / hybrid but this opportunity will be lost unless Council gets in gear to supporting electric charging as people will just buy petrol cars - which will greatly diminish the potential gains and purpose of the exercise. You cannot just tax and charge the car owners on the one hand without providing some help and benefit from the other hand.

Lewisham council needs to stop penalising residents and start on the businesses. stop allowing lorries in the area

Lewisham Council should

lobby the central government to tackle the exhorbitant cost of daily charges of commuting (transportation) which is one of one of the reasons why people rely on the use of cars for transportation to get about daily for commuting to work work and carrying out other daily necessity

Without being cynical, though the devil is always in the details.

Why do you want to Jump on the bandwagon of increasing the cost of parking to discourage people using their cars that will be counter productive and produce more vicious circles?

People will be get used to the high cost of parking and become cynical about the motives that it was only to raise more revenue to pay the salaries of your executives who will be rewarded for increasing the revenues as we have seen from the speed cameras that has become a revenue generation scheme with no money reinvested in repairing the roads or employing more traffic police to deter crime of speeding.

Why are you now penalising diesel car users when it was the government that encouraged them to buy them when the government was lobbied by the big corporations (Multinationals) that produced them when they were first introduced just as we are being told about electric cars as it were.

How long would it take before we know that there is always an ulterior motives for your Utopia?

I would suggest you provide a place for recreation where children can exercise and improve the quality of their lives rather than take more money from the just managing parents that cannot afford it.

I am not convinced about your motives as we have seen that nothing is always done without someone having a vested interest to make money out of whatever scheme proposed however good spirited it may be
Lewisham is a rat run for vehicles that aren't in the borough. How much of the air pollution is caused by them and not residents (who you have said have one of the lowest car ownerships in London) so what are you going to do about that?

Lewisham is a thoroughfare borough... penalising local residents will do nothing

Lewisham is building more houses and flats rather than planting trees. And is charging people more money going to make the air cleaner? If you walk down Loampit Vale the sky is blocked by the high rise buildings that the borough has installed so please rethink your plans for the sake of mankind.

Lewisham is not safe to walk around later in the evening, therefore women in particular feel safer driving. We cannot all afford to buy the latest low emission cars. However we do feel to safe travelling around.

More police please

Only 2 roads into London and they go through Lb of L. Penalise the lorries & vans not Lewisham residents.

I live on Fernbrook road, commuters idle pollute our air, charge them for parking.

I cycle around the borough to reduce my car use, but I have to pay to park my car in the road. Commuters/lorries/buses cause pollution in this area. They are not being charged, penalise them not us residents. Extra costs is unfair on us residents.

Lewisham main round about courses a lot of pollution with the traffic lights
Lewisham need to make monies available for planting more trees across the borough, this should be a priority.

Lewisham needs to pressurise the London Mayor's office into keeping public transport fares as low as possible and push for alignment of all fares on all

types of public transport in Lewisham and London as a whole - including National Rail.

Lewisham should also consider a surcharge for residents who drive diesel cars.

Lewisham should do more to make cycling easier and safer, It's great that there will be a new cycle superhighway in Deptford, but cycling needs to be safer across the whole borough . I do think traffic overall needs to be reduced, not just because of emissions but also because of noise pollution. No matter how 'clean' a car is, tyre wear and braking also contribute to fine particulate emissions. There could be a surcharge linked to the actual miles driven by vehicles in a year as this would be an incentive for people to drive less. There should be more streets with resident parking permits in the borough and parking charges could go up. A lot of the buses need to be cleaner. There should be better enforcement of speed limits.

I think Lewisham should either ban wood burning stoves or fine households with inadequate stoves and those who burn wet wood.

Lewisham should immediately stop building high rise apartments creating an environmental nightmare and alter the road layout and traffic system at the huge new interchange at the bottom of Loampit Hill which is dangerous, causes a build up of fumes as traffic is congested there and does not function well. It is wholly unfair and wrong in law for Lewisham Council to levy a second penalty from diesel car owners and it is likely to be subject to judicial review. There is to be a 'pollution charge' coming into force from the Mayor Of London very soon which is likewise vexed. The principle should be that the polluter pays. However, in the case of diesel vehicles, the public was subjected to fraud on the part of the car manufacturers and, in good faith, believing that diesel vehicles were less polluting than others, people purchased them, only to then have it revealed that a fraud had been committed. It is not the fault of ordinary people that companies have acted in this despicable fashion and ordinary people should not be punished. They certainly should not be punished twice over. The Council should encourage through education not punitive measures that hit people twice over. It will be necessary for people to replace their diesel vehicles soon in any event. I am utterly against this second penalty. The Council could offer free public transport to more people.

Lewisham should incentivise residents to switch to more quickly switch to lower polluting cars through grants, rather than through unfair and penal taxation!

The suggested policy has generally the right aims but the wrong approach.

Should consider differentiating between Lewisham residents and visitors

Lewisham should lobby for all black cabs to be hybrid or electric and for them not be exempt from ULEZ as they are often incredibly polluting, and by not charging them, cabbies have no incentive to change to less polluting vehicles.

Lewisham should look at the most highly polluting vehicles such as lorries, taxis and minicabs and buses which do a considerably higher number of engine hours than parked resident's cars.

The proposed increased parking charges will have little impact on Lewisham air quality unless adopted for all traffic coming into the borough and will only increase administration costs whilst also being regressive.

Lewisham should look at the traffic passing through the borough - not just the cars owned by the residents of Lewisham.

Lewisham should give priority to those who walk over those who use motorised vehicles.

Lewisham should therefore include much better crossings for pedestrians who wish to access bus and rail services.

Lewisham should do nothing - punitive fees within the extended ULEZ will drive away (pun intended) most or all the worst polluting vehicles by Autumn 2021.

Lower fees for low(er) emission vehicles will only encourage that road traffic congestion you are meant to be fighting.

This proposal seems to be using green credentials to cloak cynical revenue raising by a financially incontinent council unwilling to live within its means . Lewisham should not use this as a money making exercise as it has done in the past. Lewisham has ignored certain areas and residents to further business interests rather than residents who pay a lot of council tax.

Lewisham should START by setting a good example with ALL its own Council Vehicles and the buses that pass through the Borough. Making sure that they are the cleanest that they can be and not ' idling' when they are stationary. Encouraging also parents who live nearby to walk their children to school and not drive.

After all PARKED cars DONT POLLUTE! Therefore charge the cars that are using the roads and not those that are parked.

It is grossly unfair that people who can't afford a new car,(mine is 10 years old 1300cc but needs to be big enough to carry a wheelchair) nor have a big enough house to build themselves a driveway to avoid parking charges are having to bear this unreasonable new money making scheme from the Council. Just have a look at nearby roads Micheldever, Southbrook, Handen, Lampmead who all have massive, sometimes two, vehicles parked in their drives but don't pay a penny towards your Parking Charges.

Lewisham should tell us what they plan to do more than take money from residents to deter car usage. How about electrifying all council vehicles and those who work for the council like. Lewisham homes or Pinnacle. It's lazy thinking to just take money from people liaise with local schools to provide safe alternative/ additional means of transport to and from school. As buses are predominantly electric now, having additional buses at school start/ end times rather than cluttering the streets with SUV's (normally ferrying just 1 child in each) would surely be of greater benefit to the environment?

Limit freight and commercial vehicles, particularly at night.

Limit heavy plant traffic/lorries and reduce the amount of building demolition and building sites in the borough.

Control the Tideway Tunnel traffic, use the river?

Don't chop down more mature trees.

Put real pressure for new developments to include green landscaping, to cool our neighbourhoods and help protect wildlife. Move away from hard surface landscaping.

Control the destructive actions of Glendales your contractor, and encourage people to plant beds, grow flowers and trees that insects and wildlife love. Limit number resident parking permits per household to encourage people to use public transport.

Manage number of permits to spaces in busy areas. E.g BHA in blackheath grove has a train station which attracts people to park from other parts of the area using their resident permits. This often leads to those with resident permits waiting very long periods for a parking space on the road they live. With engines running in extreme weather (a/c or heating). Changing the resident permit codes to limit vehicles coming into the roads who do not live there would reduce air pollution from residents waiting up to an hour for parking spaces.

Review traffic lights/crossings in busy areas to reduce idle vehicles sat in traffic

Limit parking permits to 1 per property.

Limit parking permits to one per household or charge significantly more for additional permits. If not a policy already new accommodation that is built shouldn't have parking facilities.

Limit the amount of council vehicles driving round the borough who do not have to pay for permits. Also consider making all council vehicles electric.

Limit the amount of street parking available. They are causing narrowing of the useable street, endanger the cyclists, and cause vehicle to stop frequently when too tight for two vehicles to pass.

Limit the car access to residential roads.

Review the use of private garages that back into Lewisham gardens which have motor bikes and vans running engines at all hours

Restrict non resident car parking near schools and stations to limit the pollution for residents

Limit the construction of more flats in Lewisham as the building lorries are the worse offenders

Limit the number of cars allowed per household

Limit the number of cars per household to just 1.

1 family in our neighbourhood has 4 cars, which takes up most of the parking space in our street & is causing a lot of pollution!

Limit the number of permits allowed within an individual household to two maximum.

Limit the number of vehicles per household

Limit the numbers of new residents in the borough would be the number one action to take - just as having fewer children is the kindest act for the planet. You can't have it both ways and sadly this is beginning to look cynical. I speak as someone who has no vested interest as we are already about to replace our car. That act in itself is more damaging to the environment by the way than continuing to use our diesel car once a week (usually for long distance driving out of the borough).

Limit the traffic through the borough

Limit use of vehicles within a school area at certain times of day ie 8-5pm

Ban parking within 50m of a school, including residents. Issue special permits for people who need to use a vehicle ie a disabled child needing to get to school

Plant more trees around heavy traffic areas

Make tree planting a condition of planning permission. (In Amsterdam there are 400,000 trees. How many does Lewisham have)?

Limiting restrictions or changing to one way system of Leahurst road that will cut traffic of south circular through to Lewisham.

Living / green walls.
Pedestrianise Catford town centre.
Cycle path extension.
Investment in parks.
Plant more trees.
Reroute cars and lorries away from schools.
Make the industrial estates such as by Bell Green more favoured towards pedestrians as access is poor with pushchairs and lack of crossings.
Lobby for improved public transport in the area.
Lobby TfL and the GLA to clean up taxis and buses more quickly.
Lobby to get rid of southeastern and introduce London Overground
Lobby to have all taxis electric by a certain date. Fine people for leaving their engines running while parked.
Local/central government should introduce scrapage scheme to help people to buy vehicles with lower emission.
Longer phase out periods to allow people to adjust; ULEZ will help adjust.

Increase electric busses.

Improve connections of tubes / dlr for getting around in the south.
Look at buses, lorries, trucks and other vehicles which leak dark substances from their back end of vehicle.
Look at car ownership. Improve road systems to allow traffic to flow more easily. Make paths for walking more desirable to use. Campaigns to leave cars at home when going shopping and walk or use a bus . It's probably better to encourage alternative transport use rather than trying to penalise people. Also suspect the ULEZ will have an effect so Lewisham should wait and see what effect it has.
Look at improving traffic lights and flow in the borough to prevent traffic jams (which are the major cause of pollution from stationary vehicles running engines).

Ban parents from driving their children to school and make sure everyone can walk to their local school.
Look at non residents.

Residents continue to be progressively penalised for living here whilst the vast majority of pollution in the borough is caused by traffic passing through. I use my car once a week to escape London- the rest of the time I use public transport. I feel totally aggrieved that you're planning further tax - car tax is to incentivise choices, imposing this in short timescales doesn't incentivise choice.

Look at the pollution effects from the vast number of goods trains that run through the borough, goods vehicles, public transport and others that use the A205 and the A2 and other arterial roads that run through the borough. Until you have tackled all forms of pollution you will never improve air quality. Just make more money from residents. In my view parking charges are a scam. Otherwise why would you make residents parking areas for only a two hour period when they used to be 9am to 7pm. There were less cars coming into the area then. Now outsiders just delay visiting or visit earlier.

Look at the way people use their cars, eg driving to and from school/work when walking or public transport would be a preferable alternative.

Look at traffic congestion points - the new road layout at Lewisham station is not easing queues of traffic.

Plant more trees within the borough.

Lobby for more trains on the southeastern Hayes line and the Thameslink Blackfriars/Luton line to encourage more use.

Lots more charging points for electric cars

Perhaps some Hoppa buses that cut across the arterial routes to centres within the borough, for example from Lee to Lewisham Hospital is a lengthy-ish bus ride that would be a lot shorter if there was a little Hoppa type bus route that took some of the back roads through the borough.

Lots more! Bold action is needed. The status quo is unacceptable! Residents' health, and children's health in particular, needs to be prioritised over the convenience of adults or any other considerations (such as the 'economy').

Some ideas for how to improve air quality for Lewisham's children:

1) Implementing school street pilots immediately (i.e. starting from September 2019) where there is demand from schools, not just one or two pilots across the whole borough.

2) Working with local people over the next few months to investigate options for creating CPZs or parking exclusion zones around schools, with a view to launching consultations (about their implementation) by the end of 2019.

3) Starting a pilot of using modal filters to reduce the traffic passing by schools throughout the day (which will also make school streets easier to implement) - before the end of 2019.

4) Immediately providing funding for schools to have green walls around their entire perimeter, to help reduce exposure to pollution whilst our children are waiting for pollution reduction measures.

5) Facilitating the creation of the world's first school 'perimeter park' around Sandhurst Primary School, by permanently restricting parking at all times and allowing a cars-width space around the entire perimeter to be blocked off for use as a 'park'. This would not only prevent any idling directly around the school boundary but would send a powerful and hopeful message to children, create a precedent to be followed elsewhere and set Lewisham out as a borough which is taking bold and innovative measures to tackle pollution.

Some ideas for improving air quality in Lewisham more generally:

1) Lewisham needs to lobby very hard for the ULEZ boundary to cover the whole of Lewisham by 2021, not to stop at the South Circular. If it stops at the South Circular, this will potentially worsen local pollution as more polluting vehicles will be using the South Circular. TfL has said it chose the A406 and A205 as boundaries because drivers who wanted to avoid the charge needed "a clear and navigable diversion route" - this indicates that TfL are expecting that polluting vehicles will use the South Circular as a diversion route.

2) Lewisham needs to explore any powers it might have to charge for road use on Lewisham-run roads. Even if every single Lewisham resident stopped using cars, there would still be very significant pollution from non-resident vehicle-users - mostly just passing through Lewisham, so not even driving to Lewisham as their destination.

3) Lewisham should raise awareness among residents and businesses of illegal and nuisance burning and how people can report it. The threshold for nuisance should be balanced towards promoting health and protecting the environment. As such, the council should issue guidelines to all residents with an aim to remove all but essential burning. There can be very few instances where domestic burning is necessary, especially given Lewisham has a garden waste collection service. Therefore any burning might be considered a nuisance as it is causing unnecessary smoke, and the onus should be on the person burning to prove it is necessary. The council then needs to take swift and effective action to deal with instances of illegal and nuisance burning, such as issuing abatement notices.

4) Lewisham should investigate the powers it has to restrict burning. 'Policing' burning, especially by residents in their back gardens, is always going to be very difficult if there are not clear and strict rules in place. If national laws do not allow for further restriction of burning, then Lewisham should focus on producing and widely advertising (e.g. posting to all residents) guidelines which make it clear when burning could be considered nuisance (even if not strictly illegal).

5) Lewisham should plant hedges and living walls along the most polluted roads, such as the A205 and A21.

6) Lewisham should implement CPZs across the whole borough, with the primary aim of reducing out-of-area traffic.

7) Lewisham should set up (or give the permissions necessary for local people to create) 'pocket parks' and planting troughs in place of parking spaces, prioritising those parts of the borough which are the furthest from parks and commons.

8) Lewisham should work with other South East London boroughs, Kent Council and TfL to consider ways of stopping traffic from outer London and Kent from coming into Lewisham and inner London, such as setting up Park and Ride schemes, transporting people to key train and tube stations in fast track buses.

Low cost zebra stripes (crossings) for pedestrians where side roads meet main roads would make drivers aware that it is the pedestrian right of way and thus safer for children. (See Chris Boardman's campaign <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-england-manchester-48744233>)

More car-free days, pedestrianised streets, tree-planting. Lower council tax for non-drivers. More education in schools...children seem to be losing the ability to walk.

Low emissions and electric vehicles are not the solution as they still pollute and they still have to be produced and old vehicles go to waste. Lewisham should allocate more space and priority for active travel and for people and make active travel safe. Too much space is allocated for parking and cycling is dangerous as there is no space and cars pretend to overtake even when plenty of cars parked.

Lower charge for band 1 vehicles (£50)

Lower cost for cars that don't have a emission.

Lower emission vehicles operated by the council - rubbish trucks, buses etc operating out of the council yard in my street.

Lower the number of heavy goods vehicles that drive through the town.

Improve traffic flow so there's less congestion standstill.

Ensure all service vehicles comply with restrictions.

Ensure all the delivery vans/lorries/taxis - (of any kind) are compliant.

Make a pedestrian zone

Make a separate pathway/route for pedestrians away from A20 traffic or Lee High Road.

Not sell enormous swathes of ground next to station to private developers forcing all traffic around ridiculous and insufficient one way system.

Put pedestrians and commuters first.

A bus lane only through Lewisham/near station.

Traffic set up is ridiculous and caused by private development that benefits the few, at the cost to the many.

For shame - who got paid what for this?

Make abundant electric charge points widely and freely available within the borough.

Pricing should match the cost of electricity to those who can charge their vehicles at their own homes/driveways.

Important not to allow providers to capitalise unfairly on the price of electricity to motor vehicles.

Make air pollution more known and make residents aware.

Maybe vouchers or prizes for vehicles who drive the least?

My son is currently being tested adhd & autism and it's very hard getting him around so everyones case is different and car uses vary so I think it's unfair to paint everyone with the same brush and assume everyone is driving unnecessarily/or is lazy.

Make all buses electric. Only allow diesel lorries to enter between 1a.m and 5p.m

Make all buses zero emissions!

Make all Lew

Make better use of the roads and the flow of traffic. For example, stop buses parking on Molesworth Street, as they already have a bus shelter on Thurston Road. Get that lane made into a bus lane to get them moving, so it's quicker than using your car.

Also, raising the Residents permit price for a low emission hybrid smacks of greed when you penalise BOTH a high polluting diesel and a low polluting hybrid too? Shame on you.

Make Blackheath village a no through zone for HGVs. Look at ways to dissuade HGVs from driving through the borough (which they regularly do to avoid Dartford Crossing delays).

Make buses cheaper and provide more free transport.

Make existing public transport more friendly towards people who own dogs.

The main reason I drive more often than I would like, is because I can not get uber that accept dogs, and can't guarantee bus driver will accept my dogs (spaniel and small terrier)

Make buses either electric or hydrogen fuel cell. Improve traffic flow but not having lewisham center congested by poor traffic light phasing

Make buses more environmentally friendly.

Make public transport more affordable.

Make buses more regular. I drive as I have a toddler and often cannot get on buses.

Make canadian ave and similar rds access only.

Make culverly conservation area a residents car parking scheme. It's going to become an even bigger car park than it is currently.

Make cycle lanes wider and separate from the roads like in the continent.

Campaign fir reductions to public transport fares to encourage use

Make cycling fun and encouraged by have no car days in certain areas

Make cycling in lewisham safe and also make Lewisham a safe area so that people are not scared to walk around

Make cycling safer and easier to people.

Could Lewisham have a bike rental scheme similar to the one in central London?

Could there be an incentive or money back scheme to encourage the use of electric vehicles in Lewisham?

Could the Council introduce power up points for electric cars in Lewisham.
Could we move to electric buses for public transport?
Make cycling safer and therefore more appealing. The junction in Lewisham which was only made around 5 years ago has very little provision for cyclists.
Block more side roads to reduce rat runs at commuting times.
Make cycling safer by providing more cycle lanes and improving road safety.

Extend the bakerloo line to provide more/better public transport.

Crack down on poor/fast driving.
Make cycling safer with more defined cycling routes.
Think about introducing trolleybuses back into London traffic. This would be a city-wide endeavour but with a council backing it, it might get somewhere.
Make decent cycle lanes

It's SHAMEFUL!! The roundabout is a hideous death trap why why why oh why???

You could have made something of the river too it's just a horrible example of crap planning and corporate power over the well being of ordinary people

Also create more parks - there's 24 security keeping people out of what was tidemill park - awful
Make driving as slow and unpleasant as possible

Encourage car clubs and force them to only have EVs

Make cycling as easy, safe and convenient as possible.
More roads without engine powered vehicles
More (any?) cycle super highways
Push national govt to introduce Netherlands policy and laws eg bigger vehicle assumed guilty in collisions until proven otherwise
Stronger laws to protect vulnerable users and actually enforce them!
Make electric all council vehicles and vehicles carrying out council contracts
Make everywhere a cpz
Make industrial units greener. Insist that all minicabs are either electric or at least hybrid.
Make is safer and easier to cycle. Pedestrianise parts of Lewisham. Introduce times during which driving is not permitted in certain parts of Lewisham without a License.

Encouraging public transport by having public Transport that is actually reliable and affordable.

Make it a leafier, tidier and more attractive borough for walking and cycling by planting lots more trees, adding benches to public spaces, improving and supporting local shopping parades to encourage shopping within walking and cycling distance, add more pedestrian and cycling crossings and convert concreted spaces in front of shops to micro gardens to deter drivers parking on them.

Traffic routes should be rethought, making some no through ways, taking Walthamstow's mini Holland scheme as an example.

A major concern with the introduction of parking permits for residents is that it

will encourage more home owners to avoid buying permits by destroying their front gardens to replace them with car parks and dropped curbs which is unsightly and a put-off for walkers.

Footpaths should be for pedestrians only where there is on-street parking and front gardens must be encouraged. We need to change the deep rooted belief in many that cars bring entitlement in the public realm. Walkers and cyclists should be prioritised as much as possible.

All residents of the Borough must be properly informed on the prohibition on idling and the serious effects of their polluting cars. Too many people still are not aware that idling is a problem or even that they are idling. Make it a priority to stop drivers leaving engines idling. This is not only a problem outside schools, but on high streets and in front of local convenience shops. On Wells Park Road where we live, there are high numbers of cars and delivery vans daily which leave their engines running outside of the convenience store.

PLEASE INCREASE PUBLIC AWARENESS AND EDUCATION REGARDING THE DANGEROUS IMPACT OF CAR AND VAN IDLING ENGINES.

How? Posters outside shops/ on lamp-posts. On the back of busses? We need a concerted anti-idling campaign effort in Lewisham to bring this issue back into people's awareness.

Make it as difficult as possible for people to consider driving for casual short journeys.

Encourage more cycling.

Enforce anti-idling policy.

Increase greenery.

Make public transport as reliable as possible.

Make it cycling safer with better routes. Plant more trees.

Make it easier for people to set up regular play streets near schools.

Send parking attendants to schools at pick up and drop off time to clamp down on double parking and idling

Make it easier for residents to create green spaces in their areas, ensure every road has trees planted on it/make it easier for residents to apply for trees on their street. Plant more plants in school playgrounds, plants to cover bus shelters (as in Holland), stop all use of herbicides and pesticides and allow grass spaces to become wild - with yearly mowing. Build a park at bell green former gas works.

Make it easier to walk than drive a car

Currently crossing some roads is dangerous and long. For example going to the shopping centre we have to cross numerous times and wait long times in the traffic while breathing all the dirty fumes

Make it feasible for car owners without private driveways to charge electric cars on street. This would encourage electric car ownership and improve air quality. This is a proactive positive approach. Clobbering people with higher permit charges is a negative strategy.

Make it more cycle friendly. Discourage car use wherever possible

Make it safer for cyclists. Check lights sequences to try and reduce traffic jams.

Make it safer to cycle

Make the pavements cleaner and more appealing to walk on

Reduce cars on the A20 - this road is particularly polluting

Make it so that it isn't a chore to cycle and walk:

Improve bus services, between Deptford and Brockley.

Create joined up useful cycle routes that go multiple places. Improve Lewisham station area and dangerous new road layout that is difficult for pedestrians and cyclists. Don't make cyclists have to cycle round new layout at dangerous central Lewisham. Use designers and planning consultants who know what it is to be a pedestrian and cyclist. Improve cycle routes around Glassmill. No way to cycle from this area and turn right into Lewisham.

Improve turning points for cyclists, eg into Ladywell Park. So generally get all your local cyclists to feedback on all their journeys and tell you where you need drops for cyclist and routes that don't work.

Improve experience of pedestrian eg. Central Lewisham from station.

Crossings are congested eg. From station to Glassmill, foot path narrow and Croesus over has trip hazard when junction is busy...which is frequent.

Put strategically place cycle racks in area. Eg not frequently placed for shops on Deptford High Street.

Encourage residents to not obstruct footpaths with bins. Provide smaller bins if you want them on footpath. Make level cross overs so cars have to slow down and you don't negotiate kerbs.

Make walking a joy....good quality streetscape, no obstructions from parking permit signs making streets ugly. Have helpful parking away from schools, so parents can walk last part if needed too.

Make Lewisham a safer & more enjoyable place to cycle. Prioritise the development of cycle highways rather than roads for polluting vehicles. Create safe cycle circuits within at least a 500m radius of schools, which can be interlinked with additional safe cycle routes. To encourage children & their families to cycle to school. Encourage schools to have secure areas to lock bikes. Work with local bike shops to develop voucher schemes for lower income households to buy / hire bikes. Ask the London Mayor to extend the cycle super highways into Lewisham. Require public transport to be low emission or charge taxi & bus companies rather than residents who may have high household bills / low incomes.

Make main thoroughfares high occupancy vehicles only during certain times.

Add penalty band for SUVs.

Add parking bays and charging stations for electric/ hybrid vehicles.

Make more bicycle lanes. Advertise and advice safe cycling habits. Make buses electric.

Make more bus routes run 24 hours to reduce relying on cars. Have a night bus running between Canada Water to Grove Park via 225/181 route.

Install electric car charging points free of charge and free to use for residents.

Reduce parking costs around Lewisham for owners of less polluting cars. Free for fully electric.

Make more people aware that it is a crime to sit in their cars with the engine idling. Increase the penalties for this and be sure to enforce these penalties

Perhaps introduce a car-free day to Blackheath once a year?

Make no car zones/areas

Make no-parking zones around schools.
Organise accompanied 'walking trains' for primary school children.
Lower bus fares
Extra buses especially at peak times
Introduce electric powered buses
Give bonuses to all council employees who don't travel to work by car. Provide a subsidized bus pass for employees who use public transport.
Make owning an electric car much easier and encourage it: priority parking at shopping centres, free parking at pay car parks; free resident parking permit if installing an electric charge points; more charging points; ability to install charging point on street parking only homes (like mine); invest in wireless charging technologies; etc....
Make parking outside schools and train stations resident only
Make public transport better so there is no need to use a car
Make public transport cheaper and more regular
Make public transport cheaper so people wouldn't use their cars so much.
Make public transport cleaner and more palatable to encourage the public to leave their vehicles at home.

I dread talking public transport I find the buses and trains dirty, overcrowded and too hot.

make public transport free
Make rat running really difficult so that commuters and others who drive through the borough, making our residential streets feel unsafe and polluted, are forced to the conclusion that it's better to take public transport.
Make rat runs one way so they are only busy once a day
Make refuse disposal vehicles electric
Make residents parking 7days a week
Make road 30mph remove speed bumps as. both factors significantly increase pollution for slow moving traffic. improve traffic light phasing as they are set to create higher congestion and also be aware of the fact that the Mayor of London. advised in 2009 as an mp that Euro 5 vehicles would be cleaner for London - yes he imposed euro and would not approve retrofit for buses and coaches until two months prior to 8th April . Be also aware that many business will shut if this extension to London is granted and also be aware that. aviation fuel has never been taxed through a global agreement made in 1944 which means that aviation contribution far more than any diesel engine vehicle fact - Lewisham borough will not clean the air by raising parking permits how utterly ridiculous- it is just a tax
Make roads safer for bicycle users. The only reason I do not cycle to work is as it is too dangerous to go through Lewisham A20.
Make some of the parallel streets one way to reduce reversing and queue congestion.

Ladywell is a terrible bottleneck and jammed at peak time. Could alternative routes be created?

Make some streets pedestrian only on Sundays
Make Southeastern trains provide a reliable, more frequent and cheaper service
Make streets safer so that we can walk to school and cycle. Council should Provide better public transport that is safe to use and cheaper than using own cars. At the moment using car is cheaper, quicker and safer. So why bother with the risk of getting robbed, stabbed or getting in to a bus that is full and

tickets are more expensive. Get more police presence to deter crime and people will feel safe to walk and cycle with their children and families.
Make sure all council vehicles are electric or hybrid
Reduce parking for council employees
Insist on electric buses for routes within the borough
Make sure all council vehicles are low emission or electric.
Make sure taxi drivers, bus drivers, all drivers in fact, turn off their engines when stationary.
Make Thameslink trains stop at New Cross Gate station. Currently they pass through without stopping.
Make the a205 safer to cycle on -make the roads nicer to walk on. Install green screens alongside roads to protect people and "humanise" roads do people want to walk more. Get more tree officers so we can plant more street trees.
More hydrogen bus routes, make buses more frequent.
Make people walk to school. Ensure there are places a good local schools for every kid so they can walk rather than be driven to school. Have more local small supermarkets so people don't drive to hyper markets. Extend bakerloo line. Extend ULEZ beyond a205.
Make the buses electric vehicles, and have them come more regularly in the evenings.
Make the CPZ zones residents and there visitors only
Make the diesel surcharge £100 rising by £50 / year
Make the South Circular greener!!! We're seriously lacking any type of flower display/ trees/ shrubs etc. I'm sure people would volunteer to do this the council could provide some financial backing.

Also, slightly unrelated to the emissions but certainly linked to health.
The litter situation along the South Circular (and the borough as a whole), is diabolical! There should be penalties to shop owners who dump excess waste outside their stores. Fast Food/ Newsagents/ betting Shops are particularly guilty of this.
Make the surcharge for all diesel vehicles much higher; £50 is no where near enough to stop their use
Make travel for short journeys less attractive to cars. Shut more roads
Encourage busses to be less polluting
Encourage more cycling - I am male - but if there were clearly car free/restricted 'off street' routes linking local centres it would encourage women to cycle
Make walking and cycling safer and more enjoyable through better road /public realm design. Make pedestrians and cyclists the priority - make the journey by car more difficult and unemployable and slow. More cycle lanes with better enforcement from parking. More school streets. More pedestrianised streets. More trees. Clean the streets more, enforce rules around bins and flytipping on pavements so people choose to walk more. More soft landscaping. Make walking a pleasure.
Make/ require all council owned or paid for vehicles on council business to be electric/ or dual fuelled.
Making cycling and London transport a priority over cars vans etc
making Diesel drivers pay more for permits will not result in improved air quality, it could help discourage more diesel vehicles in future and reduce them over time which is a good thing.
You could make diesel drivers pay more but then turn some of that extra cost into credit for public transport, thus encouraging residents to use the public

transport rather than their car. It would also show that this isn't just another way for Lewisham to make more money from its residents.

Making public transport more affordable. Try and make road systems and traffic lights more efficient. The new solution in Lewisham is creating more congestion than before and leads to more pollution. Make it cheaper or free to park an electric vehicle.

Making roads in Lewisham only accessible to public transport

Making sure that local car sellers are not allowed to sell diesel cars or cars with higher emissions

Support locals to buy an electric car or hybrid with free park permits, free vouchers for local businesses etc

Introduce more charging stations for electronic vehicles

Support local residents to use more often public transports by having a fairer price policy. The prices for public transport is sometimes more expensive than having a car.

Plant more trees in school areas. Especially on the sidewalks.

Have more police or security at schools to make sure parents dropping off / picking up their children, turning off their cars while waiting.

Introducing a local school bus to bring kids home.

Manage traffic flow better, particularly around the centre of the town. While the traffic flow system in the centre seems to be under going constant modification as a result of the new buildings around Lewisham station and the town centre, the current traffic flow is sub-optimal, resulting in longer stationary times at traffic lights or waiting for busses (which don't seem to use or adhere to the designated bus lanes, particularly around the Lewisham station stops).

Hopefully, over time this will be sorted, but at the moment it is a bit of a mess.

Manage tree population better, replace older trees with new tree, except if protected

many more charge points

Many more cycle lanes

Help to buy electric bike scheme through school or work.. Include tandems n tricycles

Public electric bikes scheme outside each station, in middle of residential areas, colleges, shopping areas and high streets. People could cycle from home to Nunhead to do shopping

Lewisham free bus passes for over 50s

Walk further campaign... Encourage walking 3 stops...or 1 mile as normal..

Extend times public transport runs

Make public transport more frequent... Develop small electric minibuses in residential areas

Close residential roads to stop rat runs....to force vehicles to only go on main roads... Dispensation for deliverieris would have to be considered here.

Perhaps we could have App operated barriers

Add many more zebra crossings in residential areas so pedestrians take president over vehicles. Ask residents where these should be located... eg corners of Peyps n Drakefell 2 zebras either side of mini roundabout...

Make width restrictions narrower for small cars only

Curfew for vehicles

Easy access on buses for wheeled shopping trollies..

Bike trailer scheme....

Many of the owners of higher emission vehicles are the poorer members of our community who need financial support to change their vehicles

Many of the polluting big vehicles from Dover come via Lewisham into London. These need to be somehow taxed and discouraged from doing so.

A film and report facility for offending vehicles could be set up.

Massively increase costs for parking, and reduce number of parking spaces.

Ban pavement parking and actually enforce it. Filter roads. Build cycle lanes.

Pedestrianise all town centres. Time to actually take some action...

Maybe introduce ban on certain hours on lorries. Or if going ahead with your plans give exception to people that have a diesel for more than 2 years...we bought the cars and they can't all go for scrap. What's the environmental cost to scrap all the diesels?

Maybe Lewisham could become a bit more greener in general. Having grown up in Lewisham Borough there was much more parks and plants in certain areas such as near the station, the old round about had lovely plants but now all of that is being taken away to build houses which I'm not mad at, people need a place to live but let's plant more not just to clean true air but it's also adds beauty to the areas

Maybe starting something like zipcar for residents In the borough? I know several people who have recently applied for something like this that live in Lewisham that have been turned down with no reason. It has been reported in the papers that it is to do with where you live?

Maybe they could end the use of diesel cars but the surcharge is too much maybe £25.

Millions of cars travel through Lewisham who pay nothing to the Borough. They won't have to adhere to any of Lewisham's restrictions because they are passing through and contaminating the air. You could make a congestion charge for anyone who doesn't live and/or work in the Borough. Why think about penalising Lewisham residents when they are a very small part of the problem. as a whole.

Minimise the number of vehicles per household

Put the bus fare for day not per bus (as paying as you go for one fare per day instead of paying for every bus you get in for the same journey.

If someone need to change 3 times for example

Take the vehicle impounds if too harmful

Modern diesel cars (with ad blue) are significantly less polluting than most petrol cars without a catalytic converter.

Penalising modern diesels is not the right approach.

The Council should consider the emissions for each car and only the the older Petrol and Diesels should be subject to to surcharges.

Modern ULEZ compliant diesel cars are not more polluting than petrol cars and therefore the blanket surcharge is unjust.

Lewisham borough should harmonise with the ULEZ.

Monitor and limit those industrial vehicle's using roads such as A2

Monitor polluting vehicles more closely

More 1 hour a day cpz especially around stations.

Incentives for people not using cars.

Parking limitations around all school (Play streets)

More accessible train stations—there is no buggy access at my local station so I have to drive instead

More active enforcement of parking restrictions around schools to encourage parents to walk/cycle to school or take public transport.

More and better cycle lanes

More benefits for people owning an electric vehicle easy to access chargers which are not over priced like source London.

More bicycles and bike lanes

More bike lanes

More bus routes - personally, a bus direct to Greenwich would reduce the amount I drive.

More reminders (posters?) of the health risks

More bus routes, make connection to tube / overground easier

Introduce more school bus service. Private or public

Accelerate Bakerloo Line extension to Hayes

More buses

More buses to help using pub transport for a daily basis

More busses following back roads. At the moment main roads are served really well, but when traveling across (e.g. From New Cross to Brixton or Herne Hill) I tend to drive as otherwise it would be two or more busses.

More campaigning for parents not to drive their kids to school.

More campaigning to make people turn their engine off when they are parked and waiting.

More car pools ie more competition for zip cars.

Charging residents for parking permits but also offering discounts for non car owners to use car pool/renting schemes.

Better/safer cycle routes.

Electric public transport.

More car-free days

More pedestrianised areas

I put 'agree' for the £50 surcharge for diesel vehicles, but why not make it higher?

I also put 'somewhat agree' for the questions about encouraging people to drive vehicles with lower emissions, but in fact I think we should be encouraging people not to drive at all (Lewisham has excellent public transport so it is not really necessary!).

More car-free spaces, better cycling paths to encourage cycling, introduce car free days, some rules around heavy trucks passing through small roads

More charging points and if it's a charge for it to be lower to encourage people to invest in hybrid- electric cars

More charging points for electric cars/think about how home owners with no off street parking could charge electric cars

More charging points for electric vehicles.

Active measures to encourage cyclists.

Tree planting

Electric buses on all routes

More charging points to encourage use of electric cars.

Parking permits near schools to discourage driving to schools

More Controlled Parking Zones, especially around train stations. It would stop people outside of Lewisham driving in and parking their cars to travel in. This would also alleviate parking congestion for residents who live around or near stations.

More could be done to control speeding vehicles which causes more pollution.. Noise pollution is a problem, too, e.g. specially tuned motor cycles that make as much noise as possible. I have heard that it is illegal. Could something be done there to improve the problem?

More could be done to make roads safer for cyclists. Encouraging more people to cycle instead of driving could be hugely beneficial in so many aspects, however I know a lot of people who don't out of fear for their safety and fear that their bikes would just get stolen or damaged by criminals.

More cycle & walking routes away from main roads

More cycle friendly routes and dedicated bike lanes across the borough.

More cycle hangers for people to store bikes, electric buses, encourage electric cars for car clubs, more electric charging stations for electric cars, enforce ban on mopeds and motorcycles riding through parks

More cycle lane provision

More trees/hedges

more cycle lanes

more cycle lanes

More cycle lanes

More "Boris bikes"

Extend Bakerloo line from elephant and castle to Lewisham

More cycle lanes!!

More cycle lanes.

More cycle lanes.

Ban parking around primary schools for non residents. Along Ashmead Road, where I live, the controlled parking times should start at 8.30 instead of 9am to stop parents driving to school.

Better syncing of traffic lights. So for example, the lights on the corner of Brorokmill road and Deptford Broadway mean that there is a constant queue of traffic on Brookmill road with engines idling. The pollution for people living along this road must be extremely bad.

More signs to tell drivers to turn off idling engines.

More cycle only areas please

More cycle provision and in particular, campaign for TfL to improve cycling on main routes through Lewisham such as Lewisham Way/LoamPit Hill.

Enforce the existing restrictions on solid fuel stoves. This is currently largely ignored.

More Cycle racks across the borough, and I guess more electric charge points.

More cycle routes.

More cycle routes. Slow traffic down.

Stop people driving to schools.

Cheaper bus travel.

More cycling facilities and routes. Safe places to leave your bike.

More cycling infrastructure. More importantly deal with aggressive driving.

More cycling lanes /use of cycles . More secure places to leave your bike and cycle clubs funded by Lewisham to help people use and maintain their bikes .

-More cycling super high ways

-Penalise train companies for substantial delays

-Invest more in train infrastructure

-Follow USA model for public transport where buses and trains are air conditioned. Many people choose not to travel on public transport when it is hot due to it being almost impossible to breath.

More education on methods to improve air quality is key. I believe the council should present more facts in a digestible fashion to allow people to make an informed decision.

More electric buses

More electric car charge ports. Even higher rates for parking charges on petrol and diesel cars than in this consultation to fund it.

More electric car charging points.

More electric car charging points.

Upgrade the south circular for better traffic flow

More electric car charging points. I have not seen any in the borough. We have none in hither green

More electric car charging points.

Do what Westminster do and have much lower parking fees for electric cars - we need to encourage people to make switch

More electric charge points; tax discounts and free permits for electric cars; plant more trees; increase fines and charges and taxes for non electric vehicles; ban idling ; ban diesel vehicles

More electric charging points

More electric charging points for electric cars.

More electric charging points for electric vehicles and provide subsidies for drivers of electric vehicles like free parking, etc.

More electric charging points including at council offices

More electric charging points

Make sure all council vehicles or those used by contractors or outsource providers are as low emission as possible

Enforce 20 mile an hour speed limits - safety as well as air pollution.

More electric charging points

More pedestrianised areas eg outside querce through chandos in honor oak or eg pedestrianise every sunday

Better cycle paths

More trees

Permit construction vehicles and lorries only at certain times

Narrow roads and more one way roads to allow more room for woder pavements and separated cycle paths

More safe cycle storage

Cheaper public transport

More facilities in parks eg cafes and toilets to allow longer time spend here and no need to travel by car

Reward scheme for green households eg lower council tax or local discounts

More restricted parking areas eg outside schools

More electric charging points. better car sharing schemes. trams, bike schemes, safer night transport

More electric charging points. Make sure non electric cars can't park in these bays. I.e. at lower Sydenham station.

More electric charging stations.

more electric outlets for electric cars- reduction of traffic along the A2 and more pedestrian routes- greener spaces and trees along major roads- to create barriers between walking traffic and car traffic- compete review of pollution

levels along main roads including A2 around Deptford Bridge DLR station. Make Lewisham more pedestrian friendly and ban cars from town centres - stop polluting vehicles - all cars to be electric asap

more electric vehicle charging points

more electric vehicle charging stations

More emphasis on alternatives to driving. The borough is built for cars. More cycle ways and pedestrian crossings. It should be more inconvenient for people to drive

More fully electric buses and taxis

more green areas

More green areas and bee-friendly plant life. There are a lot of really wide pavements which could be edged with a strip of grass and flowers without inhibiting wheelchair users or pushchairs. I grew up with this in my village and I've seen it in other areas of London, seems like a no-brainer to me! It makes the area look much nicer and helps the environment.

More green spaces

More green spaces and trees. No go areas for cars

More green spaces.

More greenery and trees and look at businesses pollution

More incentives not to use public transport. This is essential but the services are not reliable enough and can be very unpleasantly packed which discourages people using them

More incentives to not use the car. Better cycle lane provision. Subsidise travel passes.

More information: leaflets/posters in libraries/ community hubs to encourage but not discriminate & or punish those that cannot afford electric or hybrid.

More liaison with bus companies - the majority of buses, many of which still run on diesel, still idle the engines when stationary, even when they stop for long periods to 'regulate the service' and to change drivers. Lewisham has many large green spaces, but in between those plant trees, trees and more trees; wild flower verges and roundabouts; and green walls and fences (particularly near schools and care homes). No planning permission for new developments without provision for a minimum amount (square metres?) of greening/planting.

More linked green space, more pedestrian thoroughfares

More low traffic neighbourhoods

More one way roads, more cycle lanes in the borough, plant more trees, make all utility service and council vehicles electric

More parking spaces, we also need different containers to put plastic paper and other so we can help the environment by not just putting everything in one bag and then wait for the garbage man because that is not helping the environment so we should be provided with bags and containers for each type of waste

MORE PARKS

MORE TREES

MORE OPEN SPACES

ENCOURAGE SCHOOLS AND HOSPITALS TO PLANT MORE TREES WITHIN THEIR BOUNDARIES

More pedestrian areas

More pedestrianisation and extension of the congestion charge zone along with the ULEZ boundary. Bring ULEZ to Lewisham sooner. If you think it's the right thing to do, why should we wait? If a Tory mayor gets in he'll scrap the scheme, so now is the time to act. Action on rat runs is also needed now.

Ennersdale Road (where I live) is a very narrow suburban street, and yet

somehow it has two-way traffic all day. And there are zero pedestrian crossings despite two local primary schools in neighbouring streets. Because it is one of the few roads that passes under the tracks at Hither Green station it carries the volume of an A road. Non-resident drivers should be banned from using narrow suburban streets as rat runs. Anywhere the need to go is accessible via the A-roads with very little delay to journeys. At some point in the past, all trees have been removed from Ennersdale Road to make room for cars parked and half-mounting the pavement! This has zero benefits for non-motorist residents. More pedestrianisation or public transport only zones. You say that a last amount of homes don't own cars, it's likely that through-traffic is causing a lot of the pollution. Cut down on the routes that cars can travel through to disincentivise travel through Lewisham other than on public transport. You've declared an emergency, take emergency measures.

More pedestrianised areas

More pedestrianised areas

Cycle & bus only routes

Better cycles routes

Car pool only lanes

Better infrastructure for electric vehicles

Weekly no car days in certain areas

More pedestrianised streets

Cheaper public transport

More public transport

More regulation of speeding cars. A way of charging users for using their car more often. Better cycle routes to encourage people to cycle more, reducing congestion and emissions, whilst improving peoples fitness

More reliable & regular bus routes around all areas of the borough, along with easier/accessible bus stops. Cheaper taxi fares, and more reliable train lines...

More reliable public transport

More resident only parking around Beechfield Road / glen wood road for a couple of hrs per day to stop people parking abd then use station but also the heavy traffic around 3.30-4pm from kids being picked up at local schools including st dunsans

It's very polluting

more resident parking zones

require some of the petrol VAT and DVLA money for traffic improvements

more 20 mile zones

More residential electric vehicle charging points, or support for subsidies to install.

More restricted parking area - Dorvill rd, upwood rd to encourage public transport usage

More restricted parking zones and permit parking only streets.

more rigorous enforcement (ZERO TOLERANCE) to non compliant vehicles,untaxed&uninsured vehicles & vehicles without a valid MOT. in my road alone despite having reported such vehicles directly to the council & via FIX MY STREET, NO action was taken in relation to at least 4 vehicles all belonging to the same household. it is a complete waste of time having laws & regulations if you do not enforce them! if there was more enforcement then firstly those who are non compliant would be penalised which would send out the message loud & clear that you cant cheat the system & in turn encourage compliance & secondly as a result it would not then be necessary to put in place measures which would be a financial burden to those who do comply.

More safe and 'clean' cycle lanes and routes to encourage cycling

Discourage driving children to school by providing other options such as well supervised and escorted pick up to and from school service on foot

Realistic and achievable car sharing scheme to/from work, school etc
More safer cycle lanes more trees. More park spaces. Stop building on each and every bloody corner stop building a little manhattan in Lewisham. Stop taking our parks away.

More safer cycle route, i.e. dedicated cycle routes.

Safer and more well lit walking routes/streets - a lot of streets do not have a lot of lighting.

Plant more trees.

More electric points for electric cars.

More car clubs.

Financial incentives for people to be able to switch to lower polluting vehicles.
Shuttle buses for hospital staff/patients between the various hospitals in the borough.

More buses/trains.

Impose penalties for people idling their cars when dropping/picking up school children.

More segregated cycle lanes / quietways and electric vehicle charging points

More separated cycle lanes and junctions better designed for cyclists.

A 'no idling' policy which is strongly enforced.

More electric car charging points.

More speed cameras and traffic calming measures to make driving less quick and easy. Plant trees /plants in central reservations (bearing in mind safety of lack of vision of pedestrians).

I live on the Brockley road (opposite the cemetery) and people drive about 40mph in a 20 zone and there are no speed traps, warning lights or humps. Although speed doesn't necessarily mean more emissions it would be a deterrent for bad drivers and potentially put people off driving. Often these drivers have to suddenly brake to at lights or traffic. It is also highly dangerous as there is a school here and a park so lots of children crossing the road. The school playground also backs onto this road which cant be good for the children's health as it is always backed up with traffic at school start times.

More public transport options. Trams??

A limited amount of free transport for local residents perhaps to incentivise? eg 2x buses/short train journeys a day or similar.

Plant more trees.

More car free bike routes (eg cycle highway or similar).

More street tree planting.

Other measures to discourage cars using smaller residential streets as rat runs through to larger main roads.

More street trees. Much safer cycling routes. More bicycle parking bays. Close some roads to traffic entirely. Restrict traffic on the big roads especially Lee high road.

More tree lined roads!

More tree planting. Grants/promotion towards electric bikes and scooters.
Fewer permissions to people to pave over front gardens for car parking.
Decrease bus fares - Fares fair years ago gave massive improvements.
The cycle paths going from Bell green to Ladywell are great. More of these where possible.
More tree planting. Ensure streets such as north end of Manor Lane are pedestrian friendly by enforcing existing parking regulations about overhanging pavements, unauthorised cross overs etc.
Minimise pollution from Council's own activities.
More trees
More trees
More trees and plants
More trees lining roads.
Ensure an efficient flow of traffic.
More trees- more buses on the smaller routes- these are the ones that people drive.
More trees or living walls
More trees please. Plenty in Brockley but we need more in Deptford.

Also, implement parking restrictions around stations for people who drive into Lewisham from outside London and park up for the day to use the stations, thus increasing air pollution.

More trees!!!!

More trees, front gardens with flowers - why not have a Lewisham in bloom competition - gold/silver/bronze prizes for front gardens

More trees, more trees, more trees. Please.

more trees, traffic calming, traffic restrictions

More trees.

More trees. Clean up the streets better, they are a mess.

More trees. Improve traffic flow.

More trees/greening. Improve traffic lights to move traffic along. Penalties for not turning off your engine whilst waiting in traffic. Put speed limit back to 30 so traffic can run more quickly through the streets.

More Zip cars so greater confidence one would always be available if you got rid of your own car

Put far more bike garages on streets - lots of terraced houses with no garden access and no storage for bike in front garden. This is the number one reason why me and my family dont cycle to places at weekends - we have no bike storage for 3 bikes

Likewise at train stations - bike storage needs to be secure. Only a fool parks a bike on the street or at a station, they just simply get stolen.

Organised "walk to school" routes so that parents dont have to use cars for school run.

More/better cycle routes, more safer pedestrian crossings on main roads, more bus routes - I often have to walk over half an hour with my two small children to get places where there's no direct bus or train route from Lee where I live.

Moss gardens on roundabouts and the roof of any council building.

Preserve the green spaces around council owned housing, perhaps making more gardens instead of just grass where residents would maintain them.

Most importantly much much better protected cycle lanes and reduce dangerous pot holes

Better crossings for bikes and pedestrians eg crossing the lewisham/catford main road

Educate people that roads are for pedestrians and bikes as much as for cars/buses.

1 free parking permit max for each house hold/business. And a limit full stop of 1 per any business/household

Better public transport getting across south east London eg direct trains from hither green the Victoria.

Most importantly much much better protected cycle lanes and reduce dangerous pot holes

Better crossings for bikes and pedestrians eg crossing the lewisham/catford main road

Educate people that roads are for pedestrians and bikes as much as for cars/buses.

1 free parking permit max for each house hold/business.

Better public transport getting across south east London eg direct trains from hither green the Victoria.

Most people within the borough with breathing difficulties have ill health due to smoking or passive smoking. Especially affecting poorer families who still smoke due to anxiety & poor life style choices.

I believe your proposals would put more strain on poorer families & small businesses already struggling to make ends meet. Higher parking charges will also encourage people to shop elsewhere. Lewisham parking charges are already higher than Bromley. The controlled parking zones recently introduced already cause problems for businesses & people helping & visiting elderly residents. This all seems more a money making scheme for the council than caring for the welfare of residents!

Most traffic pollution in Lewisham comes from people who live out of the borough and drive in. It seems you are making lewisham residents pay for the damage caused by others.

Move the South Circular and pedestrianise Catford centre

Remove buses from lewisham centre and send all buses onto the A21 with road improvements for bus stops/lanes etc instead with better pedestrian crossings/bridge (with accessible lifts)

Increase the ULEZ to the entire borough (and request a funding hardback from the Mayor)

Better use of one way streets and no left/right turn signage to reduce rat running and keep cars on the main roads

Remove unnecessary traffic lights to keep traffic flowing or introduce roundabouts instead.

Reduce the number of bus stops so that idling bus times are reduced

Encourage car sharing schemes - perhaps allowing usage of bus lanes in certain areas.

Remove CPZ entirely from areas not impacted by shoppers and train stations

Moving traffic through South Circular Road is the main contributor to pollution rather than parked cars, Should be thinking and planning to create underpasses like in mainland Europe to help the flow of traffic and reduce start stop of passing traffic at traffic lights

Much more cycle infrastructure investment, segregated routes linking major centres and residential communities. Plus more on street secure cycle parking - particularly in areas that have older flat blocks which weren't designed to include cycle storage.

Street planting.

Closing roads around schools.

Review your school admission policy to minimise travel time for pupils.

Clean air policies in council contracts.

My car is low emissions i pay £30 after checking the new consultation the price goes up to £70. This is supporting people who buy low emissions instead the council is profiting by increasing permit cost for cars with low emissions!

My car is not a diesel but I do not think you should be retrospectively penalising people for decisions that they may have made in the past before the more harmful effects of diesel was recognised. It is a huge surcharge. I suggest that you only introduce the surcharge for new vehicles registered after the new policy is introduced.

My concern about the introduction of these surcharges is that they are happening too quickly and will disproportionately affect poorer residents who cannot afford to change their cars quickly. I would not have a problem with it if it was in line with the extension of the ULEZ and people therefore had more warning.

In addition develop safer cycling and walking options.

My diesel vehicle has a Euro 6 engine. I pay no car tax as a consequence. It has zero emissions. Why would you even consider taxing it when the government do not?

My main use of a car in Lewisham is to drive to Landmann Way Recycling Centre. If you offered a couple of free collections a year of bigger bits and pieces then I might not need to do that.

The other time I use frequently it is to travel to Tonbridge to visit family, down the A20. Train services from here to Kent are relatively poor, and we have to travel via central London (travelling back via our station of course!). Lewisham Council should support better connections at Orpington and Dartford onto fast trains - or semi-fast trains to Kent that call at Lewisham and a more regular service pattern, similar to the metroisation that TfL supports.

My responses to 8 above are governed by the fact that 'encouragement' to switch to less-polluting vehicles is a policy of stick without carrot. The aim is laudable; it is the means that I would question. See below.

My vehicle is only used on weekends to travel out to the coast. When the ulez is extended I will be charged for using the vehicle. Which of course is when it will be causing harm. I don't understand why I should pay more to park my vehicle when at this point it isn't moving and is causing zero omissions.

Need to recognise that whilst residents live in the borough, the vast majority work outside it and may need a car for that work. I have to travel daily to care for elderly parents who live in Berkshire. There is nowhere near to my house that I could charge an electric car and the Council really needs to work out how this can be sorted asap. Not all of us have gravel drives and space for our own chargers. I also think you should levy an increased charge on more than two cars per household. £50 as an excess charge for diesel drivers is far too

excessive in one go, it should scale up over a number of years £15, £25, £35 etc, and remember many bought cars because Govt policy encouraged them to buy diesel. What are you going to do about lorries in the borough as they are nearly all diesel and must be challenged.?

New diesel cars are not more harmful than petrol cars and this should be considered as the diesel surcharge is not fair in all cases (If the car is newer and therefore more environmentally friendly).

Plant more trees along roads and schools which help clean the air and protect those not in cars.

Encourage people to use public transport by making it more frequent, work with tfl to increase trains to the area.

Relevant authorities watching busy roads for the cars which obviously emit black fumes and fining those people.

Newer cars have less emissions, but they are bigger, so there will be less parking spaces on road's.

Also more brake dust and plastics from the tyres in the air.

So these bigger new cars aren't so great in your idea's.

More trees planted not too close to buildings so you won't have to start cutting them down in the future.

No drive days or like Mexico City - alternate no drive days....

No drop off zones within 3 roads of a school to encourage less traffic at school drop off times.

No idea as everything you do adds costs to the public

No idling zones. Penalties for owning more than one car per household.

Penalties for low fuel efficient vehicles.

No parking outside primary schools - they have a half mile catchment area.

More enforcement of pedestrian only zones. Stop mopeds using Lewisham shopping centre and parks - enforce regulations.

More safe cycle routes.

No smoking, better waste management, less fast food establishment - more considerate

No speed bumps

No stopping outside schools.

No sure, however, I don't think taxing car drivers more is fair they already pay extra insurance, petrol and tax

No traffic days , closing roads

Not all Diesel vehicles are the same in terms of pollution. Please reflect the different Diesel standards, including the recent EURO 6 standard in your assessment.

Not allow cars to drive through certain areas eg by schools

Not building school on the main road.

Not building block of flats near the main roads.

Not develop on green space, more trees, encourage less car use more cycle lanes.

Stop trucks coming into Lewisham at school times . Encourage walking to school

Not enough is done to encourage drivers to find alternative routes to get around Lewisham, seems most traffic passes through. Better main routes (eg into london, across the Thames) and signage would help.

More encouragement of cycling - its so dangerous at the new Lewisham

Gateway road system, no proper cycle routes were built when this new road layout was implemented.

Better consideration for pedestrians. Eg there are no crossings to the bus stops outside Suttons Radio on the High Street so people have to run the gauntlet. Also at the bottom of Belmont Hill there are no pedestrian lights and it's a blind spot. Road planners need to walk around Lewisham.

Build the Baker loo extension.

Not keep building extra housing to encourage more residents to live in the Borough.

Not more than double the price of parking permits.

Not penalising motorists yet again.

Motorists already pay tax & will most likely need to pay the ULEZ as well & then you want residents to pay on top of this as well, yet another tax for the motorists.

We need to also take a look at the recycling centres that give off toxic fumes especially when residents are asleep & dont notice! We also need to address other issues, not just the cars.

Not punish families who bought diesel cars under government advisement to do so. Absolutely encourage more electric vehicles and improve public services but don't use this as a money generator for the council. I will strongly oppose this

Not punish its residents financially.

Not sure. The borough is a dump.

Not using a car at all is key for reducing emmissions. What support/incentives/infrastructure is there for cyclists, pedestrians? For those wishing to 'downsize' to a (electric) motorbike, what support is there to prevent theft, park them, charge them.

Nothing drivers with diesel cars will have to pay £12.50 per day 365/366 days , any further charges will bring Lewisham to a end , this is just another way the council have jumped on the bandwagon

Nothing, absolutely nothing.

Nothing, leave it up to vehicle manufacturers who year on year are reducing emmissions from cars. Tackle poverty, homelessness and crime. Leave motorists alone!

Nothing. Lets see the impact of the ULEZ and then see if we still need to do anything.

Nothing. The ULEZ will change driving habits

Offer charging points to continue the incentive for electric car ownership. It is harder in Lewisham where most of us do not have off-street parking for easy charging.

Offer incentives for those who are on low incomes and can not afford to update their diesel for a newer vehicle.

Offer more free parking for electric vehicles and more charging points

Offer owners of cars that are old or diesel subsidies to purchase improved emission vehicles

Offer residents parking in the streets in Honor Oak, as many cars park in the streets near the station who are not residents

Offer trade ins for high emission vehicles for a discount on low emission vehicles, because the low income households are more likely to have older cars with higher emission. And imposing more cost on their financial is not likely to improve their wellbeing.

Run a project for individuals to trade their air pollutant causing vehicles for a discount on greener vehicles.

On- street charging for electric vehicles

On the spot fines for idling

Education of residents about the effects of air pollution

One way streets around the Hither Green area. Every rush hour cars are brought to standstill on roads such as Longhurst Road due to the roads not being wide enough for two cars. These cars are therefore forced to wait with their engines still running, causing significant pollution.

Only allow vans to go though Lewisham at certain times of the day

Only allowing 'approved' taxis. Ideally black cab.

Only give free travel to children who need to get a bus to school so that workers might actually want to get on a bus

Open back some of the roads that have been closed off in some areas as u have to drive round a lot longer which then contributes to the pollution as well.

Opposing the building of the free school on the recreation ground near Leegate (in Greenwich). The impact on traffic and emissions will be disastrous.

Organise free car days on weekends, starting with selected areas.

Our current car which is old will double our costs to park. We use our car twice a week and at weekends only on average. We cannot afford a newer car. You are taxing people who want a car but can't afford a new one. There is no "help" to purchase a newer car. You are not "helping" you are making people spend money.

Overall number of cars should be reduced, even electric. Brake pads are a significant source of particulate matter, no car is pollution free.

Overall the government has the ability to restrict and ban the use of high emission vehicles. They also have the power and authority to make London or certain parts of london pedestrian only. If the government really wanted to make a positive impact it would take more drastic action the would not just affect the poorer members of society and allow those who are more financially able to still being able to drive.

Owners of diesel vehicle's were actively encouraged by government to purchase doiesal cars in the not too distant past.

There should be a scrappage scheme introduced to take diesel cars off the road before LA introduce punitive charges.

Paid Residents parking everywhere with free visitor permits

Park and ride car parks as in e.g.Oxford ?

Find a way of reducing/diverting long distance journeys through Lewisham, clogging up A20 and A21, producing long traffic jams and ratruns through residential areas. Like Westway in West London.

Improve public transport links - Bakerloo line extension to edge as far as possible.

Parked cars do not cause air pollution. Our car is not used daily and rarely used to travel around the borough.

Your suggested policy will increase costs for Lewisham residents but not impact those who travel through Lewisham or to Lewisham from other boroughs.

Electric Buses.

Electric council vehicles.

Lower public transport fares for residents.

Cheaper parking for lower emission vehicles, not surcharges for others.

Higher business rates for companies that don't switch their vehicles to lower emission versions with a 5 year grace period until the rules kick in.

Tiered street parking rate and fines (e.g parking fines and street parking are reduced for lower emission vehicles)

Parked cars don't cause pollution - should work to reduce cars driving through the borough and traffic causing extra pollution.

Dirty diesels will be sufficiently penalised by the ULEZ.

Parking charges around hub stations inc new cross gate

Parking charges should be levied for non-residents 7 days a week so that we don't have large numbers of vehicles in random concentration (with idling engines due to congestion) in areas which happen to be free parking on Sundays - for example at the Clarendon Rise car park, vs. the multi-storey. Parking is not the problem, emissions in the area are driven by through traffic not residents. By your own numbers residents only account for a small number of the cars passing through the area.

Parking needs to be even cheaper than this for electric vehicles. They should do a scheme like Westminster, where electric vehicles only need to pay for 10 mins parking and can park for the rest of the day for free. The electric vehicle residents parking permits are too expensive in this proposal, they were only about £20 for this year, they have quadrupled in price in the proposal, this is not taking air pollution seriously or encouraging people to buy electric cars. Also, more charging points are desperately needed. The Source chargers are a con and are the most expensive, which is odd considering that is the government scheme, Polar and Pod Point are much cheaper and are sometimes free. Put charging points for residents in the lamp posts. Electric only parking on chargers needs to be enforced, regular petrol and diesel cars keep parking in them, and then people with electric cars cannot charge their cars, so then we break down.

Parking permits

Parking permits should not be used to pursue this policy agenda. It is unfair and discriminatory. Already large diesel vehicles pay more in Congestion charge, fuel tax and road tax. This would be purely a discriminatory revenue generating policy by the council. It would inappropriately disadvantage family car owners such as myself.

Parking vehicles is in my view less of an issue (ultimately during parking they don't emit CO2 or NOx).

Focus should be on commercial vehicles including buses that serve the borough and DRIVE actively through Lewisham, especially old diesel trucks including from abroad

pedestrian free zones where cars park outside the main shopping areas.

Pedestrian walk ways only in main market areas, plant more near schools and heavy built areas

Pedestrian zones.

Pedestrianise areas

Pedestrianise areas where there is a constant influx of people

Pedestrianise more areas.

Ban cars from parking near schools, including school run cars.

Make idling illegal.

Pedestrianise more streets in Lewisham central
Pedestrianise outside Laurence House
Pedestrianise places instead of charging people. Everything is always about government getting money. Sick of it. Wages aren't going up. How are we supposed to live?
Pedestrianise some parts
Improve bus service
Plant trees and make planting a regular school activity
Pedestrianise some streets in centre if possible or around parks

Plant more trees, ideally indigenous to uk to benefit wildlife

Potentially spread out busses as so many in Lewisham.

Pedestrianise the centre of Lewisham.

Pedestrianised District centres.

Encourage tree planting

Penalise gas guzzlers and 4x4s, which are not necessary in a city. Improve local parking. Get rid of resident only parking areas. Increase availability of disabled parking areas.

Penalise households with more than one car. Incremental charging for each subsequent car should be introduced.

Penalise older cars

Have patrols to book cars that park without permits in residents places

Penalise people who drive into the Lewisham area and park in the neighbouring streets to railway stations heaviest, if possible. They jam up our back streets and must be a heavy contributor to bad air quality in the peak times.

Parents driving children to schools and collection times. I personally try to avoid driving at these times if possible. The streets again become very congested, perhaps they should be penalised.

Penalise those people coming into the borough. We pay a lot to live this close to London yet we get penalised for those who makes savings and drive in. Lots of trades cause the pollution - not the person in Lewisham who uses a car twice at a weekend.

Penalise those who leave their engines running at the school gates. Think about more walkways for people away from busy roads. Often it's young families, with children and pushchairs at exhaust height who are exposed as they are journeying to nursery and school. Not to mention pregnant women.

Penalising diesel car owning residents is an inappropriate and probably unlawful measure. This is double penalisation by Lewisham when the ULEZ measures are already being brought in to address that specific problem. Attaching a penalty to parking is also perverse. Stationary cars do not produce pollution. This is a cynical revenue generation measure

Penalties for vehicles that sit with their engines idling.

People need transport to get about. People come to the borough to spend money which is important for the borough. You are trying to penalise the very people that need their transport. We already pay taxes on wages, vat, car insurance, congestion charge, additional emission tax for the city. How about cutting useless staff who don't do their job properly. Provide free transport within the borough for people especially the elderly to get about then there would be no need for people to use their cars so much. Permits were brought in to stop non residents parking and travelling to work. It's now become a money spinner. Be realistic. You're taxing people to death with these stealth

tax. Also stop using the guise of air pollution to tax people unnecessarily. People including myself changed to diesel because the Government said it was better for the environment. Now they're saying it's the cause of pollution. Catford is practically dead. Hardly anyone uses the car park next to Tesco because of the excessive charges. Many people I know now travel to Peckham and Deptford and Camberwell. Catford used to be vibrant and it's slowly being eroded by council bureaucracy. People are already paying hefty council tax.

Perhaps a staged approach for diesel owners as the original government message advising people to buy diesel was incorrect. Tricky to punish people who bought diesel based on government advice who maybe now cannot afford to change.

Perhaps concentrating on positive efforts - say by attempting to improve public transport links, or providing positive financial incentives for the worst polluters to upgrade their vehicles (e.g. businesses operating trucks and vans in the borough) - would give better results than further taxing the public.

Perhaps diesel cars should not be sold. A more favourable scrappage allowance should be made to encourage one to change their car as people do not have excess money to change their car or pay extra for parking.

More electric points should be made available

Perhaps have designed better road layouts especially by the Lewisham station area which is awful in rush hour.

Perhaps improve the public transport, introduce more electric vehicles. Use fewer vehicles for council services.

Perhaps it would be an idea to create a surcharge on addresses with more than one residents permit. I.e a second permit is +£50 a third is +£150 a fourth +£300 and so on.

Perhaps more greenery - the Borough doesn't seem as green as some others? Permit cost factors should also include amount of vehicle usage.

i.e. we have a car, but we only use it for occasional weekend drives, generally going out of London. VS a person with a similar sized vehicle who drives their car daily.

Phase this in to take effect in 2025. People bought diesel cars in good faith and now the research is indicating that govt policy needs to change. In my case this would be a 257% increase and not acceptable.

pick on all car users

Place parking permits around schools in the borough so parents can't park right next to the school during drop off and pick up. Lots leave their engines running and is a danger to children's health.

Plant a lot more trees and foliage in the borough to combat the CO2 emissions

Plant as many trees as possible

Plant as many trees as possible and create/keep open spaces that are well looked after.

plant better trees than the almost -dead, uncared for ones that have TPOs not them.

Stop parents driving right up to ANY school in the borough, (St Matthew Academy on St Josephs Vale is a particular problem with cars ruining the greenery along the Vale, engines always running - all unnecessary as all pupils could come via public transport!

Change your buses to greener engines.

Stop increasing electrical car charging points, as electric cars are damaging the environment far more with the battery materials being mined for them

Plant better trees that help produce better air rather than place TPO on trees just because they've been around for a while

Plant lots more trees please

Plant lots more trees, especially surrounding schools

Plant lots more trees.

Consider when best to do roadworks I.e. outside peak hours. Sometimes it seems the road works aren't particularly necessary.

Remove coned areas if the works aren't actually in action.

plant many more trees

stop building large scale housing projects by main roads

Plant more

Plant more and do more to protect green spaces e.g. Tidemill

Plant more CO2 absorbing plants around schools

Plant more greenery

Have 'living walls' on council run buildings and living accommodation

Retro-fit solar panels on all council operated property.

Ensure traffic flows freely by synchronising traffic signals to stop the enormous tailbacks during rush hour

Remove speed humps to stop constant deceleration and acceleration

Plant more plants in residential streets and along roadsides as these make for a more attractive environment and absorb CO2.

They also encourage wildlife

Plant more plants in walkways, on roofs and in area's available. Stop constantly building large blocks of flats that bring in all of the heavy diesel vehicles into the borough.

Plant more plants, bushes , trees etc alongside main roads.

Plant above things alongside school fences to help protect the children.

Plant more roadside trees

School buses. It's amazing how free the roads are during school holidays!

Plant more street and park trees and encourage national rail and other landowners to do likewise. Make more free space around the new build flats and central lewisham.

plant more street trees

Plant more street trees

Educate children about the importance of healthy lifestyles and making the right choices!

plant more trees

Plant more trees
Plant more trees
plant more trees
plant more trees
plant more trees
Plant more trees
Plant more trees
Plant more trees
Plant more trees
plant more trees
Plant more Trees - on streets, in parks, etc.
Offer incentives in terms of lower council tax or some other benefit for people to grow trees.
Discourage strongly anyone from cutting down trees.
Promote cycling & walking
Plant more trees - streets and roads should become tree lined boulevards!

Build more street side electric car charging points - not enough so hard to persuade people to convert electric when it is hard to charge!

Increased cycle lanes.

Ask the police to do more checks to reduce speed and reduce pollution.
Plant more trees
Have plants/trees potted in very large Wheeley bin type containers that the council can move to affected areas week by week
Don't allow lorries to pass through the south circular during rush hour when traffic is busiest
Have wider walk ways for pedestrians walking alongside the polluted roads
Give parking attendants the ability to fine car users who leave their engines running at school pick ups
Campaign in schools for parents to not drive and provide bikes for children
Provide a reconditioned bike giveaway for residents of Lewisham
Provide lung capacity testing in the Catford centre weekly so
Residents can become
More aware of the health implications to them
Plant more trees / greenery, particularly those species that are particularly good at absorbing pollution.

Promote / encourage take up of clean energy options by residents / businesses.

Work with South Eastern railways etc... to introduce flexible tickets (e.g. part-time season tickets) that will encourage more sustainable commuting patterns.

Work with Network Rail to improve accessibility at stations (e.g. Hither Green), which currently prevents those with mobility issues using this option and therefore to be reliant on cars.

Encourage initiatives / opportunities for home / flexible working to reduce commuting.

Plant more trees along busy roads

Plant more trees along the main roads, replant the trees that have been removed for residential development.

Prevent side-streets and housing estates being used as 'rat-runs' to avoid queueing on the main roads i.e. Sayes Court Estate in Deptford used to avoid Creek Road/Evelyn Street.

Plant appropriate pollutant absorbing trees like London Plane along the main roads.

Plant more trees along the roads and limit big trucks access until after school "travel time"

Plant more trees along the south circular, stop high emission buses from using Lewisham garages eg Catford bus garage and waste collection service vehicles

Plant more trees and conserve all green space areas

Plant more trees and create more parks

Plant more trees and encourage people to do so

Discourage the making of driveways for parking in front gardens

Plant more trees and force home developers to create greenery spaces within developments that they will be looking after

Plant more trees and green space rather than building yet more high rise flats.

Plant more trees and green spaces

Plant more trees and invest in green spaces and pocket gardens - there are many opportunities for this. Address unnecessary wait times at traffic lights causing unnecessary congestion - congested traffic is more polluting. A parked car is hardly an issue in comparison. Be actively involved in London city airport expansion and aeroplane pollution over the borough. Efforts should be put into things that are causing a real issue not into an easy money generating 'solution'.

This idea sounds like a money making opportunity rather than an actual attempt to solve air pollution. Where and how will the money raised from these charges be used / go to in order to further improve environmental pollution? Plant more trees and plants - they take carbon out of the air.

Minimise vehicle use - better cycling incentives, more areas to lock bikes safely. Reward scheme for using public transport.

Plant more trees and plants! Along roads, on sides and roofs of buildings.

Encourage residents also to make green roofs on their properties.

Plant more trees and plants, invest in the buses, invest in current and new green spaces, discourage use of retail parks such as Bell Green by car, promote street closures around schools for drop off and pick up, discourage private hire taxi companies from driving around while waiting for a fare, give grants to help lower income families who depend on cars to buy electric or hybrid cars and charging points in those areas

Plant more trees and provide better transport in the area. The buses and trains are overcrowded.

Plant more trees and replace trees in streets that are removed

Plant more trees and shrubs along busy roads

Plant more trees and shrubs along the roadsides. Enforce on idling more, especially near schools. Make parking more difficult and close some roads off to cars. Improve cycle routes. Public health campaign to remind people that they are not stuck in a traffic jam, they ARE the traffic jam.

Plant more trees and stop building on green spaces (tidemill nature reserve)

Plant more trees and stop building so many flats
Plant more trees and stop destroying wildlife gardens such as Tidemill
Plant more trees especially around all our excellent schools.

The 20mph limit should be helping.

Encouraging people to walk more and not use their cars.
Plant more trees especially in new cross area
Plant more trees in open spaces.
Plant more trees in the borough and create more green spaces. Make the area better for people to walk in. Discourage driving by making public transport cheaper and more appealing. Create safer cycle routes. Enforce speed restrictions on roads via any means (increase police checks, cameras, volunteer speed camera teams). Ban diesel vehicles from driving to schools during drop off and pick up times, also from highly pedestrianised areas like shopping highstreets.
Plant more trees in the residential streets and the parks
Plant more trees on residential roads.
Plant more trees rather than build more high rise flats.
Plant more trees to absorb the carbon dioxide in the air.
Electric/emission friendly cars should be phased in when people change their cars.
Government should help those in need to replace their car.
Plant more trees to help reduce carbon emissions
Plant more trees which Lewisham Council has failed to replace since allowing a free for all to purchase land for so called rehousing and stop selling former social housing land off!

Also LC should revise the enforcement hours 9am -7PM is too long. Should be 9- 6PM.

Stop giving planning permission for developers to build “stack it high and sell it high” homes for private housing as high density housing increases air pollution!

Use instead the extortionate council tax increase to subsidise air quality reduction projects.

Be more thoughtful about traffic calming measures e.g Sangley Rd which causes regular delays/congestion due to having larger Buses etc being frequently stuck.
plant more trees

re-route south circular

improve public transport links to south West London

Stop cars cutting through Wildfell road
Plant more trees
Have plant walls near or in children’s schools
Plant more trees
Open the new Bakerloo line tube station so that people can go to work by tube.

Introduce more cycle lanes
Pedestrianise streets in the town centre
Plant more trees
Provide people with incentives to walk/cycle
pLANT MORE tree's
relocate the south circular so it does not run directly through the heart of Lewisham (Catford, forest Hill)
Plant more trees!
Plant more trees! Impossible I know as the country is over populated and not enough accommodation so I get why lots of properties are built sorry no ideas, you're in a catch 22 but I don't believe this is the right way to go about it!
plant more trees,
Plant more trees, at Hilly Fields, and elsewhere
Plant more trees, better bike lanes.
Plant more trees, build less high rise flats encouraging thousands of new people and new cars without first improving the transport links. Bakerloo line etc...

Encourage motorcycles not charge them 70 for a residents permit! This is simply a money making exercise.
Plant more trees, bushes along the roads
Plant more trees, create more green spaces
Plant more trees, develop more green spaces. Lewisham Shopping centre is a case in point.
Plant more trees, especially in new developments. These tend to have hard landscaping with relatively low green spaces.
Target key vehicles which are travelling through the borough, such as intercity buses, transport trucks etc.
Plant more trees, extend the tube so better transport links.
Plant more trees, green screening south circular
Plant more trees, have plants, wild flowers and hedges on roundabouts and central reservations on the roads
plant more trees, hedges etc, argue for the extension of the UELZ going forward. Also develop better cycling routes.

I also would have waited with this consultation until the UELZ is coming in to be able to better judge the impact.

Plant more trees, including expanding landscaping around Lewisham city centre.

The emission zone may not necessarily improve air quality, in that it will merely concentrate emissions around the south circular, which intersects the large part of the borough of Lewisham anyway. At least plant more trees alongside larger roads like the a205 and the dual carriageway around Lewisham.

Rethink how easy it is for people to convert front gardens of predominantly Victorian terraces into driveways, which currently incentivises people to own cars and creates traffic and parking problems to neighbours.

Incentivise new builds to include green roofs and solar panelling in the borough. Incentivise use of electric cars with more charging points available,; rethink use of buses for public transport, considering reimplementing electric trams. Pedestrianise more of the Borough, and make it safer and easier to use bicycles and electric bikes.

Plant more trees, install more electric vehicle charging points.....

Plant more trees, introduce more air quality improvement initiatives
Plant more trees, introduce more green areas in urban parts of London to tackle the gases- trees can help process the harmful fumes
Plant more trees, lobby for existing tree protections to be made stronger, encourage residents to retain and restore front gardens, public education re air pollution and climate change with creative and local responses, practical explanations about current and future waste disposal methods
Plant more trees, make rail and bus fares lower. Extend tube lines
Not penalise drivers for driving if public transport doesn't improve
Plant more trees, more dedicated cycle lanes
Plant more trees, support local streets with tree planting.
Plant more trees, trees decrease carbon dioxide in the air. I've been noticing a lot of cutting down of trees in the area which is actually worse for carbon dioxide levels, yet you think its better to force people to pay more in an attempt that will convince them to buy a more economical car. That won't happen because people will just end up paying more as its more convenient then saving up or getting a loan to pay for a new more economical car. So you won't be making much difference this way, but you'll definitely make more money, which it seems like this is what your actual mission is, not the actual health of residents. Hope you plan on using the extra income into investing into something better for the area.
Plant more trees,
Get behind the bakerloo line extension
Improve the roads.
More cycle lanes
Stop providing permission for large high-rises buildings in the centre of Lewisham.
Plant more trees.
Improve public transport links.
Plant more trees. Build fewer high rise buildings. Have more electric buses. Have more renewable energy powering public buildings Use less fossil fuels for Council buildings. Give people hybrid cars in exchange for their old ones. Stop lorries going through the borough. Plant more trees.
Plant more trees. Encourage people to use less electricity (which includes smartphone use) bec ultimately production of electricity causes air pollution which affects the whole country & world.
Plant more trees. Impose fines on idling vehicles.
Have car free days
Encourage people to walk to and from work and school where possible.
Plant more trees. Incentives to help people change their diesel cars. Diesel cars were original sold as being cleaner than petrol.
Plant more trees. Keep green places not build on them. Have a scrappage scheme or loan at a cheeper rate than banks ect to help residents buy a new car.
More electricity charging points.
Plant more trees. My road has relatively few.
Move more rapidly toward electric buses

It is unreasonable to expect greater use of electric vehicles without expanded charging infrastructure.

Restrict heavy vehicle traffic to all but main corridors

Actually enforce burning of wood and coal in homes other than in approved log burners.

Plant more trees. Trees help to absorb pollutants.

<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/science-environment-37813709>

All roads need to be lined with trees, especially busy ones like the south circular and outside schools and other public places.

Plant more trees.

Cut down on new homes.

Better cycling lanes.

Plant more trees.

Fix the junction which used to be a roundabout near the station. causing traffic = more pollution.

Stop making all the roads 20 because it slows down traffic. Causing buildup = more pollution.

Plant more trees.

Encourage government to find ways of helping owners of older cars to be able to afford to buy newer, less polluting, cars.

Plant more trees.

Extension of the DLR/Overground/Underground further into the borough - areas such as Catford & Bellingham are not well served - national rail trains are more expensive and less frequent.

Plant more trees.

Improve the capacity on trains to avoid overcrowding.

Plant more trees.

Improve the reliability of buses etc

Stop filling up every spare centimetre of land with more and more housing bringing in more and more people causing more and more pollution and strains on services that can't cope now. More people more pollution doh!!!!

Plant more trees.

Preserve green spaces.

Plant more trees.

Reduce the congestion on South circular by road - widening and traffic light phasing being altered.

Plant more Trees. Along Lee high Road .Our Street. Is just off The main Road so I get lot of pollution.it is one of the worst RAT RUNS.trees help clean the Air.it does not cost much to put in .IF this was west London .There would be Trees everywhere.and not RAT Run .streets polluting.every thing .in our street you can not leave windows open .when there a lot of cars on the main road or the Rat Run two.

Plant more trees.Protect existing trees.

Plant more trees

Plant more.

Plant some trees, restrict the amount of traffic in built up areas.

Plant trees

Plant trees and create more green spaces

Plant trees and green hedges at pollution hotspots
Plant trees rather than cut them down
Plant trees wherever possible
Plant trees
Change buses & council vehicles to electric
Plant trees
Enforce speed limits and speed limiters on commercial and council vehicles.
Reduce emissions from stationary vehicles
Plant trees
Filter air
Plant trees, electric buses, restrict traffic on weekends
Planting more mature trees that will contribute to absorb CO2 and emit more oxygen
Planting more trees?
Planting of more trees if possible.
Plant more trees!
Play and drop off streets at Schools
School buses
More greenery by roads
Reroute the south circular going through the centre
More cycling paths that are safe
Idling charges, could use parking wardens etc
Free filters etc for those in the centre
Please consider action around schools. A simple measure would be a blanket ban on all cars parking within a specified distance e.g. 200 metres of any school in the borough during the relevant time. Hopefully this might encourage more active travel but more importantly protect children from idling engines etc

Help schools and community buildings to measure air pollution and make this info visible and public to help increase awareness

Produce walking and cycling maps for the borough, available online

Sort out cycle lanes especially in central Lewisham

Stop providing parking spaces e.g. at glass mill. This is a leisure centre, people should be traveling in an active way to get there
Please could you install EV infrastructure to encourage more residents to move to electric vehicles.

Please also have a navigable map on the council website as to where to finding charging points.

It would be good to further offer discounted permits for those who own EVs.

Please talk to major retailers in Lewisham about their corporate fleet. Publicly listed companies can sign up to an initiative called EV100 which is a commitment to move to 100% electric vehicles by 2030. Lewisham council could also look at its own fleet and go electric.
please encourage cycling, walking and use of public transport. all petrol/ diesel cars/ vans pollute heavily and should be discouraged.
Please introduce a CPZ in the Culverley Green area and surrounding streets for two reasons:

1) Parking in our streets has now reached epic proportions, with even those of us who have off street parking often unable to get out of our driveways.

2) The extension of the ULEZ will mean that our streets just outside the ULEZ will become a rat run of the most polluting vehicles trying to avoid it.

Please note: we are grandparents driving from the village of Coxheath, south of Maidstone in Kent to look after our toddler grandson in Further Green Road, Catford. We have a modern efficient diesel car. Public transport is not a viable option at present to get to our son's house in time to look after our grandson to enable our son & daughter in law to work. Once parked in the area we walk & use public transport before driving back home at the end of the day.

Please please encourage some electric car clubs to set up in a Lewisham. I would sign up immediately.

Also need vastly improved safety for cyclists, that would allow my 11 and 12 year olds to cycle to school and clubs.

Safe bike storage (primarily at the stations) too - the appalling theft and vandalism rates put many people off even trying.

Please remember that a lot of us bought diesel cars on government advice that they were better for the environment. Some of us are trapped until we can afford to change our car, so give us fair notice if you're going to penalise us.

You are probably right to do so and I will get a lower emission car as soon as I can.

Please sort out the Catford bottleneck!

Please work with Transport for London to vastly improve the frequency and efficiency of buses within borough. This will really help. Create new cycle lanes could help too.

Police patrol should be hourly 24h

Pollution coming from other sources like plane flying over and factories...

Unless it is a broader decision though all borough of London, I do not think the air condition will improve dramatically in Lewisham borough. Also, if you want to encourage people to take public transport perhaps reduce the cost of the transport

Pollution generated by buses, businesses and other sources. Cars only account for 33% according to your own statistics. What is being done about the other 67% which obviously present a much higher risk to air quality and pollution.

Pollution is caused by cars being stuck in traffic. If traffic were phased to ease the movement of traffic the amount of exhaust would decrease.

Possibly get less stand still traffic problems around the south circle. People using the station have to cross a busy fast road which is usually completely backed up with cars etc

Possibly introduce a Park & Ride incentive. One fare for the day. Collect a bus in Catford, Greenwich, Blackheath then bus into Lewisham. Park your car then jump on the bus.

Press for ULEZ to be extended to all greater London. Monitor air quality in area just outside new ULEZ. Council tax for second vehicles in a household.

Fine people stopping to drop children off at school - makes pollution worse and area more dangerous for children whose parents are less selfish or are poorer so don't drive.

Press TfL to introduce fully electric/hydrogen powered buses. Press the London Assembly to charge black cabs and cleaner hybrid/electric private hire vehicles equally.

Prevent 'rat-race', cut-through traffic in neighbourhood residential areas, introducing one-way system, or no-entrance/cul-de-sac... so traffic is redirected to main roads.

Albacore Crescent is perfect example: traffic (and pollution) increased a lot in recent years, this (once upon a time lovely quiet street) is now used as short cut avoiding South Circular/Catford.

Plant trees on some residential streets to create greener more environmentally friendly place.

Prevent idling vehicles, improve cycling infrastructure (more segregated routes, more quietways, wider cycle lanes, improvements to junction safety such as filtered lights for cyclists, more cycle hoops and lockable cycle storage, allow garages/pram stores etc. on estates to be used by residents for cycle storage, encourage better behaviour by drivers around cyclists, take road rage and other cycling incidents/accidents seriously, allow clearly marked contraflow cycling down one-way streets, ensure that driver nimbyism is not prioritised above cyclist safety in planning decisions, help schools support cycling, help residents get access to cycles), discourage use of wood-burning stoves, encourage HGV use outside rush hour, and push for the extension of the Bakerloo line along Old Kent Road, lead initiatives to create more pedestrian friendly routes, plant more trees

Prioritise walking and cycling routes over motor vehicles, with proper segregated cycle lanes and pedestrian/cycle priority over motor vehicles. Close residential roads to through motor traffic. Enforce no idling rule. More publicity about the dangers of pollution from woodstoves, vehicle exhausts.

Promote and support schools and commercial buildings to plant greenery on the sides of buildings and above fencing to help cleanse, capture and block harmful emissions.

Promote cycle to work schemes, discounted bikes or offers for local authority employees

promote cycling and alternative methods of transport, provide alternative routes for cars, - build roads that would help to combat the traffic jams - stagnate traffic which causes more pollution. slowing down traffic it causes more pollution, due to engines being less efficient in lower gears causing higher fuel consumption and higher pollution.

You should encourage people to have their cars parked. and take the public transport or cycle or a walk, don't make parking more difficult. People that own cars have them for a reason. not to mentioned that Sydenham is ant eh entire south east London has poor communication links, without any tube.

Put in more hybrid\ electric busses, force taxis to be more efficient.

Promote cycling routes and sustainable transport.

Promote cycling, build safer separate cycle lanes which are segregated from normal traffic

Promote the use of electric cars

Promote use of public transport and purchase of electric vehicles. Install more charging points for electric cars.

Promote walking for short journeys in conjunction with public health and mental well being initiatives (but with govt cuts I'm guessing you don't have much money for that). Promote greener walks and highlight the little back paths and surprising green areas that we have in the borough that you can live half a mile away and not be aware of.

Work with LT to promote buses and ensure that everyone knows they can change bus for no additional cost.

Pilot a car-sharing scheme for residents for when they go shopping getting the supermarkets/retail park retailers on board to promote it (perhaps they could

offer a free or discounted coffee for people while they're waiting for the others to finish their shopping). Maybe it needs an app where people say they're going to Sainsbury's by car on Saturday morning, room for one more in such and such area. I suppose there might be safety issues (sad world we live in) though.

You're already doing car free day, I hope it's a success and if so perhaps there could be 2 a year.

Building in car-aware messages in schools. It used to be that we all turned 17 and learned to drive with the expectation of owning a car (I didn't grow up in London though). I think we need to start seeing a car as a luxury (and yes, I know for a lot of people it is already that) and not a right, so more like, yes you can have a car but you need to know that it's convenient but not necessarily good for the world if it runs on petrol/diesel.

Protect green spaces such as the Tidemill Gardens

Plant more trees

Improve the flow of traffic, to cut down on congestion.

Provide a car update scheme funded by the government, in an ideal world petrol and diesel cars would never have existed. However, they have, and now you're expecting residents to upgrade their cars using money they don't have and by making them pay the price if they can't by hitting them with a huge levy, that feels like it won't change anything but will pile money into the councils pockets. As a cyclist, I rarely use any form of transport, I use my car to get my watersport equipment to other parts of the country and hardly ever drive around Lewisham to 'go to the shops', so why should I pay extra to have my car sit and make no pollution?

Get rid of the old black cabs and buses that I feel the affects of most when cycling. Not private cars.

Provide a grant for people to buy lower emission cars.

Provide a scheme where residents could get an electric charging point installed outside their homes to encourage purchase of electric cars or provide each street with a dedicated car charging point to be shared by residents

Provide an extensive network of electric charging points throughout the borough to encourage switchover to electric cars

Provide an incentive by giving each family an allowance to support them in getting a car that will help the environment.

Provide an incentive for those who can not afford a newer car but need it.

Take back the parking permits from Ringo and regulate parking more.

Get a team together and have cars and Van's removed which are not insured or taxed off and out of residential car parks, garages and off road parking

Provide an infrastructure to charge electric cars. There is no such capability.

Offer me an incentive or compensation scheme to redress the cost and loss you are forcing on me to sell my diesel car.

Have a transport infrastructure that actually worked for all journeys in the local area. It is r politic al gains among the most disjointed, random and poor in LONDON.

Charge for use vs parking. If I own a diesel car and domino drive it - why should I pay more - there is no logic it to it.

Remove all the lorries and diesel buses from the area - these are not covered by your charge or your policy.

Pull this consolation as it is clearly not being run and researched fairly. It is a complete and utter political snow job to grab money for political aims with no attempt beyond fines of a policy to address the issue.

Provide better bus services, advertise free cycling lessons more widely.
Require every TFL bus coming to the borough to be either electric, hybrid or petrol. Lobby government to provide more help to residents buying electric or hybrid vehicles. If I thought a penny collected from these new charges will be spent on improving air quality I might be persuaded but we all know the current financial situation.

Provide better information for residents and visitors to the borough via more signage posts

Provide better public transport especially along the old kent road

Provide better availability of electric car charging points

Ensure that resident parking zones are present around stations to prevent the borough from been used as a free park and ride (currently occurs around Brockley)

Provide bike hangars on residential streets for cyclist to have somewhere to store their bikes. One hangar, that takes up the space roughly of one parking space, would house a number of bikes.

Signs on main arterial roads when there is gridlock traffic asking cars to turn their engines off.

Parking wardens also fining cars that leave their engines running while stationary.

Offering a scheme whereby the council helps home owners remove the concrete / stone slabs in their front gardens in order to access the soil to promote the planting of plants and trees.

Pushing to have the ULEZ include and go beyond the south circular.

Plant more street trees, currently there is a cap on this of 230 a year. There is the demand and funds to increase this and it is very needed.

Plant street trees and green walls near main roads protecting pedestrians who are forced to walk nearby

Provide charging points for electric vehicles

Provide charging points so that people could consider changing to an electric vehicle.

Provide effective and safe cycle lanes on main routes. Commuting cyclists are unlikely to use back roads as they are likely to be slower and possibly more unsafe as they are often narrow with parked cars on either side

Provide electric car charging points. We would like to buy an electric car but have no way of charging it as we don't have off street parking.

Provide electric charging for cars in residential areas

Electrify council vehicles rather than going straight for the pockets of residents

Invest in carbon re-capture

Clamp down on people modifying diesel cars to remove filters that limit emissions.

Provide more pathways away from roads and work with Austrians to give more cycling routes

Provide electric charging for those without off street parking. Restrict rat runs through neighbourhood streets.

Provide electric charging points to encourage the use of electric cars.

Provide financial help/a scrappage scheme for old vehicles, particularly for people who have very little money and cannot afford to buy new lower emissions vehicles.

Provide free face masks to those worst affected.

Provide free or subsidised public transport, provide grants to buy bicycles

Phase out deisler cars, not penalise current owners, the government spent millions promoting the shift to deisler only to change their minds and leave small business owners like me to potentially foot the bill with money I don't have

Provide grants or incentives for residents to own lower emission vehicles

Provide help to residents and small businesses who rely on a car but cannot afford to replace their model with a cleaner one

Provide incentives for car owners who have older petrol cars or were mis sold diesel cars rather than penalising them. Perhaps the extra surcharge can come in when the ULEZ does as people are aiming for that date to change cars.

Keep community gardens and stop constantly building houses. Be consistent with policies rather than become environmentally friendly for publicity purposes.

Provide incentives for purchase of non diesel and electric cars eg vouchers with popular retailers. Establish extensive infrastructure for electricity supply for electric cars.

PROVIDE LESS CAR PARKING near shops , stations , leisure , etc

Increase cycle parking tenfold

Convert on-road spaces to cycle parking - as per other LBs

Provide SECURE cycle parking at stations - LBL has none !!

Reduce free parking significantly - there is way too much

Reduce time allowed for free by shops etc (LBL recently increased it !!)

Increase parking charges significantly

Supermarket car parking should NOT be free

Cycle parking at supermarkets is pathetic

Provide more and safe cycle lanes.

Provide more charging points for electric cars

Provide more charging points for electric vehicles & clamp or remove cars with combustion engines that park in those spaces.

All future traffic planning in the borough should focus on making walking & cycling the easiest options and driving the most difficult. This should include speed limits, segregated cycle paths, traffic light timings that prioritise foot & cycle traffic.

Provide more electric car charging points & help with fitting electric car charging points to residents homes.

Provide more electric charging points. Improve bike lanes. Plant more trees.

Provide more electric vehicle charging points. The lack of anywhere to charge an electric car affected our choice of vehicle when replacing our cars recently.

provide more facilities for residents so that they can switch to electric cars, more street charging points but also a safe means for residents to charge cars from their homes with on street parking

Provide more infrastructure to support people changing to electric cars - I would like to but currently don't feel that I can due to both cost of vehicle and the lack of charging infrastructure

Provide more parking spaces, we have parking spaces in forest hill that are free for half an hour which is nice until you need a space for longer than that. The car then has to be moved causing unnecessary emissions whilst finding another parking space

Provide people with discount for greener cars and buy diesels from owners. Its easy to say just buy Tesla! But I dont have 40k

Provide positive rather than punitive (money-making?) incentives to drivers.

E.g. more electric car charging points, free parking for electric cars.

provide proper cycle routes so residents have a real alternative instead of using their cars

it's not enough to introduce new scaling charges for parking or to have a surcharge for diesel vehicles...

alternative means of transport need to be facilitated, supported with new infrastructure in place

e.g.

- a proper cycle route to Lewisham station
- more places to park or store safely bicycles (cyclehoop)
- cycle lanes, or equivalent

Provide residents without an annual car permit the same 10 hours free parking available to annual car permit holders to encourage the use of shared cars (such as zip car).

In veda rd the council cut down a tree and appear to have replaced it with a parking bay - this is completely the opposite of improving air quality

Provide safe cycling lanes

Provide safer cycle lanes.

Plant and maintain more trees.

Provide charging points for electric vehicles.

provide school transport so that parents don't have to do school runs (don't have to have cars);

Provide a online plateform / services for people to share their journeys or offer rides to earn credits which can off set some or whole surcharges ;

Provide more flexible jobs - working from home to save unnecessary journys by cars;

New Models of diesel cars have very low emissions of NOx than old petrol cars, so Council should not charge all disels cars the same amount of surcharges; the surcharge should be linked to anuual roadtax band which reflect the emissions of Nox and other articles

Surcharges should be linked to annual mileage too: high mileage band pay high surcharge

Provide support and financial incentives to allow those with diesel cars to purchase low emission vehicles. Another, way is to encourage or even fine people that leave their vehicles idol whilst stationary. There are loads of other ways to promote cleaner air which doesn't involve infringing on poorer residents finances.

Provide support to upgrade residents' vehicles through grants and low rate loans.

Provide us with EV points.

Apparently we live in an area (St Johns) where Lewisham Planning refuse to allow us to have them.

Stop cutting trees down for developments. The Victorians had more respect for trees than Lewisham Council.

Stop entrances to Primary Schools being built on main, polluted roads.

Lewisham do not take pollution seriously. This survey is useless. I am stunned that Lewisham are pretending to care.

Provide Widespread residential electric charging points in the borough
Provide with secure storage for bikes, plant more trees (there is none in my street!)

Providing advice of suitable efficient cars and ways of improving owner's current cars before any changes are implemented, base charge on which residents have been living in the borough the longest should be entitled to cheaper rates.

Provision of cycling infrastructure in the borough is generally poor, with a few notable exceptions, and roads in the borough are hostile to cycling for vulnerable potential users and full of barriers to journeys across the borough. In many locations pedestrian provision is poor, making getting around slow, unpleasant and difficult

Public transport which is constantly on the move needs to be clean energy
Make all public transport battery or green energy

Publicise rules about bonfires on private property, and reduce times these are permissible.

Publicise the possibility of having bike hangars installed - I am getting one.

Plant more plants along the strip of grass along Lewisham high street to absorb more CO2. Allocate funds to planting trees. Encourage residents to plant in front gardens and make it illegal to completely pave front gardens over.

Incentivise use of car clubs. Form walking groups to walk kids to school.

Publish maps of where the worse spots are so that pedestrians can avoid them if possible

Be the first borough to take a strong line on buses and taxis

Control times when lorries and vans can be on the road

Purchase of diesel cars was promoted as a positive a few years ago.

Less affluent residents tend to have older cars so are more likely to be running diesels.

Consequently the less affluent are more likely to be affected by the proposals as they do not necessarily have the money to buy newer less polluting cars.

Do the council have any info on the number of residents in the borough running diesels & their usual mileage?

Push for an extended ULEZ that covers the whole of the borough. Encourage and (where possible) invest in electric charging points to encourage Lewisham to be a leader in electric vehicle ownership. Implement specific measures immediately outside schools that ban heavy/goods vehicles/lorries for using the roads in ANY circumstances.

Push for the Bakerloo to Lewisham and into Catford

put air purifiers in the places where air pollution is marked higher. similar to the type they have in operation in China

Put back trees and plants. Stop building high rise flats as this enclosed the air instead of free roam up to the sky. Stop picking on diesels cars. Newer diesel cars are cleaner than petrol and more economical. Forcing poor wages people in the borough to pay more for what as we don't get our moneys worth from

the council as is. We live in a dirty disgusting area from food and rubbish that are left behind by the bin men and road sweeper. Clean up the streets will go along way to helping clean the air as the dust will be reduced
Put in more electric car charging points, to encourage people to move to electric cars.

Put in more electric charging points for cars

Put in protected cycle lanes along main roads such as Lewisham Way and New Cross Road, and get rid of on street parking on my roads.

Put more charging stations for plug in hybrids and EV's

Put pressure on the Mayor of London for the ULEZ to be extended beyond the South Circular. The current proposed boundary will encourage more vehicles to use or park near the South Circular, with a detrimental impact on local residents. Also introduce a Controlled Parking Zone to the Culverley Green Conservation Area. The roads within this area are heavily used for parking by council workers and commuters due to their proximity to the council buildings and Catford / Catford Bridge Stations. This needs to be restricted as it is unfair to residents and causes parking congestion.

Put pressure on transport providers to ensure that there are sufficient bus and train services from Catford into the city so residents do not have to rely on purchasing their own vehicles to get around, or to have to suffer the distress of travelling on overcrowded trains and buses.

The council needs to support those who have diesel vehicles to change their existing vehicle to a less pollutant one rather than a surcharge. How does a surcharge reduce pollution if the resident continues to use a diesel vehicle?

Put residents parking on ALL streets, at least within the south circular, to prevent people dumping their cars on our road (torridon, between Brownhill and hither green lane) for example while they go off via train for the whole day. If they can't park here for free they won't drive here every day.

PUT SCRUBBERS ON BUSES

Put surcharges on HGV vehicles, motorcycles, any remaining polluting buses and people ferrying children to school in a car less than 15 minutes away.

Put the A20 and south circular in a tunnel through the borough

Put up price of permits even further for households with more than one car - if you have more than one car per household you are definitely going to be doing a higher mileage, no question. We had a car each when first married and living in our house but got rid of one for environmental and other reasons.

Discourage people from leaving engine idling - I see this quite often. Outside St Winifred's School in Newstead Road SE12 recently, a parking enforcement officer in a SMART car had the engine idling at dropping off time. I politely asked her could she switch off the engine - NO, I need it to power my laptop was the reply! Disgraceful!

Put Yellow lines around primary schools to reduce emissions near young children. Run anti- idling campaigns with ALL schools affected by air pollution eg those located on a busy road.

Put your household in order then rest will flow.

Putting up charges is not the answer. I feel that you are jumping on the bandwagon and trying to make more money from the car drivers, which is very unfair.

Putting up the price of parking permits does nothing to lower emissions because the vehicles are PARKED. It is a falsehood to say that it will take issues of air pollution but it WILL increase 'tax' in Lewisham.

Rather than fining or charging more for bad emitters maybe better to give insensitive to change (tax tax tax will always get more hate than incentives). Also true with recycling, power and gas usage etc..

Rather than focus on private vehicles, the council should also include buses and black cabs in this.

Rather than having the cost of a permit pegged solely to the type of car, you should also means test this per household. While I agree with lowering emissions, you're essentially ensuring wealthy people who can afford newer more fuel efficient cars pay less for parking. If you factored in household income and used this to calculate the costs too that would seem to be fair.

Rather than penalise car owners based on vehicle it would be good to look at usage as well in order to encourage greater use of public transport. For example I have a car that would be classified in a higher band but it has done approx 5000 miles in 2.5 years because I use public transport most of the time. Surely the goal should be to discourage car use in totality rather than just penalise certain vehicle types?

Rather than penalise people in monetary terms which will hit the poorest (those with older cars who can't afford to update) why not consider planting more trees and greenery to absorb pollution. That way you are tackling emissions directly. This proposal appears to be a way of raising funds disguised as helping the planet

Rather than penalize drivers, often low income residents who have limited ability to replace their cars with more modern, less polluting ones, the Council should encourage use of public transport. In particular, it should work with TfL, Network Rail and rail service providers to improve frequency, reliability and affordability. It should be more mindful of the adverse effect of new residential, retail and commercial developments on public and private transport e.g. inaccessibility, undercapacity and overcrowding, increases in controlled entrances slowing down traffic flows. It should push for more rapid improvements to Lewisham station, in particular to encourage more use and a better user experience. It should champion pedestrians and cyclists to make this a safe and comfortable alternative to motorised travel. It should target inappropriate car use e.g. single occupancy of cars and taking children to school by car during the rush hour.

Rather than reduced parking permit costs for cleaner cars, I would sooner like to see all buses that pass through the borough to be hydrogen or electric and many more electric car charging points installed on residential streets and car parks. If Lewisham shows itself as a leader and provides the infrastructure, the community will follow its example.

Rather than telling people how to run their lives by imposing trumped up surcharges and permits try helping residents who live here and bring back the original times of 9am to 7pm as was the case when the permit fiasco started at a few of £30 to residents..we do enough in terms of climate change already and extending the ULEZ is just another way of govts controlling people's lives and making easy money from them?

Rationalise pedestrian crossings

Signs re idling engines

Plant more trees and green screens

Discourage rat-runs

Change road layouts

Re phase traffic lights to avoid unnecessary congestion.

Remove under used bus lanes that do not speed up buses but cause general congestion.

Re design A20/A21 around Lewisham station this is a major created congestion

location.

Remove gyratory in Catford to give smooth traffic flows.

Re visit the use of large vehicles and trucks at peak times on the south circular road.

Making sure that the transport infrastructure can support the demand , currently the trains are not enough.

Really I don't know that

Reconfigure roads like the south circular at key choke points at verdant lane / st Mildred's road to flow better with less stopping. Remove some of the 2 road sections that merge badly into 1 road.

Bus lanes should be 24/7 not just random times and bus lane times should be consistent as so many times vary.

Parking enforcement should come to outer areas of the borough to ensure cars are parking properly

Reconfigure the nightmare traffic layout system in Lewisham centre. Stop rat runs through Lee conservation area / Hither Green by introducing an enforced congestion zone. Restricting HGV road use in the borough to off peak times.

Actually enforcing speed limits. Subsidising electric taxis in the borough.

Providing electric charging points at a far greater number of locations and giving council tax discounts to residents who apply to have on street parking charge points installed.

redesign the lewisham gateway mess that was created by removing the roundabout, this just causes very long queues and even more pollution!

Redesign the road system in Central Lewisham so that each line of traffic doesn't impede the others.

reduce / remove through traffic from residential streets; discourage use of private cars / encourage use of alternatives; more effective enforcement against illegal parking, engine idling

Reduce amount of traffic on A2 and A20

Stop the Mayor of London cutting back on bus services

Incentive electric and hybrid cars e.g with public charging points and cheaper parking

Plant more trees

Reduce business emissions . Work with government on a national policy.

Reduce cars and traffic overall, not move to lower emissions, to tackle climate change in the borough:

1) Implement car free zones near schools.

2) Vastly increase road-free cycle paths (especially in Lewisham town centre where the paths intersect with main roads frequently). Some improvements have been made in the last 10 years but not nearly enough. The new Lewisham roundabout is worse than the old one for cyclists - there is no safe path.

3) Have car free days in the borough for example in Lewisham town centre.

4) Provide more safe cycling routes for children - currently there are none to our primary school, meaning we have to cycle on either the pavement or the road the entire way. There is no cycle path near our school. This is the same to Glass Mill Leisure Centre and Lewisham Shopping Centre. These two venues should have much more safe cycling to them.

5) Improve secure bike storage. The bike racks outside Lewisham shopping centre are rubbish - exposed and vulnerable to damage and theft. Please cover them, keep smokers away and provide CCTV. There could and should be many more bike racks in the borough, in parks, near shops, cafes. The ones

outside the library are frequently full and also not under cover.

6) Improve street lighting and CCTV so residents can walk home safely from train stations so not tempted to drive.

7) Improve Lewisham roundabout. It's dangerous for cyclists - no safe cycle lanes. Improve pedestrian access to Lewisham shopping centre - the roundabout is frequently clogged, cars blocking all routes, and the pedestrian flow does not follow the pedestrian crossings. This has nothing to do with resident parking.

Increasing the cost of diesel car parking will hurt those people who cannot afford to change their vehicle. Please start by providing alternatives to cars. Much more safe cycle lanes and storage are needed. Get children walking or cycling to school - most people live close to their school but many still drive. Have 'walk to school' days. Have 'cycle to school' days. Competitions amongst schools? Have 'marshalls' guide parents and children to and from school on bikes, a bit like lollipop ladies and men.

Make London the first major car free city in the world. Please.

Reduce congestion. Parking permits are not the solution to Air Pollution - congestion is!

Reduce congestion; continue to promote, encourage, and enable active, sustainable travel. (I currently work as Thurrock Borough Council's Senior Transport Planner, project managing all our sustainable travel and air pollution mitigation (AQM) transport and planning initiatives. V happy to share our preferred strategies, both planned and/or implemented.)

Reduce congestions at traffic lights and busy junctions, which are negatively impacting the air quality of the nearby houses.

Increase the amount of chargers for electric cars.

Reduce driving through borough &

Driving & Parking then going on into central London in areas with no CPZ

stop multi car households through 1 household 1 permit system

Reduce emissions from buses - replace diesel buses in bus fleets.

The centre of Lewisham must have very high levels of toxic emissions as there can be 10 or more buses concentrated in a very small area.

Reduce large, articulated commercial vehicles using heavily residential B roads around the borough, ensuring sat navs operated like companies like google maps/ garmin/ Tom Tom do not use them as short cuts through main centres like New Cross.

Reduce motor traffic

More protected cycle infrastructure

Block motor traffic through Blackheath village

reduce number of buses. there are far too many buses. diesel buses.

Reduce number of roads that HGVs are allowed to travel on.

Reduce or eliminate bottlenecks caused by larger delivery vehicles making their deliveries during peak road times - consider insisting these delivery vehicles/business owners deliver in less busy periods at nighttime

Promote car sharing into London - consider in conjunction with electronic road pricing

Reduce parking availability, invest in green infrastructure, promote walking and cycling, bakerloo line extension.

Reduce parking overall. new developments should not offer any car parking spaces, only cycle parking, and improve the cycle lanes to become safer for people to use.

Reduce permits for electric and hybrid but don't punish diesel owners who bought city diesel cars in good faith. 1

Reduce public transport costs.

Reduce public transport fares

reduce public transport fees, introduce council support for buying bikes, introduce pedestrian only hours in highstreets

Reduce road capacity as the converse of roads create traffic is less roads

reduce traffic - narrow roads and junctions and make things safer for cyclists and pedestrians and other vulnerable road users by reducing traffic

-Reduce road space for vehicles to drive

-Increase segregated bus lanes to improve bus times - to 1: encourage bus user numbers, 2: restrict road space for private vehicles

- Increase segregated cycle lanes - to 1: encourage cyclist numbers, 2: restrict road space for private vehicles

-Increase pedestrian widths along roads + increased pedestrian friendly infrastructure- to 1: Increase walking rates, 2: restrict road space for private vehicles.

-Increase tree planting policies by roads

Reduce the amount of new high-rise residential building projects in the borough that put more pressure on the roads. Especially where these projects remove green spaces and cut down trees.

Improve traffic/road planning to increase the average journey time through the borough.

Issues fines to people who sit in cars with idling engines, most notably around schools.

Reduce the amount of parking available and making driving less attractive an option. It would also be nice if there were more pedestrian crossings so walking is easier and safer.

Reduce the amount of parking spaces - encourage people to use public transport and stop the roads being cluttered by parking (only this week there was an accident due to the amount of parking on Elmira street turning it to one lane only on Sundays).

The roads around Lewisham shopping centre and the train station may as well be a car park at peak times, beyond parking we need to reduce the number of cars on the road in Lewisham and reduce the amount of time pedestrians have to wait to cross the road (this amount of excessively polluted air we inhale) at these points

Reduce the amount of traffic on the south circular so it flows better

Reduce the cost of parking permits and parking in Lewisham. The more the permits the more people will be driving around to find parking which emits more pollution.

Contribute to the buying of new cars with low emissions. Everything is going up in price other than people's pay which makes it difficult for them to buy a new vehicle and put financial strain on them.

Reduce the current permit costs for current residents with lower emission cars not increase those with Diesel cars and people do not always choose a diesel but just happen to have this type of car. It could cost them money they do not have to purchase a car that has lower emissions so penalising them further will just make them struggle more. Simply it's not their fault that they have a diesel car currently and have only just been educated about the pollution side of things. It will also be hard to sell their diesel car as no one will want them. This means there is no way for them to generate the funds to purchase a low emissions car.

Reduce the length of time vehicles are waiting at traffic lights.
Lights at junctions need to work together to keep traffic moving. Example: lights at Lewisham station causes traffic pile-up due to them being too close together and do not change quickly enough so both when one set turns green, as soon as you go under the bridge the lights there are on red.

Reduce the number of heavy goods lorries travelling through the borough.
Reduce the number of commercial vehicles and especially heavy goods vehicles through residential streets. There is a significant problem with these vehicles rat-running between the A20 and the A21 (via Dermody Road/Eastdown Park) thereby successfully avoiding the centre of Lewisham
Reduce the number of journeys made in cars by parents/carers/guardians of children/young people taking and collecting them to/from school or college
Reduce the number of speed bumps, prevent huge lorries from using the roads during the day, get BAA to reduce the number of planes flying over Lewisham, give people other incentives to buying non diesel cars other than penalising people who currently own them.

Reduce the number of speed humps. The harsh braking and accelerating causes cars to emit far more pollution.

Reduce the total amount of parking in the borough. Encourage replacement of existing parking bays with park lets, cycle parking, seating, planting etc.

Enforce car free zones around schools. Support bays for car clubs, deliveries and servicing only. Enforce the existing parking restrictions: currently motorists park with impunity on zig zags, single and double yellow lines. Implement measures (education? Enforcement?) to discourage people from idling (running engines when stationary).

Reduce the traffic coming across Blackheath from the a2

Reduce the usage of rat runs and the congestion and pollution this causes. In my area (Hither Green) there is a project to help this.

Most pollution is caused by commuters cutting through our borough and we should find a way to force them on to trains. Make driving through the borough inconvenient for commuters as they spoil the area for us.

Reduce through put of traffic. Reduce diesel buses and lorries and trucks. Use non diesel dustbin vans and remove all diesel vehicles from council run businesses first and bring in electric ones before penalising residents.

Reduce through traffic

Reduce through traffic .

Improve traffic flow.

Encourage cars when they are stationary to turn off their engines.

Reduce traffic calming measures that forces traffic to constantly start and stop which increase the needs to brake.

Remove parking spots that sit inside bus lanes.

Encourage the use of cars of lower emissions overall rather than cherry picking certain types. According to the calculator my annual permit sees a 400% price increase.

Reduce traffic lights centre of lewisham now has 11 sets of traffic lights stationary cars causing pollution in new traffic system introduced central lewisham car

Reduce traffic on the south circular road

Reduce use of polluting vehicles driven by Lewisham Council staff and parks and open space contractors. Parks and open spaces contractors should recycle more green waste on site or stop generating so much, rather than

transporting van loads of leaf litter, grass clippings and autumn leaves to the tip. Plant more trees and look after them better - many trees in Lewisham's parks and open spaces die due to careless strimmer damage. Encourage more recycling rather than burning so much waste at SELCHP.

Make cycling safer and more appealing in the borough.
reduced parking fees for cars with stop/start technology - so the number of cars idling is less

Reduced the amount of vehicles on the roads

Reducing congestion and rat-running through residential roads. Improving cycleways.

Reducing the costs of public transport!! The Ulez is another impact on families!!! Don't extend the Ulez!

Re-introduce 3 lane traffic on the bridge over Sydenham station. There were originally 2 lanes from Cobbs Corner to the lights with Newlands Park Road. Since the so-called 'improvements' to Sydenham Road the amount of stationary traffic, during the evening rush hour, along Kirkdale and Westwood Hill leads to a huge amount of pollution. Somehow, though, I suspect this was always intended to happen by the anti-car zealots , so that they could argue for further anti-car measures!

Reinvest money that is taxed for vehicle ownership into modes of public transport ; Roll out much more ambitious cycling and pedestrian infrastructure ; Police vehicles that are speeding and causing increased noise and air pollution.

Reliable better public transport for SE.Backerloo line underground extention towards Catford,Hayes...

Remember that main roads are also highly residential and that closing side roads to push cars onto main roads, which are already more polluted than other roads, is not the way forward.

Discourage parents and others from bringing children to school in their cars.

Perhaps introduce a school bus service that parents contribute to.

Removal of the 'sleeping policemen' humps on minor roads would cut down on unnecessary emissions.

Remove 20mph zones as cars are much more polluting at this lower speed.

Can we also assume the additional money generated will be put into providing infrastructure for charging electric vehicles if this is your objective?

Are Lewisham council also aware of the increased CO2 output from petrol engines versus modern diesel engines and that this blanket action will actually seek to increase CO2 output, good for the environment?

Remove all cycle lane and discourage people to cycle as these are dangerous to road users. Offer discount only on parking for most cleanest vehicle, ie. 50g/km CO2 emission or lower or full electric. Extra Surcharge on all parking for all diesel vehicle new or old.

Remove artificial obstructions to the free flow of traffic - i.e. 'traffic calming' structures. The research shows they increase pollution when calculated on a per car basis, and only redirect traffic, not reduce it overall.

Remove council staff parks.

Give staff fee free loans to buy season tickets for public transport.

Encourage and enable home working.

On large scale residential developments do not build car spaces or allow buyers to own cars and to be fully aware of this prior to purchase.

Make all developers create green spaces instead.

Plant more trees in the borough and stop selling Lewisham green spaces and

community gardens to developers.

But.....you know all this!

Remove hold-ups and bottlenecks so that traffic flows smoothly, instead of stop-start and sitting stationary idling.

Only permit zero emissions public transport (buses, cabs) in the borough.

Encourage non-polluting options for journeys within the borough, so that residents only need to use cars for longer journeys beyond the borough.

Remove humps so that vehicles dont have to slow down and speed up.

Improve traffic flow so vehicles rent stuck in traffic jams.

Remove on street parking and replace with driving lanes. Increase cycling infrastructure get a Cycle Superhighway.

Remove plant businesses such as Sivyer in Sydenham

Remove restrictions and phase traffic lights correctly

Remove roads, if there is no where to drive no cars will come. Extend the TFL cycle hire scheme to Lewisham. Increase the length and width of bus lanes.

Install speed camera to stop the racing, and increase enforcement of speed limits - the limits are usually 20mph, drivers seem to think this is a lower limit and not an upper . Put cameras at red lights to catch the jumpers. Change suitable roads to one way turning the other direction into a contraflow cycle lane. Invest more in green spaces.

Remove some of the 20mph limits on the roads. This is why there is more pollution due to vehicles being driven in a slower speed and lower gear which causes an engine to work harder and causes more pollution.

Remove some of the humps and find a different way to calm traffic

Remove speed bumps except around schools to keep traffic moving and stop dangerous "speed up - slow down " driving. Stop making it so difficult and expensive to park. I know you don't agree.

remove speed humps

Remove the 20 mph on main roads, especially at night times.

Remove the lorries and delivery vehicles to outside areas with relay facilities by other cleaner air means to their destination within the borough

Remove the SELCHP waste incinerator that spews fumes into our borough.

Introduce more 'Bike Hangars' on streets to encourage more cyclists to switch from their cars.

Remove the sleeping policemen in residential roads as drivers tend to slow down and accelerate when using them. This leads to increased pollution as does not improve road safety either. All residential roads should be 20 MPH with measures implemented for enforcement.

Remove unnecessary speed humps that keep cars in lower gears

Remove untaxed vehicles promptly

Replace all Council vehicles with electric ones over time

Replace car parking spaces with more cycle parking

Replace diesel buses with hydrogen options

replace some car parking spaces with bike hangers and pocket parks. More secure bike parking space in Lewisham centre or other civic places . more cycle paths that are enforced and more contra flow cycle paths to improve the convenience of cycling rather than driving.

Replace the old buses with electric ones.

Request Mayoral funding for improved cycleways and junctions like Waltham Forest

Request that the mayor changes all the buses that travel throughout the borough so that they are less polluting. The fumes from the buses are awful

Require taxis and buses and other heavy vehicles to turn off their engines when idling.

Requires a lot of funding, but redirect the roads. There is a 6 lane road dividing Lewisham centre from Lewisham station. Such a large road does not need to go through the centre of a town. It should go round the outside, and smaller roads should go through.

Reroute some of the bus services from Lewisham High Street to avoid the congestion problems that must surely be contributing to the emissions problems

Re-route South Circular to reduce idling in Catford town centre and improve traffic flow.

Reroute the south circular. Stop access to side streets off the south circular near catford bridge which causes build up of traffic and pollution.

Residential streets which are rat runs and classified as a B road, get them de-classified if they have a weak bridge on them and direct the traffic onto the A roads. Speeding also kills as well as air pollution. Don't penalise individuals who followed government advice to purchase a diesel car without giving a fair market value of the car against the purchase of a low emission vehicle.

Stop cutting down trees, employing security to protect an old school and surrounding gardens at a ridiculous rate, and source funds from central government instead of penalising your residents.

residents with more than one car should pay significantly more for a second resident's parking permit - ?500%

Restrict 1 car per household.

Make sure nobody can park their car around the train station for free; that way they will take the bus to the train . All street around Grove Park train station are free maybe meters should be introduced.

Restrict articulated lorries from the roads between 0600-1800. Delivery companies should unload to smaller low emission vans to deliver within the residential/built up areas.

Restrict cars around schools at start and end of day

Restrict large trucks from Blackheath.

Restrict lorries coming through Lewisham , especially on residential streets and when pollution is high for other reasons.

Restrict lorries driving through the area during the day time hours. Make lorry deliveries only during the night.

Restrict lorries travelling through the borough to certain times of the day / night. These vehicles are very polluting.

Restrict lorries, vans and the most polluting vehicles to main roads only

Restrict number of residential car permits to two per household so in effect limiting all households to a maximum of just two cars.

You have highlighted yourself that Lewisham has good transport links so discouraging the accumulation of cars per household should be a priority

Restrict people to owning one car per household. Reward those who don't own a car.

Restrict the movement of large trucks in the borough during day time

Restrict the number of resident parking permits to one per household, or make any parking permits over and above the first exorbitantly expensive.

Restrict those coming in daily via the South Circular, and introduce more electric car charging points.

Restrict through traffic on residential roads

Restrict traffic in the immediate vicinity of schools

Restrict vehicles on the a205 ASAP. The constant flow of traffic is the main contributor to the pollution issues. Lorries and Vans use this route continuously.

Retain green spaces, plant more trees

Retro charge all buildings have proper gardens and not crap one tree like the mini park by the leisure centre. You created traffic jam by the station by poor road layout and new apartment so more traffic stopped now create pollution

It is not of resolve but how much money you can extort from residents. Why did you not build overhead bypass instead that crap junction. Less big buses and more mini hybrid buses after peak times. Go Antwerp see them.

Return speed limits back minimum of 30 mph and remove some of the cycle lanes so that traffic can freely move around. Alternatively, cycle lanes can operate in the rush hours - say 7-9 am & 5-7 pm and then the roads can be used by car drivers. Car drivers not only greatly contributed through taxation, some drivers have no choice but to use their cars.

Revert to the 30 miles per hour speed limit that cuts emissions and make traffic move more freely. Also remove traffic hotspots, like the new roundabout design in Lewisham which is both confusing and getting traffic stuck.

Review the road and traffic light system around Lewisham station. This is constantly bottle necked and the buses leaving the station get stuck causing even more congestion.

Review the road layout in Lewisham. The new layout appears to cause traffic to build up and idle far up Lee high road. It seems much worse since roundabout removed. Collect data and compare?

Review traffic light sequencing . When they are poor traffic is often held unnecessarily. Its amazing how well the traffic flows when they are not working. Provide better public transport.

Lewisham high street is the most dangerous place to pedestrians despite cars not being allowed down there!

Reward households who car share. We dropped from a 2 car family to sharing one car. But unfortunately we are a low income household and cannot afford new cars.

Road pricing - introduce fees to drive through the borough if you come from outside Lewisham especially on the South Circular. This would cut traffic

Car-free days

Car-free zones

The price of parking outside your house is too low. It costs £120 a year to park on the street but it would cost £5,000 per year to park a hundred metres down the road in a car park (in Catford). This means the more convenient service is cheaper, which doesn't make sense. It should be more expensive to have the car outside your house.

Road signs highlighting Lewisham's commitment

Making streets safer so people don't feel vulnerable if they walk

Road tax is based on emissions if vehicles are parked then they are not polluting .provision has been made by government regarding emissions if you want to benefit give concessions to vehicles with low annual mileage.

Run cleaner buses, lorries & taxis

Sack the money grabbing officials who dream up these ideas

Sack useless staff and use the money to plant more trees.

Safe walking routes, especially for children.
Clearly marked - ideally segregated - cycling routes.
Both intended to discourage short journeys by vehicles.

More electric car charging points - this was a reason for not choosing an electric-only car last time I bought one.

Incentives for public transport - even more bus lanes and bus priority routes.

Park and ride schemes to manage vehicle traffic.

Safer cycling options.

Safer cycling paths, enforcing no idling, improving traffic along the south circular to move at a better rate and reduce idling.

Safer cycling. Dedicated cycle lanes.

Safer to be a pedestrian or a cyclist. The Lewisham roundabout is terrifying

Safer/better cycle lanes to make it easier to bike.

Santander bike stops near by

Santander Cycles please!

School busses for schools with wide catchment areas or other incentives for walking to school. Schools need help with that. Enforce penalties for those idling or driving hazardously at school drop off and pick up times. Clear "Turn your engine off" signs.

Scrap ALL diesel vehicles.

Scrap the filthy polluting buses which drive around almost empty most of the day. The bus hub around Lewisham Station must be biggest toxic fume factory in the entire borough.

Scrap the silly 20 mph limit as it causes more pollution. Also scrap bus lanes. They cause congestion. Why should a private over priced bus have priority on roads that I pay road tax for.

Scrap all pedal cycled lanes. They cause further congestion therefore more pollution.

Scrap the Silvertown Tunnel.

Deter parents from driving their kids to school - encourage 'walking buses'
Secure cycle parking. Closing rat run side roads.

Enforcement of the 29mph limit is a joke.

Separated cycle lanes using physical barriers.

Please properly review and sort out traffic management at the Lewisham station roundabout - it's been awful since the redesign.

Plant more trees and plant up tree aprons with wild flowers or moss.

Support/encourage community groups to actively create and manage waste spaces into green spaces.

More electric recharging points and support or incentives for residents to install driveway charging for electric cars.

Discounts for Prius / hybrid owners.

Sequence traffic lights so as I don't have to stop at every light which causes the most pollution

The main traffic roads should be made a priority to get traffic away ASAP and not have to spend hours stuck in pollution
Pedestrian lights should be sequenced to stop only when junction lights are at stop
Set up a mechanic workshop for those with bad car to service there car on a cheaper rate regularly and make better cars affordable
Should encourage people to ride bicycles in stand of driving
Should focus on providing safe cycling paths, which are not located on narrow busy roads but are part of walking paths, like in other cycle friendly cities in Europe, e.g. Amsterdam, Paris, Warsaw.
Significantly improve access to electric car charging bays, provide more safe cycle storage (lockable shelters. Greenwich council provide both of these and we (and neighbours) have asked Lewisham to do the same but we have been ignored.
Significantly increase the residents parking charge for houses with two cars and MASSIVELY increase it for houses with three cars. It is not fair to penalise people with Diesel cars who bought them because the government were encouraging them to do so because they were 'green'
Signs to stop idling outside of schools. Fine people idling outside of schools.
Encourage people to turn off engines at red lights. Increase (dramatically) electric charging points and give discounts on electric cars to encourage people to switch. Make all new buses electric. Charge premiums on taxis and cabs that are not electric or at least hybrid. Make all car club schemes electric. Build the infrastructure and encourage the change.
Since the government encouraged drivers to buy diesel cars it is incomprehensible that they are being penalised now.
If charges are being increasee this should be incremental in stages. Increasing parking from £120 to £700+ in one great seems more like a money making exercise thank for health reasons. At the same time poorer residents are meeting penalised. A wealthier person can afford to buy the latest model
Slower traffic at 20mph also increases harmful fumes
Solve the traffic problems in Lewisham borough that causes more emissions than anything else not parking problems permits is not going to solve the air pollution
Some Cycling lanes in Lewisham would be great. Lewisham has pretty much 10% of cycling lanes compared to the rest of London. Its simply not acceptable

you want to improve the air quality but all you have done is increase the car capacity and in order to hide your errors you are trying to tax the residents. The expansion of Lewisham town centre should have been an great opportunity but instead us cyclist and left to survive all the cars that have more lanes.

Somehow imposing the increase in the parking fee on diesel cars

Something with electricity so the city is not polluted.

Sorry this isn't a survey, Lewisham Council is always going to put up the parking fees, they want to how much they can squeeze out of the residents of Lewisham.

Please tell that most of the people who vote are the ones who are going to pay. As usual only the poor will pay!!!

Sorry, but mistrust Lewishams motives here. As a child that grew up in smog filled london, and a non-smoker, whose health is excellent, this seems like another money grabbing exercise. Where is all the extra cash going - and are u regulating all the Uber cars in the borough

Sort out the congestion on roads passing through Lewisham.

I understand the need to reduce emissions but creating huge charges for me to park (when parked my vehicle isn't generating any emissions) whilst I am still breathing the effects of vehicles passing through the borough seems a double punishment!

Sort out the horrendous town centre. You have accommodated the property developers to the cost of public health.

Sort out the new road layout in Lewisham which causes jams as there are too many lights close together and cars get caught between them blocking the roads.

Sort out the south circular. More police patrols so people feel safer to do more walking than driving

Sort out the terrible traffic lights at the new Lewisham junction. There is regularly terrible congestion there as the lights and pedestrian crossings aren't properly phased.

REDUCE THE INSANE AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT THAT IS HAPPENING. No planning has taken place to allow additional infrastructure for the Lewisham Gateway (for example) project. Lewisham station is at capacity during the rush hour already, with no thoughts for what will happen when the next phases are complete.

Sort out the traffic by the old roundabout. It's an absolute mess that's been made and made idle traffic much worse

Sort out the traffic congestion around the Lewisham station roundabout! The poorly planned series of traffic lights and pedestrian crossings (6 sets of lights in less than 0.5 miles) causes the traffic to back up to Lewisham College at the end of the day.

Sort out the traffic system in central Lewisham by the station. The sheer volume of stationary traffic since the roundabout disappeared cannot help pollution-wise...and as for being able to cross the road as a pedestrian, it is farcical how the traffic lights/crossings are positioned.

Sort out traffic bottlenecks

Speed bumps

Speed up plans to have all residents within 500m of an electric vehicle charging point. I have seen no progress on this and as a result a fully electric vehicle remains impractical for many people.

Speed up the south circular so there is less idling traffic

Fight TfL in their plans to make south circular the ULEZ boundary - this will only condense the pollution around the south of the Borough.

Green walls at all major junctions, planted with effective pollution-reducing plants.

Better bus links to superstores.

Proper investment in cycle lanes and routes into central London - partner with neighbouring boroughs

Ban council tenants from having more than one car in residents' car parks

Replant green spaces with most effective pollution-reducing plants

Business rates reduction for car dealers not selling most polluting vehicles

Speed up the traffic with better than imbecilic traffic schemes

Speed up traffic flow rather than slow it down with traffic calming and road closures.

More traffic police enforcement - not cameras, they don't catch the unregistered cars.

Speed up traffic flow through boroughs streets. Remove obstacles to this, replace lights with roundabouts or with nothing at all. Remove pavements and lane markings to slow down traffic, not speed bumps

Spend the money that you spending on this stupid scheme on scrappage for the diesel vehicles that Gordon Brown conned people into buying and all the other vehicles that will need to be destroyed by the intro of ULEZ. The pollution created through the manufacture of replacement motorcycle and replacement car (plus disposal of the old ones) for my two well maintained vehicles is going to harm the planet for more than running them for the few 1000 miles/ yr that I cover.

Sponsor and invest in the Bakerloo line extension to Lewisham. It will allow many commuters to take tube instead of cars.

Start by making more charging points for eco friendly cars.

I am struggling to see how I would charge an electric car when being able to park close to your house is a luxury.

There are a lot of people who cannot afford to change their vehicle and who in some cases were encouraged to get a diesel car by the government.

Start by practising what you preach - convert your extensive fleet of council vehicles to either electric or hybrid, and make it a basic requirement that all vehicles belonging to contractors employed by the council should be either electric or hybrid

Start enforcing engine idling please.

Start with public transport - do all of Lewisham's public transport options have the lowest omissions possible?

Lewisham Council workers and representatives - practice what you preach - ensure all employees of Lewisham Council who own or have access to a car, have one that has the lowest omissions.

Stop Narrowing the roads

Stop allowing developers to cut down trees and build on green spaces.

Plant more trees.

Use POSITIVE inducements to help people to buy lower emissions vehicles, not penalise those who already have a petrol car.

More SAFE cycle paths/lanes. SECURE places to park a bicycle at railway stations etc

Stop allowing Zipcars to park outside my house ,they are a nuisance and why should they be allowed to park outside my house and not in a designated bay where they are not interfering with residents parking !! Do away with them and stop pollution o/side my house . But because they are a money-spinner of course you won't be doing that ,such hypocrites .

Stop altering junctions that make traffic worse thereby causing cars and vans to idle in traffic making air quality worse. Vehicles pollute much more when idling in traffic so make the traffic move more efficiently and therefore reducing pollution

Stop approving high rise housing blocks; we don't need more housing we need the housing that is already here to be more affordable and stop being sold to investors who let the properties stand empty.

Install electric charging points for residents who have electric or hybrid vehicles.

Provide more cycle canopies for bike storage for residents without space to store a bike.

Encourage car shares for school runs.

Offer public transport reduced fare schemes.

Stop awarding permission to building developments which will attract diesel-fuelled vehicles during the building phase and increase commercial traffic

because of the associated commercial spaces which are built in these developments. Pay better attention to the environmental design of pedestrian pathways in Lewisham town centre - the re-routing of the roads to accommodate the Lewisham Gateway development, for example, has left pedestrians standing for much longer inhaling car fumes than before from an increasing number of vehicles using the new road configuration.

Stop bad town planning by council. I now have to walk past the bus stops outside the Lewisham Shopping Centre and instead catch them from Glassmill. Allowing the Lewisham Gateway to be built in its current form means its quicker to walk than travel by bus and the dangers from the changes to cyclists are completely ignored.

Stop being a cons 🖐

Stop being greedy. Stop robbing hard working people. Stop building up Lewisham with high rise blocks.

Start managing your accounts properly. Always looking for more ways to rob us.

Now there's an idea.

Stop big lorries going through our roads

stop blocking off roads and making traffic accessing only some roads, causing traffic jams with stationary traffic billowing out toxic emissions, whilst other roads are traffic free. this is very unfair to a specific number of residential roads.

stop blocking roads and making one way systems, and get someone to make decisions who hasn't failed their car test and has to use a push bike

Stop blocking side roads and allow vehicles to flow through the borough rather than coraled into a few rougths causing congestion stationery vehicles pollute more

stop blocking up roads with no entry or one way's and reducing the lanes, you are trying to squeeze cars into smaller spaces which forces people to say YES!

Stop building more flats for protif of developers and stop opening more stores
Stop building so many high rise flats. I'm sure this doe's not help the air quality in Lewisham. I don't understand why everything comes down to extra charges to people struggling financially already. It wasn't to long ago that people was encouraged to buy diesel cars.

Stop bus drivers from laving engines on when off duty (e.g. Moleworthy Street, behind shopping centre)

STOP BUTCHERING TREES IN HOLME LACEY ROAD. JUST LET THEM GROW LIKE THEY DO ON ADJOINING ROADS.

Stop cars and vans idling with engines on.

Stop causing traffic chaos buy coordinating traffic management better I e road works traffic lights

Stop charging people and pedestrianise large areas of Lewisham. Develop a traffic management strategy instead of implementing an unimaginative fee based system which punishes people who have Diesel vehicles. Also, invest in low polluting public transport and develop better cycle lanes and encourage wider use of public transport.

stop charging people more money as a solution to the problem. blatant rip off
Stop charging peoples and make more affordable to change car with more money and not scamming them always, we bought car to move the economy not for just fun, now you want us to change our car out of the blue immediately but do you ask your self we maybe the people's don't have any extra money to spare??? We are already at the limit. Stop this now make better choose help the car owner not dry them from the last drop of blood.

Stop charging residents in the borough of shithole lewisham

Stop charging the residents for all sorts of car tax as this is the government fault for telling everyone to buy diesel and now the residents have to pick up the mistakes that the government introduced in the first place.

Stop chopping down so many trees, and plant more trees on major roads
Stop chopping down trees. It is incredulous that on the day Lewisham announced an Air Quality Crisis that it also chopped down 70+ trees - 28.02.19. I would rather you plant trees that encourage residents to drive lower emission vehicles. Car free days should also be introduced.

Stop commercial vehicles (especially tradespeople and taxis) from idling on residential streets.

Stop commuters from using our streets as a car park and school parents dropping off one child per car

Stop cutting down trees and plant new ones!!!***

Stop cutting down trees

Stop cutting down trees

Stop cutting down trees and building on green spaces.

Charging people who own cars isn't going to stop them using it, if they have families and need them for work.

Stop cutting down trees and not replacing them

Stop increasing the population density in the borough,

Stop all through traffic in the borough

Get rid of road humps and low speed restriction on road such as bus routes.

Stop cutting down trees in the borough.

Plant more trees.

Provide MORE charging points in accessible places, you cannot expect people to move to hybrid/electric cars in a borough that has so few charging points.

Stop cutting down trees. The hundreds of healthy trees already felled in Beckenham place park for example.

Stop cutting down trees.

Reduce heavy goods vehicles

Plant more pollution screens

Stop drivers penalising drivers

stop encouraging more people into the borough who will want to bring cars with them . it looks to me as though you are trying to emulate north London packing as many people into one space as you can . this is spoiling the nature of the borough

Stop fly tipping name and shame

Stop cars on Sydenham high street parking on the pavements most weekends and evenings

Stop focusing on cars, you are doing it wrong. Focus on the alternatives (I have a Masters in Transport Design).

Stop getting on the political band wagon and start considering the interests of residents.

Stop treating diesel drivers as scum

Stop giving planning permission for more high rise flats and all the pollution that results from the building sites

Stop granting planning for resi near busy roads.

Charge people driving through the borough (like the central London c charge)

Stop heavy duty vehicles using residential streets as rat-runs.

Stop heavy vehicles to enter the borough.
Stop hitting the drivers to fund everything..... how many deaths were caused by stupid drunk dui driving

How much pollution is caused by other things in Lewisham
Stop idling outside garages, petrol stations, takeaway food sellers, tyre shops and 24 hour shops
Stop letting landlords divide up houses, which increases the number of working adults in the area and therefore increases the number of cars and adds to pollution.

Stop looking for ways to penalise residents that own cars. We already pay enough to park outside our homes. It is extortion to increase the cost. More pedestrianised zones and improving the flow of traffic in rush hour will help reduce air pollution. More transparency on Lewisham Councils own use of high polluting vehicles. Charging for cars that don't meet ULEZ requirements and not a pure emissions based system might be more effective. If your vehicle passes the ULEZ:

<https://tfl.gov.uk/modes/driving/check-your-vehicle-35896>

stop lorries and big vans using smaller roads

Stop lorries and coaches stopping leaving their engines running
Stop lorries and Trucks driving through the back roads of borough and damaging the roads.

Improve bus transport system in borough and have more less polluting buses.

Stop lorries from travelling through the borough between certain hours
Stop lying and leave car drivers alone, the only reason your doing this is to get more money for the council. Drivers are now being shafted from Siddiq Khans TFL and now Lewishams jumping on the bandwagon its disgusting. You should be ashamed but clearly your not

Stop making high raised blocks of flats, that are taking the greenery and planets away that stop our air flow. Also I think buses and taxis are just as harmful,.

Stop making money out of any way you can and get the tube here

Stop mechanic and body shops parking their cars in residential areas.

introduce the ability to more pay and display bays in residential areas as most of them are not in use and people could pay by phone to park. That way the council could benefit financially and the public can park.

Stop non residents using 'free roads' as car parks. Driving in from outer zones especially Kent and parking here all day. They should take public transport from their own homes into Central London. This would then drop the pollution in Lewisham to that of its own residents.

Stop overdeveloping residential areas.

Build good local schools.

Stop parents keeping car engines running when picking up school kids.

Encourage parents to walk or use public transport when picking up kids from school.

Stop rat running.

Provide many many more electric car charge points in residential areas.

Re programme traffic lights carefully so cars are not kept for many minutes at a time with engines running waiting for lights to change

Make more traffic roundabouts NOT traffic lights.

Stop parents taking children to schools by car.

Ban parking during school drop off times within 1km of schools.

Ease traffic congestion by removing bus lanes that are ineffective.
Sort out the badly designed traffic flow around Lewisham station.
Stop parents using cars to drive their children to school. Stop parents idling outside schools. Stop using diesel coaches and buses for school journeys.
Stop using diesel buses and coaches to provide transport services for Lewisham Council. Better monitoring of air pollution. Move the A205 outside of the Borough. Encourage car sharing. Stop Lewisham Borough employees using cars to get to work no matter where they live. Give Lewisham Borough bonuses for not using their cars.

Stop parking near schools

Stop parking near schools

Do much more to encourage walking to schools. In my granddaughter's school in Haringey there is a big poster outside the school encouraging walking and showing timed distances. Schools should highlight the most polluted routes which parents should be advised to avoid. All schools to be fitted with air pollution monitors.

Get schools in badly polluted areas to introduce air filters like they have in some private schools.

Have no idling signs up throughout the borough and next to all schools.

Remove all diesel council vehicles and replace with hybrid or electric.

Campaign to have diesel black cabs included in ULEZ

Stop old diesel ice cream vans with their engines on all day in parks - there is often one next to the children's playground in Mayow Park, presumably licensed by Lewisham, emitting dangerous fumes all day.

Stop people burning garden refuse by providing free garden refuse bins

Stop people driving from outside the borough to park near stations such as Forest Hill

Stop people driving on to, idling on and parking on Catford Broadway.

Better enforcement of idling particularly around schools and nurseries.

Consider whether there are any areas in the Borough suitable for zero emission zones as Hackney has introduced.

What's your strategy for ev charge points? Not an immediate solution but part of the picture.

On street secure cycle parking - when I enquired about this for my street in Catford I was told it wasn't possible... Why?

Stop people driving to Lewisham and parking in local streets so they can get public transport.

Stop people driving to the churches at Rosenthal House. The change of use terms stipulated that worshippers should use public transport and bicycles, but every week evening and all day Saturday & Sunday the pollution from the influx of churchgoers is incredible. Make residents only zones 24/7 across zone L and any others which cover churchgoers. They pollute and pay no consequences because the parking around here is free. Make all non residents liable to pay £300 for entering Lewisham and all non residents pay £100 per visit, or vehicle. Come to Rosenthal Road on a Sunday and bring your equipment. Set up at Rosenthal House and monitor the emissions. Shut down the car park underneath Rosenthal House and ensure that no worshippers use vehicles to visit any of the 11 churches.

Bring your equipment and measure the pollution - I challenge you.

Stop people from keeping their engine running when waiting esp near schools and where possible encourage them in queues to do the same.

A lot of pollution comes from cars not actively moving

Stop people parking and leaving engines running at school drop off and pick up
Stop people parking outside schools etc and stop people parking with engine running. Also would be good if they prevented traffic using back roads as runs because they frequently speed on quiet residential roads.

Stop people using Quentin Road to park their cars when they don't live here. It appears to be used by people going up to London and when people go into the village as these cars are not in the road overnight.

Stop people using roads close to stations for their daily commuter parking. For example, I live in Bennett Park right opposite Blackheath station and see the same people arriving every morning and use the road as a station car park. Assuming the parking attendants are doing their job, they must be BKH permit holders and therefore live in the local vicinity so are consequently too lazy to walk to the station so drive the 5 mins there and back instead. Why not make these roads that are close to the stations BKH+ or something to differentiate those that actually live in these roads from those that just use them as a daily convenience. People will be less likely to use their cars if they can't get close enough to the station and also the actual residents of the street will be able to get parked during the day

Stop people using side roads as shortcuts and make sure that the easiest and fastest way for them to get either into or out of town is by main road.

More parking restrictions near stations to stop people from outside of Lewisham to drive and park here. Like on Cambridge Drive and Upwood Road.

More cycle highways on the main roads.

Create toll roads that are free for Lewisham residents to use but others have to pay to help make them use the main roads away from residential areas.

Plant more trees and hedges near main roads like they are doing in Hammersmith and other boroughs.

Stop picking on resident permit holders. How will penalising diesel driver who are also resident permit holders help. It is NOT FAIR that all the other Lewisham residents with diesel cars do not have to pay anything just because they are not permit holders. Also what about all the people that drive through with diesels on a daily basis who do not have to pay a bean. This is totally unfair and a stealth tax. Get rid of all permit areas is my suggestion

Stop planes from London City Airport from flying over and stop it building another runway. Stop Heathrow and Gatwick expanding. It's no good cleaning up the air on the ground without cleaning the air above. There are far too many aircraft movements over the South East of England.

Stop punishing poor people who need cars and can't afford new ones!!

Stop putting too many humps on the road. This will only delay the travel time of cars on the road. This will result in more pollution being produced while the vehicles are 'stuck' in the traffic

Stop rat race across borough. It is not resident's cars that are a problem, it is south circular and people driving through borough.

Stop rat running off the south circular through residential streets.

Stop rat-running in residential roads

Stop sending four refuse vehicles to collect rubbish every Monday morning in the same street as it appears that recycling is having a negative effect on traffic due to holding it up and therefore causing more exhaust fumes

Stop stupid 20 mph limits on main roads

Stop taking away people's brown garden bins and then bringing them back later on another lorry.

Get more competent staff so that people know what they are doing.

Stop collecting brown bins and green bins weekly.

Stop fly-tipping instead of turning a blind eye.

Remove bins which do not have a lid.

Stop taxing the poor! The ULEZ boundary taxes those with older cars i.e. those who can't afford to update their car. I am a pensioner with an 18-year old small car and drive less than 3,000 miles per year, yet I am to be penalised for this both in terms of parking permits and the ULEZ. I'm sure there are many thousands like me around the borough who use their car sparingly and can't cope with the expense of buying another one. We are being driven off the road while those who can afford a modern car are not penalised at all. I can understand the need to reduce air pollution but feel that lorries, large petrol-consuming vehicles and large vans should take the hit, not pensioners and the poor!!

One way to reduce pollution would be to stop the idiotic 20mph limit on wide roads which no one in their right mind obeys!

Stop taxis running engines when waiting

Stop taxis running their engine . Change Lewisham town centre road layout which causes congestion. Plant more trees in the town centre and adjoining roads.

Stop the arrangement whereby Zipcar hire cars are scattered all over the borough apparently by agreement with the borough traffic authorities.

Stop the big lorries driving on A2 and A202 and other roads within Lewisham. These are mostly causing the air pollution in the borough and not the residents cars.

Stop the Fire work displays and wasting public money on such.

Stop the rat running through residential streets. 4x4 vehicles should not be allowed to owned by Lewisham residents or driven through the borough.

Stop the rat runs. The Dermody Road / Eastdown Park has increased pollution, noise and is affecting my health, and I chose my property to be in a quiet area. Now I'm thinking of selling up. Lorries going over the speed bumps create a thundering noise that shakes my house. Noise starts from 5.30am every morning.

These are probably not Lewisham residents, they're commuters.

Stop the school run make sure all schools in the area are outstanding then children would go to the local school within a catchment area , there fore putting an end to the school run .

Stop these pop-up factories where people work on cars for cash in hand. I live on Lovelinch Close & on one corner alone there is are 2 small workshop, one for repairing cars & one that does Panel Beating. They both emit very high emissions & repair chemicals which is so bad that I have to wear a mask as have Emphysema. I think anything to stop high emissions is still not enough. Electric should be used by all.

Stop through traffic! It is the cars using the road sitting in traffic that is polluting the air not the cars parked on the street.

How is charging more for PARKING going to improve air quality?

What is the council proposing to do with the extra revenue raised from the higher parking charges that is going to reduce emissions?

Stop traffic driving through the urban central area and improve traffic management so there is less pollution from slow moving vehicles

Stop traffic going thru blackheath village. The pollution is unreal. My windows are constantly black from all the pollution. Cars just go thru village to get to other parts, Its like a rat run.

Stop trucks going through residential streets not designed for them - especially cowboy waste bin trucks (Toulouse!). They wake people up in the early morning, take down tree branches (trees help reduce air pollution), idle in our streets (and those Paris buses) and destroy road surfaces now having to be replaced with our money.

We were not aware of the parking survey last year - it was marked for Ladywell and i am near St Johns station! our street is now parked out every weekday due to commuters moving from the St Johns side of Lewisham Way, to our streets - I am in Tressillian Road. This creates an even more narrow street for the trucks to barge their way through, increasing pollution as they idle and wait. stop trying to make money from drivers who already pay road tax, and will soon pay ulez tax and have to get new cars, Lewisham council are just trying to penalize drivers again.

Stop unnesasary driving

Stop using planes boats over and around our area

Stop vans sitting idling particularly outside schools

Stopping and starting increased pollution. Especially from break pads and tyres. Therefore get rid of speed bumps except outside schools.

Street trees.

Don't build homes or schools adjacent main roads.

Better traffic flow

Improved buses.

Improved cycling.

infrastructure for electric cars.

improve Lewisham links to tube network.

Don't leave Lewisham on the boundary of the low emission zone

Wider management and affordability of public transport for commuters in and through the borough.

Tame the south circular.

Street trees; and

Bus routes utilising hybrid / hydrogen busses.

Stricter rules on parking and keeping engines running near schools

Strictly bar all parking on main and side roads.

Stronger enforcement of the 20mph speed limit, following a publicity campaign to inform people that efforts to ensure adherence will be stepped up.

I'm not sure how much control the council has over public transport policy, but it should strongly support reducing the cost of public transport, and helping to ensure that shift and unsocial hours workers can get to and from work cheaply and safely by increasing night and early morning transport.

Increased use of and campaigns for walking buses to encourage parents not to use cars for the school run.

Strongly Agree

Strongly campaign for more people to utilize the Public Transport options available, to take up cycling, walking, etc; and therefore become less reliant on using their cars.

Strongly encourage car clubs (e.g. Zipcar) to invest further in electric and/or hybrid cars.

Introduce the TFL bike hire scheme across the whole of Lewisham

strongly improve facilities for cycling so that people of all abilities can more easily get around locally by bike or walking

Study traffic flow through the borough. There are speeds where cars are more efficient, reduce idling time. If the main routes through Lewisham can be adjusted to allow vehicles to travel through the borough at the most car efficient way, this will reduce pollutants emitted in the borough.

Also dedicate new green areas with growing and maturing trees to allow pollutants to be absorbed and more oxygen produced. Lewisham is very very poor at protecting trees and growing new green areas. Planning department has to do much better, protect existing trees, ensure new ones are planted by developers.

Subsidies residents to buy low emission car.

Subsidies to support diesel vehicle drivers owning a vehicle or being a resident in the ULEZ

Subsidise car clubs which are incredibly expensive to use

subsidise electric cars

offer cash for trading in diesel cars when buying electric car

Subsidised electric car clubs

Subsidised scheme for electric vehicles. More electrical friendly parking.

Suggestions about my local area:

I live on Wildfell road in Catford, which is a small and residential one way street. All of the heavy goods vehicles delivering to the Catford centre have to come down our road to get to their destination. The road is far too small to allow for these vehicles which often have trouble turning into the road and navigating it due to parked cars. When this happens the HGV's, which are amongst the most polluting on our roads, are stationary with their engines running belching exhaust fumes in the direction of our homes. A solution to this would obviously be to prohibit HGV's from using our road or at least limit access to particular times of day. If this can't be done, then changing the single yellow lines to double yellow lines or red lines at times when HGV's access the road (which is all the time as there are no restrictions) would improve the daily congestion and ease stationary exhaust fumes.

Please, please, please, can the council do something about idling. For clarity 'idling' refers to running a vehicle's engine when the vehicle is not in motion or parked. This is very common on our road, it is not only noisy and annoying for residents as our front windows are very near to the road but it is very harmful for our health as the exhaust fumes of parked vehicles are being concentrated right in front of our homes for no purpose whatsoever. We have been forced to not open our windows as a direct result of this behaviour. There are no signs in view asking drivers not to idle on our street and there are no council initiatives to combat the practice in residential areas that I am aware of. I do know that Lewisham council has put signs up around some schools in the borough, this is a good start but awareness and enforcement has to be extended to residential areas. As residents we try to deal with this ourselves by asking the drivers

concerned to switch their engines off when they are parked. While some people comply with our polite requests others can become very aggressive which could put us in a position of risk.

Supersets in Thomas Lane burn plastic everyday. Black smoke billows out there chimney and comes into the garden and home. It burns my eyes and throat and I can feel the smoke in my lungs. I have taken many videos as evidence.

They should be banned from burning and polluting. They also noise pollute with industrial machines from 8am until 6, sometimes 7pm. They keep the shutters open all day.

Support active travel initiatives wholeheartedly, including improved cycling infrastructure. The school run is a prime target - junior schools are all within walking distance from the pupils' homes, and a substantial part of the danger to little children on the streets is from cars taking children to school. Also not all Lewisham stations (e.g. hither green) are accessible to the disabled, which would tend to make them choose car travel. Also encourage car-pooling and car-sharing schemes to reduce the need for personal car ownership.

Support and provide Air Filters, Plants and trees.

Support car owners 2 change their cars to cleaner exhaust system within their car and or financially help them to change there car to a cleaner air none pollution car!

Support people to change rather than penalizing residents nd shoppers. Most of the high traffic is from motorist passing through. if we dont support local businesses businesses will suffer if parking is high shoppers will go to areas they can park cheaper There is nothing in Caford as it is . Maybe diverting traffic around the town plant more trees creates more open spaces instead of all the flats popping up all over . redevelop developed buildings and leave the land alone.. The pollution as becomes a problem because the loss of the green spaces beeing built on

Support schools and other places with planting living walls.

Organise walking buses for school children.

Support the Bakerloo extension

Support tree planting and no car days/zones

Surcharge every house that has more than two cars - excluding business cars/vans.

Surcharge for all non electric cars

Surcharge is not the answer, it only shows that you are more interested of making money not the people's health. There are ways to tackle problem like this. Create awareness by educating residents about the danger of higher emissions. Give them a choice of self reflective to think about the future generation. Encouragement is the key.

Surcharge is really good thing.

But council has to think about charging points for electric vehicles

As after this surcharge people might change their vehicle but how council going to install charging points as council know that more than half of the resident does not have driveway to charge their vehicles in future.

Surely there will be more pollution as the vehicles that want to avoid the inner ULEZ will start to use the south circular and therefore make things worse. More traffic jams, more fumes, more harm. Solution would be to change the zone to somewhere else so it's not on our doorstep.

The Culverly green area needs parking permits scheme started otherwise we'll have more cars parking here that are trying to avoid the zone

Swap everyone's car for an electric one for free
Swap people's cars for electric one's for free
Switch to cleaner/electric vehicles for its own fleet
Switch to electric buses. Build more electric charging points.
Tackle aggressive drivers who speed and are abuse to cyclists and other drivers.

Use harsher methods to slow traffic. When I drive which I hate doing I constantly have people driving up my bumper because I stick to the 20mph limit. People have complete disregard for the 20mph limit. I see pedestrians struggle to cross and I see cars flying down resi streets and past schools (Manwood Road is an example of this)

Have more safe streets for cycling.

Charge huge SUVs more.

Plant more trees

Take a closer look at the behaviour and access of vehicles using residential streets that directly adjoin the town centre. Particularly Commercial vehicles (white vans) idling in residential parking bays for extended periods, and using the streets as turning points. Also, town centre visitors using cars to travel. On any given day I'd estimate that on average between at least 20 to 30 vehicles use the small cul-de-sac where I live for this purpose. Not only does it invade on residents privacy, but it also affects the ability to open windows in fear of the risk of pollutants.

Take away all the road humps

Apply the same emission charges to black cabs

Take measures to actively reduce CONGESTION. It is not parking a vehicle that produces pollution it is using it, particularly in areas where traffic is queing.

Low mileage residents who use their cars once a week do not generate as much of a problem as those who drive and park every day in the borough.

Take speed bumps away you are creating bad air quality. Link the mass of traffic lights you have put on the roads to keep traffic moving.

Take steps to address rising rates of air pollution and regularly reviewing existing policies to address further increases in air pollution.

Take strenuous action to ensure that commercial vehicles (including, and especially, buses) comply with exhaust emission criteria.

Take the refuse bins every week and not twice a month.

Take the speed humps away

Taller speedbumps on manor lane where there is severe rat running

Target buses, lorries and construction equipment

Target charing to the massive amount of traffic driving through the borough every day; these are not Lewisham residents. As such we should charge these non-Lewisham residents first.

Target commercial / visiting vehicles rather than residents.

Busses to be electric

Target schools with a view to persuading parents to walk their children to school, and shaming those who drive.

Mount a campaign to tell drivers about the dangers of having their engine idling.

Close more roads to prevent rat runs.

Plant more street trees to mop up pollution.

Target smokers.

Target the biggest polluters such as busses, vans, building site machines (cranes etc) first.

Also penalise motor vehicles that use Lewisham as a through road and ban the most polluting vehicles of non-residents of going through residential streets.

Target the building and waste trades more as I feel these are higher contributors to air pollution that residents.

Targeted local interventions, particularly around schools and nurseries, to reduce traffic (e.g. making some streets one way, installing speed cameras, removing rush hour 'cut throughs').

As an example, check out the volume and speed of cars at morning rush hour on narrow residential streets by Brindishe Manor Primary School - using it as a cut through between the South Circular and the A20. As well as a deeply, deeply unsettling child safety concern, it is creating a pocket of emissions right at the precise moment that the children are walking down the street to school.

tax benefits for electric cars

Tax goods vehicles travelling through the borough

Tax the airports that use the flight path on the borough border .

They are polluting more then cars

Teach other non caring countries your policies

That is a stupid question since pollution knows no boundaries so if all cars are electric in Lewisham and the other boroughs are not and the city is not and the country is not and Europe is not and the world is not do you expect that Lewisham is going to be some kind of oasis on the planet?

It seems to me this is just a money robbing exercise. You start the price fairly low and then within 2 years you'll jack up the price by 300% or more. How do I know? Because that is exactly what you have done WITHOUT any justification, consultation or permission from the residents. Pay has not gone up by that amount nor has benefits or anything else, why was it necessary to do that? No doubt you won't address this point and any con man worth his title.

money robbing exercersise

the 20 mile/hr speed limit along with loads of speed bumps slows down traffic to a crawl, increasing traffic jams and the subsequent air pollution. Increase the speed back to 30m/hr. Remove some of the speed bumps. mini-roundabouts are a better way of slowing traffic.

Provide support for the tuning of cars etc, and ways to reduce consumption.

Make it illegal to stand for long periods with the engine running.

Increase the green areas and "night oxygenator plants" both in the streets and offices.

the more green, the less heat island effect.

The air is already very clean. There is no need to keep on reducing to some mystical, unspecified level; the cost far out weigh the benefits. It is very wrong for lewisham to financially penalise its own residents in this way by shifting the goal posts. you can no longer buy polluting cars. by taxing residents who use older vehicles you are penalising the people who can least afford to get a brand new car. Older more polluting cars will drop out of use naturally anyway.

Lewisham should stop tinkering and stop charging residents by the back door to make up for the council's inefficiencies elsewhere.

The best way to reduce pollution would be to let vehicles move. This would be best achieved if the traffic lights were all switched off throughout the borough as it is the only time that you can see traffic flow with no problems. I know this will not happen but if you were at least to look at changing the traffic light sequence and timing to keep traffic moving. It would also help if you were to remove all the ridiculous (and expensive) so called "traffic calming" humps in the road which most vehicles accelerate between, again engines running inefficiently and creating pollution.

The borough could plant more trees and bushes that absorb the emissions as research has shown, especially around schools and other public buildings. The borough really needs to up the numbers of EV charging points as there are very few around.

One of the reasons of people not buying electric vehicles is the lack of charging points.

I strongly believe that if Council is trying to improve air quality within the borough, rather than just add surcharge and extending ULEZ to South Circular, it should help residents by adding EV charging points, in that way residents wouldn't think twice before buying an electric vehicle.

The buses and black cabs are a problem?

The city and the government already have emissions goals. It would be more helpful if rather than further penalising existing drivers of diesel vehicles, the planning department took a longer view of residence, by insisting on adequate green space with every new development and by undertaking extensive tree planting.

The consultation does not take into consideration of out of borough cars parking all day on roads that have no restrictions, yet you want to penalise car owners that live in the borough, all the roads around the various train stations are full from 7am in the morning with cars and vans from people who live outside the borough, there left there all day until they go home to the various towns outside the borough, anyone who lives in say Ashford Kent would pay around £7000 plus for a yearly rail season ticket, so they drive to LBL park all day and save a considerable amount of money, I believe that LBL should take a leaf out of Bromley Council's idea, of putting up parking restriction on these roads of no parking one side between 11am and 12 midday and on the other side of the road between 1pm and 2 pm, these roads are now clear for residents or casual visitors to park for free, without incurring any penalties, and the all day parkers who work in central London will not be able to travel from London twice a day to move their cars, but I doubt this will ever happen in LBL as they will want to make money. If you want to check this try all the side roads along Bromley Rd to Whitefoot lane and beyond, and any railway station in the borough, Catford, Bellingham, Beckenham Hill, Sydenham, Crofton Park, Ladywell to name but a few.

I'm 70 years of age and when I go to see my dentist I have to get either 2 buses or have a 20min walk while all roads are congested with cars that come from out of borough and whose owners actually save money by doing this and are polluting our streets.

The council can take a decision that can help people living in that area.

The council could stop creating road layouts that increase congestion and therefore air pollution. A good example is the new "H" junction between Lewisham station and Lewisham shopping centre. The primary flaw of this design is the pedestrian crossing in the centre of the bar of the "H" design which is not synchronised with traffic lights at each end and which therefore causes both ends of the junction to become gridlocked in even moderate

traffic. The ideal design would have been a raised concourse from the exit of Lewisham station straight into the Lewisham centre separating pedestrians and traffic completely and creating a spacious, traffic free boulevard for visitors to exit the station and walk straight into the town centre. It is also nonsensical to have the New Cross/Ladywell bound side of the junction starting as two lanes and only separating into three lanes after the pedestrian crossing as this means that if traffic is at a standstill in the New Cross direction vehicles headed towards Ladywell are also unnecessarily caught in the congestion . This problem could be easily remedied if the council so desired.

The council needs to address the increasing issue of people using narrow, residential streets as rat runs for their morning and evening commute. The number of vehicles queuing at main junctions and to get through the width restriction barriers on Ennersdale Road in Hither Green, for example, is sometimes overwhelming, and the amount of fumes is noticeable. There are also a number of schools located nearby, so the health of young pupils is constantly at risk. I live on George Lane, and the speed of the cars driving down this road is terrifying. Not only do more cars equal more air pollution, but their speed also equals more danger and hazard for all.

The council needs to incentivise residents to purchase electric / low emissioned cars, I'm unsure if the best way to do this is by making parking even more inaccessible to the large swathe of local residents.

The council should be actively discouraging car use within the borough through reduced road capacity, traffic calming and a borough wide (including main roads) 20 mph speed limit.

The council should encourage a scheme for people who purchased and invested in diesel car/vans under the government advise a few years ago "that it was better to drive these vehicles than petrol", then to turn around a few years later after these people have invested in getting one (new car sales) should help these people to get a new car/van instead of giving them penalties to drive vehicles, why doesn't the government go back to the manufacturer of these vehicles and gas suppliers? and don't allow these vehicles to be sold in this country, and just sell vehicles with lower emissions in the first place?

Taking this action will effect the sole traders and long haulage. The air is polluted for many reasons not just vehicles and the end user should not pay the price, it should be down to the cooperate companies. To own a vehicle is not a luxury, it is an necessity to carry out ones work. We have to buy the cars, pay tax, upkeeps, gas, maintenance, road tax, MOT, insurance, road and parking permits, fees. This charge should not be passed on to the end user
The council should encourage the use of public transport or take other means that will make people avoid using cars.

This can be done by improving the infrastructure (public transport, improving the attractiveness of using a bicycle - secure bicycle locks for example).

For people living in the council this needs that services (more local post offices to pick up mail from, encourage local shops, decentralizing council services).
For people coming to the council (to work or shop) improve the attractiveness of public transport, cycling, walking.

The Council should find a way of helping out those car owner with high emission. Start up programme to assists individual car owner to comply with low level emission. It's very expensive for individual Lewisham resident to embark on fixing the car to low level emission, with Council buying power they can purchase the programme en-bulk and offer it to residents at reasonable cost.

The council should introduce lower rates for vehicles that pollute less. I completely agree with that.

However, an older vehicle with less than 500 miles a year is likely to pollute

less than a newer vehicle clocking 50,000 miles a year - and this is nowhere taken into account.

The scheme favours the wealthier drivers in the borough who can afford to change their car often. This is very disappointing to see in a labour borough which should lead by example.

The council should plan how households can charge electric vehicles in the borough, especially where households use on street parking. Ideally residents should have the option of running from their property or councils owning low cost charge points, using green tariffs eg wind as this is what will reduce the real level of pollution.

Ensure ocean liners are not allowed to dock in Greenwich. Proposals exist to allow ships that emit the equivalent of 500 standing diesel lorries. This will affect Lewisham air quality.

Look at greening areas of the borough that lack trees, there are plenty of streets which are treeless. Encourage residents to plant trees and shrubs.

Use alternatives to speed bumps, these are the road planning depts lazy one approach fits all to controlling traffic and speed, they cause a significant increase in pollution and do not deter the complete idiot from driving fast, it just makes their driving even more dangerous.

The CPZ will not solve the lack of e-charging infrastructure for vehicles. They should implement this first before the CPZ.

On street parking prohibits people buying hybrids due to cables running across pavements. The council needs to overhaul street layouts to help people to use personal solar power generation to charge their vehicles during the day when they are out at work.

The effects will be mitigated over time if the council provide incentives. The council could provide more electric vehicle charging points and incentivise electric vehicle ownership. For example, reduce the annual permit charges for electric vehicles.

The existing South Circular Road (A205) is woefully inadequate for 21st century motoring and is in capacity shortfall. Along this route, there are more bottle necks which in parts on travel times. & time wasted in general in the congestion. If capacity was increased to meet demand, journeys times would be improved & less time would loss.

the extension of the bakerloo line would make a huge difference

The Extinction Rebellion idea (embraced by Oxford City Council and six parliamentary select committees) of a citizens' assembly seems sensible as it is hard for Lewisham residents to know what to suggest in this box.

Aside from a citizens' assembly, I would like to see the following:

- Better cycling routes including segregated cycling lanes to make cycling safer, especially in busy areas like Lewisham town centre and around Deptford Bridge
- More trees and plants e.g. green roofs on buildings, moss, more semi-wild areas in parks (rather than just lawn)
- Car-free days, when vehicles are banned
- Crack down on vehicle idling
- Ban cars around schools/strict traffic enforcement
- Charging stations for electric cars

- A climate budget
- Incentives for people who don't own cars e.g. free visitor vouchers or council tax reductions

The fact that so many FLATS are being built in the borough vastly increasing the population of the borough and with so many of these people owning cars, is not going to help improve air quality.

The local authority needs to open their eyes to the fact that just because flats are built with the assumption residents are not car drivers, thus is not the case. The fees are still relatively small compared to the overall cost of the car. An owner of a land rover for example probably wouldn't be fazed by an additional £100 in fees - I think you could charge more.

The first thing Lewisham can do to improve its air quality is to plant trees. Yes it's that simple, I say this because over the last tens years 4 trees have been removed on my road and none have been replaced or replanted, I contacted the tree department to ask for the trees to be replaced and I was told they've never had requests for trees to be planted always to be removed? I was confused and after we both had a sudden awakening moment about the poor trees, I was upset as to why my request had never been followed up and still after 5 years no trees have been planted on my road. We have one tree remaining in front of my home and I will protect it with my life. Lewisham council should stop trying to impose charges on people and make Lewisham a greener place by educating people and providing them a good platform to start from, in five years new trees will help with cleaner air and more people will have time and the knowledge to make their own changes.

The government charges extra Tax etc. For polluting vehicles- the council not penalise and charge people twice for the same vehicle the government charged extra road tax for

The government some years ago said buy diesel all of a sudden they now say diesel is no good. I own a diesel car and I don't believe I should be paying extra for it this surcharge is wrong. I do not manufacture cars the manufacture should make the cars healthy for the environment. I believe this is a big conspiracy and it is the working class and poor people who are now out of pocket because of this whole low emissions. As a Lewisham resident I believe it's awful we have to pay to park on our own roads anyway. We already pay for Road Tax insurance, m.o.t congestion charges hi petrol charges, car services and much more some of us even pay hire purchase for the car now you want to introduce extra diesel charges. The buses are diesel the black cabs are diesel why not change them to petrol it's not our fault it's the government and the car manufacturers and we shouldn't have to pay for their mistakes

The government were promoting diesel cars, to the point that I purchased one because of this, in 2015. Then after the VW scandal, we now realise that the diesel cars are not as "clean" as we were told, and now the government seems to be "punishing" diesel car owners with increased taxes etc. This isn't fair unless the government provide help to the existing diesel car owners to sell and change to a cleaner petrol/electric car instead.

I have now scrapped my diesel car but had the government not promoted diesel, i would have purchased a petrol car in 2015 instead. I feel that I was "conned" and feel that my decision was influenced by the government's promotion, and feel that I have lost a lot of money because of this.

There will be many more drivers who feel the same and whilst it would be good to implement ways to discourage people buying diesel cars, people who purchased them during the period 2012-2016 should be compensated.

The graph provided on your new policy shows the income you're making yearly, it shows an increase year on year of cash and cashless payments from parking permits and pay and display. Surely, roughly £880,000 is already enough to install air filters and other ways of sorting air quality rather than punishing people for having a vehicle or a diesel engine.

The justification behind the diesel surcharge is not factually correct. Quoting the consultation text "compared to petrol cars with a catalyst, diesels have higher emissions of NOx". This is not the case for modern diesels: NOx levels are now the same, starting from the introduction of Euro 6. Actually Euro 6 diesels pollute less than Euro 5 petrol, but this proposal is punishing the greener engines. I suppose diesels are more common in Lewisham and this looks like an easy way to increase the permit charge of 50% without stating that it is only about money.

The main issue in Lewisham is the main trunk road into London. It is less local residents and more the coaches and lorries that clog up the roads. This should be addressed

The main source of pollution is South circular road with its bottle neck in Catford.

The major issue that the bulk of vehicles that pass through Lewisham are not owned by Lewisham residents so why penalise Lewisham residents. TfL have already made plans to cut pollution by charging people coming into the inside of the North and South Circular which is a much fairer way of doing things. Unless we can find ways of reducing the cost of commuter rail travel, we will always have a problem. As you point out, only 47% of people in Lewisham own cars. We own a car which we use to drive out to Beckenham to babysit our grandchildren as we are retired. The car spends the rest of the day unused. I have already got rid of my diesel car having been told previously by government sources that it was less polluting and still its not enough for you running Lewisham. Come clean and admit that you are using this as an excuse to hike more money from residents who own cars based upon the misguided assumption that if you own a car you are well off. For elderly people who find difficulty in getting about like my wife, it is important that she can drive and we wish we could do without a car.

The majority of pollution in Lewisham is from the South Circular. and transiting cars, vans and lorries, not from parked cars. Therefore this survey is an exercise of cynical misdirection by the council. In reality this is an exercise in increasing council revenue not pollution regulation and redundant, As is stated ULEZ will extend to the South circular shortly.

Dawdling and varying speeds in inhibited and poor flowing traffic and accelerating between speed humps, lots of traffic lights, pedestrian pelican crossings cause more pollution. Yet the council has inhibited flow of traffic, put speed humps everywhere and introduced a 20mph dawdle zone borough wide in Lewisham. Hence the council has worsened pollution The council has taken no measures to ease traffic flow on the South Circular, causing worse pollution. Indeed lewisham planning completely disregarded TfL's concern with more cars exiting onto the South Circular with the Catford dog track development. These parking plans are actually penalizing a large number of poorer residents making cheaper cars far more expensive to park as they do not have the latest anti pollution measures. It is regardless of the amount of usage. ULEZ Will serve to eliminate or reduce usage of such cars anyway, although not raising revenue for Lewisham. ULEZ penalises travelling vehicles and amount of usage, actual pollution generation, Not still. Parked. Vehicles. Vehicles causing no pollution.

Lewisham is encouraging inward migration of more affluent residents with the whole Lewisham flats development plans. These are precisely the car ownership types. Worsening pollution by that and all the service vehicles supplying the increased population. Some joined up thinking not just parking regulation is what is needed.

Why are politicians never open and honest? Devious? Perhaps they even believe their own nonsense justification,, which is worse because it shows stupidity running the show.

The most polluting cars are the oldest, driven by the poorest, who use them sparingly, and can ill-afford any further demands on their limited income. If they did not need a vehicle for childcare, elderly care, disabled care, or to work, they would not have one. These proposals are in danger of being a tax on the poor.

The most pollution in Lewisham is produced not by its residents but by vehicles passing through, especially the long lines of vehicles which are queuing to get through its centre from six in the morning and from four in the afternoon. The new road layout around Lewisham Station has exacerbated this, making it as bad as the Catford gyrator system for vehicles and extremely hostile for pedestrians. The town-planners seem, to ordinary residents, to be clueless: a new giant roundabout by Lewisham Station Tesco's is arguably the most dangerous intersection in London and is constantly choked by queues of traffic. Road design needs to take visiting traffic round Lewisham - the A2 is a prime example of a lost opportunity - and far more Residents Only and pedestrianised areas are needed.

The most significant thing you could do is to redesign the awful junction by Lewisham station. It's disgraceful that this junction was designed and created just a few years ago with seemingly no consideration of the pollution it would cause, making vehicles stop at one of around 6 or 7 sets of lights. No provision was made at all for cycling -the least polluting vehicle on the roads. I often have to sit behind cars and buses, breathing in their noxious fumes, then take my life in my hands to cross three lanes of traffic. Advance stop boxes are worthless if there is no way to get past the traffic to get to them. Perhaps some cyclist only green lights to give cyclists a head start as well, it's not difficult. The parking permit should be based on usage. At the moment, my permit will increase by nearly 60% but the car doesn't get used a lot (around 3-5000 miles per year) and a lot of this is out of the borough. Why should I pay more in the borough (and to the borough) when the potential damage is being distributed across a wider area (and harming people less as a result)? Why not increase the rates by usage? You can presumably obtain this data from the MOT database as these data are collected at this stage.

What is being done about the major roads (A2, A20 and A205)? Surely they contribute the most pollution. How can you tell where the users are from? Are residents being unfairly penalised by users from other boroughs/parts of the country.

My car is used when it is necessary. It's not a luxury item. If these changes go through, assuming the decision has not been made already, you have just made it more expensive for me to live my life; it won't change my behaviour because I will still use the car for speed and convenience. Furthermore, upgrading the car is not an option as sufficient disposable cash is limited. I suspect this will be the case for many of the voters/drivers in the borough.

What will the extra money raised be used for? This should be made clear. I

would not want to see this go into the general Lewisham treasury pot. Funds should be used for traffic, transport and health based schemes and not to cover the shortfall in either central government funding or the failure to collect 100% of council tax which is due. Would you confirm this please?

Annex 2 in the policy document is blank - please email me an updated version. The pavements could be used for pedestrians and cyclists. Cyclists would never have to go on the road at all if the pavements were used to a better advantage. Countries such as Cyprus do this and it seems to work very well. This would mean that cycling and walking would be safer for all. The prejudice against diesel cars is misjudged. There are diesel cars, I own one which is blue, using urea to prevent harmful emissions, other diesel cars can be easily converted, why this is not part of the strategy I do not understand.

This car is used for long distances which is more efficient, from a fuel viewpoint.

The council needs to concentrate on all cars with foul emissions. I am sorry to say I still see cars emitting great black clouds, petrol based. They should be removed from circulation.

Also plant more trees, and encourage residents to do so, rather than creating drives. Many do this due to the need to have a parking permit.

The problem as I see it is that you equate a higher charge for certain higher-emission vehicles with a lower use of such vehicles than at present. Is there actually any evidence that charging people more to park will reduce usage? My calculation is that my Kia Rio will now be charged at £160 instead of the current £120. That's less money in my pensioner's pocket and more in the Council's pocket - but it won't make me change my (very moderate) driving time in the borough (I really only use the car on long journeys out of London, generally it just sits outside the house all week) or swap my car for a lower-emission none (which would cost me thousands of pounds for a newer car).

As I see it, there's only two ways of reducing emissions via parking charges - increasing them to such a punitive level that people stop owning a car at all; or using all the extra cash you will get in (I am sure you will be looking for a net profit from all this, not just to bring in the same amount as currently, or less - and your figures below suggest around three-quarters of people will be paying more) to subsidise people to help them, for example, to buy a bike or a new (electric?) lower emission car. I'm serious about that. (Such schemes worked for people's new boilers and loft insulation etc.) I don't think it's particularly fairer to charge people more or less depending on their emissions (some less well off people have to have a high-emission older car as they can't afford a newer one). A fairer system is surely to charge people according to the mileage they do in the borough - of course that's harder to calculate than charging by make and age of car, but it could be done with cameras.

Near where I live (Ermine Road) of course we have Loampit Vale, which is an absolute asphyxiation trap during rush hours, and pretty bad any other time of day. How about a toll on using it, like the Dartford Crossing charge?

In passing, I'd love to buy a bike and ride, but riding a bike in Lewisham is tantamount to suicide. The Council could, if it was serious, significantly increase independent cycle routes through the borough, even taking over some of the road network - that would be a significant reducer of car journeys - I'd

certainly sign up to it and use my car less. The only long-term answer is to get people out of their cars and on some other form of transport (from foot to cycle to electric skateboard etc).

All the extra money you will be getting in (the current parking charge from just my one road I conservatively reckon gives you some £12,000 p.a., so that will probably go up to £15,000 p.a. after the proposed changes) should be ring-fenced for improving air quality - no using it for other purposes! A huge increase in electrification points for new electric cars would be a priority. What stops me thinking about an electric car is the lack of such points at present.

Lewisham is of course an excellent public transport hub - trains, buses and DLR which is why I hardly ever use my car within the borough. So keep that up!

The problem here is that you are proposing a regressive tax. The owners who take their kids to private school in blackheath arriving in their huge SUV's that cost £50,000+ these taxes won't make any difference, whereupon my £2,000 Vauxhall probably will emit more emissions. But the additional tax will impact me and if I have to give up the car, i'll Be unfairly restricted to lewisham and public transport.

The proposed tiers for charging do not do enough to encourage lower emission cars. The cost reduction for a low emission car is negligible. Why not make a more positive difference? The fact that the vast majority of cars will be subject to a higher charge is evidence that this seems to be about revenue generation rather than environmental concerns. Positive incentives should be used over penalties.

The electric car charging strategy should be significantly more ambitious. As it stands it is totally inadequate. Charging points in lamp posts should be a viable solution, though the councils PFI deal re lamp posts no doubt makes this problematic.

The quality of the air is not only affected by cars which are stationary, so I am not sure if that ne tax will improve the air quality.

The air quality is mainly affected by vehicles with the engine running. Taxi and bus are more th blamed here. Also stupid traffic light that are forcing car to stop should be avoided.

Lastly the air pollution is also affected by inefficient heating (house and commercial).

If you want to tax fairly, heavy vehicle (such as suv, pickup) which are not needed in an urban landscape like lewisham should be taxed

The recent re-modelling of the junction by Lewisham station has created a traffic pinch-point that did not exist with the old roundabout. There are numerous knock-on traffic pinch-points as a result. The greatest impact being queues of traffic at multiple times of the day, but particularly during rush hour that sit with their engines running. These traffic jam hot-spots need addressing. Also, you could enforce the no-idling when waiting rules of the highway code, in particular outside shops and schools when motorists leave their cars idling whilst waiting for many minutes at a time.

The streets around Lee get clogged up with L drivers particularly during the working week but at weekends as well.

This adds to congestion and pollution levels

Can this be controlled in some way?

The surcharge is fine but simply give ample time for diesel owners to change cars. 12 months should be reasonable. Telling someone they are being surcharged in just a few months seems unfair.

Additionally look at Paris where instead of charging more polluting vehicles (a good revenue raiser no doubt) they are simply banning them all weekday business hours. Can't pollute if they are not on the road. Then again no extra revenue for you!!

The switch from more polluting vehicles to less polluting ones will not address congestion or improve safety for cyclists and pedestrians.

My proposal is to increase the number of pedestrian streets and reduce the number of Lanes in the most polluted roads, this is a way to give a better incentive to walk more and lead a healthier lifestyle.

When cycling in Lewisham I notice that the 20 mph speed limit is not being properly enforced, this will also help tackle pollution and improve safety The trick is not to go after residents but to stop people coming in from outside the borough who use our neighbourhood as a giant car park. (probably than using TFL to get into the congestion charge zone). It's not just the normal cars but also busses and trucks that park up our road every weekend and many week days and nights. That will have a real effect on local pollution. Also the latest modern diesels are actually cleaner than older petrol cars.

The trouble is we have the most expensive commuter fares in the whole of Europe it's a fact that low income households will own older less efficient vehicles which they need to get from multiple jobs and ferrying kids around the current system will penalise these people many work for minimum wage so in 2021 when these families have to find the extra money just for the privilege of just trying to survive the whole system is unjust if the whole transport system was state owned and subsidised and ran efficiently it would have the desired effect of less cars on the road resulting in less spending on the nhs as a result of high pollution also child obesity is on the rise Baring in mind most children live within walking distances to schools if you see the congestion caused in Culverley road where there are two schools is phenomenal. The solutions to cleaner air are not simple as it involves many social economical factors that go far beyond simply introducing more parking restrictions permits and low emission zones the mayor of London has not factored in how his plans for cleaner air for London will effect low income households or those that need A car as a necessity. Under the pretence of cleaner air for London it's more about creating revenue

the Uk Government encouraged car owners to buy diesel cars. Diesel car are still the least pollutant vehicle for long distances - far less polluting than petrol cars. We tend to only use our diesel car for long journeys of over 1.5 hours to visit friends and relatives. This is still the best type of car to use for these journeys. All four of us adult workers travel to work by walking to Lewisham train station, travelling by train or DLR into work and then walking to our place of work from there. We have our groceries delivered and walk into Lewisham or Blackheath for our day to day needs so we don't use our car. We shouldn't be penalised in the way that is being proposed. We are not major contributors to the pollution in Lewisham unlike some buses and most taxi drivers and most lorries and vans. All of these should be required to switch off their engines when their vehicles are stationary.

The ULEZ is planned to expand to the cover Lewisham, cars are moving towards hybrid and electricity already so why just not let those two things play out rather than put an arbitrary tax on people who cannot afford to change their car, especially me as I'm a working London Taxi driver who provides a valuable service to the residents of Lewisham and London as a whole. And seeing as the government only a few years ago encouraged people to buy diesels and now they are the problem, hypocrisy in action.

the ULEZ zone will already target diesel vehicles. that is sufficient. i don't agree with additional penalisation for vehicles which are just stationary (parked)

The use of Electric busses

The worst aspect for me is having to travel on Lewisham Way and to negotiate the 'roundabout' on foot. Cars, buses and lorries have priority over pedestrians and it is a disgrace the way people on foot have to spend so much time next to so many lanes of polluting traffic. The council is mainly to blame for allowing the area near the rail station to be so onerous for pedestrians to walk around.

I would like Lewisham to have it's own emission zone.

There are a few things you can do:

1 plant more trees, especially near schools and school routes

2 buses should be cleaner

3 An anti-idling campaign

There are a lot of buses that appear empty after rush hour. Maybe limit their frequency at off peak times.

there are a lot of vehicles that are pouring out a lot of smoke ie black clouds of smoke there should be a system where these vehicles could be rechecked at a garage if there number plate was taken down

There are far too many cars in the road and too much traffic. The council could do WAY more to enforce speed limits, which would make driving less appealing. The council should be doing more for pedestrians, like adding more zebra crossings to slow down traffic. The council should do something to stop buses from idling with engines on at the bus stops on Station Road at Lewisham Station. Buses that terminate there often sit with their engines on while the driver takes a break. These are active bus stops where pedestrians are standing with no choice but to breathe in the fumes. Please do something. The council should also bear air quality in mind when waving through large scale construction projects as in Lewisham Centre. The council should do much more to protect primary schools from air pollution. The council should restrict heavy vehicle traffic to particular routes with low density residential populations. The council should also consider the serious health effects of noise pollution as well as air pollution.

There are lots of ways to improve air quality, including making it easier to use bikes or walk around the borough. But I think you have to be really careful with proposals which impose financial penalties if you are not going to penalise the least well off. We bought a diesel car as a green option, just before all the evidence about the damage they do came out. and now we might have to pay more for what was supposed to be a green choice.

There are many other ways to improve air quality. Considering the governments advise to buy a doses car only some years back this UTurn by the government to punish diesel car owners does not give me confidence that they know what they are doing!

There are plants that are particularly good at absorbing harmful substances. Plant these near particularly bad areas. Green walls...is a great concept. Empower traffic wardens to tell people to switch off when waiting for people. Particularly cabs at Lewisham station.

there are too many car at lewisham streets close the market... visitors have priority to park, this is an absurd !!!! we, residents , just can park one side of streets BUT visitors can park both sides!!!! it does not make sense !!!!!!!!!!!!! or, maybe, make sense if you want make money !!!!! Sundays, my street become a messy party...anyone parking in anywhere !!!! saturday morning it is a hell !!!! we, residents, are hostages of visitors!!! the traffic in lewisham is a HELL, not

because residents BUT VISITORS... another thing is about the traffic close metropolitan police and DLR...the traffic lights are completely crazy !!!! I wonder if any staff from Lewisham traffic sector NEVER saw IT ! THIS IS the great reason to terrible air quality.... between 6 am to 10 pm this area is completely traffic jam

There could be parking for people using train stations like an area in the Sainsbury's car park in New Cross for users of New Cross Gate Station or New Cross station. This could also take place in other store car parks near stations. There is a need to reduce car use as at present I can't get my child to school on time using buses due to traffic and then, ironically, I have to use the car to get him there which sort of defeats the point. Lewisham roundabout is probably worse than it ever was before there reconfiguration which then snarls buses up and the junction for Ladywell is terrible, again stopping the buses.

There is a need to reduce vehicle ownership in general, not Just changing to low emission vehicles.

I suggest charging more for households with more than one car/vehicle. ie, 2nd car permit is double the price. Hopefully this will reduce amount of vehicles owned.

Cut rat runners.

There is no provision of electric charging on streets. No one paying for a parking permit has off road access so they can't actually own a zero emissions car. Lewisham council is making no attempt to increase charging infrastructure in a way that meets real user needs

There is proven evidence/research that diesel cars do not create any greater air quality issues than electric cars, while diesel fumes are said to pollute, electric cars are heavier and cause greater damage to the road and indeed the tyres so that the air quality is damaged due to small particles in the air. So there are a significant number of electric cars in the borough and they should be penalised equally to diesel.

Also Lewisham still have a number of diesel busses in operation - what are they doing about that.

There should be a Local Vehicle Ownership tax that is applied to any Vehicle That is registered to an address in the burgh, and the amount should be based emissions. Car permit scheme in its current form discriminations against younger people who often live in newer built accommodate that are not entitled to a permit and this adds to the already generation gap that exists.

There still needs to be ample parking provided. Residence Only parking permits.

There will be no real improvement in air quality in Lewisham due to traffic passing through. This is I suspect the main pollutant along with buses. On the mayor of London's web site the pollution near my house is to do with the bus stops that surround my house not cars.

Although I own a car I walk everywhere in the borough and use my travel card for longer journeys. But all forms public transport are still very unreliable, dirty and overcrowded, it hardly makes you want to use unless you have to.

There's a LOT that could be done, for one thing and it sounds silly to all those who perhaps work in the council and can either afford to change cars or can take cabs and charge it as a work expense... clean the trains and buses properly, one of the biggest reasons people want a car of their own is because of convenience, some people need it, I don't but my partner does, I go to Richmond every day to work I get a bus and two trains for one journey to work then the same in reverse coming home. I have a Bath in the morning so I'm cleaning leaving my house and just using transport to get to work I go straight

to the toilet and wash my hands and they're absolutely filthy and I've not touched anything other than normal handrails on transport. There's also an issue with seats, you don't always get a seat. Late trains are not my biggest issue even though people seem to dwell on that a lot, dirty filthy trains and buses are a massive issue for me. The windows are not cleaned the floors the glass inside the train isn't clean it's oily from people's hair, and open windows, there's hardly ever a window open on the train they should be left open every night to clear the germs. My boyfriend is a massive fan of his car and I been trying to get him to realise the perks of transport, no need to search for parking, can drink alcohol while you out if you decide to, and it's sometimes now a lot faster I couldn't get to Richmond in a car as quickly as I do on transport. But when he says but they're dirty and there's crazy people on transport Or I'll get hot and it will make me not want to go to the place I'm going, I don't have an answer yet it is a easy fix. Why must every solution be to charge us money to fix things, people on lower income will be the ones who have older cars with higher emissions and those will be the people effected therefore this is becoming a us and them situation, if you have a car in Lewisham then you are either rich enough to pay emission charges or rich enough to replace your car with a more suitable one. That's not fair, I am In favour of cleaner air but it's like nobody wants to do their part it's always getting us poorer people with our heads just above water to cough up even more money, don't you see that your driving people out of the borough for those trying to keep up with many many charges for just existing! And it isn't about caring about people it's about money as always Nhs money has prompted this not because you care because if you did you'd give back not charge us more and more and more.

These are good measures to be introducing. Blackheath is particularly bad, partly because of the way the rounds funnel through the village, trapping the bad air in the dip by the station.

Suggest active monitoring of the air in areas such as this, where you can taste and smell the poisonous air.

Small children are particularly at risk because of their height relative to sources of the worst traffic pollution. ? advise parents not to drive their children to school

They could ask the nursery at 7 Morley Rd to have the parents park in the parking lot (as the councillors who approved it assured us they would) as opposed to idling in front of residents windows for pick up and drop off. They won't be impacted by charges (because they don't use meters during the 5 -10 minutes they are there) but we (who don't drive) would be because we are typically asked to pay for builder parking. Thus, on our street, the biggest and most frequent polluters would go unpunished yet non-drivers like ourselves would pay more. I'm all for doing my bit for climate change but this just strikes me as another tax for the council.

They could help traffic flow better. All efforts are currently to punish drivers, however there are many things that can be done to improve pollution by better flow in traffic. For example, light sensors so that red lights don't come on when there are no cars coming from other junctions. Humps on roads away from schools add to pollution through constant braking and speeding up (not necessary unless by a school). 20 mph limits have increased pollution since cars are hanging around for longer, it's no coincidence that pollution has got alot worse since 20mph were introduced across London. And many other things too many to list here.

They shouldn't use drivers as cash cows

They shouldnt lower the parking based On your car Emissions but in my opinion you could make the parking a bit more expensive for the residents

when the south circular ULEZ comes into use so that they can drive around for free without having to pay extra especially for diesel cars.

Think about aircraft pollution as well as car emissions. There are so many planes flying over us from Heathrow & London City airports with their pollution raining down on us. Lewisham council needs to act for residents to improve air & noise pollution from aircraft as well as motor traffic.

Think of a good incentive

This could also be a government led operation. All families should be limited to 2 cars per family to improve air quality

This is a discriminatory charge aimed at punishing residents who own cars within a CPZ.

'Virtue Signalling' by the council and simply a way of increasing the CPZ revenue by cloaking it in 'green issues'

This is a good initiative as the air quality is bad. I would like to see the council crack down on motorbikes who have modified their engines

This is a government problem that has been dumped on local government because of the political consequences. Diesel cars have been legally purchased and produce lower levels of CO₂, petrol engine cars are not non-polluting. If the government want to reduce the number of diesel cars which it encouraged citizens to purchase there should be a national compensation scheme.

There is an easy way to reduce all emissions, limit ownership to one car per household or each household parking space/s. The problem is not the pollution produced by the vehicle, it is the number of vehicles which need to be reduced. This is a tax on the poor who can not afford a new Tesla.

This is a national (even global) issue and so far I have not observed how manufacturers of polluting vehicles and other items are being tackled at the local level.

This is a policy to raise money! The Council have approved the building of massive tower blocks all closely-spaced in the centre of Lewisham on probably the most congested section of the A20. The congestion there is much worse than it was before because of the appallingly slow traffic caused by the worsened road infrastructure. The pollution is also worsened by the poor air flow around the tower blocks. It is a busy residential area as well as a transport hub.

Why has the council chosen to ignore the pollution factors when money-spinning building projects are at stake, I ask rhetorically?

This is a way for you to raise money. You guys are thieves.

This is another way to get more money out of the resident. You should take out firstly all the transport buses and working vehicle that are crossing the town on a daily basis which are highly polluting. Buses should be electric heavy vehicle should be banned from the center, Lewisham centre is impossible at peak time as the traffic built up so easily for the new round about which is useless and difficult to read if you are not local.

You should solve the mess you created with the building in progress and the road work as you create pollution and not only the cars. This is another way to Scrooge money out of pensioner and residents in the borough.

This is being taken care of by London mayor and the ULEZ - Lewisham needs to focus on other efforts and stop trying to raise hidden taxes for residents already suffering from austerity

This is just a money making system for the council

This is just a way to raise more money - it was a labour government that pushed us all to buy diesel and now with the ULEZ coming we are going to be punished and now you want to also punish us more. If you are wealthy you can

buy a new car or pay the higher charges - so you are hitting the poorest who can't - it will not reduce emissions just mean that you are charging more to park as we can't afford to just sell our car and buy a newer one - you already make a fortune out of the permits and the penalties that you charge in the borough. This is purely an income generator, and unfair on people who already own vehicles. The road tax is where any pollution tax should currently lie - in fact the fuel price should generate all levies. That way, the more you drive, the more you pollute, the more you pay. It's ludicrous to suggest a tax for a vehicle that is parked - i.e. NOT polluting the atmosphere. If you want more money from parking, just put the price up - don't conceal this need for more cash behind a veil climate change compliance!

This is ridiculous. You should not have a diesel surcharge simply because a car is diesel. If you want to amend the rates then amend them in line with the ULEZ guidelines. There are people that have bought a Euro 6 diesel specifically so they complied with new laws. New diesels May produce more NOX but their CO2 emissions are far lower than petrol cars and this is what is a serious pollutant. The vast amount of pollution is generated by the through traffic not those cars which are parked in the borough. This should not be implemented and I will be brining it up with my MP as it's just another way to squeeze money out of those of us in Lewisham.

This is stupid, buying a new car is actually more damaging than a slightly older car.

To improve emissions so people cutting through Lewisham

This policy is not about air quality. If it is please can you write down what you intend to do with the money raised? Will it be used for planting trees in streets? My area in crofton Park could accommodate loads of trees especially along the main roads. Or you could use the money raised to compulsory purchase the existing green space owned by developers such as the Buckthorne road cutting. However, forgive me for being cynical but none of the proposals include a business case for how you are going to use the money? Why not? This seems like a short termist attempt to increase revenues for the council, which is fine and I support, but should be presented as such, rather than dresses up as a green initiative. Otherwise incentivise drivers with cleaner vehicles, rather than penalise those with older more harmful cars.

More needs to be done on an infrastructure level to improve facilities for cyclists in the borough and to mitigate the impact of the south circular and other busy roads.

This should not be a blanket surcharge on all diesels. New diesel cars (eg with AdBlue) can achieve very low emissions. My diesel car is in the £30 road tax bracket (and is post 2014), because it has very low emissions rates. AdBlue technology reduces harmful nitrogen oxides and nitrogen dioxide, and the AdBlue tank is checked as part of regular servicing. Therefore, a blanket diesel car surcharge would be wrong. An alternative would be to follow the exact criteria set by The ULEZ, if a car is subject to an ULEZ surcharge, it should pay the Lewisham surcharge too. ULEZ takes into account that some modern diesels can produce very low emissions. I planned my car purchase based on ULEZ criteria and it feels very unfair that the council is moving the goalpost so soon after its introduction

This sounds like a massive money grab. Lewisham hasn't historically been, nor is it currently a wealthy Borough. To enforce these changes is to the detriment of poorer families. Often owning an old car is cheaper than the ever rising inflation costs of a decrepit, failing Public Transport . If you want people to embrace cleaner emissions YOU need to give them an incentive. Not a measly 50 Amazon voucher. If you want heavily polluting vehicles off the road then

offer an affordable alternative. People are already paying to park outside of their own homes, they don't need to be ripped off any more than already is. And for the love of everything sacred. Don't impose any charges on motorcycles. This survey is full of leading questions. LCC could not give a shit about health or the environment, you are just using this as an opportunity to generate funds because you have consistently failed to implement long term environmentally friendly policies

This whole idea of increasing permit costs is flawed. It is the through traffic that causes the pollution. This is what the council should be reducing along with commuter rat runs through residential areas which is where most people do their breathing. A survey of London showed that most pollution was within 20 metres of main roads.

this will come naturally with the ULEZ, no need to tax for a year! not cost effective!!! improve the hither green station to make it better, nicer and safer for children - add an exit from platform 6 above the green area via an environmentally friendly glass bridge designed by a proper architect - improve that horrible tunnel where any single woman is scared of being attacked whichever time of the day it is and visibility

This will just be never ending. Once the council puts in their policy to help improve the air quality. A few years later the council will introduce something else to again help with air quality. We as residents are already paying loads for a yearly permit. And now the council wants to introduce parking permits to vehicles with low emissions - so that will mean either i get charged additional £50 on top of my yearly parking permit cost or change vehicle which then means I got to buy a new car. This is not very effective for mums that work and pay for child care.

Tie in other policy-making to encourage car-free journeys in the borough. Critical to this is provision of locally based services (GPs, Community centres etc.) and encouragement of independent shops on the high street through tax incentives. Doing this would change the ways in which residents and non-residents choose to travel in the borough.

Case in point: the redevelopment of the Bell Green gas tower site into more commercial shopping that will increase the volume of traffic on the roads. Undoubtedly, the financial imperatives in this decision are hard to ignore in the current financial climate. However, that decision is clearly entirely at odds with the aim of reducing carbon emissions in this proposal.

Some joined up thinking that tied these new proposals with increased funding for small businesses on the high street would be welcome.

to be honest i don't no .

To encourage me to buy an electric car Lewisham and London should increase significantly the charging points.

People driving older polluting cars will avoid the charge by parking further away.

To encourage people to use more public transport by reducing costs. Improve the experience of travelling on public transport particularly buses where anti-social behaviour is very common.

Improve traffic signalling. There are a number of traffic hotspots across Lewisham where simple changes to traffic signalling would keep vehicles moving. Are you aware that a stationary vehicle creates almost 2-5 times more pollution than a moving vehicle?

Invest in roads. There are a number of roads across Lewisham where two lanes have become one which has increased traffic and pollution. Switching these back will create more space for vehicles and possibly help create more cycle lanes.

Improve public transport pollution. Buses are one of the worst polluters on the roads, updating the fleet with carbon neutral vehicles will improve Lewisham air quality significantly.

To get diesel vehicles off the streets as I also one having been encouraged by the mainstream media and vehicle manufacturers.

Government needs to provide a massive subsidy towards a trade in for an environment friendly vehicle.

Many people have spent a large amount of money on these vehicles only to be told that they are not good.

Who's to blame?

None other than the government and the manufacturers.

So why do Joe public have to pay a fine for a pollutant vehicle?

Surely the onus is on the manufacturers and the government who are there to oversee these.

Therefore if the pollutant vehicles are encouraged to be traded in with substantial subsidies then there shall be no need for this fine/tax.

So really this is but a ploy just to exploit the public again.

Should be no vehicle no tax.

To encourage people to buy electric cars

To introduce a savage scheme to encourage diesel drivers like myself, to buy an appropriate petrol vehicle, instead of penalizing drivers with older diesels cars, who can't afford to either buy a newer car or to be penalized £50.00.

Years ago, the government encouraged drivers to buy diesel cars. Now the rug has been pulled from under us. Diesels drivers are deemed as social pariah. I am a blue badge holder. I get exemption for the congestion zone but not for the emissions. I can't drive to St Thomas's and Guy's hospitals. I can't drive into or through central London. I have to scurry around the centre like a rat. All because I drive a diesel car.

To not leave cars running with engine on.

to say that there are 'miles of cycle lanes' is disingenuous. The cycle lanes in Lewisham are limited and of poor quality. There are popular cyclist commuter routes which have been unsafe for years.

There should be more spaces made available for car clubs such as zipcar.

Too many parents drive their children to school, roads around schools should have limited access to non-residents.

To use all public transport for free

Tolls and more electric car charge points including new build car parks (ie-Francis Harvey Way) and hydrogen/electric buses and taxis. Get rid of all emission emitting transport immediately

Traffic system outside of Lewisham Police station is a disaster. Look to improve traffic flow and road layouts.

Change London buses and black taxi cabs from operating on diesel engines.

Treble the amount of electric car charging ports.

Rethink the idiotic decision to open a new entry to Ashmead Road school on Lewisham

A wide-scale programme of street tree-planting

Tree-planting schemes on residential roads - at the very least replacing where trees have been previously but are no longer

Improving east-west transport links across the borough (and wider south London) - at least in encouraging TfL to look at how this could be improved.
Trees. There is a clear lack of trees along the A205.

Despite government incentives Lewisham council is not rolling out electric charging points to encourage increased electric car usage.

Green electric buses

Remove the vans and trucks from using a205

Increase cycle lanes

try and stop the 3rd runway at Heathrow, and less planes into city and Stanstead airports.

Try harder to encourage Lewisham residents to cycle to work.

Try to alleviate the bottlenecks on the South Circular, especially around the Catford Bridge area

Try to improve bottle necks and stop use of "rat runs" as a means of bypassing traffic,

maybe by implementing one way systems around feeder roads to bottlenecks eg Southend Lane at the junction near Sainsbury's.

As a regular commuter traffic jams in the rush hour (7-9am particularly) seem to have got

exponentially worse since the 20mph limit came in - and jams lead to greater emissions.

Try To improve traffic flow at peak times

Try to reduce rail cost. Buses are already cheap enough due to hop fair. Still train travel is ridiculously expensive.

Short journeys in train is far expensive than using a car.

Encourage people to use more low emission vehicles.

Try to remove traffic bottlenecks to avoid stationary traffic add more pollution

Trying to decrease air pollution around schools.

Prohibit idling cars. Even higher surcharge for diesel vehicles.

Encourage car pools for school runs, maybe a local reward system with free voucher for kids activities etc.

turn all your vans that you use electric which will cut out a lot of emissions

Turn off traffic lights at night so save idling, make more use of roundabouts, go back to 30mph, get polluting buses off the roads. The exhaust pipes are level with babies in buggies, Plant more trees-I'd pay to have some planted in my road.

ULEZ introduction encourages people away from diesel/high polluting vehicles already. A parked car does not pollute the environment and the current cost of £130 per year is already incredibly high for what amounts to 2hrs per day 5 days a week, and already is enough to put people off car usage.

Build more cycle lanes/cycle friendly roads, provide more bike shelters at stations and more places to be able to safely lock bikes.

improve bus lanes to keep buses moving faster than traffic and discourage uber etc having vehicles without passengers constantly driving round the streets.

Encourage car clubs that have low emission vehicles.

Increase the costs of parking in council car parks (e.g. charge on Sunday's) to encourage public transport use.

Improve bus connections and frequency around the local area, especially to town

Plant more trees and keep them looked after

Provide more green spaces and add wildflowers to grass verges

Actually enforce the borough wide 20mph speed limits

Encourage people away from highly polluting vehicles with incentives for low emissions or bike usage, rather than constant increases for older vehicles which are usually owned by less well off people

Ulez should extend to whole of Lewisham. I'm worried about the negative effects on the south circular and just beyond becoming more polluted as people avoid the centre.

Underground station as overground is extremely overcrowded due to being only viable transport into central London

Underground. No idling. Rewards for families who park and stride. More events where roads are closed to allow kids to play

understand that there are two brackets of diesel vehicles, and treat them differently. My car is extremely clean, as a EURO6 and should not be penalised like a 20 year old diesel banger. My car is much cleaner than many petrol vehicles, which are not being penalised. You should use the same system as the ULEZ, which takes this into account, rather than applying a £50 penalty to all diesel vehicles.

Unfair regarding diesel- there was a huge government push to drive a diesel and now those drivers are being penalised. Highly unfair which is why I disagree with the extra fees.

Unfortunately not everybody can afford the newest cars even if they would like to reduce pollution, I have 10 years old diesel car with a small engine, wish I could afford a newer model...would be nice if the council would run a programme with local car dealers where you can trade in your old car and get a discount when buying a newer model in order to reduce pollution and the car dealers would have to scrap the cars so wouldn't come back on the roads and slowly we could all drive newer cars with less CO emission. The main reason why people like me are driving older cars is because we simply can't afford buying a newer one due to the increasing prices in London, it slowly becomes impossible to buy a house buy a new car and live the life we all want....

Unfortunately Lewisham has very congested traffic, I travel around the borough for work to visit patients and when I took the bus it was very slow to get around catford and Lewisham which was part of the reason I got a car.

The other reason is the unpleasant nature of catching buses during school times as many are extremely crowded and some school children can be very disrespectful and rude - if this was less severe I think more people would feel more comfortable catching buses.

Upgrade buses

Urge central government to subsidise or resubsidise e.g. hybrid/ electric vehicles. Not all residents are mobile enough to use public transport or cycle.

use cleaner council vehicles

Use its own money wisely to introduce a campaign and incentives instead of collecting money

Use publicity to encourage reduction of car ownership within the borough.
Use the additional revenue from this new parking charge structure to help people purchase newer, less polluting cars, rather than penalise them further.

Plant additional trees across Blackheath.

Encourage school children to use bikes or walk rather than use buses or cars.

Make walking and cycling safer, building a generation of residents who are less reliant on cars. Provide and enforce bike storage security in schools.

Discourage car useage by encouraging supermarkets and other shops to develop smaller sites in towns or new housing developments, rather than large, relatively remote superstores. This would encourage walking or public transport.

Use the TAX (which is what this is) revenue that you are collecting to help poorer people who CANNOT AFFORD to buy new low emissions cars. People driving older cars bought them in good faith. You are now proposing to tax them or not be able to drive at all. A tax on the poor as usual.

use traffic wardens to help people park not as agents for collecting money

Parked cars do not emit pollution driving avoiding parking fines does
Vans and lorries are also a major source of pollution, as well as ice cream vans running their engines outside of schools.

Vary rates of costs for parking permits between cars with higher/lower emissions

Vastly increase the number of electric car charging points in the borough.

There are none anywhere near where I live. I'm in a block of over 70 flats and so no one in our flats can charge an electric vehicle anywhere near where we live. There must be hundreds of local people living in flats in the borough in the same position. I would like to consider buying an electric vehicle but cannot for this reason as I have nowhere to charge it.

Vehicle charging points on every street to enable people who live in flats to own electric cars.

Vehicles are only one part, there needs to be a wider approach than just discouraging drivers. These measures will disproportionately affect the poorer residents as they will be charged more for parking and won't necessarily be able to afford a newer car.

More green spaces, renewable energy, lorries and buses need sorting out
Wait for ULEZ! It will be hard enough to afford to buy a new car without being further financially penalised.

Walking routes to school scheme

wash down roads to remove particulate matter

improve traffic flow to reduce idling in traffic jams

plant more tree

Way more EV charging facilities.

Much better cycle parking that is SECURE and much more cycle safety initiatives including road network improvements.

We bought a diesel vehicle because we were encouraged to by the Government, as they were better for the environment. We only do 1,000 miles a year in our car, mainly outside of London. We add less pollution to the environment than any lower emission vehicle doing an average of 10,000 miles

a year. Charge cars according to mileage when they are actually polluting not when they are stationary.

We have a diesel car because it was bought at a time when the government encouraged people to buy diesel rather than petrol to reduce CO2 emissions. It is unfair to penalise people who have diesel cars already and can't afford to replace them - particularly when they are already going to be hit with additional charges under the ULEZ. I believe it would be better to take action over the number of vehicles making online deliveries in the borough. Encouraging Lewisham Council staff to switch off their engines rather than leaving them idling while they eat their lunch - something I have often seen happen on my road - would also help.

We have no parking permits in the Thorpe area - this should be introduced. We in Lewisham are aware that the borough has to find extra cash. This is an unhandy tactic to extract extra money from motorists. Parked cars do emit pollution. We already pay through the nose, be it MOT, Insurance, Parking, etc.

Please find some other way and stop picking on us motorists.

Can we assume that if we all switch to electric cars then resident parking will be FREE.

We live just off the Lee High Road, so see the effects of particulate pollution accumulating on our window sills. It is obvious to us that most of this pollution comes from buses and lorries. I know Lewisham has limited powers when it comes to vehicles passing through the borough, but it's quite possible that, where we live at least, the reduction in air pollution achieved by changes to resident and visitor parking charges will make very little difference because of the pollution caused by commercial vehicles using the trunk roads.

We need radical action without delay. You could:

- ban diesel vehicles
- have one borough-wide vehicle free day per week
- double the cost of residents parking to discourage car ownership, except for electric vehicles
- ban visitor permits unless for electric vehicles
- close the car park in Lewisham shopping centre
- incentivise use of public transport

We need to enforce a no idling policy and the 20mph needs to be enforced to make streets feel safer for pedestrians and cyclists. Also: cycling around Lewisham is dangerous, cycling provision is extremely poor. I want to cycle around the borough with my toddler in a bike seat but the roads feel extremely unsafe due to speeding and sheer quantity of traffic.

We need to increase taxes for ALL fossil fuel vehicles.

We need to educate people that walking down to local shopping centres or using public transport is better than travelling to out of town shopping centres.

We need to encourage the use of local delivery depots to avoid delivery vans going to multiple domestic addresses.

We own a van but mostly walk and cycle within the borough. I would love to be able to afford a new van or electric vehicle but I do not have enough money.

This will only take more of my money instead of giving help or support to get a new vehicle.

We recently changed cars to comply with the ULEZ and am loathed to change at our cost again just to satisfy the selfish needs of the Tory government who feel the need, want and desire to oppress the daily life of those going about their business in this messed up country.
we were all encouraged to buy diesel vans.

now we are castigated for having them.

it's not easy to make a change - all vans are diesel

i can't afford to simply change like that - and i need a van for my hobby/leisure

it's not fair to just force this on people who can't afford anything else

I want to help as much as i can - and will get a lower emission vehicle as soon as possible - but it's not that easy - it costs money - so paying more to a council is not going to help.

we were encouraged to buy deisal by the government and now suddenly it's bad for us. what will you do with this revenue you make? how can money clean the air. This is a money making exercise.

Well these proposals will certainly make no difference to air quality in Lewisham. You need to reduce the emissions from diesel buses and HGVs for example, or cut the emissions from house heating, building work and offices/industry and reduce the population which is what drives emissions.

Your proposals are simply token gestures which will have no impact.

What a load of Crap, This thieving borough is just jumping on the Bandwagon ripping off the motorist, if the borough was really interested in cleaning the air they should get rid of the traffic jams that they have created i.e Catford Broadway is single lane traffic jam that has been the main route from Dover to get into London and the planners have made an absolutely bulls up of it. What about all the vehicles that commute from outside of Lewisham to get to Central London and beyond? Surely these vehicles account for a large proportion of the pollution.

What could Lewisham Borough do to improve air quality?

I would invite any Borough pollution inspectors to walk along Loampit Vale/Lewisham Way or Lee High Road during rush hour times on any given week day. They would see lines and lines of stationery queuing traffic trying to get through the new road layout around Lewisham station. These vehicles all have their engines running contributing to significant pollution. Could this area of congestion be altered to improve traffic flow?

Yesterday whilst walking along a significant Lewisham road, which is a main route through Lewisham. I was passed by a Transit type van that was pumping out black exhaust fumes. Was this a local vehicle or just passing through?

Could heavy-polluting vehicles be stopped from entering the Borough? And if so, how would this be enforced?

What else could the government do to help improve air quality in the UK should be the question!

My answer to date, is to ban all sales of diesel vehicles by 2021.

Don't penalize the public for decisions made by the government!

What I'm aware of is that the air quality has improved by a huge magnitude in my own lifetime and that is is official limits that have got lower and lower.

I don't deny pollutants are undesirable, but where is the sense of perspective?
I do less than 3000 miles a year, in a well maintained 27 year old diesel car
that barely registers on the smoke meter at MOT time.

Is it CO2 we care about or NOX? Because many of the measures to deal with
NOX are going to rapidly increase CO2 output.

The bulk of any vehicles carbon footprint is in its manufacture and subsequent
destruction, so the greater the distance between those 2 points, the greener
the vehicle.

Encouraging premature scrapping and unnecessary manufacture will create
huge CO2 output.

I'm disabled, yet don't qualify for the mobility component of PIP and cannot
physically tolerate public transport. I will be a victim of any measures you take.
whats this extra revenue going to be spent on?

lower council tax? maybe Fix your website.

currently impossible to add a card, or change details to buy a permit.

When the ULEZ is extended in 2021, the south circular will become a ring road
on the outskirts of the exempt area, so the pollution around that particular road
will increase significantly. what is being done to prevent this? I live close to
this road and things will get worse when people can travel around it, but not
inside it without paying the ULEZ charge. ridiculous.

I want to buy an electric car. Lewisham council and the government are doing
nothing to assist: There is no diesel scrappage scheme. There are not enough
charging points. I am not allowed to run a charge cable from my house to my
on street parking (which other boroughs allow such as Brighton).

Where to start ban rat running through Manor Lane, forget cars completely and
encourage more bike lanes, bike taxis and rickshaws for local people shopping
etc, be imaginative and forward thinking instead of the least inspiring And
effective council I think I have ever known

Wherever possible, remove speed bumps because they make drivers change
gear unnecessarily. The new widespread 20 mph limit has surely negated the
need for so many speed bumps.

Ensure that taxi drivers (and others) turn off their idling engines and especially
when parked, on the mobile phone, delivering, waiting etc.

Whilst it is all very admirable that Lewisham council are taking on the role of
eco warriors, I do feel that this is a much wider issue that stems from London
being far too overcrowded in general.

Personally, i would prefer it if Lewisham Council addressed the following
instead :-

- 1) The lack of available parking bays in Southvale Road.
- 2) Southvale Road being open to the public to park, which means even less
available bays.
- 3) There being a half empty car park at the end of Southvale road, which the
public should be using INSTEAD of parking in Southvale road.
- 4) Zipcars now seem to be parking in and around the village; when they have
allocated parking in the aforementioned car park.

Who pays?

Why again tax the small business owners. Lewisham council with it's crazy
parking zones and rules are driving me out of business

Why not just extend the ULEZ to the whole of Lewisham?

CO2 is not a pollutant and will not affect air quality in the borough. There
seems to be some confusion in the Council's proposals - by all means aim to

prevent vehicles producing high NOx and particulates from entering the Borough but don't do it by basing the charging system on CO2 emissions. Widen CPZ areas e.g. to include the whole of Telegraph Hill and other wards. Without doing that, you will simply be shifting the localised air pollution issues solved by car park permits to other areas and will not, in fact, solve the problem.

Areas with no CPZ have unacceptable levels of private car commuting into zone 2 where ample public transport alternatives exist. I witness daily people driving to the area, parking all day and jumping on trains at new cross gate rather than utilising public transport for their whole journey. This is because of the loophole of unrestricted parking areas.

Widen some of the narrow roads which causes queuing traffic

Remove 20mile driving zones marking what a waste of paint!

Widen the S.Circular at Catford Bridge.

Will you really be improving air quality or just gaining more money from people, who were told that diesel was better. These people will still have to use their cars but being charged more for doing it. There are lots of factors why people get sick with breathing difficulties and one is smoking. How do we know that this is not a factor in the statistics. I cannot help but think this is just another revenue tactic. Like the congestion charge???

With the proposed introduction of 10hrs free visitors permits, the majority of residential areas should require pay and display/permits (CPZs). We regularly suffer i.e. Hither Green Lane in the regard due to no CPZ.

Work alongside car dealers within the borough to offer residents special deals on low emission vehicles and hybrids.

Work on targeting areas of high traffic in order to improve traffic flow. This could be with road restructuring etc

Work to improve traffic flow through frequently over congested areas such as Catford

Work with local schools and parents on a "Air Quality Day" - including empowering schools and volunteers to educate students and fellow parents in a positive, friendly way. This could also be extended to other groups?

Work with Southeastern to improve rail service

Working towards banning all private cars unless there is demonstrable need (disability/carer/childcare)

Would have helped if the government hadn't promoted the use of diesels. Also I don't see any electric charging points so until infrastructure is sorted I don't see why residents should be penalised.

Years ago people were told that diesel cars was the best option. To help people change to low emissions cars there should be a better incentive to do so, rather than penalise them. How about a part exchange, where people can get a discount when they exchange their cars. My mother is retired and can't afford to pay for a new car.

Yes, put more south eastern trains into London. I would like more trains to run through Lee. Encourage people to use the trains. Sort out the continuous delays and then people will have more confidence in using your public transport to ensure they can get to work.

You are already penalizing diesel

Owners, who have been encouraged by Government to buy diesel cars, with the emission zone. Owners can't afford to be penalized again by parking charges .

You are hitting the everyday man & women, When you buy a car you are not informed about what it does to the air. start fining the car markers not the people that drive them

You can make central lewisham around the shopping centre a pedestrian zone only. I don't see extra charges on diesel vehicles in the borough for parking would have any effect other than raising extra revenue for the council. The ULEZ will have the effect so I don't see a further charge from you benefiting the environment unless you use the revenue to clean the town as it is filthy, weeds growing everywhere and generally tatty.

You can make public transport cheaper

provide electrical points - Planning permission was put through for this and was denied in St Johns. way to go about the pollution.

Provide free electric cars with the huge amount of money you have made from fines and tax,

If the air quality is important then you will buy electric cars for everyone but i guess its not.

You cannot 'incentivise' drivers to buy cars with lower emissions by penalising them.

In the first instance it was local government which told drivers diesel cars were better so people adopted them. Now the same local government say they are harmful but offer zero incentives to switch to electric or hybrid vehicles. Clearly Lewisham has no money to offer free charging point installations but what will it do with these penalty payments? If people thought these penalties would go to installing free EV charging points then fair enough but, as always, the money will simply be pocketed to pay for childrens and adults social care. Lewisham Council need to invest in the charging network with either Source London or Blue Point London and this will improve air quality.

You could definitely do more to educate drivers on not having their engines idling while waiting, especially parked on residential streets, taxi ranks.

Deptford high street is particularly bad and all that exhaust gors right on the fresh produce a meter away.

This should be in billboards, bus stops, etc, not just in leaflet form. It should be common knowledge within a whole community.

After a period of public announcements I believe it should be enforceable by fines.

It seems drivers don't care that they're polluting, so maybe remind them they're also wasting energy and this money.

You could have not introduced a 2 hour exclusion on the station end of Fernbrook Road in the P zone. Originally it was an all day exclusion, however to increase your revenue you reduced it to a 2hr exclusion. You did this saying that residents of the P zone voted for it. However 99% of the P zone don't live on Fernbrook Rd. We now have more cars driving in to park for the station, there is no where for the buses or cars to pul in and give, causing gridlocks with buses and cars and lorries as you permitted a Sainsbury's local to be opened, emitting more exhaust fumes trying to reverse and get around each other.

You could help by installing lots and lots of electric charging points and making it easy for people to install their own. But you'd have to wait for the national grid to catch up!

You could reward drivers with non diesel cars by charging them less. Not further charge Diesel owners. I bought a diesel 7 years ago, based on advice

from our government. Now I can not afford to drive my car to work, I cannot afford to sell my car. Getting the train to work is disgusting, overcrowded and inefficient. I rarely get a parking space outside my house. It's an absolute shambles

You could stop using pollution as an excuse to raise taxes ..

You need the mileage of the cars in the Borough. Low mileage cars should pay less.

You need to decide whether you want to protect the environment from co2 emissions and hence encourage diesel or you want to reduce NOx. Introducing the ULEZ to Lewisham is going to hit the poorest hardest. I can afford to buy a new car the poorest who rely on their car to compensate for lack of public transport and work cannot. Parking surcharges is not the right way to affect vehicle choice. You should pay to use high emission vehicle. Parking charges people who are not using their vehicle (as they are parked!). Increased costs need to make sense to people. What about giving all Lewisham schools road signs telling drivers to turn off their vehicles whilst dropping off or committing the extra funds from these initiatives to something positive.

You need to distinguish between older diesel cars and modern (EURO6 and beyond cars). Hitting everyone isn't fair as modern diesel is nowhere near as polluting as older models.

Plus poorer people are disproportionately owners of older diesel cars. This proposal is regressive and will punish those least able to make a change.

Discounts for low emission vehicles is a good idea but upping the charge for others is not.

You need to improve alternatives to driving- namely making it safer to cycle, and faster to catch the bus. It is not enough to have reductions for eco friendly cars - there is still an impact on the environment with producing these and the charge, and they will still be on the road, therefore adding to traffic problem.

Also more cycle parking- I have requested for secure cycle parking in my area many times but have never received a response.

You need to install more electric vehicle charging points as I don't see any in my area (Brookmill conservation area) and the surroundings to encourage the use of EVs.

Also the traffic light junction at Brookmill Road and New Cross road has a consistent back up of queuing traffic on Brookmill road which is very polluting for the residents. This needs sorting so traffic flows better through this busy junction.

You Raise enough money from council tax and penalty fees.

You seem to be doing really well already! I don't have a car, don't want a car (green reasons) and use the buses a lot. They're great. The more people we can get to ditch cars, the better!

You should put together schemes that will assist people to purchase greener cars rather than increasing the charges for people with older cars, thus penalising people who can not afford to buy a new car and now also have to pay a higher charge for parking. Your argument that increasing parking charges for polluting vehicles will somehow result in cleaner air is fundamentally flawed, and would only work if any vehicle owner whose parking charges increase, immediately sells their car and buys a greener car. This will not happen and this policy is lazy smacks of profiteering.

Provide more bays to charge hybrid cars/electric cars.

Consider implementing a no car zone for 24 hours once a month rotating between areas - much more effective in terms of reducing emissions - regardless of the inconvenience, people should get used to it and the benefit will be immediate.

You use the term 'encourage' the use of low emission vehicles, but you would be penalising those who simply can't afford to change vehicle to a greener one; likewise with diesel vehicles. The low rate of car ownership is surely linked to socio-economic status, so such punitive taxes are regressive, impacting most on the poorest car owners. They are often also reliant on their cars for work, so this isn't a lifestyle choice. Also, as many people use public transport for work, many vehicles are unused during the day: shouldn't the emphasis be on taxing usage, rather than ownership? If only 47% of households own a car, and many of those may not be used very much, it seems that most usage (and, therefore, pollution) comes from visitors to the borough.

Your proposals miss an opportunity to incentivise electric (and hydrogen) vehicle by making their permits free.

You're already on the right lines.

Section 2 – Resident permits overall consultation ideas (verbatim comments)

LB Lewisham public consultation
Verbatim comments

1,689 comments out of 3,767 surveys – 44.8%

Q: Do you have any other comments that you would like to add about this consultation?

- As far as I know parked diesel vehicles are as polluting as parked petrol vehicles! So parking is not the issue.

- Every old (or new) diesel car that is replaced by a comparable petrol car (very few people will want or be able to afford electric cars) will contribute more to climate change/global warming because of higher CO2 emissions. That is why environmentally conscious people chose to drive diesel cars in the past and should do so in the future. Appealing to a "climate emergency" and relating it to diesel car use is false; petrol cars are worse.

- A lot of local pollution is also attributable to brake dust but I expect you know that. Traffic stop-start is a main contributor of course (see above, centre of Lewisham congestion) including that caused by all the speed bumps in the roads. Replacement with cameras would reduce this (and also stop people ignoring the speed limit).

- You are penalising people who do not have a parking space on their own property. This seems grossly unfair especially as it will affect the least well-off the most.

- A lot of people will rightly want to help reduce the local pollution caused by older diesel cars. Many of these will struggle with the cost of replacement. Perhaps you could offer them some reduction instead if increase in Parking Charges as a contribution to affording a new, cleaner vehicle???

- Were this to go ahead, I assume the newer EUR 6 diesel cars will be exempt from any excess charge. I have been told that many EUR 5 diesels also have equally low measured emissions but the engines are indeed designed differently.

-All in all a money spinner....unless you tell me otherwise.

- I ALSO NOTICE THAT BASE RATES WILL INCREASE BY 30% OR MORE. WHY IS THAT AND WHY IS THIS NOT MENTIONED UP FRONT??

DEAR OH DEAR. MONEY, MONEY, MONEY. if more money is needed, say that!! We all know about the central government cuts. Don't dress it up as caring for the environment. Again penalising those who have not got parking space within their property.

- please consider those who have commercial vans and small trucks - there is little choice other than diesel which is still the main fuel of choice for vehicles which do heavy workloads. Increase on parking may pay heavy on their business especially small companies. Diesel is not ideal but there is little alternative for vans as they are very expensive to buy.

(see above)

£145 is usury.

It is an additional tax.

Be honest, £20 is admin max.

The permits were brought in on a tiny return of vote and by a minority vote on Tanners Hill. Empty roads might look nice, the income from the fines, I guess isn't funding anything worthwhile.

The wording of this consultation should get you the mandate you require.

£25 not £50 for diesel vehicles.

reduce price of visitor permits

A near 34% increase on my permit is too high, what are you going to do with the all the money raised. We need to know.

£50 is a significant penalty particularly if people have purchased a diesel vehicle some time ago and do not have the means to change to a hybrid/EV etc. Perhaps the penalty should apply to new cars only.

The overall income from parking permits should stay the same but be weighted towards polluting vehicles rather than this being an extra source of revenue for the council.

£50 is quite a hefty surcharge - which would impact business owners with diesel vans, older vintage cars.

I would suggest that there is at least a two-year implementation phase for residents, not everyone can get rid of vehicles quickly or afford to

I agree with the principle ,but a permit for my petrol car according to your calculator will be £55 higher - justify that!

£50 surcharge is an unfair tax on resident who can't afford to buy newer car that comply with current emission standard.

£50 surcharge on all diesel engines seems unfair as some pollute far less than others

£50 surcharge shouldn't apply to all Diesel vehicles - reduced or no charge for newer more efficient Diesel engines.

1 ULEZ compliant diesel vehicles are generally less polluting than petrol ones (CO2 considerably less and NOx slightly more)

2 Many residential streets have no option for 'off street' parking and should generally not be considered as CPZ areas.

3 A resident parking scheme penalises residents but has no effect on those who just drive through the borough or drive into the borough and park off street on business land.

1) It is not the level of emission from a vehicle that is necessarily the defining factor, it is the amount of mileage the vehicle does per week/month/year which defines the level of emission/pollution that vehicle produces.

For example, a "low-emission" car (that will escape the proposed rise in parking permit cost) that does 5,000 miles a year in the borough will be far more harmful to air quality than a "high-emission" car (say, 30% higher) that does just 1,000 miles a year in the borough.

2) Classified "high-emission" cars are almost exclusively "older" cars. And older cars are predominantly owned by "older people" who (a) will almost certainly use their car less and, (b), can least afford the proposed increase in parking permit cost.

3) Just like the proposed ULEZ extension, this is nothing more than a money-making exercise which will hit people indiscriminately. It has absolutely no guarantee of improving air quality in the borough. The proposed policy has been chosen simply on its simplicity of administration and money collection.

1) serious consideration is needed for all those who have old cars and will be unable to afford higher costs or to buy a new car. Lots will end up dumped.

2) Provide advice on getting a new car if people have cars that will be expensive to keep

3) do not blanket ban diesel cars - as with the ULEZ - the most recently made models are less polluting than some petrol cars

4) address private car park policies

1. How is the £50 surcharge for diesel going to contribute to air pollution?

2. It was the Government who suggested everyone get Diesel vehicles in the first place.

Perhaps the Government should provide payments to the owners of these vehicles to help them to be replaced with low emission vehicles.

3. That Government incentive did not work out because no one knew what they were talking about, very much in the same way as this parking scheme and the ULEZ. In my view, it is all

about the money that can be made from the public.

4. The idea of raising parking permits to twice the amount will make no difference whatsoever apart from line the pockets of the local authority.

5. Considering less than 50% of Lewisham residents own a car what point are you trying to make? What if half of those people drive out of Lewisham to their place of work? They are not polluting Lewisham, especially if they are on the border line.

6. Do you have any idea of out of borough traffic that runs through Lewisham. All the traffic that comes from the A20 and A2 runs through Blackheath or Lee High Road to get into London? How will residents paying more for their permits go towards solving that problem.

7. Lewisham has a high level of poverty, some of them require a car to use for family members that are disabled or they are disabled themselves, they may be carers for their family or care for others. They will be penalised.

8. The elderly may need their cars to get about as public transport is not an option and they cannot afford to buy a new car with lower emissions. This means that they will be more isolated than they already are.

9. The Major of London has already laid down a ridiculous low emissions policy in the ULEZ which means that so many Londoners will have to buy new vehicles, which means that those that cannot afford to do so will lose their private transport. Money will be made from this scam, and not for the people and not to support the air pollution.

10. How much of public money will be spent on the ULEZ scheme to make sure it is enforceable?

1. Your policies will penalise the poorest people, as those with more money will either be able to pay the increased charges to feed your cash cow or will be financially more able to change their car to an electric one at the drop of a hat.

2. Policies do not take into account those who are not registered disabled but are nonetheless infirm and can't walk long distances, or manage to carry heavy shopping in their condition on public transport.

3. Your policies will hurt the many not the few

1st be honest as to why you are doing this. (we know reducing pollution is not your primary concern

2nd recognise that you are only 1 council, if all the other councils disagree then you are just wasting time and money. Pollution know no boundaries. Have a wider discussion.

3rd stop manipulating traffic to cause jams. i.e. bus stops that protrude into the road way so other road uses cannot pass while people get on and off the bus. fixing traffic lights to cause jams just like Ken Livingstone did for the congestion charge. Not syncing utility works which leads to many more road works than necessary which causes traffic jams.

4th tackle all easy options that do not simply TAX residents 1st, this will bring ever more people on board because they see you are doing something that does not penalise them arbitrarily. ie stop the hiring of ALL diesel vehicles and engines. they need to have a simple a cheap conversion to enable them to us propane or even plusgas. But you won't do any of these or look for others because it does not put any money in grubby little hands!

Stop penalising poor people with this car tax. Maybe you don't know but only rich people can buy electric cars.

Change your mindset - everything you do centres around taxing people.

People don't use polluting cars for fun or to cause more pollution.

You need to lead by example, clean up your own vehicles 1st but not by making us pay for it but take it out of your own personal earnings because that is what we will have to do. But you won't do that because it does not put any money in grubby little hands!

You never suffer from these extra taxes because you just take it from us to pay your way,

typical. If you had to pay you would find more efficient and innovative ways to reduce pollution. I bet you the person that came up with this idea gets a bonus, pay increase or an award of some sort in your "how can we sting the residents and non residents meetings"

What will you do with all this money you will raise? That is not even in your consultation, it just lines your pockets, you should feel ashamed but you don't because you really don't care, we are just cows to be milked.

Even if you tell us what you are going to use the money you will never prove to us what it was actually used for. You will also never tell us how you politicians, councillors and senior management of the council will personally benefit from bringing in the new con.

Then come the BIG and MOST important thing! You WILL continually increase the parking charges after you have told us how much we as residents will benefit in pollution reduction and financial costs. How do I know? You have done this so many times before.

Unfortunately we know you are not in office to serve the community but to bleed us dry and feed your insatiable greed.

People who I don't pay for parking permits cannot have visitors permits: that is great for pensioners whose children want to visit isn't it? This discourages visits and increases loneliness, but of course you don't care and you won't do that because it does not put any money in grubby little hands!

.Here is a simple, zero cost and instant way of reducing pollution and showing that you are truly want to reduce pollution. I don't believe you do want to reduce pollution as a primary objective (if it goes down that would just be a bonus for you.) make all portable fossil fuel power generation run off of plus gas or propane. So all building sites, hire companies would make a simple cheap conversion unit on the generators. But you won't do that because it does not put any money in grubby little hands!

If you were really against pollution you would also tell our central government to stop doing all these war games, NATO exercises and wasteful mission that puts up more pollution than the rest of the population. But you won't do that because it does not put any money in grubby little hands!

4 years ago I was made to buy a diesel car (with lowest emissions possible) by employer. I paid £17k for the car and only just paid it off. I do not have money to buy a new car and rely on my car for work (out of Lewisham but as I live in Lewisham I need to drive a little bit through Lewisham) I am single person trying to make ends meet, why would you tax me further for trying to go to work in a car which the government told us was better only a few years ago. Please give residents a break...

50 for diesel cars is steep considering it may affect people who purchased their car ages ago, prior to it becoming more commonly accepted that diesel cars are worse pollutants than petrol cars (indeed diesel cars were once promoted by the government). Maybe a way to structure the fee so it is higher for people who have recently purchased diesel cars?

71% of permit holders will have to pay equal or more each year - the permit price calculator you have provided has incorrectly assessed our car for CO2 and other residents on my road, based on the ULEZ emissions calculations: this seems an unfair and inaccurate source of information for residents to base their consultation on.

90% of the traffic in the Borough is transient and thus putting up resident parking prices does nothing to deal with this issue.

The council should be working with the Mayor on dealing with traffic entering and transiting the Borough not further taxing the residents.

A £50 surcharge will not prevent diesel vehicles. No one is going to go to the expense of buying a new car to save £50.

The surcharge is simply an additional tax and a tax with no guarantee that the extra revenue generated from it will be put into initiatives to improve air quality.

If the council is serious about preventing diesel vehicles it should:

- 1) ban the sale of diesel in the borough
 - 2) ban the sale of diesel vehicles in the borough
 - 3) offer cash incentives to enable people with diesel vehicles to change for petrol or electric
- A charge to residents to parking a vehicle makes no sense it's parked therefore no emissions?

A flat surcharge on all diesels regardless of Euro performance rating does not make much sense and not consistent with treatment of vehicles under the ULEZ.

A good idea - but need to find other ways to survey and build support - e.g. 'yes' or 'no' polling types of questions when coming off train station.

A large number of residents who have diesel vehicles, use their vehicles for leisure purposes only, and therefore produce less net atmospheric pollution than non-resident commuters who utilise available parking spaces.

A lot of the borough does not have permit parking, this means that only a minority will unfairly be paying this extra tax.

When the ULEZ comes into force Lewisham permit holders will be doubly penalised.

I feel a lot of the pollution comes from traffic passing through the borough, and if there was better traffic management it would cut down on all of the idling engines.

I try my best to only use my car when necessary, it is regularly serviced and well maintained. I cannot afford to replace it.

A new hotel being built on Lewisham high means pressure will be increased on parking in the surrounding area. Our current mixed resident + pay bays in Slaithwaite Road should be changed back to resident parking only.

A parked car does not emit anything

Parked diesel - none

Parked petrol car - none

parked electric car -none

More damaging are those that concrete their front gardens and drive over the pavement to park. Usually overhanging the sidewalk and definitely damaging the water table.

A parked car doesn't give off emissions so why penalise us. It's the traffic travelling through. If I could afford an electric car I would buy one. At this rate I won't be able to have a car and as a childminder I would lose my job as I've risked assessed grading public transport and with young ones it's not safe.

A parked car doesn't cause air pollution, so increasing parking fees seems to reduce pollution seems perverse.

A parked car produce no emissions. Charges for higher polluting cars should apply to when in use and to all across the borough - not just those who live in a CPZ and have no off street parking. By using parking permit charges in this way smacks of revenue generation - not of encouraging greener social behaviour.

A permit for diesel cars may in effect become a regressive tax creating an increase in the poverty premium as those who are poorest and unable to change their vehicle to one that is less polluting being burdened with a higher cost. I think prior to setting up a permitting system adequate time and thought is given to enable Lewisham residents to make a more informed choice.

A parked car is not emitting pollution - a low-use diesel parked most of the week will contribute less than a high-use cleaner car.

A similar pro-environment spin was put on cuts to rubbish collection. In the first week, they took away my food recycle bin and left my neighbours too damaged to use. After complaining repeatedly and even visiting the recycle office, nothing has been done. I have lost trust in the council and think these endeavours are often about the money and little else. If they were not so wasteful with resident taxpayers money, I might mind less.

A surcharge of 50 GBP for diesel vehicles is disproportionate. It is almost 50% of the current annual permit charge.

Many people, including myself, have purchased a modern diesel vehicle (Euro 6) and will be unfairly punished by this tax.

The surcharge should be based on the actual emission levels, not on the type of propeller. It is scientifically demonstrated that modern euro 6 diesel engines are less dangerous than less recent petrol engines.

A flat tax for all the diesel car doesn't differentiate between old and polluting cars and modern and efficient ones.

A surcharge on all diesel vehicles is a blunt instrument. My historic vehicle is kept on the street and so now needs the permits you have recently introduced. It is not used daily. Average mileage is very low. In my street the highest emissions are from cars waiting outside the school with engines running for up to 20 minutes. On a hot summer day you can smell the street as you walk around the corner into it. Empowering school heads to tackle the emissions caused by the school run would have a far greater impact than surcharging low usage cars. A very big polluter is tfl with its buses, the buses should be electric. Hybrid buses is a diversion.

Abolish this stupid idea

Act as quickly as possible when the consultation is over.

Adding a surcharge for resident diesel vehicles is a ridiculous idea, why not charge non residents who drive diesel cars?

I have to drive over 20miles to get to work and having had both diesel and petrol cars, my diesel is more economic and less fuel consuming.

Plus the NOx read for my eu8 vehicle (ulez is starting at eu6 so I have a while till I'm not ulez free) against my petrol car, my petrol car was awful!

If you surcharge my diesel car I just wont buy a permit anymore.

Adding surcharges to higher emission residents cars at this stage penalises residents that may be less able to afford to replace and update their cars. In time vehicles will largely be replaced with less polluting vehicles but this will not be for a while, so premature to add additional charges.

Advertise the Lewisham Cycle Scheme better and invest funds into the community.

Make the roads safer for people to cycle and more cycle lanes.

Make sure cycle lanes are marked out properly.

Encourage people to walk and increase the number of bus lanes. Fix the road where the big roundabout used to be in Lewisham, that area itself probably makes up most of Lewisham's air pollution and the bus garage is in the worst place possible. There's always traffic!

Cars and the cost of running them is expensive enough, putting permits up just plunges people further into debt and does nothing for air pollution. Where does the money you raise from permits go?

After many years of encouraging diesel vehicle purchase and ownership, the stock of affordable, second hand vehicles between 5 and 20 years old, is largely populated by diesel. The efforts to now penalise diesel vehicle ownership will affect the less affluent members of the community who need a low cost car in a disproportionate way.

Using emissions figures to set parking rates and therefore encourage purchasing newer vehicles is a good idea; overpenalising one sector of car and van ownership is not.

Families and small business owners will be badly affected by this surcharge and will likely have to continue paying this charge for many years, as they cannot afford to purchase a newer, suitable vehicle, especially once petrol vehicles start to command a premium because

of this surcharge plan.

Furthermore, discouraging car ownership based on age of the vehicle will ignore the fact that the vehicle may be extremely well maintained and no worse a polluter than a newer, larger, more powerful vehicle, which maybe the most suitable vehicle that the owner can replace it with.

After years of various government pushing diesel cars you are now penalising us for owning and driving.

Equally there are many low income families that can't afford newer vehicles which will be penalised

Agree that parking permits should come into force and that every house should have its own allocated parking bay and a visitors parking area along each road but I think your prices are expensive and they should be lowered. Every car should have to pay for a permit regardless of emissions.

Agree with the reduction for low emission cars but not the increase for petrol cars with the catalyst, unless there's an incentive (financially) to help the residents of the borough to have the opportunity/choice of having a hybrid/electric car

Agreed in principal but although I am lucky enough to own a new petrol car won't this disadvantage residents who have older vehicles & can't afford to upgrade them - a short time ago diesel cars were being recommended.

Air pollution and levels of traffic generally is a problem in the Borough and should be a high priority for the Council.

Air pollution is a significant health hazard, particularly in southeast London where mortality is already higher than the national average. I strongly welcome all attempts to reduce it, and would encourage Lewisham council and CCG to be trailblazers and exemplars in this important aspect of public health policy

Air pollution is also linked to noise pollution. Cars are noisy in Victorian terraced small roads which were not designed for this type of traffic.

We are not talking about planes passing above Lewisham either this increased air and noise pollution.

Air pollution is always going to be an issue for a densely populated urban area - it is very sad to know that 100 people died as a result of air pollution however it is quite ridiculous to start financially penalising hundreds of people because of this fact. Make smoking cigarettes of any kind illegal and I might take the clean air argument seriously

Air Quality is affected by moving vehicles, not parked vehicles,

Stationary vehicles are not causing the problem, the amount of use is the problem

Not everyone Can afford to replace their vehicles straight away and not everyone drives their vehicle every day so it is unfair to make extra charges for going nowhere!

Parking is not the issue!

Many people already pay extra on road tax despite using the roads less than most,

More use means more pollution so incentives should be with the pricing of the fuel used therefore heavy users would pay more than someone who goes out occasionally,

There is no quick fix for this issue, it will resolve in time without penalising unfairly,

Air quality is important but resident motorists are being unfairly targeted by both Lewisham and the mayor of London. The mayor can't explain and nor no doubt can Lewisham what is going to happen to over 150,000 diesel cars in London after the ULEZ zone extends. People like me bought diesels as a result of government policy. Now we are effectively going to have to scrap these cars, at whose cost and where will they all be dumped and at what impact to the environment? Also farcical in Lewisham as we will have two zones. Will Catford diesel emissions not cross the road to Lee where I live.

All areas of the borough need to be covered by resident permit schemes. I worry that, once the ULEZ is extended to the South Circular, neighbourhoods south of that (i.e. Bellingham) will have additional traffic, with commuters driving there to pick up the train from just outside the ULEZ restrictions.

All residents should have the right to residential parking

All residents suffer from the pollution that sits over the borough. To give free parking rights to those who have paid for residents parking is penalising those of us who have decided it is not in the interests of our neighbours to own and operate a private vehicles.

All residents in the borough should be given the free passes so that it allows every household, not just those rich enough to afford a car, to have an allocation of free parking for a certain number of visitors or tradespeople per annum.

All vehicle owners are voters too

Allow people to buy "green permits" instead of parking permits for the land outside their home. They could put planters, bike racks, benches or whatever they wanted. It would be a better use of the space than cars that are rarely used (most cars on our street are rarely used) and would change the perception of what the road space is for and who gets to use it. Already pay more tax for my car because of stupid carbon regs. Frankly pissed off with endless taxes from useless politicians disguised as "fighting climate change".

already will be payibff the 12pounds charger per day any more would be extortionate prices for a car and not enough time to sell or buy an eco car

Also most of the borough is not wealthy it seems that this is just another tax against those that can least afford it. I did not want parking permits introduced recently in my street when I have never had any issue parking near my house and seem to have more now that I am having to pay for the privilege this is just another cost that will be applied on top. I am aware of the extension to the congestion zone and agree with this more effective way of tackling car pollution and will make sure that I change my car before that point. I would add that when I bought my diesel car they were deemed a better option than normal petrol cars so feel that I have been duped both ways.

Although I have a diesel car it has very low emissions which is why we pay such low road tax. We try to use it as little as possible choosing to walk, use public transport where possible. Despite having a car which produces low emissions which we don't use very often you wish to introduce a blanket surcharge on all diesel vehicles.

The road I live in, Leahurst Road, is extremely busy at school drop off and pick up times, as well as being a busy through road for all sorts of vehicles. More often than not I have parents dropping off/collecting their children from school sat outside my house with their engines idling, producing emissions needlessly. I imagine most children live within a short distance from the school so there is no need to drive to school. Under your new scheme I will pay a surcharge for having a car which produces low emissions that I rarely use while some drivers of petrol vehicles are producing far more pollution through their behavior. It is people's attitudes and behavior that you need to change. The blanket surcharge will have no difference to my behavior as I am fully aware of the issue with emissions and constantly trying to use my car less. It will only act as a tax which will provide Lewisham council with an income but make absolutely no difference to the level of pollution in Lewisham.

I urge you to spend some time observing the behavior of how people are using their cars, for example spend some time in Leahurst Road at drop off/pick up time, it would be far more beneficial to look at continued education of the residents of the borough.

Although I think we should all be moving towards using cleaner vehicles I think what the council is proposing is unfairly putting a tax on the poorer members of society who can't afford a newer greener vehicle.

Although public transport is ok, there are times when a car is needed, not everyone can afford to upgrade their car, Has thought been given to the issue of disposing of batteries in electric cars and provision of charging points?

Although some vehicles may have more polluting engines they may not be used very often. For instance my partner owns an old camper van, however it is used very rarely (he cycles, walks, buses etc mostly) to leave the city for camping trips.

So actual journeys made, miles travelled, rather than just the kind of engine employed should be taken into consideration!

Am interested to know what will happen to the areas that don't pay for a permit in their part of the borough.

Amendments to the duration of parking times in residential streets. Some streets have a time scale of 9.00 - 5.30 others 9.00 - 7.00 and some a ban on parking for a few hours a day to stop commuters parking. I'd like there to be a standardised system of 9.00 - 5.30 across the borough

An additional charge on higher emissions vehicles would have a punitive impact on the poorest in the community who cannot easily afford to replace older cars, and families who require larger cars.

Low emissions cars include electric and plug in hybrids. Owning these types of cars is a luxury for Lewisham residents who cannot typically guarantee a parking space directly outside their property. Again, only those with larger houses with drives can practically manage to own one of these cars.

Overall a surcharge for higher emission cars will hit those who are least able to afford to pay.

An extra charge for people who have more than one vehicle

Another stealth tax excuse for Lewisham Borough spending cuts under the guise of complying with central government legalisation. Residents and local businesses will suffer especially those who can not afford to change their vehicles due to low income and need their car for work or for caring for sick relatives responsibilities etc. Also I calculated my vehicle and it's expensive and my car is deemed as low emission How can that be justified?

Also with the profit made what will that be used for? As that has not been stated as far as I am aware in this consultation but perhaps Damien Egan and his committee of councillors and MP's should be concentrating on serious violence/ crime reduction and Education for our young people instead!

Another tax being imposed on the easy target of car drivers

Another way of making money good luck.

Another way to get money from drivers

Any changes must not affect local businesses: they are the drivers of development in Lewisham.

Any changes to car parking fees should be revenue neutral if not actually reducing charges paid by hard working residents and families.

More free visitors passes should be issued ie 20 hours rather than 10.

Instead of charging for parking introduce limited parking for 2 hours or prohibit all day long parking.

Any extra burden on diesel vehicles should replicate the rules of the ULEZ. That means placing any surcharge on pre 2015 models only. Otherwise, not fair for residents with new diesel models purchased on finance agreements with no option to change vehicle soon.

As it stands, I would rather move out of the borough completely if it comes down to a choice between paying more for my current car vs getting an electric car that is impractical due to the lacking borough infrastructure.

Also, I expect free permits for disabled residents and carers to remain. These people tend to suffer in silence as it is - no need to increase the burden further on them. Regardless of the environmental cost (yes, I said it).

Any help for pensioners

Any introduction should be phased for those that own older cars. Also if residents have to replace older cars, there is the carbon impact of developing new cars

Any parked vehicle will have no emissions, the government and DVLA already has schemes to encourage cleaner vehicles and financial disincentives that penalise higher emission vehicles, so this seems like a revenue generating procedure

Any surcharge should not apply to ULEZ compliant diesel cars.

Anyone should be allowed a car, if they are willing to pay to offset their emissions. Remove the restrictions on new build property and make it easier for guests to park using pay and display.

Anything to reduce the NUMBER of private vehicles circulating in the borough.

Anything to reduce the bottlenecks and quantity of traffic on the A2, including radical diverting/rerouting.

Anything to reduce the use of private cars goes in the right direction.

Are only idiot solutions! An electric car produces more pollution in the car life than other. In Lewisham area the public transportation is crap, with all this imposition means to disrupt life and economy of lower wage people. Reduce the pollution against shops with conditioned air etc. Shame of you!

Areas who have just had resident parking introduced should not be expecting to see large increases immediately.

Small non diesel cars should not be excessively charged to subsidise the larger 4by4s which are not necessary in an area such as this.

If the resident parking included marked bays it would be easier for cars to park most efficiently and would help to show where charge points might be installed in due course.

Charge points are essential to realise the objective of reducing emissions and pollution. The infrastructure needs to be in place first to allow people to make better choices before you start charging people .

As a diesel car driver which I only use occasionally I don't feel I should be penalised we were encouraged to buy diesel cars by government a few years ago. I use public transport within the borough or walk.

As a diesel car owner I don't consider the extremely low mileage I do in the Lewisham area has an impact on pollution and warrants additional and or excessive charges.

The London Mayor should give people more time or financial support to change vehicles.

I feel aggrieved as I'm going to be charged more money when I already find it difficult to get a parking space close to my home, I do a maximum of 30 miles a month in Lewisham and greater London area and when buying my car Euro 6 emissions standards had not been defined, I'm not in a financial position to change my car or pay more for parking as a resident of Lewisham. I would like to see more support from the London Mayor and Lewisham council for the local community who live in London and not simply enforce more costs.

As a father of 3 children, two of whom have mild asthma I understand the need to improve air quality. However, our CPZ came into force just 3 months ago. I knew Lewisham council would put the price up at some point but to suggest this huge increase so soon is a real slap in the face. My car will be exempt from the ULEZ when it comes into effect in our area so it's pollution can't be that bad. This feels like Lewisham is just trying to make money. Anyone with a car will just pay the extra £50 or so, this will have no effect on air quality like the ULEZ will. If this is to go ahead every penny should be spent on encouraging cycling and walking in the borough, if you invest properly in high quality cycling facilities that prioritise cycling over cars people will perhaps choose bikes over cars. I fear that you will just collect the money and create more appalling, polluting road layouts that do nothing to promote cleaner modes of transport.

As a father of three, I strongly support taking urgent action to improve the local environment. As a health worker that covers a huge section of the south east it would be impossible to rely on public transport

As a local owner of a small building company, a van is essential for me. I do not own a car. I agree with a £50 surcharge for diesel powered cars, as car owners have a choice, but van drivers do not have a choice and should therefore, be exempt from any surcharge.

As a low emissions car owner I already pay a reduced parking permit fee, so that part of your proposal isn't new. However I currently pay a much reduced fee compared to what you are proposing. It seems more likely to me to be a way of the council justifying a price increase! as a motorist & a parent i use my car as little as possible but it is still a necessity, so whilst i support measures to curb air pollution i strongly feel that they should be reasonable & not used as an excuse to extort yet more cash from the easy target of the law abiding motorist - please see point 12

As a resident i strongly resent to pay £160 for parking where I live near grove park why can't we be like Bromley borough and have parking permits from 12 to 2 clock or charge the people who don't live here i pay enough in road tax this is ridiculous this is more than i pay for London shame on lewisha m council or is it all about money

As a resident of 23 years I remember when I could park for free outside my house. This has now gone up to £120 per year and will probably rise again under this consultation. I do not get any extra benefit than I had before the charge came in for the money I am paying. I have strongly disagreed to paying for a parking permit to park outside my house from the start. I don't think squeezing residents for money they don't have is fair under the guise of improving air quality. I only use my car when I have to and walk a lot of the time for local journeys and therefore resent having to pay more just for the privilege of living in London.

As a resident who lives close to the south circular road, I find the idea that I will be hit with double taxation, the ulez and now extra parking charges to be most unfair. We were, you will remember, encouraged to buy diesel powered vehicles, due to their better fuel economy. Most of the residents who live in Lewisham are not able to change their vehicles at such short notice. For the majority it is a very large expense. As someone who is close to the south circular road, I will have the tax burden and none of the advantage of the low emissions quoted. Now it is going to be the case of being further taxed for having a stationary vehicle parked in the borough.

This suggestion, needless to say, does not meet with my approval.

As a runner I struggle to find places to go in Lewisham that have clean air - even running over Blackheath it is very polluted with all the car fumes.

As above - I whole heartedly disagree with this proposal. I don't see why charging people more to park a vehicle (a parked vehicle isn't emitting anything) is necessary - it's just yet another way for you to get more money out of people whilst 'looking good' to the misinformed folk who think you are doing good. New cars and electric cars still leave huge carbon footprints and move problems elsewhere. I feel that this proposal is a classic case of 'jumping on the band wagon' in pursuit of more money. Whilst I don't agree with the ULEZ scheme I sort of get it as at least it taxes you while you are driving. Anyway I guess my comments are a waste of time and the council will surge ahead with this regardless. I am glad I am leaving London shortly as it's turning into a tax the poor city.

As above - it will not reduce emissions just penalise the poorer residents who have diesel and can't afford new cars - the mayor is doing the same with ULEZ and yest leaving the heavily polluting black cabs alone because he is too scared to take on their unions - sums Labour up - you encourage us to buy diesel and then you over punish with the caveat that if you can afford it you can continue to have your diesel car!

As above. Better public transport. Better biz links between boroughs. Better trains, regular trains which are not suspended because it is the weekend.

As above. Use the carrot not the stick. You can't just keep penalising poor people for not being able to upgrade their cars.

As above.

You can also tax cars/ vehicles at source i.e. at purchase.

as always you have already made up you minds .. this consultation is pointless

lewisham council does not consult or listen to its residents ...

as always your questions are all biased .. why don't you ask if it is fair to penalise diesel owners for buying legal diesel cars .. of course not

being aware of pollution doesn't mean that you support increase taxes on particular cars

a biased , pointless consultation = well done lewisham council .. keep reading 1984

green taxes are an excuse to make money .. nothing more

As an older poorer resident who doesn't drive very much, and can only afford a larger older vehicle, which I use a lot for charitable purposes means I am being burdened with additional costs at a local level for a problem that is already being inadequately addressed at a national level.

The imposition of CPZs has already unfairly charged some residents by monetising their kerbsides and your proposals will increase an already unfair policy of monetisation hiding behind a green agenda.

As an older resident with problems walking more than short distances, I need my elderly petrol-fuelled car, and couldn't afford to replace it. I hope my parking permit charge will stay at a lower rate.

As I have said, you seem to be jumping on the bandwagon in order to raise revenue which is morally dishonest. Put up council tax or reduce your spending. Many years ago we had a very smart sport's centre in the shopping precinct which was closed down soon after. Money wasted!! How much more is wasted on hairbrain schemes? I have a freedom pass which enables me to travel without the use of a car.

Parking charges are nothing short of scandalous. We are in a street where we already pay £120 per year (to be raised to £160) preventing any visitors from calling between 9am and 7pm when other parts of the borough have a two hour restriction during the day to prevent commuter rail heading. That means we pay even more for parking if someone calls. Screwing us twice over is little short of criminal. I am beginning to realise that the best solution is to move out of Lewisham.

As my answer in 12 above plus; it is impossible not to have a vehicle in Lewisham, there are retail parks which we are encouraged to use, but would be impossible to bring shopping home on public transport. We have a garden and use garden centres, impossible on public transport. We use our recycling centre which we are encouraged to do, again impossible on public transport, the list goes on. To think that as a society we can stop using cars is naïve, and penalising people for the cars they drive is just to increase council revenue.

As my husband (who is almost 80) & has had both hips replaced TWICE & is also a cancer survivor.....I am appalled at another attempt to punish people who are just trying to enjoy what is left of their lives & who actually need a car to retain the little independence they have! As pensioners my husband and I have a petrol car. We cannot afford one of the new low emission cars. What you are proposing will reward those with low emission cars (the rich)and punish those with ordinary petrol cars(The not so well off). I don't know what the answer is but it should not be helping the rich save money while taking money from those who cannot afford a low emission car. You are proposing to charge us £130 a year. At the moment we pay£5 per year. Huge difference.

As resident's we pay enough towards the permit parking in our areas. It seems to have become a money making scheme by the Council.

As someone who already drives a low emission car I wasn't expecting to pay more for my residents permit but it is increasing from £30 to £80.

As the cost of transportation in the borough and London is increasing every year. So is cheaper for the ordinary people to use their car to move around.

As the owner of a diesel vehicle since 2009, purchased by Government encouragement that this was cleaner at the time, I accept that I will change the vehicle by October 2021 but think

that an additional parking permit surcharge for diesel vehicles before then is overly discriminatory.

As usual people who are on lower wages will suffer as a result of these proposals. People with money can afford the new electric cars but people on average wages can't afford new cars.

As usual the poorest people will suffer the most with this additional tax. Whilst I am 100% positive you will use the additional money for installing electric charging points it would be nice to see a breakdown of what the money is going to be used for otherwise it is just another stealth tax that you aren't brave enough to admit to.

As well as deterring cars, working on improving cycle infrastructure and encouraging cycling among residents would help.

As well as tackling air pollution the borough needs recycling bins rather than plain on street general rubbish bins. Most boroughs have these already. Water points for bottles to be filled up more widely available.

As well as the future think of residents and visitors immediate quality of life holistically. As with every other consultation peoples views are usually IGNORED and the London Borough of Lewisham will implement these changes anyway. It is outrageous that this borough seeks to bleed yet more money from people despite cutting every service you can think of. Furthermore having the audacity to double resident parking charges in one full scoop. Having parking restrictions on residential roads from 9am - 7pm!!!!, as well as charging extortionate prices for visitors permits and totally failing to publicise fairly, or automatically send the 10 free 1hr resident permits (which residents are entitled to), who have applied and payed for an annual permit!

As your introduction points out, car ownership by Lewisham residents is low (47%). The main cause of air pollution in Lewisham is not due to residents but those vehicles passing through the borough on the main artery roads - the A20, A2 and the A205. This is the issue the council should be addressing, rather than penalising residents.

I think it is also incorrect to include a blanket charge for diesel cars. The ULEZ rightly charges for older, more polluting diesel vehicles. Why are Lewisham planning to charge ALL diesel car owners? Many modern diesel cars are as green as the petrol cars.

Ask yourselves what policies in the last 10 years who have successfully completed to ensure a low carbon environment.

Assume you will undertake a full equality impact assessment - feels like this would impact more on drivers who can't afford new cars.

We bought a diesel car reg 12 when the government position was that diesel was best - went from saint to demon with the policy re-evaluation. Decided that the environmental impact of getting rid of diesels and buying a petrol hybrid was greater than using the diesel until it needed replacing. In the end gave it up our daughter. Not convinced that the whole life cycle costs are being properly assessed so therefore feels wrong to punish diesel drivers. If they are so awful why are they still being built /sold??

at the bottom you invite people to go to the permit price calculator but when you finish or return to this page, all the answers above have disappeared. really annoying and likely to put people off doing this survey. argh!

At the moment I receive a discount for having a diesel vehicle with low emissions!

I fully appreciate that this is something which should end, but to impose a surcharge as well seems excessive. At the time I bought my car diesel was reckoned to be less polluting than petrol.

I am reconciled to the fact that I will have to change my car before the extension of the ULEZ but unhappy about having to pay a surcharge for my parking permit before then.

At the same time. Parking during weekends should be free to attract more people and help local shops and stores.

Cost of parking is putting me off going to high street for shopping. Local shops pay business taxes and council have a responsibility to help them attract more people.

At the time that we replaced our car in 2012, the advice was that diesel cars were the better option. With good faith we bought accordingly and ensure our car is serviced regularly and emissions are kept to minimum. Since then the goal posts have moved and each time we are penalised. We try to use the car as little as possible by walking/ cycling/ sharing journeys but if we are going to be charged more for our diesel car, then you will be indirectly encouraging us to use it more in order to justify additional fines.

The alternative is that we change our car which adds to the 'throw away' society ethos and we will realise considerably less money when selling it thanks to the ULEZ / diesel taxes that are being charged.

at the very least just allow people who do the right thing by your policies to pay less, it seems that most people will be worse off as a result of this plan and those like me who are early adopters of technology get a raw deal too.

Some could see this as just another way to increase council revenue at the expense of the poorest vehicle owners in the borough.

At this time, manufacturers have barely introduced Electric or Hybrid vehicles and while I agree that more should be done to reduce air pollution you are penalising people who cannot yet afford or obtain these cleaner vehicles

In addition to this, Lewisham does not have any EV charging stations on the roads. I wanted to buy a new electric vehicle but I chose to stick with my current vehicle due to the fact that I do not have off road parking and there are NO EV charging stations where I live

Therefore, before you lump all these additional charges on to Lewisham residents why don't you think about installing EV charging units on the streets. That would encourage people to then buy into an electric vehicle

Bad idea!

Ban cars from driving down school roads during drop off and pick up times.

Basically the council appears to be increasing parking costs without improving the service.

Basing on vehicle emissions is only relevant when combined with mileage driven. I have a larger engine petrol car so will be penalised for that however it is used entirely for travel out of the borough (holiday car) and I do very limited mileage each year max 1000 miles meaning minimal pollution, especially compared against high mileage drivers , ignoring the actual co2 émissions.

Encouraging drivers to replace cars to access cheaper banded parking is significantly worse for the environment than reducing mileage driven by existing cars. The production of new vehicles has an extremely high environment impact albeit not in the borough but on a national scale.

Be careful that those on low incomes, and who need their car (e.g. for work, care) are not priced out.

Be fair to disabled people who need to get around in the borough.

Be more imaginative about limiting traffic and safeguarding schools.

Because I own a diesel car I will be penalised. I consider this to be unfair.

I already find in my crescent (Grotes buildings) due it's close proximity to BBlackheath Village that I have difficulty parking because the BHA area covers too large an area. This allows drivers from further out under the BHA area to use my road as a car park. This is infuriating. I have written to you on the subject previously but unsurprisingly I heard nothing.

Now you propose to hit me again.

Being a pedestrian around central Lewisham is a nightmare. Streets like Jerrard street and the new roundabout near Lewisham Road Tescos are dangerous. Also the roads near Nandos in Lee high road.

You must encourage people to walk by making the roads easier and safer to navigate and waiting times at traffic lights very short, so that we avoid standing for long waiting to cross and breathing all the fumes

Bigger problem is that Lewisham car pollution is caused by the huge number of journeys made by car/van/lorry owners who don't live in the borough - but who travel in to London via the A2/A20/A21/A205 - and who, to avoid congestion in central Lewisham, often use local streets as rat-runs. So - why not have a word with other adjoining councils to adopt the same parking permit policy - a joined-up approach?

Bikes! Massive investment in cycling and walking is the key.

Borough wide charging for electric vehicles, in car parks and on streets.

Bringing in this charge unfairly penalises poorer families

It unfairly penalises those who have special or adapted vehicles

Without funding to replace diesels this is unfair.

It also fails to consider the impact of building a new vehicle (embodied energy)

Build better cycling infrastructure - it is the only way to get people out of cars and make them feel safe

Bus types should also be reviewed. Currently we have old, noisy, diesel buses

Buses are crammed in the morning with school children. Adults going to work can't get on buses. Have school only buses as extra to help with crowding.

But air quality is not the only issue where parking is concerned. Space is at a premium in London. We need to discourage people from owning large vehicles...some of the cars are huge and getting bigger. We just don't have room. So need to incentivise people to get smaller vehicles, including cargocycles and cycles.

By and large it will be poorer people who gave older cars. This is a tax on them.

Your parking charges are expensive to people on average wages or below.

for those living in CPZs, charges are a barrier to visitors and so to social life. What of those with elderly or disabled relatives? why should they pay to see them more than 10 times a year? I was talking to someone last week who had given up trying to take out an elderly disabled friend because there was no free parking around the home she lived in. She just walks in from where she can park and visits instead - a loss to richness of life for person she visits. Anecdote but maybe widespread.

Do people who can't afford cars not also deserve visitors?

It is also too hard to get a disabled badge. This affects people with serious health conditions who don't qualify eg because expected to recover or whose mobility problems are intermittent. Seen it in my own family. Needs change

By charging more for less polluting vehicles are you not penalising people who can't afford to buy newer cars?

The high cost of resident parking would seem less unreasonable if the council allowed free or greatly reduced cost parking when workmen are working on a property. For example it cost me £100 in parking fees when I had work done on my house last year. That is unreasonable. There should be cheap permits available for residents to buy for workmen.

Can you give free permits to full electric cars

Car manufacturers/bodies that stated that diesel was cleaner than petrol should financially assist with helping people to buy petrol cars

Car owners and manufacturers were strongly incentivised to buy and produce diesel cars for many years by direct government policy. This has now changed as a result of more recent research. But there were NO petrol or electric cars produced between 2010 - 2016. We cannot all afford to buy new cars with lower emissions so are stuck with our existing "non-green" diesel cars.

Owners of older cars within the south circular will soon be penalised by having to pay a huge amount of money to drive their existing cars in the area where they live. This is incredibly

unfair. They should not be penalised further by having to pay higher parking permits as a result of a change in government policy!!

Car parking and air pollution in Lewisham are not linked. The clear contributor to air pollution are the main roads, especially the south circular. Whilst these roads are used by residents, there is also significant usage by users who do not stop in the borough but are on their way into or out of central London. This proposal pushes the cost of these users onto residents which is unfair.

Lewisham is already using car parking charges in the borough to offset austerity cuts, there is no other explanation for a blanket usage of CPZ in the area, so this looks like a scheme designed to just raise more money rather than tackle the problem.

Without an associated scrappage scheme, this is essentially a stealth tax on the poor, in a poor borough, who can't afford a more modern car. These people will also be hit by the ULEZ charges in 2021, so it is not clear why this proposed action is necessary in terms of encouraging change in car ownership and just presents a double whammy to anyone unable to afford to change their mode of transport. If it is funding that is required then Lewisham should be requesting a proportion of the ULEZ to fund improvements or agree to extend it to the whole borough to increase funding. This would at least be taking funding from those using the roads not just residents.

It will also encourage more people to request a dropped pavement so they can park on their front garden, escape the charge and reduce parking spaces that can be used by everyone.

A CPZ can also force non resident drivers to keep driving until they can find a more suitable/cheaper parking spot which actually increases journey times and therefore the amount of air pollution in the residential areas.

Care obviously needs to be taken re socio-economic considerations wrt to extra charges and whether people need a car for their work etc.

Cars in band 1, with under 100 Co2 should not be charged more!!!

Also

Right now older motorcycles are not tested for emissions and therefore automatically are not ULEZ exempt. This should be changed as this data is available from the manufacturers. For example I own 1999 Suzuki SV650 which is known as a vehicle with low enough emissions to be ULEZ exempt but due to its age this data is not registered on the V5 and therefore is bound to be charged. Which is absolutely unfair to those that like older yet efficient motorcycles.

Cars parked with their engines off do not pollute the air. Parked cars should be penalised if anything by their size.

If people parking polluting cars are to be penalised for this then the money should be given to households who do not have a car.

Cars should not be allowed to park straddling the pavement as in our stretch of Shell Road (between Brookbank and Ellerdale Road). Moving cars off the pavement would create room for a better quality pedestrian environment, tree planting and car charging whilst reducing road speed.

Central government is penalising drivers of diesel vehicles already and the costs are increasing. I do not think that local government needs to reinforce this. I understand that the additional amount raised will help the local government's bank balance - but do not think it is necessary or will achieve the stated aims.

From a personal perspective, like many, we can not afford to change the car we drive at the moment and are struggling to cover the additional costs as they stand. We bought a diesel car when the government were pushing the effectiveness of diesel over petrol - and are now being penalised. We will of course not buy diesel again but can not afford to change yet.

Central government should be lobbied again, again and again to deliver a comprehensive diesel scrappage scheme so as to better incentivise the necessary transition away from diesel

to hybrid/electric vehicles. This aspect of the required vehicle revolution is not deliverable from a local Govt' perspective alone.

Change is urgently needed. We need fewer cars and vans on the road.

Charge all - I don't mind pay More but when all pays but like my all neighbors/ leaving before 9 coming back after 5 and never pays u a penny - so unfair !!! How they helps you save environment- ridiculous stupid - what happens if me have car pays for permits you wanna ad £50 as diesel charge - and I drive 2x a week and those who moves car before 9 come back after 5 - their emission is 10x bigger then mine -

Charge Lewisham staff that don't require vehicles for their job to pay high rates including other visitors to the borough not just people who live in the borough.

Low emission buses/refuse/recycle trucks

Help to reduce vehicle usage peak times of driving around the borough rush hour and school pick up drop off.

Protect parks and green spaces. Stop building so many flats in close proximity causing areas to be dense in population.

Charge people at the weekend, as I pay £120.00 a year to park on my road, but i can't get a space on my road at the weekend, as too many cars park here for free, and go on the dlr. And also people who live in the newly built flats park here too.

As Elverson road isn't monitored regular enough on a daily basis, people park here all the time for free, and a lot of the time get away with it, as the traffic wardens are not coming around enough.

Charges for visitor parking should vary in areas where there is more demand and less demand. Flat rate for whole borough is not a big enough deterrent. All other inner London boroughs have variable hourly charges for various locations. E.g. Town centre car parking should cost more, as it creates more pollution and congestion to bring cars into Catford and Lewisham town centres.

Charging cars to be stationary it counter to what you are proposing to achieve. Cars cannot be emitting emissions if they are parked.

This is a thinly veiled attempt to extort money out of residents.

Charging extra for more polluting cars is just a tax on the poorer residents that can't afford more modern and more efficient cars. It's purely boosting revenue for the council.

Charging for parking for higher poluting vehicles is yet another tax of the middle to poor communities that are not fortunate or rich enough to afford properties with off road parking who would be except from this proposed charge.

I thought Lewisham council were labour and sided with the normal working person, not taxing them further while the rich sit smugly in there are detached properties with their Chelsea tractors parked off road except from this proposed charge.

Also parked cars are not polluting only when being driven that is why the ULEZ charge is being brought into effect in 2021.

Also I bought diesel as the advice from the government was they were less polluting!!!

Charging lewisham drivers more for when the pollution comes from vehicles outside the borough is unfair and just seems like a money grab

Charging more and more for parking does not resolve pollution issue . It has negative impact on businesses as less people visit shopping areas.

Charging more for parking is a revenue raising exercise, not a genuine attempt at improving air quality.

Lewisham has a number of A roads cutting through it that regularly get jammed up with vehicles passing through the borough. Congested roads are the problem, not resident parking. Increasing the cost of parking is not going to reduce pollution.

Charging more to less efficient vehicles hits the poorer residents who cannot afford to change car... in addition, the government is already taxing more for these types of vehicles which should also encourage lower emission vehicle usage

Adding further layers of bureaucracy and complexity to tax systems is unlikely to materially improve the situation and is likely to cost more to administer than the value it adds

Charging people hire charges based of their Petrol type doesn't really make any effect.

Like I said in in question 12 more public transport is needed then to accommodate more passengers. So not a reduction in vehicles, unless more harmful petrol is replaced with a more environmentally friendly one. But at a cost that is affordable. Also I do feel that it is ridiculous that if you already pay for your home and then pay council tax. You also have to pay for a permit to park your car outside your house or visitors have to pay to park their car outside your home. As I live in an area where many of my neighbours have more than one vehicle per household. You cannot find parking space in the evening and on weekends. It's often resulted in people having to park on near by roads and then walk to their home. Still not acceptable considering if you pay a permit and cannot park all your vehicles outside your home. Finally just to add raising the prices for parking, still is unfair it's not going to stop people from parking if they need to use the area to park so they can get to their home, use the trains, bus to travel to work or visit the shops, restaurants near by. Instead of adding humps or adding more pavement to the end of each road, maybe should have placed traffic lights to slow down the drives. Then you could park more cars without people complaining of lack of space.

Charging People more because their vehicles aren't green is not the righ approach. More modern, greener cars are expensive and by introdcing what is in fact a penalty payment for not being able to afford the newest high tech cars (or a new car full stop), Lewisham council is attacking the people who are most vulnerable.

There are other things that Lewisham can do to reduce pollution. But fining People for not being ale to buy a new car is wrong.

Permits are expensive enough as it is,

Charging people to PARK and NOT USE their car is the EXACT OPPOSITE of your stated goals.

Car ownership is not the problem. Car use is the problem. Parking should be entirely free.

Find a way to encourage people to use their cars less while still actively encouraging car ownership (low emissions, electric etc) for those occasions where public transport is insufficient.

Charging people to park their cars is not the answer (when parked they do not emit emissions) - they should be charged for driving them.

What are these increased fees going to be used for? As an atmospheric chemist I will hope to see some proven methods being introduced to improve air quality - what are they?

You say only 47% of households own cars, what proportion of the emissions in Lewisham come from private cars? As a share of all road traffic I would put money on commercial vehicles, old taxis and buses being the main contributors - this increased fee does nothing to improve their emissions or reduce their use.

Again, billing people to not drive their cars is stupid. People should be charged when they drive - whether by a £1 to enter the borough per day (I'd guess that would add up to a lot more than your parking fees) or something more complex I don't know.

Charging residents extra for parking in front of their homes, is never an option.

Giving people an opportunity to change their means of transport with an incentive is always

the best option.

Increasing fines only brings in more government revenue and puts Lewisham Council on the wrong side of residents' opinions.

Increasing my permit for a 11 year old car from £120 to £205 is robbery.

What is Lewisham doing to justify that charge. I live in the borough and already pay council tax.

I don't use any facilities the borough provides..apart from my bins.

So who's pockets is that extra money going to.

The statistics show pollution has increased in Lewisham, the main cause of that is that diabolical traffic control system in the centre of Lewisham.

Instead of cars passing freely through..they are queued up at lights for minutes at an end revving their vehicles.

Most of the roads in and around Lewisham suffer from this same problem....BAD ..traffic light programming....and flow control.

Lewisham residents don't own so many cars that would account for this greater increase to pollution.

So improve traffic control.

Get rid of that diabolical round about system by Lewisham bus station.

Get traffic flowing through Lewisham like it was.....not jammed up like a car park.

Emission control on residents parking, charging extra is not going to make a justifiable change...except in Lewisham borough revenue.

Charging residents who already struggle for money and likely need their vehicles on top of the upcoming ULEZ extension is excessive

Charging those with diesel cars more money will only generate the LA more income, it will not solve the problem of air pollution. Look at the congestion charge and emission charges, they clearly didn't work, London has never been so busy or full of Diesel vans/lorries/buses. Diesel owners bought their cars based on information from the government and LA that they were better for the environment, so why should they be penalised for trying to do their bit. If charges are to rise it should be across the board with all vehicles paying the same. Petrol vehicles with accordance to the latest research may be marginally better for the environment, but they do their bit in creating pollution. Moves such as this will only encourage diesel owners to buy petrol cars. What you could do is encourage people to buy electric by investing in lots more charging stations and abolishing all parking charges for those vehicles. Rather than the second hand Islington, get rich quick scheme you are proposing!

Cheaper parking permits

Churchgoers on Rushey Green at the weekend cause huge amounts of pollution. Bring your equipment and set up on Laleham Road, Rosenthal Road, Rushey Green) opposite Aldi and any of the roads on the High Street.

You will be horrified.

Clean the streets of Lewisham as it's very dirty with litter everywhere.

Stop raising parking fees as my road had only in the past 2 years had CPZ introduced. Before it was free but we had commuters parking on our street who would be clogging up our street parking.

Clearer marking of parking spaces is needed. Where I live in Taunton road I have often come home from work to find people badly parked over two spaces, therefore denying others the chance to park. Only yesterday there was a car parked outside my house (3 Taunton road) for most of the day in the middle of two spaces. The parking is bad enough without idiots who park like this. I quite often have to park nearer Trinity school than my house as parking is taken up with casual shoppers for Sainsbury's, even though they have a big car park!

There is also a car park in Falmouth close that we have been denied access to, even though we are council tenants and when we moved into the property over 40 years ago, we were told we had a space in the car park. Now we are told that we are not allowed a key for the locked gate although it's half empty all the time. Allowing us entry to this car park would allow more

parking for casual shoppers, we have been told the restrictions were kept down to two hours a day (10am-12pm) to deter commuters but allow a certain amount of parking for the shops. We are part of the estate but get looked over regarding this car park. There is also the issue of the surrounding flats and properties around Lee Green that use Taunton Road for parking. Clearly I'm a cyclist. Before moving up the road to Lewisham I cycled to work from South Norwood which was a 10 mile ride. Since moving to Lewisham I continued to cycle, although my new route is only 6 miles I have noticed a marked difference in my cardiovascular health, I often have a sore throat now, please severely reduce the number of vehicles polluting the roads.

Clearly this is yet another exercise in income generation, cloaked in a "good cause".

Are you "introducing lower rates" for low emission vehicles as you state or are you just increasing the costs for higher emission and by default creating a "lower" rate. Smacks of political semantics and yet another stealth tax on the motorist.

Clearly we have to change and we have to make sure we educate people and not just "punish" them because they have a car which is polluting our environment.

It's up to the government that we actually have those cars on our street. Bring forward a plan that a family actually can afford to change their current vehicle to a electronic vehicle.

Install more Charing points and give those who change their cars some benefits.

We can not just bring up the prices for parking this will only shift the problem to other areas. Concern regarding a tax essentially being imposed on individuals who are economically struggling. There are many in the borough.

Concerned about the social equity of the proposal. Will those least able to afford to change their cars be able to afford the increase in fee on top of the ULE zone charges. What research has been done to estimate how many people will actually change cars as a result of this policy?

Improve the consultation software - not impressed to type a whole series of responses for the site to fail and have to re-type.

Concessions should be considered for groups other than disabled such as young families and older people.

Considering the public were encouraged to buy diesel vehicles not that long ago it does seem unfair to penalise owners who are unfortunately forced to park on the road.

A lot of people on low incomes bought diesel vehicles because they were cheaper to run.

Consultations are fine as long as the LBL takes people's opinions on board. I am sceptical of consultations done by Lewisham as up to now LBL has got my opinion and then does exactly what it wants to anyway. I hope this consultation is different. Usually I see consultations as a way for LBL to make more money from residents or to get mass buildings built (that local residents detest!)

Council need to work with local NHS Trust to explore other transport means for staff to cut down on car journeys.

CPZ for the Evelyn Ward as soon as possible

CPZs need to be across the whole area if they are being used. The patchwork introduction just pushes parking to unacceptable levels in the next nearest area to the CPZ and causes the residents there to be exposed to pollution/inconvenience.

Create a programme helping people to switch their diesel cars. I can't afford to buy a replacement for my diesel and believe that foot print in discarding the car is higher than wait for it's end of life. Give time to people. Consider all the ones that can't afford a replacement but the car is still necessary to our life's. In battling cancer and not always able to use public transport.

create more pedestrian only areas.

make bus number 202 and 386 more frequent and bus fare MUST reduce to encourage more people to use the bus

so that they can ditch their cars

Cycle Lane like the ones in center London

Cycle lanes in Lewisham are few and far between and are shared with pedestrians and families with young children - totally inappropriate.

Create a system that facilitates cycling - noticeable the recent changes in roads in Lewisham e.g. nr station do not sufficient support cyclists.

Cycling should be encouraged; cycling around the Lewisham borough is downright dangerous.

Deal with the car manufacturers for being deceptive to customers about use of diesel cars being good for air quality. And stop penalising innocent car users.

Diesel car owners are being penalised enough as it is - the last thing they need is you twisting the knife!!! we were ADVISED to buy a diesel car and now we've got to sell it, so GIVE ME A BREAK!!!

Diesel car with ultra low emissions should not have a surcharge.

Diesel cars already paying higher road tax with which I agree. When parked the diesel car does not emit any more pollution as it's the engine is not switched on, therefore I don't see any reason why the car owners should pay more for a parking.

Diesel cars were recently encouraged as a greener form of motoring. Things seem to have changed, but it's unfair to penalise people who bought a diesel vehicle in good faith on the back of official advice.

Perhaps introduce a surcharge for diesel vehicles bought after a certain date?

Don't buses run on diesel?

Diesel cars will already be impacted by the extension of the ULEZ, this will be an extra burden on families that are unable to afford to change their car. Many of these families would have purchased a diesel car under the advice of the previous Labour government that diesel cars were better for the environment due to their superior fuel (carbon) efficiency.

The ULEZ extension is sufficient as it will charge the driving of a diesel car, whilst this proposal charges the parking of a diesel car. If anything you need to make it cheaper for residents to leave their cars parked at home and not drive them as this will do the opposite. To me this seems like the council jumping on the environmental bandwagon as a quick way of increasing the only charge that they are responsible for to raise extra funds, whilst they should be cognisant of the Mayor's plans as that will be a much more effective measure.

Diesel cars with low emission should not have a surcharge

Diesel drivers will already be penalised with new low emissions zone coming. People with own parking space won't be affected however they contribute to the problem. Increases charge will encourage people to pave over gardens - much needed green space.

This seems like a way to increase revenue for Lewisham council, not a genuine response to pollution problem.

Diesel owners are being overly penalised by these proposals. We are already being forced to change our cars by 2021. Leave it at that.

Diesel users have already been hit by the Mayor of London, ULEZ.

Car drivers already pay the following:

Petrol or diesel, car tax and car insurance, regular car maintenance such as MOT.

They pay tolls, if driving through London there is the congestion charge.

Airplanes and motor bikes also contribute towards pollution. Road works, construction work, the material used other environmental issues, dumping of waste, all contribute towards the problem. Public transport is expensive and are mostly crowded. Travelling through zone 1, is the most expensive. Trains don't always run if there are leaves on the line. It's not practical to ride a bicycle to work because of items that needs to be carried.

Technology is available to address pollution, this needs to be addressed. The only people that are affected by further charges are the working class, those on lower incomes. Presenting data and using children in campaigns is not the way to address the matter.

In 2009 the Labour government encouraged people to buy vehicles that use diesel, 2019 they are charged for using diesel, is this right. This is a concern, I am interested in data but to solely focus on cars is incorrect .

Diesel vehicle drivers should have time before the changes are enforced. Time to prepare for the increase in cost or time to purchase an alternative vehicle.

Promote the changes to ULEZ as much as possible!

Diesel vehicles used to be encouraged and now stated they are major pollutants. Some diesel vehicles less polluting than petrol and hybrid so don't think it's fair to penalise people who bought them in good faith.

Ulez zone extension is ridiculous

Diesels meeting Euro 6 standard (as the ULEZ) produce lower CO2 than petrol engines and have diesel particulate filters to reduce particle matter to very low levels. Ad-Blue removes most NOx pollutants. Euro 6 diesels are already extremely clean and it would be perverse to impose a £50 surcharge for such cars. No additional surcharge should be imposed on any vehicle permitted without charge within the ULEZ.

Disappointed

Discount parking permit for Ultra Low Emissions cars

Discounts for people who choose to commute to work via bike instead of cars!

Do more to enforce the 20MPH limit. No one sticks to it.

Do not agree with any policy that increases the cost to local residents of travel . The council should look at initiatives that do not increase local costs. Lewisham is one of the poorer boroughs and is the London borough with the 3rd highest Caribbean communities. How have already suffered at the hand of the government with the Windrush scandal and now the local authorities are also trying to penalise them to travel . Apauling

Do not increase parking fees for local residents

Do not punish the poorest people in the borough that cannot afford modern low emissions cars. Focus more on commercial vehicle pollution and how to improve traffic flow.

Fine people that idle cars when parked.

Do not raise prices, but lower them for less polluting cars.

Do something about motorbikes which pollute the environment with the deafening racket they make as well as with their emissions

Do you not think we already pay enough to park outside our front door

Doesn't the ulez address many of the issues you're highlighting. It setting me it's a way of obtaining extra money from Lewisham residents for the council to boost the dwindling budget. If the money made from these proposals is going directly back into clean air objectives I think it's a great idea but Lewisham residents must be made aware of where the revenue made from is being spent.

Don't allow driveways anymore

Don't penalise car drivers who were encouraged by government to buy diesel cars as they had lower co2 emissions.

Don't penalise us residents who are already having to pay to park near our houses. Everyday Fernbrook Rd is full of cars after 12 noon. Those people get to park for the remainder of the day for free. They pollute my road, our borough, idling their cars, warming their engines. Vans & lorries pull up on the embankment side of Fernbrook, drink their tea, have a snooze - for free. Why penalise us residents. This is very unfair on us residents.

Dont agree with the proposal untill enough electric charging points are around in the borough. At the moment there are not enough.

Don't pay lip service to cycling infrastructure. Very few school children can cycle to school safely. You can see the effects of the extra cars during school holidays when traffic is very

light. Don't come after residents with punishment surcharges when council don't provide a safe alternative currently for children to travel to school with zero emissions. You've utterly failed in this regard and to trumpet cycle friendly language in this consultation is horrifying. The last three big housing projects (Glassmill, Silkworms and the Gateway) have all led to removal of cycle lanes and made travel by bike more dangerous. Someone has been killed outside Glassmill on their bike right where a cycle lane used to exist. I don't believe council are doing anything more than just taxing people more. You cannot safely cycle through central Lewisham with children. You've even allowed motorbikes to scream through the town centre in restricted bus lanes and dropped the enforcement against them that was in place. I've seen two pedestrians so far wiped out from terrible council policy here. Don't punish me for having to drive my disabled child in a car I cannot afford to upgrade to these standards.

Don't tinker - minor changes have no impact on behaviour

Parking is a major incentive to drive in LBL currently because its free / cheap
It should be a deterrent - to needless short car journeys

Hospitals do NOT need massive car parks & consultants / other staff should pay
Don't use air quality and environment in general as an excuse just to make more money.
If raising parking fees for more polluting cars, use ALL the additional money collected to improve air quality / the environment.

Drivers already pay enough tax by other means. It is not fair to continue or add to tax people who drive cars and vans. Not everyone can afford to buy new lower emission vehicles. Some people have to make do with the vehicle they already have. If only 47% of Lewisham residents drive a car why don't you improve the road system or divert traffic through another route to lower pollution. There are other ways than just charging people more money.

Drivers are already penalised, charged, and taxed at every opportunity...enough is enough!
Drivers are transitioning to energy efficient vehicles, it will take time to replace all cars and vans without causing unnecessary economic hardship to people who cannot afford to upgrade. Someone driving a 15 year old diesel van is unlikely to have the resources to go electric.

drivers of high emission vehicles will also have to pay the new ULEZ from 2021.

Drivers were sold diesel cars as more ecological -- the manufacturers should be held accountable, not the customers.

Driving for my job is very necessary and 2 hourly permits could do with being extended.

Dumb, dumb and dumber!

Electric cars cost a fortune, and people with diesel often bought for low running cost and were mis informed. So now they are being penalised and not helped to change. Really this is like, wealthy people get to have everything cheaper.

Why not charge more for second and third car per household? Always having a car handy is part of reason people make lots of car journeys.

You are really penalising the poor again.

If an old car is used once a week, why do you pay more to park it than a newer car or electric car that is used daily?

Electric road signs with live pollution information perhaps red amber green to inform us when we are more in danger.

We have window boxes outside office on brownhill road. Perhaps competition to encourage all to increase plants to off set pollution.

Electric vehicles do not currently provide a suitable solution for diesel owners in terms of range or utility.

Production of electric vehicles is a causation of greater pollution at the point of manufacture

due to the chemicals required in the batteries.

Electric vehicles are prohibitively expensive given the significant discrepancy in range and ability to sustain long journeys. Increasing fees on diesel vehicles will mean that families that previously could have a vehicle will now not be able to have one.

Public transport in the Lewisham area is not sufficient to take on the exponential demand it will receive when families of young children and the associated baggage attempt to travel across the borough. Public transport is also inefficient in terms of time and connections. Both of which will cause limitations on families ability to travel around the borough.

This will serve to encourage young families to move away from the borough.

Encourage adoption of innovation to reduce pollution at the municipal level.

For example

- use of recycled building materials, in granting planning permission.

- discretionary use of section 106 contributions to encourage green plans and to penalise less-green materials

Encourage car owners to ditch the vehicle, and walk or use public transport. Publicity billboards to explain to residents that it is all our interests to reduce emissions.

Encourage electric car use

Put in measures to discourage cars idling especially outside schools

Make sure can drivers for local businesses are aware that idling is liable to a fine

Encourage pride in the borough - street cleaning - green spaces - the broken window theory - create a borough with a village feel ...

Encourage residents - leaflets/posters/community hubs/libraries to at least look at affordability of cars with lower emissions not PUNISH those who buy WHAT THEY CAN AFFORD.

Alternatives are unaffordable & the council will be discriminating against those who need a car but cannot afford electric or hybrids.

Encourage scrappage scheme

encourage the use of motorbikes and scooters as they produce the smallest Nox. They prevent congestion and if only 10% of motorists switched to PTWs pollution be lowered to 40% overnight. Why are the council not embracing PTWs?

Encourage use of electric cars if possible and use of public transport.

Encouraged by Government policy of the time, I bought a diesel car in 2010 as they were considered to be the more environmentally friendly. I now find I am being penalised for this decision. The extension of the ULEZ in 2021 will make this car unviable for myself. I do not consider that Lewisham Council jumping the gun on this policy is particularly helpful. Is scraping diesel cars, with lower CO2 emissions, going to have an overall better impact on the environment?

Encouraging people to drive lower emission vehicles will only work for the wealthier households. Newer cars with less polluting emission are expensive.

End the war on motorists. Stop making new homes with no parking available. Not everyone wishes to or is able to ride a bike.

Enforce 20mph speed limit, use speed cameras.

Make roads that are used as rat runs one way.

Enforcement around the borough needs to be stronger.

Ensure all car owners and users are charged the same whether in the CPP Zone or not as they ALL add to the pollution.

This should include all vehicles whatever the age even if determined as historic or non road tax paying .

Ensure all taxis and buses are hybrid first, before making residents pay higher costs for owning a car. Not everyone can afford a hybrid and not everyone wants one either!

Ensure that Parking fees are adequately presented. Current tariffs are overpriced. Parking is not the issue when we speak of air pollution, traffic congestion is.

Ensure that the advice you're giving is likely to stand the test of time. Not that long ago, we were all advised to switch to diesel. Now we are being penalised for doing so.

Euro 6 diesel cars have lower emissions than other cars. A levy should be charged on older diesel cars (pre-2016) as they don't meet the new emissions regulations

Euro 6 Diesel engines are exempt from the ULEZ, introducing a separate diesel surcharge (that applies to all) despite already owning a euro 6 car in emissions band B introduces confusion.

Everybody who has an older diesel knows that it is very polluting and we want to get rid of them, you should try and financially encourage us to get rid of them not make life so expensive with them that we can't afford to change them. It is a classic Catch-22, you will get more results if you put in a scrapage scheme for diesels or give us an incentive such as a scrapage amount available within 2 years and after that introduce the diesel penalties.

Excellent idea even though it will cost us more

Extend parking permits across the borough. What is the point of charging diesel cars in cpzs a higher rate when most of the borough, including innermost reaches around New Cross Brockley and Deptford have no parking control and are widely used as free car parks for those travelling in from other boroughs. Also what about widespread parking on pavements, outside premises, largely uncontrolled. 50£ a year on Lewisham residents with diesel cars is a tax on residents that provides limited additional value. Making cpzs more widespread in the borough would make parking easier for residents (value), improve air quality, raise money for council to reinvest in transport and allow for more widespread paid parking. Most importantly it would discourage the idea that North Lewisham is a free public car park for Goldsmiths students, commuters, people from Bexley/Kent, coach companies that are routinely parked around New Cross (gate) and Brockley stations.

In short, the best way to improve air quality would be to put in place the right incentives for all. No parking anywhere in Lewisham borough without residents permits or paying. Make electric vehicles exempt from payments (or pay less). Enforce parking restrictions especially in New Cross / Deptford, areas with maximum clownery when it comes to parking.

Enforce red routes on Sundays. Worst congestion at confluence of A2/A20/New Cross is on Sundays due to the amount of parking permitted on the main roads. This must be stopped, it is completely unnecessary.

Extend parking permits to every household, including new build properties.

Extending tube lines beyond Lewisham town centre to Mottingham and Grove Park

Finding a space may affect shop trade

Firstly, I don't agree with your grouping of all diesel cars as causing more pollution than petrol cars. In fact, the newer diesel cars (the ones which require AdBlue additive) are found to be less polluting than Petrol cars. Here is one article which discusses this:

<https://www.driving.co.uk/news/diesel-tax-anger-new-models-beat-petrol-nox-pollution-tests/>.

Therefore I think you need to draw a difference between "ULEZ-exempt" diesel vehicles and the older diesel vehicles (which attract a ULEZ charge) and are the ones responsible for most of the diesel-based pollution. It is unreasonable to have a "diesel surcharge" on all vehicles when the most recent ones cause less pollution than the petrol vehicles.

Fix roads surfaces and improve signage for cyclists

Improve cycle routes and signage

More traffic police to encourage safer driving

Heftier fines for dangerous driving

Increase police presence and simplify encourage whistle blowing the area covered by TFL for rail companies ToC,s transport for all of London not just N,S,E London

Focus on the most polluted vehicles with higher cost to die I've in the borough, rewards this with lower polluted vehicles but please do not bring out a parking charge - there are no emissions when parked

Focus to tackle air pollution should be focused on Diesel cars which emit NOx and impact health of people in the borough. Less focus should be placed on reducing general CO2 levels

which is a global issue and Lewisham alone cannot solve. Furthermore, there are no immediate impact on health from CO₂.

For people who have a diesel car and can't afford to buy another car a £50 surcharge is not fair. They could charge a surcharge on new cars that are diesel

For those on low incomes or benefits who have high emission cars there should be a temporary means tested exemption.

For years the Government had incentives to buy diesel vehicles. Now that has all changed so there are lots of diesel vehicles around. We would love to change our old diesel but we cannot afford to. If a vehicle is being parked it is not contributing to air emissions. Someone may have a very old vehicle they use once a week. Parking and air emissions by their nature are opposite. When the vehicle is parked it isn't emitting.

Forcing people to trade, sell or dispose of their older or more polluting vehicles is not the most ecological solution to the problem even if I understand that it would be the quickest solution.

Free 10 hours parking for everyone not only the annual payment. We residents who pay every 3 months end up paying more than annually ones so we deserve the same right treatment

Free bus service throughout London

Free parking permits for those who don't have a car - not those who have a car

Frequency of visit should be taken into account with the charges. For example, I have an annual business permit, but I only travel and park in Lewisham 2 to 3 days a week.

From a personal point of view, I am an unpaid carer looking after my mother who is in the latter stages of Huntingtons disease. The vehicle helps me to meet the needs of my mother as quickly and efficiently as possible as well as allowing myself a few hours respite. This pushes poverty which is also a threat to health.

From question 11, referring to ULEZ being extended to the South Circular Road in October 2021, by the current Mayor of London. I feel he has engineered the next level of forthcoming congestion through his implementation of this. Even if I drive a ULEZ compliant car, I will still experience congestion on the ULEZ boundary for the A205 as I attempt to cross in into and out of it.

From the monies you'll generate from this scheme, how will it be spent? How will it help the environment within the Lewisham borough?

Further penalising people with older/diesel vehicles through increased parking is ill conceived and unfair.

Those who still have these vehicles will already be among the worse off in the borough, the cost of a newer diesel or electric vehicle is unattainable for me.

It is also counterintuitive to charge a political car more for parking - as that is when it is not polluting.

Anyway, you'll do what you want regardless of the consultation, just as you have by relentlessly extending the CPZs.

Generally the poorer you are the older your car. If you are wealthier you can afford a hybrid, electric car. Pricing parking permits based on higher emissions affects the less well off disproportionately and does nothing to stop the 4x4 guzzlers who would never dream of getting on a bus or considering alternatives to their car journeys. The key is to reduce car usage, not taxing the less well off by stealth

Get rid of all the people who park in streets all day, who drive to the street to then use the train

Get rid of NSL. They are rude and incompetent. Only one staff member (Ola) has shown any sign of wanting to co-operate with and be polite to residents.

Get rid of RingGo and start taking responsibility for your own streets? Stop penalising people for buying vehicles that they were told were better to buy by THE GOVERNMENT. Oh and give me a permit for my car and don't make it quite so hard to get one for work vans etc?

Again, £1.60 every day to park my car OUTSIDE MY HOUSE.

get TfL to look at bus routes - the 343 is always empty - use smaller buses - use greener buses - look at the routes - why do we often have 4 or 5 buses parked outside of

Haberdasher Askes School on Jerningham Road - a hazard to children crossing, a pollutant and crazy to have that many empty buses.

Speed up the Bakerloo extension

Give people time to adjust to the new costs or buy new vehicle

Given I rarely use my car, and am forced to buy a resident permit, I am dismayed to see from the calculator that the cost of this permit will rise by £100/year. The extension of the ULEZ includes Ladywell where I live, and will affect those actually driving, which is the point surely? To stop unnecessary journeys? You're just proposing to penalise ownership, irrespective of usage.

It is also interesting that although you believe I drive a car worth penalising by a near 85% increase in permit fee, under the ULEZ rules I'm exempt.

The real danger is diesel, and given by April 2021 the number of diesel cars owned in Lewisham will drop dramatically as a result of the ULEZ, this increase in generic charging is revenue raising disguised as positive action. This is a continuing of the general trend, in some ways unavoidable given central government ideology, of trying to plug budget gaps by increased taxation of residents.

Given that people who own an older car, possibly because they can't afford a newer car, will be hit very hard by the coming extension to the ULEZ, to the extent that afford it or not they will be forced to sell their car and buy a newer one or give up driving altogether, is it right that Lewisham should seek to add to their burden by asking for more money to park the car?

Given that the council know which vehicles currently have permits and therefore what each current resident would now pay on the new scheme, do the overall cost adjustments result in all residents combined paying more to Lewisham council? If so then is air quality the priority or is this another way to make hard working locals pay even more?

Given the amount of pollution elsewhere in the country and in the world, these measures are irrelevant.

Giving discounts to electric and hybrid cars will only help the better off of the borough and adding a levy to diesels will punish the worse off. People at the lower end of the income scale cannot afford to replace their cars "willy nilly" so it's up to the council to come up with better ideas than just punishing the car owners. People were encouraged to buy diesels under Blairs government and many still have them. Just because the governments attitude changes is not an excuse to punish people.

Giving things / incentives cheaper to people who have a lower emissions i.e hybrid / not diesel is clearly going to benefit wealthier parts of Lewishams community

Glad disabled permits will remain free, please ensure there is parking for people who need it. Glad that there is public discussion on this acute and growing problem. It is sufficiently bad for me to consider moving right out of London

Glad to asked about this issue

Go for it, show leadership.

Good consultation but do not punish people who look after their cars, service regularly etc.

Do not punish people who are not able to change their cars every few years but need them to get around and to be safe at night.

Good consultation.

Don't double penalise where the ULEZ is already in affect, residents will swap out as vehicles become available and charging options are installed.

Good idea.

Good initiative

Good initiative. Very surprised that the Council has not reviewed the parking fees in 8 years - that is a very long time!!!

However, the end result (as stated below) seems to be an equal or lower parking permit fee

for currently polluting cars (petrol and diesel). I wonder how this will enable the Council to reach its target of reducing the air pollution.

How will the Council monitor the efficiency of the policy?

Good that Lewisham Council are pushing ahead with these recommendations/solutions.

Great idea, fully support

Great stuff. Keep it up.

Half the borough doesn't have Parking Permit Zones. Many of those streets are filled with parked so-called high polluting vehicles but they don't pay a penny towards your proposed Pollution Tax. Utterly unfair and ridiculous.

Also, as I said earlier I need my vehicle for regular medical visits. Some weeks I never use my car, others I probably do a maximum of 20 miles (often much less). However, a neighbour has 2 smaller cars (only one of which pays for a permit as s/he parks somewhere else for the chargeable 2 hours). Although they are both 'smaller' cars than mine, both travel 60 miles + a week. polluting more than me because they're travelling more than me. It is grossly unfair that you are asking me to pay more to park (parked cars do not pollute) whilst others pay less to park but pollute more. I used to think Lewisham Council was a trailblazer for equal opportunities, patently not! Scrap this unfair money-raising idea under the phoney guise of cutting pollution. What about charging all the illegal polluting wood-burning fire owners instead!!! Plenty of them round here!

Happy you are doing something to improve air quality!

Have more roads that are only for cycles and buses. Reinforce road rules - very dangerous cycling in Lewisham at the moment

Have the Borough considered traffic management rather than increasing parking permits

Having cycle schemes similar to those in the Southwark, Westminster and Camden will improve congestion and air quality.

Having looked into this a lot before buying a recent new car, it's not true to say that ALL diesel cars are much higher polluting than petrol cars. The Euro 6 standard Diesel engine in the car I have just bought emits 92% less NOx than my previous diesel, and significantly less CO2 than petrol cars. I'm in favour of ULEZ and higher Lewisham charges for higher polluting cars, but the standard should be the same as implemented by City Hall, and look actual emissions, not just a crude assessment of Diesel vs Petrol otherwise other environmental considerations and the pragmatic needs of residents are overlooked.

Having tried the cost calculator, it might make sense to have no charge for Band 0 electric vehicles rather than a £70 base charge - we really should be doing everything we can to incentivise electric vehicles over petrol/diesel

Having used the price calculator below, I think the price hikes are too great. My car, which meets the required standards for ULEZ and therefore does not qualify for charges for travelling in the zone, would be charged more than 50% more for a parking permit in Lewisham. This seems far too punitive especially for those on a low income, who cannot afford to replace their car.

Heavy goods vehicles driving around in Lewisham create much more pollution than resident parked cars with engines switched off

Higher parking prices would ultimately deter me from driving as regularly

Higher polluting petrol cars and diesel cars already pay a surcharge through increased vehicle tax. A secondary surcharge will be introduced by the extension of the ultra low emissions zone. The council's proposal to place a surcharge on parking permits will amount to a third level of surcharge. This is unreasonable. Moreover it will unreasonably target lower income individuals who are more likely to be driving older cars with higher emissions. Households with more than one vehicle should be charged a higher permit fee irrespective of how polluting the second vehicle may be.

How about people who have no card getting an incentive on council tax reduction.

How about you change your question above from "Do you agree with the Council's policy of trying to improve air quality within the borough? " to two separate ones, i.e. "Do you agree that it is the council's task to attempt to improve air quality?" and "Do you agree with the current policy the council has chosen to attain this?", as your current question leaves no interpretation of saying that I think your policy is crap (which I do) and wanting to have cleaner air.

Why are permits for residents not for the lifetime of the car? Why is the price so amazingly high?

How are you going to police high polluting vehicles in non permit areas?

Also you need to look how other boroughs are managing parking and pollution. Many only allow one permit per household, charge significantly more for a second car and do not provide permits for households with odd street parking

How has 20 MPH zone effected air quality

how legal is this proposal? my understanding is that parking permits are supposed to be revenue neutral and relate solely to the rationing of parking spaces and not for any other purposes.

How many times do diesel car owners need to be penalised? The ULEZ will already be a massive hit on residents who were previously encouraged by the government to purchase a diesel vehicle. Additional surcharges appear to be a bandwagon approach for the council to raise funds, with no information on how those funds will be used to improve air quality.

How much influence would the public have in the decisions to be made?

How will this help my RAT Run street.or the main Road when so much Traffic.on the main ROAD.

How will you measure pollution levels from each vehicle, will it be based on Euro levels like ULEZ? It should take into account vehicles usage eg mileage. because a car which only does a low mileage is not contributing much to pollution.

I already own a low emission car .

Yet to my surprise you have put that up by £40.00 .

I bought that car because it was low emission friendlier to the enviroment

I do a lot of walking to places .

Not more I can say but I cant believe you will put my parking permit up by that amount I mentioned. .

I 100 percent agree with protecting the environment making air clean, however I do not agree with banns and payments for high emission cars without helping their owner get hybrids and electrical cars. Monay raised from charges and ULEZ payments should be used to help citizens buy low emission cars.

I admire the intentions of getti g a greener Borough. However a parking "tax" on residents in a low I come Borough who cannot afford brand new "green" cars seems unfair. Maybe limit the additional parking charges to visitors only

I agree absolutely with Lewisham councils position to try & improve air quality.

I would however say that many people bought Diesel vehicles in good faith about having less impact on the environment than petrol ones.

Similarly someone can have a cleaner car but have a bigger carbon footprint if they are constantly driving in Lewisham / other inner city areas. I only drive less than 5,000 miles a year (mainly down to Kent on the M20) and hardly ever drive through Lewisham except to go to Tesco on the less populated edge - not passing any schools.

A more equitable way would be to measure peoples full yearly history, but I appreciate that is very difficult.

I agree in principle with the plan, as long as it is used to reduce air pollution and not used as a way of raising additional revenue for the council.

I agree Lewisham needs to do more to improve air quality, however I worry by adding penalties to high polluting vehicles it could further impact low income families who might struggle / unable to buy a new car with lower emissions

I agree that it is a good idea to introduce banded parking permits, I believe this would only be fair to new car purchases. I spent years driving petrol vehicles only to be pressed and pressed into buying a diesel I don't have a lot of money and I think it's incredibly unfair that the council would penalise me for carrying out what I was told to do by the local government. I strongly object to be paying a surcharge on an existing vehicle I accept adding a surcharge is a very simple way for you to generate more capital and to push people towards a way of thinking you would prefer however I do not agree that by simply charging more you are going to change anything.

if you really want to see change you need to provide subsidies you need to provide free public transport you need to provide means for people to buy bicycles so they can actually use them you'll need to provide a way in which the council promotes greener more economical ways of travelling without penalizing and taking more money from the public.

I doubt very much anything I say will change your proposal I have very little faith that anything other than an increasing cost to any form of taxation will take place quite simply I don't believe the truth is that you want to change the emissions I believe you're looking for a way to increase your tax collection however you want to word that you're still looking to take more money out of our pockets rather than streamlining the council streamlining it's costings streamlining it spendings you just take more and more and more and give less and less and less.

I agree that we need to be more aware and something needs to be done but when it comes down to it people who are poorer are yet again the scape goats. This will greatly affect small businesses and the working classes.

I agree to offering discounts for those with low emission vehicles however charging those with higher emission vehicles does not encourage them to purchase a low emission vehicle or use public transport. Personally I would love a low emission vehicle but cannot afford one. In order to reduce air pollution there needs to be more schemes to make it easier for people, especially on low incomes, to purchase a low emission vehicle rather than penalising them for having a petrol/diesel vehicle

I agree totally that high emissions are dangerous. TFL and the government are already undertaking to charge high emission vehicles. It is wrong of LBC to levy another charge. This is yet another example of Lewisham's lack of real care for its residents who are car owners and it's determination to exploit rather than assist car owners.

i agree we all need to do what we can to make our air cleaner

BUT 1 some people can only just afford to run the car they have

2 if people could afford to buy a car with lower immisions most people would

3 i think its just another way for councils to make money

were ever you go in lewisham now .its meters every were .you have to pay visitors have to pay we pay parking permits .i thought that was why we paid tax to park on the road .

i agree with many of the proposals which the council has put forward. however the blanket £50 penalty charge on diesel cars is unfair. as a driver of diesel with blue additive car with a stop/start mechanism, my car is a great deal less polluting than older petrol cars.

this is witnessed by the road fund tax band which the car falls into. IE it is not penalised by central government, why should LB Lewisham place this extra tax?

I agree with rewarding people who have electric cars, but I don't think people with diesel cars should be punished. We were told initially that diesel cars were more fuel efficient which is why we bought them

I agree with the introduction of a surcharge for the more polluting vehicles however I don't think it should apply to vehicles already owned by members of the public. They may have little money to pay the extra and also although their vehicle may be contributing to pollution when

the vehicle was purchased I doubt that they were informed that at a future date they maybe 'penalized' for having that car. I do believe strongly that vehicles used by businesses ie - vans/trucks - should pay the surcharge for wherever it can be applied to them as it often seems to me they are more polluting but I don't know if they require any form of parking permit.

I agree with the need to improve air quality within the borough and everything proposed seems fine apart from residents being penalised for owning older cars. I just used the calculator and it estimates an annual permit of £900 compared to the current cost of £120. That is ridiculous.

I don't drive often but I pay for an annual resident permit so I have access to a car if I need it. I am a retired pensioner and can't afford to buy a new car. I'm sure this would be the same for many other residents. I use my Freedom Pass to get around, more than I drive.

I agree with the sentiments but to charge £180 for a car we hardly use is just over the top. Our parking zone should be for just two hours in the day to stop all day parking and make life easier for our visitors.

I agree with this in theory. Not sure it will deter drivers from driving and parking in the area. They will just pay the extra and we will still have a problem.

I already cannot get out to places where parking is not permitted - e.g. theatres and museums in west end - because my disability is not obvious enough to get me a Blue Badge!!!! further draconian restrictions will keep me indoors almost entirely!!!

I am a child of the mid 70s - pollution was far far greater back then - and yet only today are the kids 'suffering the causes of pollution from diesel vehicles'.

70s trucks, buses (rare to find a diesel car then) pumped out thick black smoke and fumes - most roads were at a standstill with traffic jams ALL the kids walked these roads to school... yet, one never heard of allergic kids, asthmatic kids - kids with cancer back then. (Not saying it didn't exist - just not in the numbers of today)

What is so different today, when we know our diesel engine vehicles are so much cleaner than back then?!

What then IS causing these problems.

Let me say - "We only THINK we know what we "know" because we're TOLD it's so by others"

I am a diesel driver, but even I think something has to be done about air quality. Well done for taking on this difficult issue.

I am a Lewisham borough resident and drive a diesel vehicle. I pay for a parking permit, I agree we all need to help with air pollution but I disagree that I will now have to pay extra for owning a diesel vehicle when it was the government who encouraged people to buy diesel vehicles which is why I bought one. So I think we should be compensated in some way . I am about to buy a diesel because I need 4x4 capacity in the forest where my current car is a risk. I chose a new Euro6 2020 ultra low emission diesel rather than buy a second hand car with higher levels of emissions. While I agree with a tiered approach to emissions the additional flat rate applied to diesels is wrong in principle. It is punitive and fails to differentiate between modern ULE diesels and older vehicles. Had a petrol 4x4 SUV been available, I would have purchased it, but should not be punished for having to buy diesel to ensure that I don't get stuck off road.

I am aged 78 and have a 14;year old car which I cannot afford to trade in for a more emission friendly one.

I do minimal mileage, MOT test certs show for periods 2015/16: 2016/17: 2017/18 as follows 1767: 1551:1745 miles.

I submit therefore that my contribution to pollution is significantly less than some more emission friendly vehicles with higher mileage

I therefore urge you to discount rates for those like myself who do minimal mileage.

The figures I quoted are from MOT certificates and are readily available and verifiable.

I am asthmatic. I notice that I suffer in my commute via Lewisham station.
I am aware from a health check at my local GP that vehicle emissions are high where I live so would welcome initiatives to improve air quality.
I am aware that diesel emissions are worse than petrol.
My current car is my first diesel which I purchased after the last Labour government told us it was better for the environment than petrol.
You now want to penalise me for taking that advice.
My car is 12 years old, but is as good as new and as a pensioner, I can't afford to replace it. Any surcharge should only be on newly purchased cars.
I am aware that those with more money can afford less polluting cars, and some small businesses, who bought diesel when it was being suggested this was better for the environment, cannot afford to replace vehicles that often, and will be penalised when their profits are not great. Encouraging is one thing and penalties are another. Are there no breaks that could be offered to those who are attempting to be financially independent and not reliant on benefits?
I am concerned that some of the proposed policies will hit poorest people hardest who cannot afford to replace their vehicles. There appear to be many big, heavy sticks but few carrots.
I am concerned that the new ULEZ proposals will not solve the problem of air pollution but will merely move it to a different area.
While the air quality of the inhabitants of the zone will improve, people living along the South Circular will suffer higher rates of air pollution which will have a serious impact on health. The case of Ella Kissi-Debrah should be a warning.
I am concerned that the proposal more than doubles the price of my current parking permit.
I am fully against paying for a permit to park outside my house
I am FURIOUS about a potential surcharge on diesel cars. My new(ish) diesel car has lower emissions than my previous petrol car.

This is all fine for better off people who can afford the latest technology but not for poorer people on benefits who do not have the luxury of going out and buying a new car every time the council dreams up some way of raising more taxes.
I am happy with encouraging lower emission cars, I strongly support the 20mph zone, and I'm hoping my next car (in about 3 years' time) will be electric. BUT I think that it is unrealistic to expect people to make all short journeys by foot or bike. I have some mobility issues and can't cycle at all and sometimes find it difficult to walk, especially carrying something heavy like shopping. I would much prefer if the main thrust of the policy to discourage car use was improved public transport rather than an overemphasis on walking and cycling.
I am highly supportive of the initiative. I would like to highlight Florence Road as being a particularly bad road for traffic and pollution. I'm often greeted with queues of traffic stretching the entire street and cannot open my windows due to the pollution at most times of the day. Since moving to the borough, my asthma has continued to get worse and yet I'm powerless to do anything. Drivers use the road as a cut through and it attracts too much traffic for the size of the street
I am horribly concerned about how air pollution is affecting the health of my children and myself. We all suffer breathing problems when we use the roads as cyclists or pedestrians.
I am in favour of disincentives for higher polluting vehicles. However, as the study points out pollution often affects low income households worst. Those same low income households are the ones least likely to be able to afford to upgrade to a cleaner modern vehicle or technology and those in high density accommodation do not have access to electric car charging points. The financial burden of this policy is likely to fall heaviest on those it most needs to help and consideration should be given as to how this can be avoided.
I am on a low income and only use the Car very occasionally so am worried about all the charges that go up every year but income stays the same. It should be cheaper to get an electric car

I am personally happy to pay an extra £50 to park my diesel car on the road, but would probably just decide to park that vehicle on my drive. This surcharge as suggested may penalise poorer residents who;

1) are more likely to own an older car that is possibly only occasionally used.

2) are less likely to have a drive to park off road and avoid the supplement.

The extension of the ULEZ is a much more sensible option as this tackles vehicle usage rather than just ownership.

In addition, if resident parking fees are excessively high, people could be tempted to unnecessarily take their car to the shops etc to avoid the two hour window, rather than leave the car at home and go by foot/bike/bus.

I am pleased that a consultation is happening if views are seriously taken into account.

However, car purchasers at one stage were encouraged by the Government to buy diesel and are now being penalised for it. Additionally, people can only buy what they can afford and also residents continue to pay MOT, fuel, Vehicle Excise, Council Tax yet are still being asked to shell out even more. I also believe that Residents who can show proof that they pay Council Tax at the relevant property should not have to pay to park outside of their home.

I am quite at a loss as to how the emissions zone will be policed. At the moment I see lots of dirty vehicles spewing out thick smoke. They do this with impunity. There are just not enough cameras.

I am really concerned that you are going to penalize the low income families. We have changed our car due to the ULEZ extension but had just finished a 4 year loan on our old car only to find that we would be charged. So we have taken out another loan to buy a car that meets the criteria. But if you are not on Super low income or can't afford to buy a brand new car then there is no help or support. We need a car as the transport links to work are shocking that go into Kent. Also care for elderly relatives and need to be able to travel at short notice. Train fares are too expensive.

I am seriously considering giving up my car. I'd really like to know how the council could actively help people to do this and to include a question about this.

I am a single parent and I drive and work. Now checking the price of my car to pay for a permit it is going to cost me an extra 25 an year to park outside and I am not even guaranteed parking near my house as there is not enough space. I think that it is a bit out of order.

I am soon going to change my diesel vehicle and aim to get a hybrid and consider I am lucky that I can afford to do this. However, Lewisham is not a wealthy borough and further penalising diesel vehicle owners will impact more upon those least able to afford it, many of whom may be unable to upgrade their vehicle. The ULEZ extension in 2021 will anyway be sufficient encouragement to move to less polluting vehicles, and actively promoting and publicising this might be a better alternative, even though this again puts most pressure on those least able to upgrade. I also firmly believe this issue should be tackled in a coordinated, cross party way between national and local government, and not through piecemeal local initiatives. The focus should be on the car industry, which is still producing large numbers of diesel vehicles, rather than penalising citizens who have unwittingly ended up owning vehicles that are now deemed to be highly polluting.

I am stunned that Lewisham pretends to care. (See why, above/previous box)

I am very concerned that the newer larger engine cars will not be penalised. Car manufacturers have been caught fiddling their emissions. The MOT test is not enough proof that my old car, 1998, which has very low emissions. The production of cars needs to be factored into this equation. I would happily get my car tested as proof. But I fear this all encourages large status cars. As predominantly a cyclist I am particularly fearful of pollution. Is there a plan for businesses that need vans? Or will those that need to commute from Kent to service London be penalised even more, ergo Londoners pay even more of a premium (TFL zone 2 commuters know much about this).

You mention the free book of visitor permits, really? I've had to buy all of mine for my CPZ.

I am very pleased that Lewisham is proposing these changes.

I am worried that the surcharge will negatively affect the poorest residents of the borough. I assume that it is the older cars that pollute the most, yet people who drive old vehicles are probably the ones who cannot afford to buy new ones. I would prefer that the borough of Lewisham focus on positive incentives (e.g. reductions on a newer greener car if it's traded in for an old polluting one for example) rather than punitive measures.

It is absolutely necessary to reduce emissions everywhere and even more so in London, but if it negatively impacts the people it is most supposed to help (you mentioned poorer areas being more polluted), then it's not fulfilling its intended purpose.

I applaud Lewisham from taking this stance - please keep it up. However the speed limit has not been successful and could contribute to lowering emissions.

I applaud the intention, and strongly endorse the drive to improve air quality. I don't disagree with the policy objective here - but it needs to be done in the right way. And that is where I have reservations about what its being proposed.

I'm really very surprised this consultation makes no reference to the choices and trade offs that should be considered in making such a decision. I would like to understand why there is no impact assessment whatsoever in the proposal I downloaded. I would have expected councillors to have immediately sent back such a paper and asked for it to be rewritten, so they could take decisions in possession of all the facts and an understanding of all the trade offs.

Of particular note was the absence anywhere in the proposal of a consideration of the distributional impacts of such a policy on the poorest households in the borough. Such families are far more likely to own older, more polluting cars and are therefore more likely to be hit with increased costs. They are also the least able to go out and buy a new car. You are also proposing loading the extra costs of this policy on top of the ULEZ, which is already going to hit these very same families living inside the south circular with a truly massive cost (and has also, incidentally, already virtually eliminated whatever value was left in their cars should they try to sell them).

There is also the question of how effective the proposed policy lever will be. At face value the proposed surcharge on diesel vehicles is unlikely to lead to any meaningful behavioural shift - it will just be a pure cost on households - poorer households will choose to struggle to pay the £50 rather than buy a new car which they can't afford, and richer households will just pay it and move on. So all you've achieved is making poorer households poorer with no emissions benefit whatsoever. Which is likely to be painted as the local council filling its coffers at the expense of its constituents.

Again I applaud the intention here, and I welcome bold policy making, but it really does need to be thought through. It still may be the right thing to do, but it needs to be done with eyes open to the impacts it may have - and the public have a right to know the full facts when being asked for their views, not led toward a conclusion based on a partial analysis.

I appreciate that the council takes action in order to reduce pollution but this will only make things harder for people with lower income/older cars...we need to help the community and people in need somehow!

I appreciate the issue with diesel vehicles however the purchase was encouraged by the government. We will need to give up our diesel vehicle when the ULEZ extension is administered. I think the surcharge is a short term penalty.

I appreciate this isn't in your control but think fuel is a better tax on pollution. A infrequently driven car (like ours) creates less pollution than a lower emissions diesel or petrol for example.

I also think you can't put the cart before the horse. Increasing costs for car owners with vehicles emitting pollution, before they have an affordable and viable alternative is unfairly punitive. If the infrastructure and battery technology existed for electric vehicles, I'd buy one at the next opportunity to purchase a car.

I appreciate your efforts in actively asking residents in this survey and pointing out the environmental impact of driving.

I believe charges are unfair because only rich can afford new cars plus new diesel cars are less pollutants than petrol.

I believe in trying to improve air quality but instead of charging people with diesel vehicles a surcharge, encourage or help people who are on low incomes or simply cannot afford to change cars to use public transport but offering discounts etc. People who are on a low income also maybe discounted electric or petrol cars or grants. Surely you need to eradicate diesel cars no charge for using them then they are still driving them so it's just money for the council in the end and will it go to what is promised? I don't think it will stop people driving as they may need their car like I do I have 15 minute window to get to work and public transport will NOT help me nor Will a bike as I have 2 drop offs to do in morning and half hour to do them. I also think this survey is a little unfair as if 47% of households do not have cars they will not understand the need to drive therefore will most probably opt to charge people if put to them it's for cleaner air.

I believe in using the Positive behavior management rather than punitive taxation or penalties. I notice that many of the local high street projects have forgotten to promote cycling, have created confusion over cycling rights of way, or have in some cases reduced bike racks or ASLs even iron works have created hazards for cyclists in places such as Lower Sydenham. I believe it is a good idea to introduce lower rates for cars that are cleaner and create allocated parking space outside the house. I live on Elverson Road and can only park in one other road. While Catford residents are able to park in over 10 roads. I feel that now you have introduced controlled parking restrictions in the rest of Deptford, to make it more fair, I should be able to park in other roads. (As when the parking permits were introduced in Elverson Road the other roads in Deptford were all free to park in).

I believe it is premature to tax diesel cars now. I owned a diesel car previously and bought into the fraud and lies told by the car manufacturers about how clean they were. Many people cannot afford to change their cars yet. The car manufacturers should be liable.

I believe steering which cars people buy and use by for example taxation or taxation-like measures should be the role of the government. While on a council level I would suggest measures like in #13.

I believe that adding a surcharge and charging more is a tax on the poor. Many people and small businesses cannot afford to replace their vehicles. They will already be paying more through the expansion of the congestion zone.

I believe that emissions control is a stealth tax which will have a greater impact on poorer residents who need a vehicle but are unable to buy newer, more efficient vehicles. It is just another way that a poorly run council will use any means possible to raise money to support poorly run and inefficient services.

I believe that increasing charges for parking according to emissions will have minimal and slow effect on behavior and will simply penalize low income and low usage car owners. Better off residents will be more able to afford to change their cars or buy more expensive low emissions cars and therefore avoid the higher charges. A car parked outside a home makes no emissions. A business vehicle may be essential to allow a business to operate and higher charges would adversely impact economic viability. Better to target unnecessary use and to encourage alternative modes of transport.

I believe that introducing parking permits will not reduce the levels of pollution as you are just taxing cars that are already here. It's an additional cost for families at a difficult time when people are under increased financial strain. It's not going to impact on pollution, it'll just put extra strain on families. Very unfair policy indeed.

I believe the council is like many, jumping on the pollution bandwagon more for monetary reasons rather than genuine concerns for residents. As shown in the building of flats on main

roads, road layouts that just end up causing more pollution.

What will happen to the extra income that is generated from these charge increases?

I believe the council will do exactly what it wants to do. Bradgate Road is one of the worst roads, a pay meter, a cut through, Aldi customers who think they have the right to park and drive as they wish, and the care workers based at the top of the road, why have they been issued permits, Bradgate Road is over crowded and an accident waiting to happen. Permits were for residents but yet the council have gone over our heads and issued them to non residents.

I believe there is a muddled thinking re taxing residents through their parking permits. This move will not

a. remove the diesel cars that drive through Lewisham without this penalty

b. that this goes further than the Mayor of London who has set Euro 6 as the basic standard which deals with the particulates

c. this will produce many of the front garden that are currently are gardens to be illegally opened up to park a car in so a permit is not required and that vehicle will still be used in Lewisham reducing the number of parking spaces that other residents who pay these taxes will not have access to.

The above makes this seem more of a tax and revenue raising exercise than a drive to convert drivers to leave their vehicles at home.

I believe we all have a responsibility to do more to improve the air quality as well as improving sustainability and environmental management. I will support every suggestion that Lewisham Council make to improve these things. I believe the changes to the charging system can help encourage Lewisham residents to think about the type of car they buy and indeed if they need a car at all

I bought a diesel car because I was told at the time that it was better for the environment. It has recently become valueless & I'll have nothing in part exchange. In addition you want to fine me! I bought a diesel car from new, as the advice at the time was that these were better for the environment. I have looked after it, getting it regularly serviced to maximise the length I would own the vehicle for, to avoid unnecessary pollution caused by purchasing additional cars. This was a decision based on advice and economics. As a public sector worker, I can't afford a new car (and as stated have looked after my car to ensure I don't need to buy a new one).

The cost of my parking permit with my 12 year old diesel car will double, even before the Mayor's low emission charge is factored in. Given I have followed advice, and played my part to reduce pollution (including unnecessary manufacturing and waste disposal of unwanted cars), I think it is unfair that I am now being penalised, I don't own a "flash" car, just a family car to get me to work, and to transport my family. Both myself and my partner work for the NHS, so we are not wealthy. I am also frustrated that the cost of my permit will double, when I rarely able to park on my road, let alone outside my house. Our road had a 10-12 permit. It used to be 7-7 (and before that no permits). I was happy to pay for the 7-7 permit, as it meant I could park outside my house. Now? I am not paying for a resident parking space- I am paying to own a car in our area as I cannot park as a resident in my own road. To be clear, I use my car to do my job (or I visit patients in their homes), so without a car I would not have my job.

I bought my car which is diesel that it is safe, I went for one Eco friendly, 6 months, after the government is saying Diesel is bad for the environment and for one's health. I am now being priced off the road. Which is grossly unfair. I paid 15,000 for my car I service twice a year. Which scheme or proposal have you for drivers in my position. None looking at the policy. I think the parking charges are too high. I think the council is looking at ways to raise more money.

I bought my Citroen C3 Picasso Exclusive Blue expressly because, according to the government, it is a clean vehicle. This is reflected in it being a Band 2 vehicle. I will not be charged under the ULEZ scheme. I therefore think there has been a lack of clear, strategic thinking and I strongly oppose the proposal to charge a blanket £50 surcharge for all diesel vehicles. In addition, I feel the introduction of higher charges for, mostly, older vehicles will

unfairly penalise residents with lower incomes, who can't afford to upgrade their vehicle. Pollution is caused whilst the vehicles are in motion, not when they are parked. Why then should residents who are fortunate enough to own a house with a driveway be exempt from paying a charge to pollute the air in Lewisham, even if they drive many more miles than another resident who uses their car infrequently but has no option but to park it on the street? I bought my very first diesel car on the basis that it was low emission. My tax disc went from £200/yr for a petrol car to £20/yr for a diesel car. They were both Citroen Picassos... So, if the diesel car is 90% cheaper than the petrol car according to the MOT, where did the surcharge get justified. Some of us have jobs that require us to have our own transport. I'm a musician - I have a lot of equipment going from place-to-place. I take buses trains and tubes whenever I can, but I couldn't do my job without a car. I expect that everyone who NEEDS a car to work will feel punished by these proposals.

I can understand about the pollution but some people don't have any choice. Some people have disabilities so they can only used there cars.

I can't afford to buy a new car. I'd love to have one, but my 10 year old Focus is still plodding on. £160 to park it in the borough is scandalous.

There are still parts of the borough that don't have DLR, don't have a decent rail service and don't have cycle lanes. Downham is one such forgotten area.

Buses go to Bromley (Fare zone further out) Grove Park (cos everyone wants to go there) and Lewisham or Elephant and Castle in a week.

I cannot afford a new car, even used

I cannot see any reference to motorcycles. They are currently not exempt from the ULEZ and this consultation could have been used at least to remind residents living north of the South Circular that they may need to replace their existing motorcycles.

However, I am a big fan of Lewisham's rules regarding parking and motorcycles, and would like them retained.

I can't think of anything at the moment

I care deeply about the environment. But this is a shameful stealth tax. Most importantly the tax is too low to change people's decision to own a car and therefore it will not reduce air pollution. Car ownership is expensive enough already and it is price inelastic. This non-means tested tax will further harm inequality. There is national legislation that seeks to address the issue if pollution as well as the ULEZ. This is simply a disgraceful way of trying to raise additional revenue.

Whilst many people would like to switch to electric cars, there is simply not the infrastructure currently to support them and those with on street parking have no way of charging there car.

This would be a disgraceful policy decision.

I completely disagree with charging for a diesel car, I live in catford and like everyone else we already pay enough to park where we live, do you think it's fair that in some areas of Lewisham, residents don't have to pay to park

I live on Farley road we have to pay to park only because people were parking to get to the hospital

If you are going to charge residents then it has to be fair and we pay the same all round

To park my car outside my house you want to charge me more

I drive out of Lewisham everyday and I spend most of my time in Surrey as where I work so I am paying to park outside my home and you want me to pay £50 more it's a total money maker

I consider shared vehicle schemes as a step in the right direction. Living in a street that straddles Lewisham and Bromley we frequently have issues with Bromley residents who park on the Lewisham side to meet Bromley's more stark regulations. Can we convince our neighbouring boroughs to take this issue more seriously (and reduce their speed limit)?

I consider this to be my number one priority as a local resident. My 2 year old son suffers the ill effects of poor air quality daily and it is simply not fair for him. He deserves better and the problem can be solved with determined and sensible policies

I cycle everyday, year round to take my son to school (he currently sits on the back of my bike) and then I continue on to the train station. The condition of the roads is often poor with broken glass, rubbish and blocked drains making me have to cycle far into the lane of busy traffic. Areas along the south circular where it is proposed we cycle on the footpath often have low hanging branches, broken branches and rubbish etc. on the footpath that impede the path. My sons school currently has no bike parking.

Car drivers who don't want us on the road are aggressive and harass me, I have experienced times when cars have come within centimetres of me and my son when trying to overtake us. Others have purposely cut us off at intersections or forced us into parked cars. Pedestrians also abuse me even if I am able to legitimately cycle on the footpath. I am experienced cyclist and have no alternative to get my son to school and myself to work so I persevere.

A major education programme is needed to make cycling a safe and acceptable option and get people out of unnecessary car journeys.

I did live in Lewisham for 25 years before moving to the Welsh mountains.

Your calculator does not allow for resident's visitors so how do I obtain a permit for 3 days?

I did speak to a consultant about the proposed new development but he seemed to think that providing people with shops to walk past was sufficient. I want to be able to walk easily to the shopping centre without having to wait so long for the traffic lights to change.

I don't want to pay more for a resident permit. We pay enough

I disagree that diesel cars automatically result in a surcharge, the year of the car should be considered as some are more efficient than petrol cars.

I disagree with increasing charges for parking permits and especially a surcharge on parking for diesel vehicles. The Mayor of London has already done too much to increase the cost of diesel vehicles in London and this will be very effective. Those that can afford a new vehicle will purchase one, others will be doubly punished by being charged when they drive (ULEZ) and when they don't (permits). There are no emissions from parked cars, so this seems to be a revenue raising exercise.

Meanwhile, existing parking rules are not enforced, resulting in regular selfish / poor / dangerous parking near my home and around my children's school. This includes commercial vehicles, as well as private vehicles on double yellow lines and school zig-zags.

I do agree with the proposed cost changes and surcharge for diesel vehicles but think there needs to be some protection for those people who cannot afford these additional costs or to change their car.

I do have some concerns that the proposals will disproportionately affect people with lower incomes.

I do not agree that all diesel cars should be penalised. We were encouraged to buy this type of engine by the government in the first place & newer diesel engines are less polluting than most older petrol engines. This is more of an excuse to obtain money from the residents within the borough of Lewisham. Also, as I walk to work every day I do not use my car very often during the week so I feel even more annoyed that you want to charge me an extra tax to park!

I do not agree that diesel cars should pay more to drive in the borough that we live in. This is unfair as ALL vehicles cause pollution. Charging us diesel users £50 surcharge will not stop us from driving our cars, especially if we cannot afford to replace our vehicles. If anything, it will make us want to drive our cars more so that we can get our money's worth. Why are we paying £50 surcharge to have it parked up/use it less? Doesn't make sense. Plus, we're already having to pay to drive in central London and it's being extended to south circular in 2020. Which will be a lot of money for us to be paying to drive the cars we worked so hard to

be able to buy. Stop trying to make money off the residents. Please Focus on those who park illegally, especially in Milford Towers in Catford - we residents pay our money so others can park in our bays (especially bikes who don't even get ticketed).

I do not agree that introducing parking permits will reduce emissions in the borough! What it will do is cause more hardship. Some of us have a car out of necessity not luxury! Adding more financial burden will only cause more anxiety and stress which will put more pressure on the NHS! Believe me I speak from experience!

I do not agree to increasing the charges for having petrol or diesel cars. This should be taken up with the manufacturers who are supplying the cars to agree to produce the cars that do not harm the environment. Instead of spreading these costs to the public, I believe the council should offer incentives to the community to reduce pollution rather than add more charges, because let's face it, if someone needs to drive they will.

I do not agree with penalising residents who have to pay for parking permits

I do not agree with the council introducing punitive measures which may disproportionately affect the least wealthy in the borough. Austerity has affected many people and therefore purchasing new but less polluting vehicles is not an option for many residents. In the same vein forcing parking permits will not necessarily bring about changes in behaviour to reduce pollution.

I do not expect my view points to be considered, whatever their merit, as they call into question the whole merit and motivation of the mayor and his cabinet.

However it is important concerns are raised and registered.

I do not feel it is fair to increase the price of permits in the borough as this is unfair on those that actually have to buy the permits. Many people live in areas of the borough that are not CPZs and therefore will not be affected. Their homes are either not covered by CPZs or they park away from their home in roads which are not covered. These people will be causing the same amount of pollution yet not paying a surcharge.

Lewisham need to apply a fair charge which will affect everyone in the borough, not just those already paying for permits. Also a lot of the pollution is caused by drivers who are merely passing through the borough. They will also not be affected by increased charges.

Finally if we are paying so much for parking then our permits should cover anywhere in the borough. As it stands when we park anywhere other than in the small area covered by our own CPZ we have to pay more charges.

I do not feel that increasing the cost of visitor permits would be fair on those who do not currently have registered vehicles. They tend to be used by those who need deliveries and/services and are expensive when, for example, building contractors have to spend all day at premises.

I do not have any ideas but charging people more to park defeats the purpose as the air will still be polluted if you pay £500 or £5000, for me this just seems like a money making thing as if people pay the extra money the air will still be polluted

I do not live in a Controlled Parking Zone.

I disagree with the presence of CPZs where residents are forced to pay more for the "privilege" of living there.

I understand the benefit of the CPZs but believe residents shouldn't have to pay for a permit to park in their own neighbourhood.

Each resident should have one free permit and only be charged for permits on additional vehicles (registered at that property, regardless of owner).

I do not see how increasing the cost to residents by raising the price of our parking permits will help improve air quality.

I work shifts for the emergency services and need to drive to work. I have no real option otherwise.

I do not think adding surcharge for diesel vehicles or loads of parking permits will have any affect on air quality. People will still continue to get around however they choose It is just a money making scheme, which I'm sure won't be spent on environmental projects and

improving air quality.

There is absolutely no need to bring resident parking into the whole borough, it is ridiculous and just a way to make money.

I do not think it is fair to penalise diesel car drivers.

Most people do not have the means to replace their current diesel cars for new petrol cars. Also at the time of purchase, it was more adviseable to have a diesel car over petrol.

I do not think parking rates should have any connection to the type of car or emissions.

I do think the push to buy diesel back in the day only for it to be more harmful now is wrong however and I do feel sorry for those who were advised to buy diesel at the time

I do worry that lower income families will have the more polluting cars and adding surcharges to them will put them under more strain.

Maybe you could offer households getting rid of a car deemed 'more polluting/less efficient' grants towards travel cards as an incentive.

I don't agree with the extra taxes as these are already being paid via road tax

I don't agree with the increase in charges for any vehicle at the moment. In 2021 when ulez extends, I'm sure you'll generate plenty of money from the Lewisham residents and others to improve air quality . There's no need to make changes to permit charges, just wait for ulez!

How much do you need from us?! Soon we won't be able to drive at all!!

I don't agree with the parking permit prices going up. I already pay road tax and I have to pay for parking too??? Most days I can't park in my street anyway due to non- permit holders using up spaces after the restricted time. Some properties have more than 1 car. I think restricting properties to 1 permit only would be a better solution.

I don't agree with the sentiment of charge people more to park a vehicle based on emissions when a parked vehicle is not producing any emissions at that moment in time. It should be up to the government who taxes road users who should implement an increase not you. This just feels like an unnecessary cash grab and the concept of currently having to pay £120 to park in front of my house is ludicrous to begin with, and now increasing that without what feels like logical reason is just senseless.

I don't believe you should penalise residents of Lewisham with a igher levy for parking permits but rather stop the lorries/visitors/business - they are the ones who should pay more

I don't have a diesel car, but for years people were told that diesel cars were cleaner and were cheaper to run. Those on lower wages purchased these cars based on that advice. This is basically a tax on the poor who cannot afford to go out and buy a new car that's electric or runs off rainbow dust. The lower emissions zone is coming into effect and so now you want to add another tax. Whilst they are paying you and the lower emissions rate - how are they going to save for the new car? Your answer will be the bus won't it? So let me ask you this. I work in Forest Hill, I have an older car, not a diesel. I have one disabled child who cannot travel alone I need to get from Forest Hill to Lee to pick up the younger children and then dash to my disabled child in Eltham for his pick-up. Can you tell which bus can do that? And now the mayor of London is going to charge me daily and you are going to charge me as well - how am I supposed to save if I'm paying you?

I don't think making people pay more is the right way I think people should feel that they don't need to use the car today better transportation clean and Safer streets more trees around school give a time limit for big Lorries to use the roads

I don't think nothing needs to be done as the initial reason for permit parking in the area was to stop people parking in areas close to the train station. Now you are trying to change to supposedly "improve air" to me seems like a method to collect a few more coins. Nothing needs to be changed.

I don't think residents should be charged to park in their own road. Charge for parking in other roads as this stops people commuting part way by car in or people driving short unnecessary distances

I don't think residents who perhaps use a larger engined petrol car twice a week should be penalised in the new scheme. We're already ULEZ compliant, don't make it harder still. Penalise those coming into the borough

I don't think that these changes should be retrospective.

I don't understand the rationale for the £50 surcharge, plus higher permit fees, plus paying to go in the zone. One penalty charge is enough!

I don't understand this idea of charging a higher fee for polluting vehicles to park. When a car is parked it's not producing any pollution so I don't follow the logic. I would make more sense to charge extra when the vehicle moving. I have a diesel but I'm very supportive of the ULEZ because I get charged when I use the car.

I don't agree that newer 'clean' diesel cars should be penalised like the one we bought in 2017

I don't agree to have a diesel surcharge if it includes newer cars, only older with high emissions should be charged. Diesel is more efficient and cost effective for me to see my family and adding more expenses reduces this.

I don't believe any scheme implemented by the council benefits residents. The parking scheme isn't working correctly and I have received multiple penalty fines from the scheme causing me to not be able to drive my car. Because of the newly proposed scheme which generates revenue, I have been sent several reminders about the consultation. Since the parking permit scheme has been in place I haven't had any communication about the scheme apart from receiving fines. The implementation, communication from Lewisham council is very poor and leads to residents bearing the cost and being charged. This is the same thing that will happen with this scheme. There hasn't been any communication on what types of vehicles would be subjected to the tax and what the tax would do to help improve the air quality in Lewisham. The ULEZ scheme defines which vehicles are in and out. I feel the scheme is another moneymaker and will be penalising residents.

Under the new scheme my permit costing me more which is unfair as under the ULEZ scheme I don't have to pay anymore as my vehicle meets the standards. The scheme should charge people who don't live in the area not people that live in the area.

I don't believe it is ethical to penalise diesel drivers via the parking system. The government encouraged people to move to diesel and people, especially those on lower income, are stuck with them since their resale value has collapsed. Over the next few years as they age they will naturally drop out of the system.

I don't drive, so the impact to me is minimal if it doesn't drive the price of visitor permits up too much.

I don't feel it is fair to introduce a resident permit at the same time as the larger ULEZ comes in - poorer families can't afford to change cars and can't afford permits and ULEZ charges.

I don't have a permit as I am on a quiet road which would be nice if we did

I don't think people should pay a more or heavier fine for having a diesel car it would not be fair, as at one time diesel cars were sold to people that it was a better solution.

And now the system has changed people who have a diesel car have to now pay the price, when it was the government who encouraged people to buy a diesel car!

I do believe that there is bad air pollution in Lewisham and something should be done about it but I don't think charging people more money for their car is going to help or improve the situation, people will still drive their car, still drive their diesel car and they would still be air pollution!!!!

I don't think £50 is high enough. A lot of cars on the road are emitting dangerously high levels of NOx, some much higher than others. There should be 2 or 3 bands based on emission levels.

All diesel cars should be tested during their MOT for emission levels, in order to place them in a band. Even new (diesel) cars, which wouldn't normally require an MOT for the first 3 years, should have mandatory emissions tests each year.

The bottom line, £50 isn't enough to encourage people to ditch their diesel cars.

I don't think financial penalties should be the motivating factor to get residents and visitors on board to support lower air pollution in the borough - it's obviously an effective method, but there ought to be other motivators to consider. People who have the money will pay the fees, leaving those with lower incomes to be stretched further or left struggling to find alternatives. I don't think increasing the price of permit is the solution. It won't stop anyone and it's just more unnecessary money in the council's pocket.

I don't think it is fair to discriminate against those residents who cannot afford to buy brand new low emission cars. By doubling the cost of an annual car permit for older petrol vehicles you are punishing those poorer residents who cannot afford newer vehicles. You operate blanket parking zones which do not help residents in streets close to stations or commuter hotspots which makes it hard enough for some residents to park their cars anyway, and raising parking permits is not going to help.

I don't think it's the place of local councils to impose policies to incentivise or penalise particular types of motor vehicles. This is something that should be done on a broader, probably national, level, in the same way that the government once upon a time incentivised diesel vehicles through tax policy!

I don't think that residents should be penalised for decisions taken in the past when diesel was encouraged by the Government based on lower CO₂ which remains true.

This is a very muddled proposal as Climate Change will also cause deaths and petrol causes more CO₂. Your statement that diesel caused 100 deaths is based purely on data modelling, rather than facts.

I don't think the £50 surcharge for Diesel vehicles is fair. The ULEZ already encourages people to change their cars.

I don't think the diesel surcharge is correct. A modern diesel emits significantly less emissions than a 13 year old petrol, which would be allowed under the ULEZ rules and this consultation does not cover. I would suggest that permits should mirror the ULEZ standards and charge cars that don't meet standards, not a blanket charge for fuels. Many cities in Germany have this arrangement, and it allows them to update their policies against clear guidelines, not arbitrary measures.

Alongside means to charge more for parking, more should be done to allocate the freed up space to public realm and car clubs. The latter will help people do without a car but still provide them the facility on occasions that it would be absolutely necessary. The former would show the council is doing something with the income generated, and not just charging their residents more for the sake of it.

I don't think using the parking system is an effective or fair way of taxing people above and beyond what they are already being taxed on their cars and petrol/diesel sales. Seems to me like a way of using car owners as a cash cow, under the guise of public health/environment. Especially given that the government stance on diesels years ago effectively exacerbated this problem

I don't understand why people with a car get free visitor permits and those without a car don't. Why penalize us?

I don't use the car much, try to walk or take public transport. Car is mainly for long journeys out of town. So I would prefer ULEZ charging to relate to usage, not ownership.

I drive a brand new diesel vehicle (registered Jan 2019) which meets the ULEZ standard (I specifically checked on this before acquiring, I am conscious of pollution and aware of the London Mayor scheme ULEZ zones); an automatic £50 surcharge proposed by the council is unreasonable, logically should only apply to older vehicles which do not meet ULEZ standard. This scheme is just another excuse to collect even more money from local residents. This will result in a £30 increase on my annual permit.

Can the council supply details/the list of which diesel vehicles therefore which would benefit of

a lower annual charges (or equal to previous year) ? If the newer vehicles aren't, then which ones do qualify!?

I drive a diesel van not by choice but forced by my employer. I have to pay for my own parking permit. I must not be penalised for my employer's choice to have a fleet of diesels because it is not my choice.

I drive my kids around because it feels too dangerous to cycle. There is a serious problem of motorbikes speeding and ignoring pedestrian crossings. We need many more pedestrian crossings so kids can walk safely to school. Westow Hill is a death trap

I expect Lewisham council is making more money from car drivers whatever you decide to do. I feel as though with all the new build going up parking for these areas/housing are not being considered.

Luckily my area has its own two private car parks but some other areas don't come with parking which then makes it more congested as everyone is battling for a space

I feel I maybe be penalised because I have chosen to take care of my car and it is an older model. As a pensioner a car is necessary for when ailments are an issue or for my daughter to help but buying a low emission car is not within my price range.

I feel it is counter intuitive to charge more for residential parking. I'm paying more for my car to do nothing?

The people of Lewisham already care deeply about the environment - I don't think that punitive measures are needed, we need convenient alternatives.

I find it quite outrageous to be charged more when my building doesn't even have recycling facilities.

Perhaps these measures can be brought in when electric cars are more available - most of Lewisham residents who own cars, own second hand cars. We need the second hand electric car market to take off first.

I feel it's very unfair I was encouraged to buy a diesel car by the government and now I am being penalised for it. We need more time to save to be able to change the car.

I feel like this is a poor person's tax. The tax will hit hardest the people with the least money. The wealthy who can afford new cars will get reductions and the poor who struggle with raising costs will be taxed even more. A car is necessary for some people to live/work taxing the poor will not help these people to fight austerity.

I understand air quality needs to be improved but is taxing the poor the best solution?

I feel misled by government about buying a diesel and now I am being penalised. When we agreed to having a CPZ we were told it would not be used to generate income.

I feel residents should get free parking, at least one car,

I feel over the years people will naturally be forced to use lower emissions, but given we live in the poorest boroughs in London it seems unfair to push the residents to buying a car or paying a surcharge

I feel that by charging residents more for older/more polluting vehicles is in fact punishing those who are poorer. I can't afford a new car which is less polluting whereas those who have more money can and therefore pay less.

I feel that the car manufacturers should pay a price also for the diesel cars as some one who bought it in good faith a few years ago had no idea it was a bad buy and now they alone are paying off this mistake as these cars were said to be the best a few years back.

I feel that this policy will affect the poor, making their lives even harder.

Also please note that an electric hybrid with emissions of 135g is more polluting than a diesel with emissions of 114g and yet you are proposing to charge less for the hybrid and penalising modern new diesel car owners that are driving cleaner cars - how can you justify this.

eg Lexus NX 300H F sport emits 135g costing £130 and a Mercedes C220 D Sport Premium emits 114g and you are proposing to charge £150

You are proposing to charge and penalise cars that emit more Co2 and yet the above example proves otherwise.

I feel that you are penalising older residents who cannot afford new cars which have the lower emissions.

I feel the borough's planning of traffic control is appalling the centre of Lewisham is constantly gridlocked due to poor design of road junctions when developing the borough. This creates more pollution than basic car emissions.

I feel this is a very leading survey. Of course we all have an invested interest in improving the air quality around us. I fully support this and where ever possible walk or use public transport. But I feel the way the survey is worded is very (mis)leading (and what looks like your intention of rising costs) is only taking advantage of the situation. On the website is quotes: 'low emission vehicle owners will see charges reduce, while those who own the most polluting vehicles will pay the most.' But I think what you mean is that you are raising the cost and those that have low emission vehicles will pay the same.

If we pay £280 for annual parking – what service are you providing for this rise? Please don't say that it will ensure that there will be space for us to park. Quote from Lewisham Borough website: '47% of households don't have a vehicle at all' so it can't be the case that local residence will be fighting for car parking spaces.

So, where does this (extra) money go? What extra service do I get? How are you investing this extra money to help improve the air quality? Please can you provide me with an estimate as to the extra funding/money you expect to receive in the first year and how this money will be spent. I feel this should be transparent to Lewisham residents otherwise it feels that you are riding on 'Air quality & Emissions' wagon and just taking the opportunity to exploit local residents.

Quote from the Lewisham Borough Website: 'We now have the opportunity to introduce measures that will help to improve our air quality.' – what are these measures that will help to improve the air quality?

In many areas of my life I have a choice. We are a family of five and both my husband and I work fulltime. We carefully choose the bank we use, the utilities companies that provide us with gas and electric, schools that our children attend, shops that we buy food/clothes from, insurance companies, internet providers, (all to fit with our own morals and financial budget – (that just gets squeezed and squeezed every year!)). With regard to parking, I don't get a choice. You have a monopoly over that. Either use your service or don't park. My husband works very hard for his living and needs a van in order to do this. We have chosen not to purchase two cars so manage to use the van as a family vehicle also – which at times proves difficult. We feel we are being forced to purchase a new van. A vehicle that fits the emission requirements and our family needs equates to £1000's of pounds. I feel that by you raising the cost of parking hinders this. We are being forced to get rid of our very purposeful and reliable vehicle for a more compliant vehicle for emission zones - which we know will help with the environment and are happy to start to save to make this new investment for the environment - but our hopes of saving for this is isn't being helped by you penalising us with higher parking costs. It only hinders us. Please advise.

On another note, I don't understand your Amazon voucher offer of £50. Surely it goes against your apparent intentions to help improve the environmental air quality. You are encouraging people to order online (a lot of products come from overseas/China) and as a result encourages vans\lorries to drive and deliver to people's houses equating to more pollution. It

also baffles me that you would support this type of business that have a reputation of not paying full UK taxes. Would it not be better to offer vouchers for local businesses? Would it not make more sense to support your 'greener system' by offering vouchers for public transport – ie Oyster Card vouchers. I would happily pay £280 (double cost from last year) for residential parking if this extra costs were invested in Oyster vouchers. So £140 of this money to be awarded to free (Oyster) travel per application. Surely this would encourage people to use public transport and the required result of lowering air pollution. And this would encourage me to believe that this isn't just a money making excuse on your behalf.

I feel very angry as now the motorist with a regular car is now being punished when the fault lies with Government. Yes I am for cleaner air but not to punish drivers. Where does all the money go from fines and ulz this money could be used to help driver get cleaner cars or to adapt there cars to make them cleaner. Obviously it is easy to penalise them so very I dare. I find it laughable that the council, having approved reorganisation of traffic around the station which has led to further pollution, are now trying to sort out the problem. You were advised that this scheme was poor and yet you went ahead regardless - typical of the many poor planning decisions made in the borough.

Most of the pollution in Lewisham I suspect comes from vehicles travelling through the borough - what could be done about that?

I find it unfair that the government wants to punish those of us that followed tax incentives in buying a diesel powered vehicle. Just because Volkswagen and other manufacturers cheated the system, doesn't mean that I should suddenly be the bad guy for driving a car that is now understood to be more of a problem for the environment than when I purchased it. If you want to penalise the operators of diesel powered cars then there should be some sort of compensation for having followed the bad advice of Gordon Brown's government's tax policy. Labour created this problem and now Labour wants to charge diesel vehicle drivers for their mistake. I've spent a lot of money on my diesel vehicle and it won't be easy for me to swap it without a significant financial penalty of not being able to receive the benefit of operating my car until it requires replacement. This is plain wrong as neither the government or car manufacturers are suffering for the mistaken tax policy and cheating on pollution tests, respectively.

I find the bureaucracy of the proposed solution useless and complicated. The ULEZ will have the required effect of renovating the fleet of residents.

I find your survey design to be appallingly cynical.

I have a car with low emissions that currently qualifies for a £20 a year permit due to its very low emissions. Under the new proposed measures this cost tickets up to £85 which I find a little extortionate and unfair to be honest.

I have a diesel car it is an eco car my road tax is £30 a year I considered the eco effect before purchasing but now they moved the goal post I don't think its fair

I have a driveway so this will not affect me but I think this proposal is RIDICULOUS.

I am considering selling my Qashqai this year to buy a small electric car but, in spite of this, this proposal is just Lewisham jumping on the bandwagon to raise money, where is the actual STRATEGY to incentivise people away from fuel-run cars? None!

The same Lewisham Council which introduced CPZ to Rushey Green 20 years ago telling residents they would only have to pay £30 per household, its now hundreds of pounds per CAR. It's just a money raising tactic. I will not support this. This council is so lame.

I have a huge concern that ULEZ extension and inflated permits is an income stream for the borough, not a way to combat pollution. Many lower income families also aren't able to upgrade their vehicles so will suffer more in the borough.

There are also residents who have more than one permit on our residential street who are operating as a business but not paying business rates. There should be much tighter controls over those who have more than one permit and checks to ensure their vehicles are not getting business permits cheaply and under paying resident parking costs.

I have a new Audi A6, diesel, and the petrol model with the equivalent powered engine does NOT have higher emissions of NOx or higher emissions of particulates compared. Not all

diesels are an issue. I agree older diesels should be charged - we bought a new one for a reason!

I have a petrol classic car to enjoy in retirement and it is Vehicle Tax exempt and not subject to ULEZ . I use it for very few miles each year. I think it is unfair to charge the high resident parking rate for this vehicle and the council should consider dispensation for this group of vehicles.

I have an electric car but adding parking permits to Lewisham is about making funds for the council not about cleaning the air. It impacts daily lives and people will continue to use cars regardless. You need to look at trees, making electric car usage and achieve able goal by increasing charging points and helping cyclist with increased cycling lanes.

I have done the calculator on my vehicle, which is a petrol/electric hybrid, and which currently costs £30 per year - and you are planning to increase it to £70!? I fail to see how this is encouraging drivers to buy this type of vehicle.... I only purchased the car in January, and was influenced by the heavily (and I believe appropriately) reduced rate if I invested in this low emission vehicle, and already you want to increase my charge by 133% it seems to me that the charges need to remain very low to encourage hybrid and electric vehicles. I am disappointed to learn of this proposed increase.

I have had asthma for approx 50 years, for the last 20 I have lived in London where I have not suffered any severe attacks as a contrast to more damper climates in the UK.

I have little confidence that any views expressed will be taken into consideration. I think its a fate a comply.

I have lived all my life in south Lewisham and have watched it strangled by poorly thought out policies; the A205 desperately needs remodelling in Catford to create a totally separate bus and rail interchange avoiding the need for buses to negotiate the back streets, crowds of people wandering about on the railway bridges and having to squeeze past buses that are parked outside the town hall; this would reduce the congestion across the south east part of the borough and improve everybody's lives

I have lived here in Hither Green as a property owner for many years. Initially parking was FREE for MANY years.

Permits were introduced with the understanding and acceptance that it would be £30 per year ONLY, guaranteed for quite a few years ahead at that price.

The following year went up to £60 then the year after that went to £90 then the year after that went up to £120 and now you've done it again! What a surprise... :-/ Not happy.

I have loads of comments

As for penalty charge notices

Again, you have a company come in to do that for you that make up their own rules and don't even know they're own rules themselves so what chance do we stand but it doesn't matter I asked a traffic warden if I was allowed to park partially on the pavement outside my house he said I definitely was, so I took his shoulder number to be on the safe side, a few weeks later I was given a PCN for being partly parked on the pavement, I disputed it giving the staff members name and shoulder number who told me I was to park on the pavement and they told me it wasn't a good enough reason, I even drew diagrams and even supplies pictures from 15 years ago when bays were painted and you were to park partly on the pavement, they had none of it by then the bill was massive and I lost the car as it was towed away, now a year later they came and repainted the bays on the floor and they do actually tell you to park partly on the pavement, so I lost a £2000 car for no reason whatsoever. So no I am definitely not in favour of more charges.

I have never understood why residents parking outside their own homes should have to pay to park a vehicle, when they already have to pay £1500 in council tax. As it makes no difference to air pollution or anything else, I have always considered it a money making scheme with no benefit at all except to the council. I have lived here for over 50 years and we could park free of charge as long as we were parked safely and not obstructing or breaking the law in any way and observing notices and yellow lines (and now double red).

I have no problems with rewarding those who make a concerted effort and actually spend money to keep the planet greener, because lets face it, that's what this is all about. Buy a newer, greener vehicle or use prohibitively expensive public transport.

Will this just end up being another tax on the poor, who already have it hard enough?

Will those with older vehicles be charged more, incidentally often to just be able to park for 2 hours a day at £120 per year? I say 2 hours as that is all the parking restrictions that are in operation around here. This means that people are driving in from elsewhere to park for free for a short walk into Lewisham, taking up scarce parking spaces for residents who do actually have to pay for this privilege.

Everything about this is wrong. Lewisham council are a bunch of nitwits too concerned with being seen to do the right thing while continually making life that much harder for its residents. I have seen already that the propose price for my parking ticket is likely to rise. I run a modest petrol engine car. I am already peeved at having to pay to park outside my residence. To increase the price for a 1.4 litre engine (not powerful or extravagant by anyones standards) seems to be profiteering rather than based any environmental concern. For may residents electric cars and any kind of new car is out of reach of our budgets and so we get further squeezed by a council that still has a fleet of diesel vehicles.

For the type of car I run, I would expect a reduction in the price of my parking permit, not an increase.

I honestly think this consultation is a waste of time.

I feel the only way to stop polluting vehicles in the Borough and to contribute and play our part in stopping Climate Change is a complete ban on vehicles that burn fossil fuels.

I feel that no significant change will be made until we all face up to this!

I hope it works

I hope the Council will be able to keep up the good work of promoting clean air in the borough. It's brilliant that the Council have also declared a Climate Emergency.

I hope these measures are just a start and will fairly rapidly (2 years at most) be strengthened. If this is the case you will need to be up front with people about the strategy given the seriousness of the pollution situation.

I hope you are not going to make the whole of Lewisham into parking zones. And charge everyone to park. Just a money making exercise. Parked cars don't cause pollution. If everyone has to update their cars all at once that will cause terrific pollution - dumping older cars. Should be done over ten years.

I just did the price calculation for my vehicle and the price if my permit would go up. This is an extra expense that I would have to find money to pay for on top of all other bills

I just worked out my banding and mine would be £70 a year.

At the moment with mine being equal it is £30. How comes mine is going up so much. Not happy.

I know you are going to ignore everything thing people say and you are going to find enough myopic residents to push this through so I wish you luck and hope it works.

I like the ideas. However, I do feel that people who have restrictions in movements are being ignored. I am dependent on my car due to chronic pain, at the same time I was refused a blue badge. It is hard for people like me who feel not be seen in any plans of Lewisham Council. It feels that Lewisham thinks certain things need to be endured.

I like the initiative, well done.

I live and work in Lewisham. The traffic in Lewisham and along my road / surrounding roads is becoming increasingly difficult to put up with. The gridlock in the centre needs to be addressed and people cutting through the back streets of Hither green / lee who are not residents should be monitored and then possibly non residents charged.

I live and work in the borough. I am a surveyor visiting houses/flats on a daily basis. The people I visit never have visitor permits and there are areas that are impossible to park. Your parking policies stifle business. You need to provide more 30 minute free bays.

Cars are double parked, blocking the entrance. I often have to park a 10 minute walk away and I work shift work resulting in me running around the roads alone at 3am. We already have to pay an extortionate amount to have the privilege for the daily fight with out neighbours over spaces. There are already enough charges for diesel owners in place that are bankrupting small businesses and leaving me in a daily financial crisis. The new emissions charge has already deterred drivers using and buying diesel cars in the capital. All your increased charge is doing is trying to create more money for the council in disguise of caring for the environment. Another money making scheme. There are plenty of other ways you should be concentrating on improving the borough. If I wasn't being charged the extra money each day that I now am I'd be able to save up and buy a new non diesel car but now I'm stuck as I don't have a spare £5000 for a new car so I'm having to pay charges everyday only lengthening the time I will be using my car for. The parking situation in Allison Close is absolutely shambolic and needs much further work before you consider increasing charges

I live in a controlled parking zone therefore having to pay for parking outside my home. some other members of my family and many of my friends do not live in a controlled parking zone therefore paying nothing to park. Why should I be penalised twice for living where I do whereas others are paying nothing despite what kind of vehicle they drive, how often etc etc. it appears that Lewisham is finding yet another reason to raise money from a target number of people.

More money for Lewisham but not making any difference to air quality. stop causing traffic to sit in long traffic queues emitting toxic fumes to all and sundry.

I live in a flat that has a covenant with the borough that I can't apply for a resident parking permit. This is nonsensical as I can easily rent a parking space. If you introduced a scheme where electric vehicles were allowed to apply for resident permits I would immediately change to an electric vehicle.

I live in Sydenham, and the 20mph speed limit is not observed/enforced, and there are hardly any cycle lanes.

I live in the Culverley Green conservation area which is currently a car park for people who work in Catford and others who live outside London and commute from Catford station. I want Parking Permits to be put in place for this area. From 10 - 2 or something similar.

I live in the proposed ULEZ extension zone and as part of the ageing population within the borough, welcome any measures put in place to improve air quality. Without measures in place I feel that I would need to move out of the borough, sooner rather than later. Measures put in place will also improve the lives of the boroughs elderly residents.

I live near a school and park and main road junctions.

The main concern is parents picking up kids whilst keeping engines running for 30 mins or more sometimes.

they do this so as to take a place on yellow lines, or resident parking areas, or keep the air con or heating on.

Traffic lights at the main junctions near Lee Green are so badly timed that at peak times cars are kept waiting for up to 10 minutes waiting for light to change.

Mini roundabouts are so much better for keeping traffic flowing thereby reducing pollution and congestion. Why not have more of them ???

I already pay for resident permit which is only needed for hours per day between 10 - 12 am, which is totally crazy and NO commuters use the rd for parking but ALL the school pick ups and park visitors do, therefore meaning I can NEVER park near my house in Taunton Rd AFTER 3pm or on sunny weekends.

I am paying for not being able to park close to my house except between 10 - 12am !!!

Crazy thinking and badly thought through.

If you changed the permit hours to after school time, this would prevent the post school parking and park parking and commuters all at once.

I live on Nightingale Grove which has all-day parking restrictions. Streets which are across the road i.e. Ennersdale Road has different restrictions - its a total joke.

I may sound very harsh but I am very sensitive to issues such health or pollution and I really want the council to do more to improve the quality of our lives in this area. My child suffers

from asthma and sever allergy and autism and I believe it is all related to the high level of pollution in London.

I note that in Q8 above the is no question asking do residents think it is correct to dramatically increase charges for larger engined cars.

This is a money grab as by your figures - if the figures in Point 14 below are correct - 71% of petrol vehicle owners and 95% of diesel owners will pay more, in many cases considerably more. If the powers that be really wanted to encourage electric car takeup make them cheaper to buy. Follow Norway and remove VAT from them making them price competitive with internal combustion engined cars.

I note that the cost of our parking permit for our hybrid car would increase from £30 to £85. This is a big step change. Would it be an idea to link parking permit charges to car tax levels?

Having said that, I fully support the council in implementing policies that recognise the climate emergency. Tough, unpopular decisions to be made, but the alternative is unimaginable.

I note that you have not opted to charge less polluting cars less. Sounds like another way of charging residents more. Many people with more polluting cars will not be able to simply change their cars. This is a huge expense.

I object to the rise in the cost of my parking permit, as when the time it covered over the day was dropped from 10 hours to 2 hrs - there was no reduction in the cost of my permit.

I only have parking permits for occasional guests and workmen. I do not want to be penalised for occasional use by added costs.

I oppose a flat £50 fee on diesels. As shown in multiple articles, particulate matter from euro 6 diesels is near zero levels: <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/science-environment-42666596>

Would it not make sense to follow the ULEZ definitions? This provides consistency across London. Currently, a car may not pay ULEZ but would still be stamped with an extra £50 to park in Lewisham.

I own a diesel car and not through a proactive choice. It was what I could afford at the time. I will not buy another but right now I am not in a position to change it. I don't want to be penalised for this particularly as I have no clarity on where my £50 will go to improve Lewisham.

I own a diesel car whose engine shuts down whenever i stop at traffic lights etc. So why am i still being penalised by the council

I own a family diesel car that I purchased seven years ago. It was advisable then to buy a diesel car due to low emissions they emit. Therefore I would not want to be penalised for having one. We already pay the road tax.

The council need to answer the following questions before they can ask its residents to contribute more:

Should the council ask me to pay more than £120 I will require precise details of the calculation used to establish the sum pursued.

The street around Mallet Road, Hither Green Line, Elthurda road are filthy. There is visible dust and dirt and rubbish everywhere and plastic everywhere. How much damage is that doing to our respiratory and circulatory tissue?

How much is this dust doing damage to your young children lungs?Who is responsible for this dust?

Since I don't work in Lewisham but in another borough what advantage I would have?

This needs to be addressed first before council is making any changes and asking its owners of a diesel vehicle (advised by the governments of the time to get them) to contribute more.

I own a low emissions car however I do not agree that people should be penalised for having vehicles with higher emissions.

The fact is people are actually much more enviromentally aware these days and want to do something about it.

Electric and hybrid cars are still quite expensive and out of reach of most peoples payscale and you're penalising the people who can only afford older petrol or diesel vehicles.

I own a vehicle pre-1972 that is classified as a historic vehicle. It is exempt from road tax and also from the ULEZ charge. Historic vehicles are, on average, driven much less than standard cars, and are therefore less polluting. The residents permit for historic vehicles should be free, or capped at the current rate.

I own an old hybrid car, which is very environmentally friendly as it's a hybrid and I haven't gone and bought a new one (new stuff is a huge contributor to global carbon emissions). Yet my permit is going up by £50 per year. Which makes no sense.

I pay £120 for my resident parking permit. The increased parking fee will increase it to £205. I would support this initiative if my current fee stayed the same, or marginally increased and greener cars were made substantially cheaper.

I think that as our car will be able to be driven in the extended ULEZ without charge, this should mean it is sufficiently free of pollutants.

I pay £30 a year for my hybrid. The calculator suggests this will become £85. This is extortionate. Please maintain a low price for hybrids.

I pay enough for my permit, it was introduced at £60 but increased by 100% to £120 in the second year! On top of what we pay in council tax this seems like an outrageous increase. Putting it up again is unacceptable.

I personally drive a diesel, I do very few miles a year mainly to go to the north. The rest of the time I cycle/walk. I can't afford to change my car. Yearly mileage should be taken into consideration not just a blanket charge to people who can't afford a new car.

I prefer positive incentives (eg the LCC borrow a bicycle scheme) rather than punitive ones - especially for diesel - as the public messages on that have changed quicker than people should reasonably need to change a vehicle.

Also, please consider financial implications hitting small business owners for charges on vans for example.

I realise pollution is a massive issue. However, I'm concerned that the people who will be hit the most by surcharges are the poorer and workers. People with more money are able to buy greener vehicles, but self-employed and small businesses cannot afford this and they will be the ones being charged. Why aren't there more schemes for the less well off, small business and self-employed to subsidise the change to greener transport. I don't know anyone who is anti change, they just can't afford to upgrade to greener vehicles.

I share a car with my daughter, so we are no longer a two car family. I walk to work and around Lewisham, hence the car share to reduce emissions from 2 cars. Surely people who car share are helping to reduce emissions and should be awarded not penalised as having to own a car?

Also as a low income family who do work we cannot afford new cars so are forced to remain with older models of cars, again contributing to the high emissions which I am very aware of, hence our car share and myself now walking and taking a bus more often.

Again, as low income households we are being punished by having to own older cars.

Therefore the more well off who can afford newer cleaner cars will benefit and the poorer will be punished. It's hard enough working and having to try and live in London without more added costs to low income homes.

Surely some considerations need to be made on these issues?

I agree with the vans and diesel based cars being charged higher as I feel these contribute the most to high pollution. But again, compassion needs to be shown to lower income families who are already struggling and being penalised by not being able to afford new cars.

Car sharing I think is the way forward and I think those households doing so should be rewarded not penalised as having to own at least one car. Households with many cars between them could easily car share? As we do as a family.

I should be compensated for the loss of value invested in my chosen vehicle due to the change in policies taking place. Why?...

I chose to buy a small diesel car because it was promoted by authorities as better and incentives (e.g. low road tax) were in place accordingly. Now you want to punish me for listening to you? I would rather have bought a performance petrol vehicle but I tried to be more considerate and trusted authority.

Also, it is still a small and modern car, therefore even as a diesel is relatively low impact and I don't use it very much. I find it hard to trust that you will implement a fair system. Sounds like a blanket attack on any owner of a Diesel engine which authority tricked me into being in the first place.

I spend a fortune on visitors permits. I'd like to be able to buy them in Half hour, 2,3 & 4 hour blocks.

10 hours of free visitor permits is not enough.

I strongly disagree with charging based on your vehicle as this can put people in an awkward financial situation. The price will go up for some and they may be the same people that can't afford to purchase a new(er) car.

I think the price of permits should be reduced as we pay enough already to park outside our OWN homes. It started off as £35. We were told it wouldn't increase and now it is £130 per year! That's a huge jump. When will it stop?!

I strongly believe cyclist as road users should be made to comply with safety rules, wear safety gears and have insurance and maybe they will stop being risk taken as they too will be liable for any accidents.

I strongly disagree that some roads (eg. Chudleigh Road) have resident permit holder parking for most of the day. With reduced parking options, people have resorted to parking in illegal and dangerous areas. It would be far better to introduce short time limits, eg resident permit holders from 12-2pm, as they do in some boroughs. This would prevent people from parking all day, if they are commuting from the stations. In roads such as Chudleigh Road, the street is practically empty all day, as no resident cars are parked, but no-one else is allowed to park there.

I strongly disagree with any emission related resident permit. Individuals should not be penalised for car choices that some made many years ago. Before any of these policies. It is retrospective and wrong. The current permit charge is overpriced as it is.

This consultation is not being transparent with the proposed charges according to emissions. One should not have to enter one's license plate to find out.

I strongly disagree with the cost, when you have been paying £10 and it jumps to £190, I would want a bay with my door number on. Sometimes I can't park outside my house I have parked round the other side where it's empty where they are parking in the Adolphus Street side, because it's a Cul de sac. They tell you they don't like parking that side.

I strongly disagree with the proposed policy. Residential parking has in my view nothing to do with air pollution. You are punishing people for owning a car (especially older models), some residents can simply not afford a newer car. On top of that the Council Tax is already high enough. I have two small children and would not be able to function without a car. If you really want to change something then you should make public transport more affordable and better (cleaner) (don't numerous buses pollute the air) and safer? Increasing residential parking will not change the amount of cars on Lewisham Roads (increased hourly rate might). A lot of people will simply convert their front gardens into driveways (a lot of residents in our street have done this already). Lewisham will lose on revenue from residential parking permit, but people will continue driving. An increase from 120 pounds to 175 pounds per year in our case is appalling!

I strongly do not agree with introducing resident permit bays in areas of Lewisham where currently there are no parking restrictions in residential areas.

I do agree with the new charging structure for the existing resident and business permits. I think Business permit prices should be increased more to encourage people to take public transport to work. I think having an extra charge for Diesel vehicles is a great idea and it

should be a lot more than £50.

I think that lazy parents driving children to school is a major pollution factor because when the schools are on holiday, the number of cars on the road decreases drastically and there are no traffic jams.

I strongly support the council and their attempt at trying to improve air quality however i am not in support of this part of the proposal.

It has been stated that this is to 'make sure spaces are used appropriately' & 'local parking is dealt with in a fair and consistent way' is this not being done already?

The ULEZ parking charge will be coming to the borough in 2021, is this not sufficient enough in helping the borough cut down its air emissions?

I pay £120 for my annual permit currently and with this change i will have to pay £145, on top of this, add the ULEZ, which will see me (& many other residents) paying roughly £200 or more, to drive and park outside their own houses.

The option to get ride of my car is not there, as like with most i drive to work and the cost of public transport (which is also rising and rises annually) is something that i cannot afford.

i do however agree with the surcharge for diesel cars although he amount of diesel car owners has been declining this will give the last final few the push they need to get cleaner more efficient vehicles.

Also what about the parts of the borough where a permit is not needed..?

To summarize the ULEZ charge is enough for petrol car owners to be paying.

I suggest cars with high emissions to cease production

I support the diesel surcharge despite owning a euro 5 diesel. But it would have been helpful to show more clearly in this consultation whether there is any evidence to show diesel parking surcharges have been effective at reducing emissions where they've been introduced elsewhere (I think Islington has done this... What has the impact been there?) . Without being able to clearly demonstrate the measure's effectiveness you risk being accused of revenue raising/stealth taxation etc.

I support the proposal to improve air quality in the borough but we were encouraged to buy diesel cars and bought one in good faith. The ULEZ has been imposed with a deadline of 2021 which will result in a large turnover of sales and manufacture of new vehicles, in itself harmful to the environment. I would find a change in parking permit rates acceptable if brought in in the the same timescale as the ULEZ .

I think £50 for diesels is a bit steep straight away - I worry that it will hit those least able to pay. But I agree that working up to £50 over say 2-3 years would be reasonable. There is a strong disincentive with ulev anyway.

I think a 45% increase in price for my resident parking is excessive. Its great to encourage electric and newer cars but they are costly and if diesel cars produce 40% of the emissions they should be the first priority. I think it is unfair to raise residential parking costs as you only have to go quarter of a mile form where I live and there is no residential parking costs so I think you will encourage the 'ripple effect' of people parking away from their house to dodge this extra cost, it wont reduce cars it will just congest other people streets.

I think the use of polluting cars should be targeted (as is happening with the ULEZ) and not where you live.

I think better parking could be encouraged by marking spaces on streets e.g. George Lane where ad hoc parking is ridiculous and doesn't make good use of space.

I think cars MOT tests are the way to really work out if a car is a bad pollutant.

ULEZ should use this measure to charge cars. It isn't right for Lewisham to add further charges on top of ULEZ. Or those on low incomes that need vehicles to work.

After watching 2 chaps vociferously circling a church during a funeral last week. I believe these initiatives are about money.

I think consideration should be given to people who may not be able to immediately upgrade an older vehicle to a newer, lower emission vehicle, especially if the price increases disproportionately affect lower income households.

I think diesel car owners should be given a period of grace to exchange their cars or get rid of them. I base my opinion on the mixed messages given out over the years that diesel was 'cleaner' than petrol and as a result lots of car owners invested in diesel cars.

So I would not impose a surcharge immediately but give options that, if not met will incur the surcharge after a set time.

I think engine size should also be taken into account- rather than it just being based on age of vehicle. I also think it may be a good idea to delay this until after 2021- as to put this and the London wide daily charge at the same time is quite harsh and does not give poorer people (like myself!) very long to save money for a new car that meets the requirements. The public transport is not great now, so may be much worse if even more people are using it. I generally try and walk before anything else anyway. As one of the few roads without a CPZ, where commuters and car rental companies already cram the road, I would also be worried that I'd never be able to park anywhere near my house because people in neighbouring roads that don't have a car that meets the requirements may park on my road. If that happens and I obviously wouldn't qualify for a parking permit for another road, what would we do?

I think everything has been said just please think this through it really is not wanted by many people and small business

People do not have the money it simply is not fair we do not have access to the tube and where I live in Se6 there isn't even a decent bus service

What do you expect us to do and does anyone care or is this simply a follow on from the Mayor and TfL who have exempted anyone with a job Lu such as rich owners of cars the whole thing seems very elitist in other words if you have money you can have transport and a job I think it is about time, I'm sure I read London Borough of Lewisham is one of the most polluted areas in London.

I think it is completely unfair to target diesel drivers when the Government encouraged people to buy them. It is all very well talking about improving air quality but if the price is so high that people struggle to pay the cost of travelling to work, then they won't be able to spend as much on healthy food like fresh fruit and vegetables.

My fear is that we will all be encouraged to buy electric cars and then one day there will be massive queues to charge them and then we will have millions of batteries to dispose of so the Government will then shove a charge to dispose of them.

Why are we not looking at hydrogen.

I think it is discriminatory. If people could afford it I'm sure that they would prefer to be able to drive and purchase a new car. Many people are not able to afford this luxury but what will happen to the old cars of those who are able to purchase a newer car? Hope that they are not dumped locally.

Government encouraged people to purchase diesel then decided otherwise, how confident can we be that the same will not happen with electric cars?

I think it is good the council is recognising the poor air quality in the area particularly around the south circular. However I don't really agree with taxing people as a way of deterring people from using a car.

I think it is important - although difficult - to avoid penalising those less well-off. It is likely that those with lower incomes may be trapped with diesel vehicles which they were encouraged to buy years back by government policy. These people will not have the ability to upgrade their vehicles to ones with low emissions - whilst those with plenty of money will just pay the extra and continue to pollute

I think it is important to ensure that it is not a stealth tax, and to ensure individuals from lower incomes are not disproportionately penalised by this.

I think it is more money making for the council. The road work that the council did to Lewisham to improve traffic is in fact worst. Money wasted there at the cost to residents.

I think it is unfair to effectively double charge diesel cars for a permit when the charge will already reflect the emission level of each vehicle and therefore older diesels will have to pay

more anyway. Later models of diesel cars are much more efficient than older models and so people who have bought those, as encouraged by government, should not therefore be subject to an unfair across the board surcharge for diesel cars.

I think it is unfair to introduce different prices for different types of vehicles - at least in the short term. Cars are very expensive and you would need a long lead time. What about charging points outside your house ?

I think it is unfair to make residents pay for onsite (ie on land within an estate boundary) parking except for admin fees as we already pay for the area around our flats

I think it is very unfair to penalise diesel cars as people may not have the money to change and the governments guidelines are somewhat contradictory on the harm that deisel causes. owners of deisal cars for the most part bought in good faith with blessing from government. It is very hard not to see this as a revenue raiser disguised by environmental concerns. It is not the residents fault that council funds have been cut! There are still plenty of cars parked outside Laurence House.

I think it's a good idea, however it could lead to an increase in low emission vehicles from outside the borough parking here. It's very difficult as the average wage in Lewisham does not suggest the majority of residents would be able to afford low emission vehicles, which is one of the pushes from this campaign. Unfortunately the only other way to reduce air pollution, congestion and encourage public transport use is to introduce a toll.

I think it's a really positive thing that the council is looking at this issue and trying to make changes. I regularly work with young and vulnerable children whose health is adversely affected by vehicle emissions.

I think it's a tax on the poor and larger families who need 7 seater+ which are mostly Diesel engines

I think it's a very important issue to address, but I'm not sure penalising people with diesel cars is the best way. We bought them when we were told that diesel was better, and a car is not something people can afford to replace very often. You should be encouraging people not to use their cars at all unless strictly necessary, ensuring that our public transport network is as efficient as it can be and making more provision for car sharing schemes etc. Although I have a diesel car I only use it once a week - the rest of the time it is parked on my drive - zero emissions. I'm not sure why I should pay more than someone with a petrol car who uses it every day.

I think it's another opportunity to punish ordinary residents for your mismanagement of local roads

I think it's highly unfair that you are targeting people with diesel cars as they are not the only ones causing these problems. You are going to put a strain on already struggling families who find it hard to pay the residents permit at the price it is now. Why should people who can't afford a new car be made to pay more so the government and council can control what happens in the city. If you have money you can drive whatever car you want but if you don't you will be fined. This is outrageous

I think it's a great idea and I'm glad to hear about it but I think it's not fair on families who rely heavily on using a car yet may have a low/no income.

I think its abit unfair to charge people based on what car they have, permits are already expensive and also diesel car owners own diesel cars cause of how economical and efficient they are, so already impossible. Some people can't aford other cars at the moment.

I think it's absolutely disgusting to be charged to park outside your own or relatives house as it is as the price is high enough anyway let alone you wanting to add more money to this.

I think it's an absolute disgrace that I have to pay to park outside my own home.

But then with Lewisham Council being owed at least £32 million in unpaid rent, I suppose you have to get the money from somewhere. Usually those who owe nothing and are easy targets.

I think it's an excellent idea to make people realise what they are doing to the environment and to people who are sick because of owners of cars that do not look after their vehicles. I really think that if people can afford cars, they can afford any monetary permits that go with

owning a car. Plus teenagers should be 21 before able to ride Mopeds about on a "L" license for years without taking their tests.

I think it's disgusting and nothing more than yet another way of ripping off Lewisham residents.

Parking permits were £60 the first year for residents which doubled to £120 the next year.

How is this justified!? Residents pay council tax and road tax and STILL have to pay more to park outside the own homes. It's nothing more than robbery.

Permits for residents should be free and people who come into Lewisham should be the ones who have to pay the price of parking.

Ultimately the government encouraged people to buy diesel cars as apparently they were a lot more environmentally friendly and now they have done a huge turn around and say petrol is more friendly and want to tax diesel car owners even more. I smell a huge rat! Nothing but thieves

i think it's just a money making exercise

I think its really good that Lewisham Council are starting this, air poultion is becoming a big problem and I think the council doing this shows that they care about the people in their bourough.

I think it's really important that the borough moves forward with innovative, creative and sustainable ways of tackling air pollution, which can be built on and improved as time goes on. I don't want the borough to feel they've 'ticked that box, problem solved,' and then rest on their laurels.

It's an ongoing concern. But I also think they have to be quite brave and bold in their policy, otherwise it's just salami slicing.

But I'd urge them to consider that constantly upping charges is not the whole answer, and while it is certainly one way to tackle air pollution, it is certainly not the only way and shouldn't be used in isolation.

I think its unlikely you will take any notice as you have already decided what you want to do I think many will see this as an excuse to raise money by the councils. The roads are poor (full of potholes) - proof money is not going back into raid infrastructure. Council needs to be transparent to win hearts and minds.

I think newer diesel vehicles that meet EU regulations should be exempt from surcharges.

I think one needs to be careful to focus on diesel cars only. We have a diesel, but don't drive it a lot. Other petrol cars are driven every day- what is worse? The focus should be on improving cycling routes etc to ensure people feel like they have good, safe alternatives.

I think parking areas should be better defined. People who do not live on my road, but live within the park permit area drive their cars and park outside my house to get closer to the station. This means less parking for us during the day and adds to the pollution problem when they should either walk or get the bus.

I think parking permits are a good ideas as it does limit the number of cars people wish to own. And also gives people who doesn't own a car to think twice before buying a car.

I also think charging an extra amount wouldn't hurt anyone but it should understandable amount less than £50

I think paying for parking is terrible and is running lewisham into the ground and sending people to Bluewater where there is free parking.

I think penalising diesel drivers is hard. Not long ago we were providing tax incentives to get Diesel now they are being hit with the ULEZ and higher parking costs. Double wammy.

Also consideration needs to be given to people with large family cars compared to large polluting 2 seater sports cars.

I think penalizing parked cars that are not used for commuting is counter productive. Most people realise that having a car is a luxury. Lewisham has a serious problem with air quality this is perpetuated by the increase in tall building in the borough and the amount of lorries, buses, coaches, vans and freight vehicles that use the roads in the borough on a daily basis.

No through roads increase congestion on other roads.

I live within a CPZ no consultation was given before it was introduced.

I think penalizing those members of the public for something which has not been their instigation is unhelpful.

I also think it would be better to stop use of certain vehicles; by means of categories I.e. A, B, C etc on a given day of the week. Taking the car off the road for periods of a time would be better than simply charging the owner to still use the 'air polluting' vehicle.

I think policy makers should stop viewing motorists as cash cows and remember that at one point they were encouraging consumers to purchase these same Diesel vehicles. So they should be more considerate about the rising and excessive cost being impacted on Drivers as a result of change in policy. regardless

regardless of the new data that is used to make a case for these changes more must be done for individuals who had their vehicles before this change of trend and new information that makes us better aware of the dangers. The Government must do more to compensate families who may not be able to afford, modernise or change their vehicles to the recommended new standards. But I also agree in principle that we must change our ways to assist with the development of cleaner air to benefit our Nation.

I think taxing old cars in an area that is not that affluent would be detrimental to the hard up.

I think that car owners are already paying more on road tax and congestion charge due to the emissions of cars. I don't think it's right to also charge extra via parking charges.

Parking charges were increased vastly to enable the council to earn extra income when central government grants were reduced. Charging extra based on vehicle emissions seems greedy. We can't all afford to sell vehicles we already have and buy newer electric cars. It is more eco to keep the car you already have rather than contribute to more vehicles being created.

We have two cars, which we mainly use at the weekends, using public transport and cycling in the week. It does it seem fair to charge us more when the car is not even being used, just parked. Of course we would all love to have off road parking but living in Lewisham's residential streets does not allow this.

I think that penalising owners of older cars which are parked in the borough is very negative. This doesn't recognise that people often can't afford to upgrade their vehicles. It also doesn't take in to account how often these cars are being used. They may only be used for a couple of short trips a week, for example. We need to find ways to help people to upgrade to less polluting vehicles, rather than simply punishing them.

Assuming that everyone can cycle everywhere is extremely naive and simplistic.

I think that we need time to adjust to new charges. I agree with them but I think that changes to parking permits should parallel the ULEZ extension, as this seems a reasonable time frame.

I think that we should try to reduce all car usage not just low emissions. In addition to air pollution cars ruin our life in other ways. They are dangerous, noisy and intimidating. I also think there needs to be more thought given to where pedestrians meet cyclists. Cyclists often think they have right of way and cycle far too fast in shared and crossing areas. Eg rail underpass from molesworth st to the swimming pool park.

I think the bigger picture should be about getting less cars on the road, introducing a much better bus/train services and cheaper incentives to leave the car at home

I think the charge for diesel cars is unfair, no one used to buy diesel cars then the government pushed people to buy diesel cars over petrol cars and now people with diesel cars are being penalised, it's not right.

I think the charge for more polluting cars may hit low income families. I'd love to upgrade my car to something less polluting which we are saving to do. By increasing the charge you are slowing down my efforts to get a less polluting car and therefore increasing the time I use it.

I think the charges are an indirect tax on less affluent people. They will be less likely to afford newer cars with lower emissions and will not be able to afford the permits. The more wealthy won't feel the effect.

I think the costs should be higher for diesel to really push people away from these vehicles, especially for delivery businesses. Many of the vans are making local deliveries and should be strongly encouraged to move to electric. lower emissions. Residents can make changes where they can but have no say in businesses who often look to cut costs where ever possible.

I think the council would get a wider response from residents if a stand in the shopping centre was set up for a few hours to allow people to find out about this consultation. I only noticed this because I receive the weekly Council email.

I think the increased charges for resident permits are unfair. Several residents may not be in a position to purchase a vehicle that is lower omission and are already going to be subjected to additional charges with the implementation of the extended ULOZ

Has a fair assessment been carried out as to the impact of these journeys and whether residents with higher omission vehicles area actually leaving their vehicles parked at home and using other methods, which reduce the impact. Upon request, Lewisham did not justify the doubling of the cost of a resident permit in the second year of implementation, after consulting on the basis of a much more affordable permit, other than 'to bring in line with other boroughs'

What is the revenue benefit of these increases? compared the cost of the implementation

I think the proposal is a disgrace and a money making scheme and a way to fill council coffers. You arent offering to ring fence the money for air pollution related reduction exercises like planting more trees etc.

I think the suggested cost of a residents parking permit for a petrol car is far too high. It amounts to a tax on less affluent people who cannot afford to buy an electric car. It amounts to a tax on people who are unable or unwilling to pave their driveway (an ecological problem in itself).

It does nothing to stop the thousands of vehicles that travel through Lewisham polluting the air, whose owners are resident elsewhere.

It does not tackle commercial vehicles.

I think the surcharge on diesel vehicles is a little unfair. If it is to be introduced, then it should be phased in on over a number of years. While the government was, for years, encouraging people to buy diesel cars, they have suddenly been targeted for higher charges such as the Low Emissions Zone. It costs a lot of money to buy a new car and, while I would love to no longer drive a polluting diesel vehicle from 2010, I cannot afford to buy a new, less polluting car this year. If the government is serious about reducing the number of diesel vehicles, it could introduce a new scrape scheme that was very effective the last time it was introduced. This would act as a supportive incentive instead of a punitive approach.

I think there are sufficient financial incentives already around vehicle types to encourage lower emission vehicles. Change will be rapid over the next few years, but I think adding differentiated parking charges will be a potential discrimination against lower income residents.

I think there should be some additional concession for resident drivers who own diesel Blue vehicles. I already pay additional money for blue additive to reduce diesel emissions and this is recognised positively within the government road tax regime. It feels unfair to charge me an additional £50 surcharge by putting me in the same category as dirty diesel vehicles

I think this consultation like many others is too narrow. Air pollution is hugely important, but should be looked at in conjunction with other environmental issues.

I think this is a great first step but when you look at the construction of the new traffic layout by the shopping centre/leisure centre, it is totally optimised for vehicle traffic instead of pedestrians or cyclists. I think Lewisham needs to reconsider traffic flow and road layouts to better encourage safe (and healthier) walking and cycle routes.

I think this is absolutely the right step to be taking - in fact, I would say be even more bullish. Don't be afraid to make extreme systemic changes that will improve our health - and the health of the planet. We as human beings have a right to breathe clean air and drastic action needs to be taken to alter the current trajectory towards climate disaster.

I think this is an injustice to the poor, especially as only a generation ago, society was led to believe that diesel cars were the most efficient

I THINK THIS IS AN OUTRAGE AS I DO LESS THAN FIVE THOUSAND MILES A YEAR AND YET PEOPLE WITH LESS POLLUTING CARS WHO DRIVE THEM ALL THE TIME AREN'T PENALISED.
THE AMOUNT YOU PAY SHOULD BE CONNECTED TO THE AMOUNT OF MILES YOU DO.
THIS WILL MAKE IT THE THIRD TAX ON CARS IN LONDON,
1)ROAD TAX , 2)ULEZ , AND NOW 3) PARKING PERMITS.

INCREASE TAX ON FUEL TO PENALISE THE HIGHEST POLLUTERS, NOT NEW TAXES, WHICH REWARD HIGH POLLUTERS.

I think this is just a money making exercise for the council. This is a cynical attempt to penalise the driver yet again. You may as well polish a turd because adding a diesel charge to parking permits will be about as successful in reducing pollution in London as the Congestion charge was. Is this really the best and most practical idea you have come up with? Sort the roads out first including the pot holes.

I think this is not fair as the permit is expensive around My car passed the emission and on your car checker for new permits put my registration number of my car and my calculation of my permit has gone up so who benefiting from it not me certainly the council once again and you go on about having enough spaces to free up spaces for low emissions cars and where I live there is a car park opposite my flats which is underground and has been shut since I have lived here which should be opened to the residents of the flats which they are right next to I think this is tedious virtue-signalling and raising cash by targeting those who are easy victims. I imagine some of the money raised will go to poorly thought out and expensive public health projects. I suggest you put it towards improving your woeful new website and perhaps even employing human beings whom residents can speak to when they need to deal with the council.

I think this once again is a policy that affects people on low income. People with high income can purchase newer vehicles . Electric vehicles are out of reach to most of us and anyway charging points few. I feel really angry that low income people will be charged extra for driving but those who can afford to pay extra won't have to. Only the well off will be driving. I am passionate about the reduction of pollution however this is not the way.

I think this proposed tax on people who can't afford expensive electric or hybrid cars is a disgrace.

I think this will be very unpopular with a majority but I think tough choices have to be made. However it should not be just a revenue raising exercise. Funding should be spent on schemes to benefit air quality, consider reviewing policy on driveways - eg 4m minimum depth is bit restrictive. Should be looking at ways to enable residents to switch to full electric vehicles eg by allowing drives to be converted more easily to allow parking if installing an EV recharging points for existing homes. This could be in combination with appropriate SUDS/soakaways/edge landscaping to mitigate increase in hard standing/ reduction in 'garden'. Current rules about minim depth for driveway are deterrent from applying or buying a plug in EV

I think those with cars that have a higher emission rate are likely to be those who cannot afford to buy a newer car so you are therefore penalising those who are on a lower income making it harder for them to live.

I think to penalise diesel owners financially is unfair. We bought a diesel car 13 years ago because we were told it was the greener option. We have also resisted buying a succession of newer cars because of cost, but also because of environmental concerns about consumerism, wastage, etc. We know we will be penalised in 2021 by owning our car and will have to get rid of it – we don't need other penalties at this stage too.

I think we hear the complaints of car owners a lot but not the voices of those who suffer with the pollution. Please be brave and make lewisham a proper leader here. Understand root causes stopping people using public transport and fix them. People driving should be funding the cost of cleaning the air.

I think you need to consider the current blanket restrictions on parking. In Greenwich next door they enforce parking by a one hour policy which restricts commuter parking but allows family visiting. I think that should be considered in parking zones in Lewisham eg BHA outside the village.

I think you should factor on street bicycle hangars and the pricing of these to make them more appealing and improve the availability of these to encourage cycling. It should be cheaper to store your bicycle for a year in an on street bicycle hangar than it should to park an electric vehicle. Electric vehicles still take up large amounts of the public realm and are not efficient ways to travel around urban environments and as stated only 47% of households in this borough have access to a car.

I think you're on the right track and there should be an outright ban on diesel vehicles
I think your proposals are regressive and will affect poorer people disproportionately. It is right to combat pollution but less well-off people can't afford newer cars and electric vehicles. I totally disagree that resident parking permit charges should be related to the vehicle i own. I pay for a parking permit to be able to park my car within my designated zone. Whilst using my permit my vehicle is stationary therefore not causing any pollution. Drivers are already being taxed enough for using their vehicles, unfortunately not everyone is able to afford more up to date vehicles. Until public transport is more reliable then drivers will always choose their cars over crowded/delayed/cancelled public transport.

I totally disagree with this consultation so soon after implementing the parking permit system in by Street, Sandrock Road.

The new system was implemented in April and it is now the 12th July and you are consulting on another change.

I think that there should be free permits for cars registered to an address in the borough especially bearing in mind that the restriction is for 2 hours each day.

I typically drive my car in Lewisham about an hour a fortnight. I would be paying the same surcharge as someone who drives all day everyday. I can't use a Zipcar for these journeys as they are typically leaving the borough to spend a weekend camping. Zipcar for a weekend is extortionate.

I take public transport and cycle as often as I can. I never leave my car running pointlessly. This parking surcharge would ignore my green habits and penalise me the same as anyone else.

There are significant environmental costs to buying a newly produced car. All eco websites recommend you drive your car til it dies. A new car comes with a substantial carbon footprint as does the process of junking your old car.

I understand that the air pollution is bad in Lewisham and something needs to be done but an £90 increase in our parking seems very large. Also will the money raised be put back into helping tackle air pollution or simply raise more money for the council with no benefits to the people of Lewisham?

I understand the impact of pollution but I just as that everything is becoming about money. We pay congestion charge, ulez, premium road tax prices and now higher parking charges aswell.

It's making it hard for a working class Londoner to afford getting around London. Not everyone can afford new cars.

There needs to be an initiative that helps people change cars!!!

The idea of just adding premiums to everything is scary and will make life hard for the normal people. Parking permits for residents is already an expense we don't need, let alone adding a premium to it.

I understand the reasons behind this policy but it is very flawed and ultimately unfair and as usual affects low income families who cannot afford to rush out and update cars.

Using your tool I have calculated that my permit cost will rise from £120 per year to £205 per year.

Have you thought through how this will affect someone on benefits. I am currently on carers allowance and need my car.

Will you be providing an allowance for people on benefits or other low income families?

I understand what you are trying to do but when you have kids and only drop them off from a certain time and then have a 40 minute window to get to work on time during peak hours, I have no choice but to drive as public transport can't get me there quick enough.

I understand you want to cut emissions but now you want to increase my permit to £235 for two hours a day. This is something that started at £60 to stop people parking to go to the railway station, I do not live near the station. I would agree if you kept the maximum at the amount you charge now and cut for cars with low emissions but you will not. You say it is to cut emissions perhaps you can explain to me how charging me £235 cuts my emission. This is a very easy way to generate income perhaps for a new chain for the mayor. Why have we got one of them?

I use my car for longer journeys that take me outside of the city

I use my car very rarely and see no reason I should be persecuted for my car of choice (12yo sports). It is kept in tip top condition and to be honest at 68 yo I am fed up with so much interference in what I do. I walk or use public transport 75% of the time or more. I resent this increasing persecution of drivers by local and central government. I love my car, look after it and am not in a position financially to afford a much newer hybrid car nor would car clubs suit me

I very rarely use my car but need it to visit family that live outside the borough and perhaps use it weekends. Both my wife and I commute to work via cycle and thus contribute very little to the air pollution in the area. This scheme nearly doubles the parking charges for us.

I very rarely use my car during the week and not often on the weekends either. I have my car so that I can make longer journeys outside of London for occasional weekends away or holidays. I have a resident parking permit for my car that is parked on the street out the front of my house most of the time. I catch buses around the borough and the train into town for work.

MY CAR PARKED ON THE STREET HAS NO EMISSIONS!

I am outraged at the councils proposal to not only almost double the cost of the parking permits but also add further charges for diesel or petrol cars. Charging more for PARKED cars will not reduce emissions.

What are the councils proposals for the infrastructure required for charging electric cars?

I VERY strongly object to the council imposing a higher tariff on diesel vehicles when I am PREVENTED BY THE COUNCIL from owning an electric powered vehicle as I have no way to charge one.

I would really like to have an electric powered vehicle (Tesla). I cannot do this at present as I live in a terraced house in Hither Green and I have no way to charge it. If I could charge an electric vehicle I would buy one immediately and dispose of my diesel powered car.

I was advised by government to buy my current diesel car as a way of aiding pollution control in 2010. We bought a new car then as a way of ensuring a good method of transport for our retirement. The car is low mileage, fully dealer serviced and in a condition that would be expected to last for at least another ten years. Now you penalise me three ways - first for expecting me to pay to park outside my own home, second by proposing a surcharge on a Vehicle YOU advise as the responsible product to buy and thirdly because your policies will dramatically reduced any resale value residing in my quality, well maintained, low milage car.

I was looking to buy a camper van but most are diesel and I can't afford a new one (euro 6). What can I do?

I was born in New Cross and have lived here all my life, we have had no problems with parking over the years and still have not

I welcome the mandatory changes to disabled bays up until now it has been a free for all in my area

I wholeheartedly support the proposals

I work and live in Lewisham. It is a real shame that I cannot park near my workplace now.

I work as a paramedic in London and at present I can't afford a new car but would need to change it in 2021 to meet the ULEZ. So I'm planning, saving up to change but as I said can't afford to just yet.

If my car is parked it's not polluting the borough so why should I have to pay more to park this is not helping me save up. Also not all the borough has parking zones so these residents will not pay even if they have more polluting vehicles also if I have a drive I don't pay to park and again could have a more polluting vehicle than me.

So whilst I understand for the world needs to help out reduce pollution I think this needs more thought as the ULEZ will make a positive reduction in pollution in the borough. Also the borough will need to charge any other cars entering the borough if this is to work not just penalizing those of us that need to drive to work or work unsocial hours. As a paramedic I work shifts and unfortunately buses and trains do not run sometimes when I have finished my 12 hour shift and I don't believe it would be safe for me to carry my paramedic bag and PPE with me on buses and trains.

I work hard in a stressful job where due to austerity and only received under inflation pay for 8 yrs I'm struggling to have a worklife balance and this increase at this time will only make my life worse financially with a 100% increase.

I worry that Lewisham residents aren't the richest and can't afford to buy a lower emissions car even if they wanted to - is this penalising the poor?

I would appreciate a parking permit for my street and surrounding areas SE12

I would be concerned that additional charges for higher emission vehicles could adversely affect low income families who need a vehicle but can only afford a second hand one, likely to be more polluting.

I would be happy to use electric bike or public transport more if there were direct routes, and transportation of heavy shopping was easy places

I do think we need drastic change and soon...

Form a public forum group for further consultation

Residents should see results of this survey this year... And some of the suggestions put into practice this year.

Thank you for doing this consultation. Very much appreciated.

I would be interested in links to the data used to state the first two bullet points re deaths in Lewisham and air pollution.

I would implement the surcharge to coincide with ULEZ extension to the south circular and after providing electric car charging stations. Carrot and stick.

I would like to apply for resident parking zone CPZ on and along Malpas road

The reasons for this are many and cogent

The road is subject to parking stress in a multitude of modes

1. Violent disputes – over parking spots on many occasions

2. Abandoned and dangerous vehicles – vehicles are simply left long term there in a poor state and abandoned

3. Commercial businesses not on street parking on street – commercial firm from Florence Road park their personal and commercial vehicles
4. People using radiators to retain parking spaces- I have witnessed resident using all manner of strange objects including double radiators, chairs , traffic cones to retain a parking space
5. Many commuters park their vehicles and use the nearby Brockley, New cross and New cross gate train stations
6. Lack of emergency access to some houses – because of the parking stress emergency services find it impossible to access properties
7. Some of people parking on street do not even live in borough but are commuters
8. 3 Local schools within 500 metres – the additional cars cause problems for both parents and children who go to the 3 local schools
9. Limited access for important works (plumber and electricians water etc)- in case of important household works the service has to park elsewhere

the stuff of nightmares: cars double parked; safety compromised around schools because of the high volume of vehicles in the surrounding streets; shoppers unable to park near the local high street; commuters leaving their cars in the roads close to the railway stations

I would like feedback on the suggestions and points raised by those completing the survey and evidence that people's opinions are taken into consideration.

I would like Lewisham to consider older people who live in the borough. I drive a car but try to use public transport as much as possible in fact it is getting more and more difficult to use a car these days due to the unavailability of parking. However not all neighbourhoods have easy access to public transport, one bus that runs every 20 - 25 minutes or climb a big hill from the other bus routes and the station in this area. We are being encouraged to walk or ride bikes but it is not always possible for everyone. Some thought needs to be given to the effect these restrictions will have on certain sections of the population, an improvement in public transport would be helpful for a start. Please also remember that older people sometimes feel vulnerable walking home in the dark if they have been out for an evening. People on limited incomes can't always afford yet more calls on their finances that parking permits bring which probably means they won't own cars anymore. Objective achieved from Lewisham's point of view but leaves a section of the population isolated and unhappy or maybe Lewisham would prefer only to have young people in the population.

I would like to cycle, but I don't feel the roads are safe enough in Lewisham (or surrounding boroughs).

I would like to know what you are planning to do with the additional revenue or is this intended to be a cost neutral plan. I expect that at the very least, any additional revenues will be 100% invested into car free alternatives if the council is serious about tackling emissions in the borough.

I would like to know why you would be charging an additional £50 for my new less polluting diesel van .I have recently bought a new Euro 6 van to meet the proposed ULEZ compliance in October 2021. This vehicle meets that compliance and will not be ULEZ surcharged as it complies to the emission standards set by TfL. Please note it is a Euro 6 specification diesel, and these produce far less Co2, NOx and other pollutants than older diesels and even most modern petrol vehicles. Euro 6 diesel engined vehicles with "AdBlue" additive reduce NOx by a staggering 90% ! so why am I being treated the same as older polluting diesel vehicles, please? In your survey you even ask "Do you agree that the council should encourage people to drive vehicles with lower emissions?" and "Is the council right to introduce lower rates for

parking permits to vehicles with lower emissions, in order to help improve air quality?" So why in asking these questions why are you charging £50 per year for far less polluting Euro 6 compliant diesel vehicles, the same as £50 for older far more polluting diesel vehicles please? This is not fair.

I would like to see deadline to block diesel vehicles parking in the bays. So charge is there but put the deadline so by that people must change their vehicles to hybrid(petrol) or electric completely.

I would like to see more detail in the proposals about how the increased revenue will be spent - the incredibly vague statement that it will pay for "transport related projects" is totally inadequate as an explanation of how this additional income will be reinvested. With a reported value of nearly £8million from parking last year, and an expansion of the controlled parking zone set to increase that value, it is inexcusably vague not to include concrete proposals for improving the local environment, particularly for pedestrians, in the proposal. I would like to see parking permits introduced on all streets in the borough. Special 30 min shopping bays could work for local shops.

I would like to see proposed charges by council for manufacturers and companies who sell cars which are causing pollution and health problems to humans.

I would like to see this consultation shared with headteachers at the borough's schools as I am sure that they will be keen to reduce pollution.

I would like to suggest that residents who already have a permit for an older car are offered an opportunity to continue at current resident rates, until they change car.

The most effective way of reducing emissions is for residents not to use the car at all. I mostly walk, take the bus, train or cycle.

I therefore have little use for a new car (but still use one for carrying heavy items, and when my knees are painful). Changing the permit scheme to encourage me to buy a new car, is therefore counter productive. If I buy a new one I would be more likely to use it - who buys something new and then just leaves it hardly used?

Therefore i think that if an older car already has a permit it should stay on the existing rate, especially if it is petrol.

There are extensive cameras which can monitor vehicle use. These could be harnessed via ANPR to charge by use, rather than be parked. The incentive should be to discourage the huge number of short journeys with encouragement to keep cars parked. This leads on to wider public health issues around exercise and obesity.

I would like to understand what you expect to be the net revenue impact on Lewisham council coming from this change. I agree that you should differentiate on permit cost by emissions however cynically it could also be seen as a stealthy way to increase money coming into the council.

Also, as driver of a hybrid last year I got a free permit, this year I paid £30 and now from next year I'll be expected to pay £70. So the cost to be is going up significantly each year.

I think it would be good to really reward those with low emissions however even at the lowest band you're asking people to pay to park their vehicle on a road where they live and already pay council tax, when public transport can't really substitute the use of a car in many instances due to cost and convenience. £70 for a permit hardly seems like a reward!

I would love to buy an electric/hybrid car but a) I can't afford one and b) I have no idea how I would charge as I cannot access my flat with my car. There would need to be charging points in the pavement.

I would make each resident permit after the first one double the original for each subsequent permit to make it less attractive to have more than one vehicle.

I have two asthmatics in my household in Hither Green. Sometimes the air is so badly polluted that my wife has to lie down or go to bed as she cannot get enough oxygen. Anything that helps to reduce pollution will be great as it will stop my wife suffering.

I would not be able to afford to drive to work if you increase the parking permit costs. I would rather diesel car owners are curtail to maybe using their car just in the evening n weekends where possible. The rich people who are buying latest module of diesel cars need to pay too, system need to be fair

I would say not to penalise motorists for not being able to update their cars.

I would support any local schemes to trade in diesel cars for less polluting alternatives. I am trying to use my car less these days but I still need one. Like many diesel owners, I feel trapped and unable to afford a timely purchase of an alternative vehicle.

i wouldnt only focus on air quality, but also improving safety for cyclists (like making sure cars dont park on cycle lanes). Once cycle safety is improved, it will encourage more people to cycle and thus increase air quality.

Also, ensure that cars are parked on the right places. i have seen some cars parked on corners which is a safety hazard for cyclists as they cant see incoming cars around the corner.

I you surcharge some cars that the owners just cannot afford to replace then the owners will just shop somewhere else thus affecting all shop owners

I'm concerned that this may penalise the poorest, who can't afford to replace cars (especially when we were told to buy diesel cars not so long ago). I'd like some thought put into how people who need vehicles for work or for health reasons.

I'm disabled yet only lower rate nice to know you take more money from me

I'm glad that Lewisham council is asking our opinion on this. It's something that needs to be dealt with

I'm not sure that charging residents more to park is going to do anything other than generate more money for Lewisham. Especially If ownership in Lewisham is already low. A year or so ago the resident parking where I live had its hours reduced and that encourages people to drive to the area. Your message isn't consistent. Suggest charging more for people visiting and parking in an area would do more to discourage car journeys.

I'm pleased that the council is taking air pollution seriously.

But I do not understand why you have set a 20 mile an hour speed limit in the borough but have never even attempted to enforce so it is treated with contempt.

On Belmont Hill for example the buses often travel at 40 mphpolice cars disregard it.

There surely has With all the proposals you make in this consultation to be EFFECTIVE ENFORCEMENT of whatever you decide on.

I recommend the app from Kings College which lets people see the current level of air pollution at any time in any street in London.....it's called CityAir.

Maybe you could give it some publicity?

I'm very upset that you are choosing to penalise people who had no idea that diesel cars were high polluting upon purchase. Now having spent lots of money purchasing the car and having a large family it's almost impossible to get rid of the car. What do people like us with low income do? It's very unfair

I've been hearing for years that catford town centre is improving yet I haven't seen any change. A friend of mine was hit by a car crossing the hideous rd in catford town centre & they won't step foot in the place again with that complicated layout that looks like it's designed to kill a pedestrian.

I don't expect to see it in my life time but if your serious about good air quality & less traffic & encouraging folks to cycle (although you may not be in fairness) then you have to do something with catford town centre. No more consultations please just improve it & we can feel safe again.

I've contacted the council on a few matters linked to pollution and did not receive satisfactory responses eg about new tree requests so needs better support and resource for residents

I've recently enquired about the councils approach to electric charging points. I find the current policy and strategy totally inadequate. As things stand car users are being punished

with no viable alternative in place. Not only is this wrong it also serves to frustrate and anger car users.

I'd like to see more focus on making Lewisham less attractive to drivers. Lewisham way and the roundabout near the station are through routes for many drivers. I would like to see the council actively discourage driving and encourage walking and use of public transport.

If 47% of residents do not have a car, why are the remaining 53% being picked on as an easy money revenue?

Please address the cars sitting outside of schools with engines running, lorries, taxis, vehicles passing through Lewisham - all who seem exempt from your initiative - yet spill out fumes. If Affected residents are to pay ULEZ charge it's is unreasonable and unfair to residents with cars to pay an additional £50 surcharge. If £50 surcharge is applied then it must be in line with ULEZ criteria i.e. cars that meet these standard shouldn't pay surcharge and also to benefit from lower resident permit rates.

CPZ are for resident permit holders 9am-7pm Monday to Friday. This should be 24/7. I pay for my resident parking permit and cannot find a parking place in my CPZ on the weekend. I should have to try find to park my car 4-5 streets away from my house

If Diesel Vehicles are as bad as you say then they should be banned altogether.

Most commercial vehicles are diesel as are company cars, the cost of the diesel surcharge will be passed onto customers of those businesses and the cost to the business will also be off-set as a business expense.

The only people that will suffer financially will be PAYE employees that are unable to offset or claim the cost of the surcharge back. These people brought their own diesel vehicles because they are most economical, a car will use use to twice the amount of fuel that a diesel will.

If permits are introduced, traffic warden presence needs to be increased - currently cars park anywhere they want and nothing is done about it

If residential parking charges are to change it would appear that this would have the most impact on band D terrace type property, larger houses with drives, businesses and institutions would, unreasonably, not be affected.

So.

If you must introduce a pollution charge it must be fair to all. It should be noted that all cars move and when they are parked they are not polluting

1. Charge every residential property that has allocated parking on the premises or in the street a surcharge against the vehicle(s) they own
2. Charge every business that has a parking space an publically viewable, separate, excess for pollution
3. Municipal offices and their contractors that park on municipal premises at any time should be charged a pollution tax
4. Government and quasi government vehicles, police fire ambulance should be charged a pollution tax
5. Schools and education establishments charged when vehicles park on their premises
6. Schools in low lying residential areas attract parents in cars waiting with their engines running for up to an hour before meeting their child from the school. Lewisham must develop and publish comprehensive policies to prevent this.
7. The abolition of wood burning stoves in low lying areas.

ie if it moves and parks Lewisham road it must be treated exactly the same as any Lewisham street parking resident

If the borough is in ULEZ why should some residents pay twice?

If a charge is to be levied on diesels it should be on all diesels. It is unfair that owners of the

same vehicle in the same street, which has some residents' parking and some open parking (such as the one I live on), may or not have to pay this charge. Also, is a car parked off the street any less polluting?

If this is about Air Quality then why isn't the Council investing in Electric Charging Stations. I live in Catford Green a new development. I am NOT allowed ANY Parking Permits (I'm in Social Housing) although those who own their flats have Parking Bays (wealthy residents can, poorer can't). The council is very selective on who it targets with its policies. It seems that poorer residents are discriminated against.

If would want to expand the ULEZ to the south circular you should provide some financial support to those people driving diesel car which does not meet your criteria of ULEZ.

Otherwise it is not fair from the council to introduce ULEZ to Lewisham.

Some needs their car to travel to work and don't have enough money to buy a new electrical car or a new petrol car.

if you are going to charge more for permit holders that have 'normal' cars that they have owned for many years you should first think about the actual bays that you provide and the misuse of them through people making illegal driveways within the residents bays.

If you charge more for permits for cars with high emissions it will not stop people driving cars it will only make money for the Council which will not do anything to improve the environment. Furthermore my daughter has a car registered in 2009 with a 1.6 engine and her permit goes up by £20.00 a year yet my car is registered 2019 with a 1.0 engine and my permit would go up by £40.00 a year it doesn't make sense.

If you drive a Euro 6 rated diesel car you should pay the same charge as a Euro 4 (and below) rated petrol car as they have the same NOx emission requirements.

If you had to put your loved one in a room with an old car running for 10 minutes or a new car running for 2 hours which would it be??

Exactly! Sort out the traffic flow, encourage people to use motorcycles or scooters that live out of town and commute in.. fining people will not sort this problem.

Making people buy newer expensive cars only increases the carbon footprint.. scrapping perfectly good vehicles is crazy... but then if you take this advise you wont make alot of money to fill the void in council funding.. which is really what your trying to do...by uping permit and pay and display costs.

If you have a problem with diesel (I don't like it) get rid of diesel taxis.

Support people to change cars.

Don't penalise for the car you have but for the amount you use it.

Don't penalise twice because you live in area without a drive.

If you have a work vehicle you have no control over its purchase so charging for that is not fare on people. As yet some people do not have a chance or choice to Chang their vehicle just because where they live is charging an excess for parking.

If you have stats concerning no. of vehicles per household - is there any arguement supporting a restriction on total numbers order a higher charge on the third or fourth car?

If you look at the refining process of crude oil, diesel production is high up the line than petrol, which for many years, was considered waste material.

Forcing engines to work at low speeds, creates build up of deposits in the system thereby making the engine less efficient.

According to recent tests, even electric cars cause pollution, especially from tyres and engine particles.

If you want to encourage people to switch to electric cars then introduce schemes to help them buy one. Your surcharging only effects the poorest - exactly the ones you claim you want to help. A rather patronising and disrespectful approach.

If you want to get income from residents then additional charges for second or third cars and for parking commercial vehicles in residential areas rather than going after 1 car families would be better place to start.

If you want to put extra charge, do it on both diesel and petrol.

If you want to stop pollution, you need to get rid of the causes, not just discourage them a bit. Taxing won't work, it will only discourage the less affluent users. The major polluters are freight and they have much deeper pockets. The next worse are large engined 'status vehicles'.

Alternatively, you could justify a ban on heavy goods vehicles because of structural instability of roadways and neighbouring buildings of significant architectural value. Why don't vehicles come through Greenwich any more? Didn't a section of the A2 collapse into a cavern not too many years ago?

<https://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/property/3304403/London-living-Going-going-ground-beneath-their-feet.html>

<https://www.weekender.co.uk/articles/history/64619/>

If you're going to increase my permit cost ,could we have all day permit rather than the stupid times we've got already ,10am till 12pm are a waste of time, still can't park in our street after midday thank you

I'm completely against Parking Permits as this is yet another method for councils to make money. In my experience, parking permits are 'sold' at a cheapish rate for the first year to hook residents into the idea of affordable parking and then always increase in price. As most people within Lewisham are currently able to park on streets, front gardens are mostly left as front gardens and not turned into parking areas, which in turn helps with rainwater runoffs, increases the diversity of flora and fauna in the area, helps cool roads, helps absorb some CO2. If residents are forced into paying for parking, this will undoubtedly change.

I'm concerned that it is a blanket application to all diesel vehicles.

Sometimes diesel is the more ecological solution than petrol.

It does make sense for personal vehicles, but some people park the work vehicles outside their home.

Im confused, If my vehicle is parked on my driveway, do I still need a resident parking permit?

I'm grateful there has been a consultation on this, and to be able to share my views, but I'm not sure it will have any weight put on it in the decision making process.

I'm happy to take part in more consultations because that's the only way to help change happen.

I'm just afraid that someone with a 'polluting' car now who doesn't have the means to get a new car will be punished through the surtaxes, higher permit fees etc for not having the means for a new car, rather than being punished for the choice of a polluting car.

I'm not clear if you intend to increase the areas where resident parking permits are needed.

I'm really pleased with this initiative as it's long coming. The only other comment I would make is the surcharge for diesel cars should be much higher than £50. It should be way more like £300 to really discourage diesel vehicle owners. Business owners of vans & trucks need more incentives to switch and local councils should push for more funding from central government for grants etc to give to these business owners for less polluting vehicles.

I'm really worried about the restrictions on visitors to me if they have to drive. This also includes workmen or delivery people to my address.

I'm retired on a State Pension a couple of years ago I bought car to help with my ability to get about.

Then ULEZ was introduced, I had to buy another car which complied, couldn't sell my old car because of ULEZ so it was scrapped for £140.

Now Lewisham's Labour led Council want to put up the Parking fees on top of all the expense they have created.

Now they are trying to fool us poor with the reasons why, when they really want to keep us

poor.

The worst thing is no matter what is said against the proposal, its going to go ahead.

Thats this survey is FAKE!!!!

I'm very supportive of the Council's healthy neighbourhood scheme in Lee Green and believe this has the potential to transform this area. Reduced traffic, more pleasant spaces is really good news for people who live there. Can we have one in my area of Blackheath/central Lewisham please.

I would like the Council to use any surplus income generated from this scheme to help fund healthy neighbourhoods as the Council budgets for these schemes are very modest. This pot could help (Match) fund useful additions such as planters, trees, benches, bike hangers etc I'm very worried about the extension of the ULEZ (personally because my cars will not meet the emissions requirement) and for a huge amount of the population who will be penalised for not being able to afford a new car

Improve or increase cycle only routes

Improve the wider environment by increasing cycling lanes, maintaining pavements and improving walkways eg planting more trees along them.

Improve your cycling policy! You do pretty much nothing for cyclists. When you redo roads, you don't add cycling lanes. The Lewisham centre redevelopment is an absolute shame. It's all very well and good to 'punish' diesel, but what do you do to encourage cycling? Nothing.

There is no cycling policy. We don't need different types of cars, we need less cars.

Improving air quality is about not driving at all - not about changing driving habits. Car ownership should be strongly deterred.

Improving air quality isn't just about penalising people for driving, it's about being proactive about planting more trees that absorb particulates for example. All new development schemes should include planting targeted specifically at improving air pollution. London Plane trees are particularly good at this, though they need more maintenance than other sorts of trees - this is maintenance that I think is worth investing in. For example, the sloping banks on the A102 at the Sun in Sands roundabout are grass and scrubby shrubs. if these were planted with bigger trees the pollution at that junction would be massively reduced.

Improving walking and cycling, so improve funding of street trees. Use cpz funds for work to trees so they have longer life. And fund new trees. Again with clever planning!

In addition to penalising diesel vehicles, give a discount to vehicles which are ULEZ compliant or hybrid, and total discount to electric vehicles.

In April I traded in my Mark 6 diesel Golf for a 2016 1l petrol blue motion polo. I made the change because of the central London ULEZ charge and my wish to be part of creating a better environment. My road tax is now only £20 p.a.- financial incentives do work. I have not gone for an electric or hybrid vehicle as yet because I am not confident of being able to find local charging points.

In do not live in Lewisham but work for the borough.

I drive to work and part of role involves visiting schools, for which I need my car.

I already pay £500 to park behind Laurence House.

The car park is a disgusting dust bowl which requires me to clean my car every other day.

I would not begrudge paying the fee if the surface of the car park was fit for purpose.

The stone are a health and safety hazard.

The government actively encouraged car buyers to buy diesel car, with their lies and now they are penalising them.

In general terms, greater effort needs to be made to encourage use of public transport by commuters and other travellers into, through, and out of Lewisham (and indeed throughout

London. Extending the ULEZ to include the South Circular could go some way to doing this but undoubtedly other things could be done such as improving bus and train services. Reducing car traffic on its own would improve bus services since there would be fewer obstacles for buses travelling through the borough - and this could shorten commuter and other journey significantly. Another measure should be to discourage the use of cars for short journeys, in particular by parents depositing and collecting their children to and from schools. So there.

In Germany, there is a swing back towards NEW diesels on environmental grounds. We need to keep up with the science or the policies will seem outdated. Also, any policy on diesels or other types of vehicles needs to be absolutely consistent with the GLA's policy on the same types of vehicles (eg new diesels will not attract a surcharge under ULEZ yet this proposed policy by Lewisham appears to not differentiate between these and older models).

In Lewisham Gateway which is in Station Road you don't have a secure parking for motorcycle and bicycles as well.

To streets away from Station Road (Lewisham Hill street) they are a plenty of empty parking space for cars.

If I want to buy a full electric car is useless in Lewisham Borough...

In mainland Europe and Scandinavia most people both cycle and drive. As a cyclist (main form of transport), walker, public transport user and driver I feel there is a lack of understanding/ empathy between cyclists and drivers in London (with the exception of bus drivers and black cab drivers who are on the whole excellent). There needs to be greater awareness raised on both sides of what its like to be a driver/ cyclist, with social media campaigns and videos of what it is really like for a cyclist and HGV driver. There needs to be excellent infrastructure/ cycle routes so people can feel confident, along with better bike parking. Cycling should be compulsory in all primary schools (like swimming is) as life skill- all 11 year olds should pass their bikeability (or equivalent). There should be incentives/ rewards for choosing to cycle/ walk over driving. If you want less cars on the road you need to offer attractive workable alternatives for people to get door to door.

In my opinion, the proposal un.masks resident parking permits as what they are: primarily a car ownership tax and not so much a means to manage the roads.

I am in favour of management of emissions, however there are more effective measures that can be taken (described above) before getting into the pockets of residents.

Especially a comprehensive provision of electric car charging points should come BEFORE considering emission based parking fees.

In my road there is parking space for one car per house. How can a parking strategy accommodate houses with multi occupation or large households where there can be 4 or more cars owned by households?

Some people need cars for work and disabled people need cars for mobility. My car usage locally is mostly for heavy shopping and social access. As a pensioner I cannot afford taxis when returning home late or when public transport is not easy.

In my view the Local Authority should provide shopping trollies that people can use to bring the shopping all the way to thier homes.

For instance, I live in Caford and can easily transport my shopping from Lidle otr Tesco in a trolley without using a car, provindg that a sturdy, propr trolley is provided and can then be returned. Like the "Borris Bikes". Of course cycle and trolley paths can be beneficial and used for both.

Much pollution is caused by cars travelling a relatively short distance for shopping and this can easily be prevented.

In order to improve air quality you must provide us residents with a means to start improving. There is no point slapping extra taxes on us thinking that will improve air quality - it will not.

What will the extra tax money you make go toward?

We need to use battery powered cars that require charging points, local government needs to contribute toward the cost of these cars. The poorest people with the oldest cars are going to suffer a higher tax now.

I recently had a diesel car but sold it in light of ULEZ tax. I tried to buy a battery car but there is no where on my street to charge it. I can't run a cable from my house to the car because the car park places outside my house were removed and a cable is a trip hazard to the public. Average priced battery cars can't travel long distances at the moment.

I don't use my car during the week days, only at the weekends to get out of London. So why should I pay a tax when I barely contribute to it?

In the stats it didn't mention what % of pollution is estimated to actually come from Lewisham residents? I imagine a significant amount also comes from traffic passing through on a20 etc?

A more efficient car driven daily will still pollute more than an older car only used once a week or so (and same brake dust etc).

Taxing parking permits therefore doesn't make sense when we already have very high taxes on fuel - a far more direct and accurate tax on pollution than the blunt instrument of simple car parking. And diesel is already being appropriately penalised by the incoming ULEZ.

In theory I agree with your proposals, but worry that as a poor borough it may be wrong to penalise people who cannot afford to replace their cars, especially when so much of the pollution must come from cars passing through on the south circular

Incentives such as free parking and lower or no taxes for electric cars.

Increase parking fines and use money to plant trees

Increasing parking permit costs are in effect a direct indiscriminate tax on residents

Tax all residents equally if you want to improve air quality

Just because you don't have a car does not mean your life style is not adding to air pollution, taxi travel, deliveries, take away deliveries are all part of the picture.

Owning a car and parking it does not automatically mean heavy car usage,

Increasing parking permit costs will not help with air pollution; residents need to park and they will continue to do so. All the increase will do is give the council more money to do with as they wish and penalise the people who need/ want to have cars.

Increasing the cost of permits will affect those least able to afford it.

Encouraging those who can to change their cars ignore the scraping cost to the environment.

There are conversion kits that can make older car half LPG (dual fuel) and more efficient.

This is common in Australia.

Offering support for this is a great idea.

PS- the same applies to the ULEZ idea.

Increasing the price of parking permits will encourage some to convert their front gardens into driveways which is also detrimental to the environment leading to less trees/bushes etc and issues over rain run off.

Parking permits do not relate to car usage

Individuals who may have purchased diesel vehicles did so in good faith. They would not have purchased them if they had been properly informed that they significantly increase air pollution. Therefore although I do not own a diesel vehicle myself, I do not think individuals should be penalised because they currently own a diesel vehicle.

I have calculated my permit cost and it will increase by £100 and in my opinion the council is using air pollution as an excuse to exploit residents to increase revenue. What is this extra money going to be used for?

Install charging points on residential roads

Instead of a car tax charge why do you reward us for driving less miles within the Borough.

Instead of adding a surcharge for Diesel permits (clearly nothing more than a money making exercise for the council), be more encouraging to those with higher emission vehicles to buy low emission when renewing their vehicles. Don't punish those with diesel vehicle already, reward them for changing them.

Also, be more transparent and honest about your research - most newer diesel cars are clean enough to pass the ULEZ criteria - cars that pass this should be exempt from any form of surcharge Lewisham Council is going to enforce (no matter what the outcome of this "poll" is).

We pay enough to park our cars outside our own homes - which we shouldn't have to do anyway (if Lewisham Council wish to operate a fair CPZ, only those who don't live in the CPZ zones should have to pay to park there).

What are the council doing about cyclists, scooters and motorise scooters that terrorise pedestrians on the pavements - it's no longer safe for pedestrians on pavements and NOTHING is done to stop this. Is this because the council can't make money out of it - try fining those that refuse to use the roads they are meant to be on, you'll make a fortune! Instead of profiteering by charging people more money to park cars they already own and penalising the poorer residents who can't afford to upgrade their vehicle, why don't you make it free parking for resident who's cars are less polluting. That will also encourage people to buy cleaner cars in the future. The wealthier residents will still drive their diesels because they can afford to. No one will change their car at great expense because you're going to charge an extra £50. Charge a £1000 for diesels if you wanna a see change!! It's pure profiteering. By your calculations below 66% of car owners will be paying more than they presently pay, and yet most of those cars are not diesel.

Introduce a by-law that bans cars being left running while stationary at the kerb, signs in all areas, enforcement including police backing up citizens who try to get perpetrators to switch off. As for your calculator, it won't accept the standard 7 character numbers.

Introduce parking permits on the Corbett estate.

introducing parking permit won't be a solution, as this has proven ineffective in other boroughs. If this is implemented, how do you plan to use the funding? Will it. be re-invested in environment-related projects?

Introducing school buses.

Introducing surcharge for older, polluting vehicles is effectively a tax on those unable to afford a new car. I am dismayed that a Lewisham council would not look at ways to combat poverty instead of taxing the poorer in this manner.

Isn't this a tax on older people who likely have older cars?

it should be once a month one day car free ..road close so we are able to walk anywhere or exercises with kids .

It all feels as though it's a 'let's do something drastic to make people think we care, whilst actually we're just making extra money' kind of situation.

With this proposal, I stand to lose a lot of money on the value of my car, which I'm still paying off and it may tip my yearly finances over the top in already unmanageably and stupidly expensive city. That's caring for your people!

Also, this survey is extremely biased and forces answers out of your residents, it's a guilt trip on the people.

It appears that the new resident permit pricing scheme doesn't take into account the level of emissions from diesel cars, or distinguish between the harmful effects of higher CO2 emissions from many petrol cars compared with diesel. We purposely bought a EURO 6 compliant diesel model (band 3 CO2 emissions) in order to reduce our impact on climate change and to minimise our NOX and particulate emissions.

Our car satisfies the requirements for the ultra-low emission zone, but under these plans

Lewisham will be charging us an additional £80/year to park outside my house, while some petrol vehicles with higher CO2 emissions will be paying less than we are. Could not Lewisham use the same criteria as the Greater London Authority when setting its prices, and distinguish between higher polluting diesel vehicles and EURO 6 compliant models. It both unjust and unfair to force Lewisham residents and visitors to pay more based on the type of car they have.

This is just another attempt to raise revenue under the guise of improving the environment. It doesn't seem fair to charge those with older cars usually - so probably those with less money a penalty for being in the position they are in.

It feels like a money-grab thinly disguised as being for the public good. It doesn't prevent the through traffic which is the main contributor. I'm disproportionately penalised given I rarely use my car. The scheme is targeting car ownership rather than car usage. It's not long ago politicians actively encouraged highly pollutive diesel vehicles.

It feels like people driving through Lewisham rather than residents are the issue here.

It feels wrong to charge blue-badge holders for visitor permits when visiting houses with residents' parking permits.

The nearest place where disabled people can park with blue-badge freedom might be too far - as it is for my mother-in-law!

It has been discovered that diesel cars with catalytic converters are better for the environment than petrol cars with similar technology. The only reason that the government is targeting diesel cars is that they use less fuel, which is actually better for the environment as they don't have to make as much, and therefore they can't make as much money in tax. Having a tiered payment system for parking permits just targets the lowest paid and poorest sections of the community. If I could afford a fancy new car with lower emissions I would get one, and if you charge even more for parking, which is already outrageous, I will never be able to afford a better vehicle, and yet I need to use a vehicle for my business.

It has not been proved that modern diesel vehicles are the worst offenders. Also people purchased diesel on government advice. So should not be penalised

It ignores a significant source of pollution

it is a concern that those who cannot afford newer more efficient cars may be penalised by this policy

It is a fact that the average life expectancy of the male and female population is gradually increasing regardless of air pollution and air quality generally. So whilst it is important to encourage cleaner air it is also important not to penalise drivers who need to go from one place to the next.

It is a great idea to implement these surcharges. But it is totally wrong to do it without warning. People typically only buy a new car every 5 years or more. So you need to give at least 5 years' warning and/or phase them in gradually. You will still change behaviour, but you avoid unfairly penalising those who can least afford a new car.

It is a shame that we were told to buy firstly cars now we are being penalised for them

It is a topic which needs attention. I am glad it is being done.

It is absurd to punish people who were simply being good citizens following government regulations, expecting them, in good faith, to be truthful. Then a couple of yrs later they change their minds. COMPENSATE US so we can change our car, then you can talk about punishing us for being good citizens. We thought this car would see us out, the last of our savings went on the car (BMW series 320d)and we, as pensioners, thought it would see us out, thank goodness we had not yet had the chance to sell the petrol car!

YOU ARE PUNISHING PEOPLE FOR FOLLOWING GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS! This is quite outrageous and totally unacceptable!

It is already too expensive for residents to park their cars outside their own homes. Prices should not increase for petrol permits.

It is Big Business who is causing air pollution, not the people trying to hold down a job that requires driving.

It is difficult for people who have owned their diesel cars for some years - buying them when it was considered the best sort of car to buy - to suddenly face new higher charges. I'm sure many diesel car owners would love to be able to change to electric or petrol cars but simply cannot afford it. Do your changes unfairly penalise those least able to afford them? it is fraudulent to use this as an excuse to raise funds. would the council subsidise electric car purchase.

They should as well wait till the london policy kick off in 2021.

Charging diesel cars is morally wrong, would they provide an alternative fuel
It is good to see Lewisham taking a lead here. As a Greenwich resident I hope my borough will take parallel measures as there is so much vehicle interchange across the borough boundary

It is illogical to charge more for a parked car based on exhaust emissions.
It is in part flawed in that, like many others, I was encouraged through previous governments' incentives to buy a diesel car on environmental grounds, and diesel owners now find themselves penalised for doing what they thought was the right thing.

As the ULEZ will be extended in the next two years and will effectively proscribe diesel vehicles (except Euro 6) from Lewisham it would be fairer to hold the implementation of the new charges until that time and harmonise both actions.

The blanket proposed £50 diesel charge is highly unfair, in that Euro 6 diesels emit virtually no NOX.

It is irrational and exceptionally unfair to charge Lewisham residents, trapped in already expensive controlled parking zones, for a problem they are not causing. This is purely a revenue raising issue, cynically using the environmental arguments as an excuse. It will not improve air quality.

Reducing through traffic and diverting traffic away from the area, or improving the bottlenecks would make a far larger contribution to the improvement of air quality in the borough. This is irrefutable. LOCAL RESIDENTS ARE NOT THE PROBLEM AND YOU KNOW IT!!

It is just an extra tax on poor people.

This will send struggling people into debt.

People do not have extra money, things are very hard.ik

It is just a way for the council to get more money. People with diesel cars and older cars cannot always afford to change them. People with more money can. By making parking permits more expensive, you are effectively taxing the poor.

It is not clear which cars cause the high pollution levels in Lewisham Borough. No information is given in this survey.

Given that only just over a 50% of residents own a car it would be worth exploring of whether the pollution is caused by lorries and vans driving through Lewisham on their way to/from the South of England.

It is also worth exploring how often residents use their cars before you introduce higher tariffs.

It is not fair to penalise owners of older diesel cars. We already have to pay to park outside our house and when any visitor comes. It does take time to move to newer, less polluting vehicle. A diesel tax is a soft option to target.

It is not fair unless you spend ALL of the additional revenue to subsidize replacement vehicles for poorer people. Fat chance of that right?

It is not for the borough to be increasing council tax revenues on the back of pollution control.

The government and the Mayor are working to discourage the use of diesel engines and increase the use of low emission vehicles. The CPZ schemes are widely regarded as undemocratic (at least in Ladywell ward) and pushing up the charges through the back door is

likely to lead to more challenges to the times of operation and the charges levied.

There is little the council can do about through traffic on the TFL controlled roads, but encouraging cycling and walking for local journeys is of paramount importance.

It is not right to charge higher rate for parking vehicles with higher emissions as they are not generating any emissions whilst parked!

Charges are already introduced in many areas of London for circulation of any such vehicles whilst they are generating emissions. These emission charges will come to Lewisham in 2021.

Introducing another charge based on pollution to parking is not necessary and would be a double penalty over the same matter. There is enough discouragement from the ULEZ charge that is being extended to Lewisham in 2021 and the council would better focus their efforts on addressing other polluting sources not already covered by other legislation such as the ULEZ.

It is pointless as you will do it anyway. Even though it is grossly unfair

It is terribly important to face and act on improving air in the borough. My only concern is that in doing this, poorer car drivers will be penalised. This could mean that more people might make the choice to rely on public transport, but in some cases this might not be the solution. Perhaps alongside Lewisham offering a positive carrot and stick approach, it(we) could be putting a demand to government to offer financial support to encourage those with older, polluting vehicles to upgrade.

It is unclear why visitor parking permits are increasing. What evidence do you have that visitors account for a significant proportion of air pollution?

It is unfair that children are the most affected by this and yet they have no say.

It is unfair to charge extra money on parking vehicles, because they can't afford to buy a cleaner vehicle. It is charging poorer people who need cars to go to work and earn money.

It is unfair to charge people who rarely use their car the same amount or more as people who use theirs daily and contribute significantly more to the air pollution. Annual mileage should be taken into account

It is unfair to introduce more charges considering the ULEZ charge in Lewisham from 2012.

It is unreasonable and inappropriate to double the current price of the low emission permits from £30 to £70.

It is up to the national government to phase out heavy polluting vehicles not the local council. Additional taxes for local people using heavy polluting vehicles is a tax on the poor workers who only bought diesels because the national government was encouraging it.

It is very unfair to make people pay extra to park outside their house. We already (are forced) have to pay to park outside our house to get a space (not on the weekends). Why should we be punished more because of the car we drive?

It is very wrong for the government to have encourage the purchase of diesel cars and vans, to then change their stance and now want to charge those who bought in good faith, for the right to park outside their own residence. Residents simply cannot afford the higher rates proposed after owning a vehicle they thought was better than petrol.

It is wholly unfair and wrong in law for Lewisham Council to levy a second penalty from diesel car owners and it is likely to be subject to judicial review. There is to be a 'pollution charge' coming into force from the Mayor Of London very soon which is likewise vexed. The principle should be that the polluter pays. However, in the case of diesel vehicles, the public was subjected to fraud on the part of the car manufacturers and, in good faith, believing that diesel vehicles were less polluting than others, people purchased them, only to then have it revealed that a fraud had been committed. It is not the fault of ordinary people that companies have acted in this despicable fashion and ordinary people should not be punished. They certainly should not be punished twice over. It will be necessary for people to replace their diesel vehicles soon in any event. I am utterly against this second penalty. The Council could offer free public transport to more people.

It is wrong to increase the cost of resident parking permits in this way. Road tax is already raised based on emissions and the ULEZ will also encourage lower emission vehicles but to add to the cost of resident parking. I do not drive a diesel vehicle but your calculator say that my yearly charge will almost double under this scheme. Not right

It isn't fair to increase permit costs on diesel cars as some members of the public can't afford low emissions cars. It would better for the government to work work with car manufacturers to incentivises them to lower the cost of these cars.

It may be equitable for the higher charges to be applied for new permit applications only in the first place and for existing permits to be graduated, thereby there would be a transition to the increased charges over 2 or more years.

It probably won't be listened to if the overall results don't match what you've already decided to do :(

it seem strange that you are going to charge more for car which when parked do not give out any emissions

it is just a way of making money from car drivers

It seems like a fairer way to charge people for parking

It seems that everyone wants to penalise private individuals both directly and indirectly (via increased charges to businesses that will be passed on in the form of higher prices) for the "errors" of previous governments in promoting diesel. We need to be a little more inventive and creative in finding and implementing better, greener solutions and promoting these. It seems to be "make-work" Lewisham need to be seen to be doing something - it will cost a fortune to set up and manage, and can only truly be enforced by introducing more of the dreaded CPZ's

It seems to me it is just another way of screwing more money out of us.

It seems unfair that some people who cannot afford a new car will be penalized if this is implemented in the next year or so. A resident who drives out of Lewisham Borough in the early morning and returns after 6pm would still be penalized if they had an older car. People buying their vehicles over 3-5 years have little chance of swapping to a more efficient car so soon without heavy financial charges. A more extended period to warn people that these new charges will apply would be better so that everyone who wishes to has a fair chance of getting a more efficient vehicle.

Can the Council guarantee that money raised from these schemes is spent on improving air quality or roads and not on other Council needs e.g. pensions?

No doubt neighboring councils will have similar parking increases so is there consultation between Councils over air quality ?

It seems unfair that the Government originally encouraged the purchase of diesel vehicles because of their relative CO2 emission benefits and are now penalising them because of Nox. This will lead to early scrapping of vehicles which is another waste, and costs to families who bought diesel vehicles for good environmental reasons. The £50 surcharge should only apply for new diesels not ones bought when they were encouraged.

It seems very timely and important.

It should also measure how much people use their vehicles

It should be people's choice what car to own and there should be help provided via a scrapage scheme for those with diesel cars who were encouraged to buy them due to the fact they were told they were better for the environment buy the government only ten years ago!!

It should not be up to the borough to drive this policy, it's a waste of public funds, vehicles should be taxed once, they already are, parking permits are irrelevant - potentially it's a double tax, people will not select a different car because of parking permits, they will park elsewhere instead.

It should place a higher tax burden on people driving/commuting through the borough, as we know that there are more cars driven through the borough at peak times than there are registered within Lewisham. It does appear that the first target is to penalise the local residents who cannot afford to change their cars, where is should be the first target to

penalise traffic going through the borough, then following this step, then target those living in the borough.

The borough currently has no charging points for electric vehicles, and the electricity supply per road, can only support fast charging of 6 vehicles concurrently. So even if all residents bought electric cars, only a very small minority would be able to charge them.

If the GLA are charging for emissions why does Lewisham have to add further charges? We know that Lewisham will be included in the ultra low emissions area by Oct 2021.

It would be better to consider reducing the charges.

It would be good to have parking permits which cover the weekends and not just week days. I live not far from Lewisham shopping centre and people park on our road (Ellerdale Street) and surrounding roads and they won't be penalised for having cars with high emissions etc which I feel is conflicting to the council's plan to improve air. It also means those who live there have to pay a penalty and those who visit for shopping won't.

It would be interesting to consider the numbers of vehicles which drive within Lewisham borough, the proportion of these which are residents' vehicles (given only 47% of residents have vehicles) & whether requiring residents to pay a charge will be a sufficient deterrent / make sufficient impact on air quality in the borough.

It would hit the poorest the hardest to base parking outside your own home on emissions. It would seem to me that this is yet again another scheme by the council for getting more money from its residents, especially the motorists. I do not see how this would benefit the Lewisham residents or the air quality of the area. The people living here and parking here are not driving here. Parking does not affect the environment. What about heavy vehicles, including council, buses and taxis travelling through Lewisham. I do recall the introduction of residents parking permits, at the time of the building of Millennium stadium. It was supposed to be temporary, supposedly to deter people from outside the borough from parking in our streets, then travelling by train into central London. The previous system worked perfectly well, with restricted parking times for non residents.

It's a good idea to have Disabled bays mandatory. Some people have little or no regard for disabled people by parking in the disabled bays.

It's a shame not one person has the insight to move traffic away as quickly as possible instead we see Unnecessary hold ups by bad traffic light management

It's great

It's mainly an income stream for a profligate council hiding behind health concerns. It's not fair that your policy regarding Diesels do not match those of ULEZ. As a large family we require a 7 seater. We have really bought a 2018 diesel as it complies with TfL's ULEZ requirements. To find out you are going to add a blanket surcharge that is not in line with ULEZ really is unfair. How on earth do you expect residents to make reasonable decisions on large scale purchases (25k) in our case if there is going to be different rules applied regionally and locally? The two schemes should align and modern diesels which are Euro emissions 6 compatible should not have an additional charge applied.

It's not just diesel cars but older and more polluting cars and vans that need to be disincentivised.

It's not right that I should pay any more money I am doing my part to reduce waste by driving less

It's not your job to take more money from residents based on a choice of vehicle that was made when the perceived wisdom was to encourage diesel purchase.

It's unclear as to how this will affect motorcycles. Please be more clear. Currently I believe motorcycles do not need permits and this should be encouraged and retained. Not forced to pay £70. They take up a quarter of the parking space and emit a fraction less pollution with their smaller engines than cars.

The increase in fines is also a money spinner, the fine at £60/£110 is no different in terms of a discouragement than the £80/£140 fine... instead of bringing alignment by upping one; You should lower the other.

It's unfair to charge diesel cars more when in previous years the government were pushing towards diesel cars.

It's a good idea. Every little helps.

It's all good and well the council asking people to use local transport. I have used the bus to go to work and found I was constantly being late because of traffic being held up in Lewisham due to the traffic lights. Also the new bus route through the train station doesn't help either. Driving my car now I can divert away from traffic standstills.

It's an important initiative

It's another money grabbing scam to affect the hard working class who keeps Lewisham and London going.

It's another way for the council to make money off poor people. I don't even have a car!

It's bad that households which do not cause pollution so don't have a car do not get free resident's permits but those which are polluting our air get to have free visitors. This is a perverse incentive to have a car. If you won't give everyone free visitor permits you should take away for those who buy permits.

It's crazy to look at the price increases. It does not clearly state where the additional revenue will be invested. It comes across as a money making scheme only! Old, heavily polluting vehicles should be charged. Commercial vehicles and van. Not ordinary people.

It's extremely unfair to tax people who own a diesel car (and were told by the government that these cars were actually better for the environment 5 years ago) when the price of Hybrid or EV cars are so high. This seems to be a blatant tax on those people that can't afford a more expensive/'cleaner' car. Not to mention the environmental effect of scrapping/selling on older cars to buy new, if all those people who did get rid their car to get around the higher rate of permit. It's effectively a loose loose situation for those who are trying to live in the area.

To me this sounds like a knee jerk reaction to the extension of the ULEZ that come in to force next year. I thought Parking Permits were there to ensure that there was a safe place to park near you home? You're now suggesting that actually it's money generating exercise for the council. I would be very interested to see where this money goes.

From what I understand this won't help clean the air in Lewisham it will simply annoy people. Certainly make me want to move out of the area more and more.

It's good cause .

It's great what your trying to do for the environment but everyones case is different and should be looked at more carefully.

It's important not to demonise diesel cars. Modern engines are as clean as petrol, with lower CO2 emissions.

For this reason, diesel cars complying with Euro 6 standards should not be penalised (as in the ULEZ regs)

It's just taking advantage of a problem to make money. I will have to pay double the annual parking permit costs just to have my car turned off and parked on the road I live.

It's late but it's not too late. Please see beyond the financial implications and look at the view over London from Greenwich Park. We shouldn't be able to see the air that we breath.

It's outrageous that when a vehicle is parked you propose to charge a higher levy. How can this be justified when a diesel vehicle may be parked for 6 days a week and then used only on weekends for journeys outside of the borough This policy is clearly poorly thought out and should never be implemented.

It's really bad. Please do something!

It's unclear from the survey whether new resident permit zones are to be introduced into areas that do not currently operate resident permits. Greater clarity on this is needed.

It's unfair to Tax diesel cars seeing as the govt encouraged us to buy diesel

It's unfair that residents should be charged/penalized for having a car. How can that be justified its almost like you want to control how a person moves around Lewisham.....what about our right to freedom??

It would be disgusting to bring this charge in especially when people are buying new cars that have low emissions.

The regular parking permits are bad enough. the council charge enough council tax but still have the cheek to charge extra for garden rubbish. Lewisham council need to get their facts right and listen to its residents!!!

It's unfair to charge people based on the fuel they use. Some may not even drive within the area often... Most diesel car users like myself do long drives on the motor way and often walk to local places like school, gp, shops etc

Its unfair to charge petrol car users dearly for permits because diesel car causes more pollution

It's unfair to hit families who already own a diesel car with a surcharge . At the time of buying the car we were told it was the more environmentally friendly choice as consuming less!

I think it is ok to set this rules to encourage people acquiring new cars to ensure they take into account the impact on air quality. But extremely unfair to punish those that cant easily change cars without great expense

It's unfair to penalise drivers based on their emissions just so they can park within the Lewisham borough. It isn't the drivers fault for the way the car emits it's fumes, the car manufacturers are at fault! I have a diesel vehicle because you get more miles to the gallon than petrol and I only fill up once a month. This new scheme, if it does come into force will not affect me, the only reason i drive into or through Lewisham is for work, any charges i incur will go through my expenses for my company to pay.

Just a revenue goal

Just a very obvious money making mechanism packaged up as social policy.

As always this badly thought through initiative will hit the most poorly paid who have old cars and can't afford to replace them with those which are more fuel efficient as well as self employed van owners struggling to make a living.

Only until recently we were all being encouraged to buy diesel. Now all those who followed this guidance are to be harshly penalised by greedy guts councillors who lack the imagination and intellect to resolve this very complex issue in a balanced and appropriate manner!!

Just another money making scheme for the council. Green washing as the incinerator is responsible for for more lethal exhaust gases

JUST ANOTHER WASTE OF PUBLIC MONEY

Just increasing the cost of parking for higher emission cars will do little to improve the air quality in the borough. It will, in effect, be just another tax on the poor. It is those without the means to purchase a greener vehicle that are forced to keep hold of older cars. Increasing the parking charges for these vehicles would just increase the cost of running these cars while doing very little to get them off the road. For the more wealthy residents, the difference in parking charges would do little to deter their use of higher performance and so higher polluting cars. For commercial vehicles, the higher parking costs would merely be passed on to their customers. It would not stop them from using their vehicles in the borough. The proposed policy would then be just another revenue raising excercise.

To have any significant impact on air pollution, policies should encourage people to reduce the use of their vehicles. They should make greener forms of transport a more attractive alternative. Strategies such as building protected cycle lanes; providing more bike racks; extending cycle hire schemes to encompass the outer regions of London; making public transport more assessable and affordable. Simply increasing the cost of parking will just raise the expenses of car users. It will not reduce their car use in anyway.

Just taxing parking isn't a solution.

Just to reinforce education of no idling when in traffic jams and when parked up and texting etc. Would cut a lot of unnecessary emissions

Keep all residents updated via post or email

Keep Lewisham safe

Keep Lewisham safe from Pollution

Kelvin Grove primary on Kirkdale in Sydenham would love to work with the council to try to improve air quality in the vicinity of the school. We are investing in planting around the perimeter of the school to create a 'barrier' against air pollution but would really value the council's support to reduce vehicle idling and often illegal parking outside the school at the start and end of the day eg parking on the pavement, parking on yellow lines, blocking the emergency vehicle access to the school etc. Many thanks

Large cars should be penalised for space they take up and danger to pedestrians. Even if they are "low emission"

Large suvs and similar have no place in London. They should be penalised. All drivers should be taxed and it should be made more difficult to keep a vehicle.

Leave everything alone.

Less car use is the key. Get people out of cars, get cars off the pavements, support and facilitate walking would yield massive health and environmental benefits. I cannot understand why Lewisham is so dilatory in this matter.

Lewisham roads have become very congested. When comments are being made about road safety initiatives it hardly ever seems to be acted upon. I hope that this consultation will not be another exercise rather than listening to the ideas of the residents of the borough who are the ones that are being affected by poor air quality.

Lewisham again is taking the easy option by attacking the parking zone residents with increased parking charges. The pollution problem is Lewisham wide and therefore should be treated as such. I believe by bringing in these changes to just one section of Lewisham population is wrong a probably illegal.

Lewisham Council and Lewisham Homes have polluting vehicles that just do short journeys. Lewisham should be leading the way and not picking on the easy targets as they appear to be doing.

It is unclear what vehicles will be covered in the 2021 therefore nothing should be changed until

Lewisham borough is notorious for low income families. These charges are bound to affect those.

Lewisham central is ridiculously busy at rush hour, despite all the layout changes over the years. Isn't it about time that we bit the bullet and looked at an underpass or overpass to get the commute moving so locals do not have to carefully time short journeys between close locations like Tesco & Lidl.

Lewisham could debar, restrict or/and stop polluting vehicles from passing through its roads to other Boroughs and other places, because this pollution is caused by all non-resident vehicles.

OTHER COMMENTS:

Why cost-punish Lewisham residents by fees and various parking charges???

Lewisham council have decided to jump on the band wagon & try to fleece its car owning residents

Lewisham council is probably going to ignore all the opposition as Lewisham Council always does in these things!

This will be a tax on people like myself who can't afford new or electric cars. Some people are just not fortunate enough to be able to do the aforementioned and thus will be penalised for being poor!!!!

Lewisham is a borough with poverty issues. Poor people can not afford new cars and have to buy what they can afford. This new policy discriminates against the poor. People with money won't care about the extra charges, they will just keep their polluting large suv's but poorer people will suffer.

Come on Lewisham think about the majority of your residents
Lewisham is a deprived borough. Many people are likely unable to replace their cars with a lower emission vehicle due to the cost of buying a new car and it would be unreasonable to financially penalise them for that. Maybe the borough needs to look at subsidising purchases of lower emission vehicles for residents who are buying a new vehicle rather than charging extra for parking certain vehicles. Whilst lowering air pollution is clearly an important task, it appears these proposals will have a disproportionately negative impact on residents who are already deprived

Lewisham is not a rich borough. The idea of adding diesel and emission based permits is a good one, however, the councillors must also remember that for many of those affected a car/van will be their second largest financial purchase, one like the home they live in, can not be changed easily or quickly.

A time to period to change residents vehicles must be offered. Until very recently the government supported diesel purchases, and many followed the government's direction. Now the government has changed its directive and those who followed in good faith are now being threatened by higher taxes. Higher taxes for doing what the government wanted.

I think a fair adjustment would be to exempt all those owners who purchased their vehicles more than 3 years ago, when diesel was not known to be a worse environmental choice. These people should be given a period of 3 years to change their car. This would be considerate to residents that are not wealthy and can not afford to simply change their car, they may need a number of years to save up.

In percentage terms to proposed cost increases for a band 5 vehicle is £10 for Petrol and £60 for diesel. For band 7 (most common car) £40 increase for petrol and £90 for diesel. Assuming an older car is worth £5,000 and those people live in a £350,000 home in the borough, the % increase in parking permits relative to the value of item being charged would be equivalent to £700 rise on council tax on the house price for petrol or £4,200 rise for diesel.

If the car was newer, worth £10,000 second hand value, and the house was £500,000, the £40 petrol and £90 diesel price rise would be equivalent to £2,000 or £4,500 in equivalent council tax rise.

I use house price comparison as both cars and houses are large financial commitment for most, and although £40 price rise does not sound much, it must be considered in terms of the value of asset / item that the additional charge is being applied to.

Lewisham is poor borough with residents probably owning a higher percentage of old vehicles, the councils policy should reflect this and minimise hardships

Lewisham is still a shithole

Lewisham residents may struggle to afford newer and less polluting vehicles.

Council to subsidise residents seeking to purchase a greener vehicle rather than penalise them.

Lewisham Roundabout (now a bad sort-of-crossroads) must generate more than its share of pollutants. It's also dangerous for cyclists and pedestrians. When it clogs up with motor traffic the cars turn down the side streets and form additional traffic jams. A redesign is probably in order. Does the council collect data on how much of the A20 and A21 traffic is leaving London, and how many are cars travelling locally over short distances?

Lewisham should be proactive like Hackney and have a Zero Emission Network team (ZEN)

Lewisham should be working harder to reduce air pollution.

Lewisham should do nothing - punitive fees within the extended ULEZ will drive away (pun intended) most or all the worst polluting vehicles by Autumn 2021.

Lower fees for low(er) emission vehicles will only encourage that road traffic congestion you are meant to be fighting.

This proposal seems to be using green credentials to cloak cynical revenue raising by a financially incontinent council unwilling to live within its means .
Lewisham should reinvest any profit it makes on parking permits, fines, pay and display etc into efforts to tackle air pollution. Not only is this a good thing to do, but it would be a popular way of spending the money. People will often moan that parking is just used by the council to make money, but they wouldn't mind so much if they knew that it was being directly used on things which combat the initial problem (i.e. cars cause pollution > make money from car parking > reinvest money to tackle pollution cause by cars).
Life has to go on , stop trying to make money out of everything and stop wasting money by making a mess of everything you touch ,i.e. Beckenham Place Park , what a disgusting foul up you have all made .
Limit number resident parking permits per household to encourage people to use public transport.

Manage number of permits to spaces in busy areas. E.g BHA in blackheath grove has a train station which attracts people to park from other parts of the area using there resident permits. This often leads to those with resident permits waiting very long periods for a parking space on the road they live. With engines running in extreme weather (a/c or heating). Changing the resident permit codes to limit vehicles coming into the roads who do not live there would reduce air pollution from residents waiting Uk to an hour for parking spaces.

Review traffic lights/crossings in busy areas to reduce idle vehicles sat in traffic
Living in vanguard street I would like the parking restriction times to be extended till at least 6pm and include Saturdays as there are many more vehicles making it difficult as a resident to park

Lobby central Govt to support scrappage scheme for cars
Lobby for ULEZ to be extended towards M25 to avoid the most polluting vehicles remaining on our most polluted and congested highways ie the South Circular.
Local air pollution from vehicles is primarily an NOx issue. Applying parking charges based on Co2 does not meet this objective. Climate change objectives via co2 are covered via road tax and fuel taxation - an additional local parking tax is not a logical addition.
Local residents are already going to be incurring huge expense in changing their cars when ULEZ is introduced. This is already a worry and financial burden on lower income residents, many of whom, will have to scrap their cars as worthless and don't have the funds to replace them. Penalising this same demographic with parking permit surcharges is incredibly unfair. This policy should only come into force after the introduction of ULEZ when most of the heavily polluting cars will have already left the borough.
London cannot afford to have cars in the streets and occupying parkings that serve to one or two people per car.

It would be useful to incentivise car sharing within the neighbourhood community by developing online carsharing opportunities as well as carsharing /carpooling priority parking. London consistently fails air quality standards, and the quality of life (and life itself) of Lewisham residents is threatened as a result.

I wholly support the proposals, not just as someone who cycles, walks and takes public transport around the borough, but as someone who also drives and is concerned about our health and the health of our planet.

London is one of the cleanest air cities already. Check out Bangkok, Kuala Lumpur, Beijing and the whole of India. Get them to do something first.

Charging extra for parking permits defeats your objectives. Parked cars do not produce emissions.

Your efforts are nothing more than extra taxation by stealth. Tawdry! And this whole exercise

is a staggering example of intellectual dishonesty. Robin Hood stole from the rich to give to the poor, whereas you steal from everybody to keep for yourselves.

Look at impact of bus and cycle lanes on free flowing traffic. Shouldn't have to pay to park on road outside own house. Already pay lots of council tax.

Look at mileage not just vehicle type

Look at motorbikes

looking at the extension of the transport links to Lewisham. Buses are slow and have too many stops and alternative needs to be available before people will leave their cars at home.

Lower charge for band 1 vehicles (£50)

Lower income households will suffer

Lower rates for lower emissions cars is fine - except what you are actually doing is increasing the prices by over 100% and then reducing them for lower emission cars.

Make all Lewisham Council Vehicles electric, but not convinced the pollution impact of non fossil fuel sources and vehicles that made both made by using fossil fuels are actually a net fossil fuel gain or loss.

Make parking permits apply on Sundays! shoppers and visitors to the temple jam up the roads around Gilmore road and we offer can't get parked if we go out in our car.

Also do something about the rat run between East Down Park and Dermond Road which has got very busy with dangerous over the last couple of months. You keep on putting leaflets about traffic through the door and keep putting in vehicle counters but never go further.

Make permits cheaper and change times of zones to 10.00am to 12noon.

Make street parking for houses with drives extremely expensive. Private cars parked on street is vandalism, plain and simple.

Make sure blue badge holders are the drivers and not just using the car. They can pay the charge too. Being disabled doesn't mean you can't pay. There are some very large cars with blue badges.

make sure future homes have parking

Make Thameslink trains stop at New Cross Gate station. Currently they pass through without stopping.

Open Overground station at Surrey Canal Road.

Making people pay more as an incentive to stop them owning higher polluting vehicles is frankly wrong. Buying and selling vehicles is expensive and unaffordable to many people.

There is nothing wrong with reducing emissions and if this charge must go ahead, many years' notice should be given to allow people time to replace their vehicles as they would normally not sooner because they are forced to.

Making the air cleaner in Lewisham should be a priority

Many car users of older vehicles, like myself, are being penalised judging by the questions. I could not afford a new car - I'd love to have the money to do so, but at my age and only able to work part time due to ill health I have enough money to pay the bills and enough left over for emergencies but that's it.

Get buses sorted first, then trees planted, then anything else.

There are loads like me in the borough - not quite pension age, health problems, paid off the mortgage on my small flat and just getting by.

We can't all afford new cars, parking permits, or healthy enough to cycle but I do use the park gym twice a week for 15 mins and run around after toddler grandchildren 2 days a week, journeys on public transport are fine if the bus goes near where you want it to, and you have 45 mins spare to get there, when the journey in a car takes 20 mins, trains don't go east to west.

I often feel that your surveys assume everyone is in their 20's/30's or elderly.

Many cars causing pollution in Lewisham are travelling through and do not use Lewisham permits. Our car spends the majority of its time within Lewisham parked and causing no pollution. We spend more driving time outside the borough. Having said that, we do want to

reduce our emissions and access to electric car charging would enable us to do this. We bought a diesel car when people were encouraged to do so because they were more efficient. Having learnt that they cause more pollution, we would like to change to an electric car but we do not have off street parking and Lewisham has ignored requests for electric charging points. Many low payed residents can not afford newer cars with lower emissions or to replace their diesel cars and they voted for permit control with out knowing that they may end up paying more. This just does not seem far.

Many people bought diesel vehicles before it was understood they were more polluting than petrol. That's why I disagree with the £50 surcharge.

many people bought diesels because policy encouraged them to do so for environmental reasons. It seems a bit unfair/peverse to punish them now! It seems more environmentally fair to charge those households who (often unnecessarily) have multiple vehicles.

Many people do not have the financial ability to be able to just buy another car that have low emissions which are newer cars. You can't punish people for that. Would be lovely if we could afford a new car to fit in with producing lower emissions. Perhaps the council should offer a financial incentive towards these cars

Many people need cars as part of them making a living, getting around for those who are infirm but who do not meet the threshold for a disabled badge an be hard and a car is the only way they can get around, people with families often need a car to help get them from a to b. Adding a surcharge to their, already expensive permit, is likely to mean many cannot get around the borough, to school, to work, etc. Many people will be forced to give up their cars and those who require a van will be lumbered with a huge permit cost of top of actually running the van? As it is we pay for a permit that is not usable on the weekends and evenings and so hundreds of other people drive into the area for church, mosque, school and shopping in Lewisham/Catford and Park here for free.

People's well being and quality of life will be affected. Use the income you already make to improve the quality of the air.

Many residents like myself rarely use their cars preferring to walk or use public transport on a day to day basis. Personally I use my car about twice a month and I'm not happy to find out my parking charge is nearly going to double for my petrol Mini. The big issue is with traffic travelling through the borough, not residential parking.

Also people will illegally convert their front gardens into driveways as they're doing now to avoid paying parking charges, which is happening now anyway. This prevents people from parking in parking bays , not wanting to block people in and avoiding confrontation too. This is something Lewisham council refuses to address

Massive change is needed because of climate change as well as public health

I support any strong measures you try.

Maybe you could have posters about deadly effects of diesel

I often ask drivers to turn off idling engines - as politely as I can. Most used to tell me to *** off, but lately they tend to smile and say 'of course', as if they are doing me a favour - perhaps because it's summer and their heaters are off. Most of them seem so ignorant about air quality. Lots of public health information is needed to change attitudes.

Mere cash grab scheme cashing in on the climate change hoax.

Modern diesel cars (with ad blue) are significantly less polluting than most petrol cars without a catalytic converter.

Penalising modern diesels is not the right approach.

The Council should consider the emissions for each car and only the the older Petrol and Diesels should be subject to to surcharges.

Modern ULEZ compliant diesel cars are not more polluting than petrol cars and therefore the blanket surcharge is unjust.

In fact I just checked the proposed prices and discovered that although my diesel car produces less pollution than my petrol car the permit would be dearer.

Money, Money, Money!!!

Stop overpopulation!!

I'm nearly 50 I lived through all this traffic and worse leaded Petrol. We can't all live forever!?!?

More advance notice of introducing higher charges for diesel cars. Maybe start higher charges in 3-4 years time, giving owners the chance to sell diesel cars and switch to petrol/electric/hybrid. Keep the charges the same for parking permits for diesel but give a discount to those already with lower emission vehicles. It is very unfair to slap on the extra charges with so little notice.

More car free days. Review of key traffic jams, e.g pelican crossing on Mantle Road especially when right next to a school (John Stainer). Also, we are not in a residents permit (Revelon Road); however given our proximity to Brockely station, out street is filled with cars that commute from elsewhere. This means we have disproportional air pollution that is not due to the residents but daily commuters who do not walk or take the bus to the station. if we had residents parking, we would not be affected in this way.

more CPZs in the area needed.

More disable parking bays

More effort should be made to prevent parents driving their children to and from school. It causes congestion and, more importantly, creates more pollution. Those walking to school have to breathe in those fumes.

more electric car charging points - no permits required for electric cars

More information needs to be displayed about the deaths/illnesses caused by air pollution in local papers plus signage in libraries, outside schools, supermarkets, main roads etc.

People who drive hybrid or electric cars should pay substantially less. Speed limits should be reduced so that hybrid cars never need to use petrol within built up areas.

More intelligent traffic lights and bridges over roads

more LDR or tube

More money taking out of our pockets

More protected cycle routes and filtering on residential roads to discourage rat running.

Enforcement of parking restrictions outside primary schools in Deptford.

Most residents in Lewisham are low income earners and this idea of further charging the poor is unacceptable and unfair

Motor trade businesses will need careful managing - especially where these premises are in close proximity to residential buildings. Should there be some sort of licensing system for motor garages and the like?

Motor traffic needs to be reduced dramatically through behaviour change, ie. more walking and cycling

Motorised lime scooters have been successful in other countries

Motorists are already being charged for the emissions level of their car via the Vehicle License. It seems inappropriate to effectively charge them twice, by also introducing a differential charge for parking permits

motorists should pay a lot more for the pain and early deaths they cause, not be given excuses by allowing them to use electric vehicles instead. public transport needs to be made good enough that nobody needs a car in London

Much more should be done in consultation terms of how the roads and highways in Lewisham are operating. Instead, the council is more focused on the big picture than fixing the everyday things.

My car currently falls into the low emission bracket and therefore I pay just £30 per annum.

You are proposing new parking fees to encourage residents to drive low emission vehicles (mine will be in category 1) yet this will increase the cost of my permit to £70 per annum.

How is that fair?

My car is an old diesel engine vehicle that is mainly used for towing a caravan and carrying camping and kayaking gear. It is not used on a daily basis and covers very few miles in the course of a year. I feel that I am being penalised as the carbon footprint is very low due to infrequent use, that is mainly outside London. To replace the towing capabilities of this car would be extremely expensive.

My car is classed as a low pollution petrol vehicle however my yearly permit will increase by £10 year.

This is so unfair.

Surely the whole idea is to target higher polluting vehicles.

This seems like another excuse for the council to raise revenue.

My car is used to ferry my mother who recently broke her hip to the shops, classes and doctors appointments. I cannot afford a new car and the carbon footprint of making a new car and destroying my old one would be far greater than the cost of my journeys. My car is petrol. As for diesel, it was the government that encouraged people to get them and they should not be penalised for following government guidelines.

My car parking is more due to work (visiting patients) than home (although I also live in Lewisham).

It is mainly the stressful experience of getting the bus which prompted me to get a car in the first place so if this was improved it would prompt more people to catch buses.

My car would increase in price for a 2 hour resident permit usage and I still cannot park outside my house when I return home from work

My complaint is there are no concessions for low income families or pensioners. I am on a fixed pension, I have a part time job as an exam invigilator to supplement that income and I have a small car to go to that job! I cannot rely on Public Transport!

My house has been in our family since the 1900's. When permits were first introduced they were £60, that doubled to £120 now it looks as if another £100 is going to be added. Added to that a sneaky £20 added to the garden waste bin! So Lewisham Council are making it impossible for me to afford to live in my home anymore!! When will it all end???????

My concern and suspicion is that under the guise of improving air quality you are just attempting to extort more money out of residents. You have already quadrupled the cost of parking permits in the time I have been a Lewisham resident, something I complained about years ago and was told was necessary due to cuts in council funding and the need to make savings. (Imogen Payami 2012)

I have just used your parking permit calculator and, hey presto, I will be paying even more yet again going up to £145 from £120, when it was £30 when I first moved to Lewisham, even though I have a very small and economical car that I hardly drive. That's a 383% increase in price, without giving the residents the choice of whether we even still wish for you to run this 'service' on our behalf, as you put it. It is pure extortion and using parking charges as a form of stealth tax is illegal.

I intend to write to my local MP in the strongest terms with supporting evidence suggesting that you the council be made to offer residents the option of whether they still want CPZs run now that you have so wildly increased the cost.

My concern with this whole consultation is that it feels like another hit/penalty to people with lower income who may own an older car. I've just changed my car from an 06 registered car to a 2017 registered car so I would probably benefit from the scheme. However, it feels that unnecessarily it's penalising those less well off... And this is alongside all the cuts we've had from Government over the last 10 years. Seems to just continue piling on the pressure. My current permit cost £120. This rate now suggests a charge of £170. I do not consider this to be in any way a reasonable increase in an annual charge and totally disagree with Lewisham increasing the permit for residents in this way. The majority of pollution is caused by traffic travelling through Lewisham yet it appears that Lewisham are attempting to raise income from residents under the guise of improving air quality.

My daughter has a blue badge. While she is in school I park on our 'none mandatory' disabled parking bay as it's outside my house.

My diesel car has much lower emissions than most petrol cars, so I don't understand a blanket £50 surcharge for ALL diesels

My fundamental observation is that is a typical case of Lewisham ticking some form of thoughtless box and in so doing has solved a problem? I feel that this policy is fundamentally discriminatory! The policy penalises the less well off members of Lewisham population. Some people who are more wealthy can afford brand new low polluting cars they could also afford higher parking charges. A number of people with older cars probably don't drive them very much, for example I do about 2,000 miles per annum, some people hardly use the car so it cannot be considered as causing pollution. I largely have a car because my wife was disabled and used a wheelchair, so the car was necessary for transportation. We did use public transport as much as possible.

My husband and I are retired and only use our vehicles occasionally because we have Freedom Passes and use public transport or walk if at all possible. We bought diesel vehicles many years ago because the advice given by the government was that they were less environmentally damaging than petrol. We do not have the money to change our vehicles. We prefer to leave them parked except at times when we cannot walk or take a bus. Yet again the council is penalising older people.

My issue is people like me who do very few miles in a car per year will be hit harder than those with lower emission vehicles who do considerably higher per annum mileage and actually pollute the air more than I do.

My only comment is. I actually drive a petrol car but my daughter shares my permit which is diesel. Years ago the Government was asking people to buy diesel cars and now these people are being penalised.

My issue is the permit is in my name and my car as the main car. My daughter shares the permit 5 weeks a year and this will cause my permit to rise drastically because of being a diesel permit

My only concern is that this is not really a consultation and is more a bureaucracy to justify a preconfigured decision that's already been made.

My only hesitation with this idea is that it may inversely affect those with lower incomes. Could the charge be income based also? For example those on higher incomes could afford to change their car to a newer, cleaner model that brings a lower charge. Whereas someone who may have been gifted a car for travel such as getting weekly groceries (budget supermarkets don't do delivery) wouldn't have this luxury.

My only reservation with this proposal is that the ULEZ charge is already being introduced so I feel I'm being hit twice in a short space of time. I feel it would be fairer to reduce the cost of a parking permit for green vehicles rather than increase it for less green vehicles as we can't all afford to change our cars immediately.

My permit costs will go up substantially. I feel frequency of use should be considered not published emissions.

My permit goes up 300%!! That is neither reasonable or fair and another good reason to leave the Borough which is more than likely what I will be doing.

My van is diesel but is compliant with the ulez and yet I am now being told by yourselves that I have to pay a £50 surcharge penalty per year. My wife owns a petrol car which she uses to get to and from work because she is a nurse working unsociable hours. So with 2 vehicles in our household we will now have to pay a total of £290 per year. I find this very unfair.

By planning these extra charges how is it going to improve the air quality in the borough. This seems like an extra way to squeeze more money from people who own vehicles.

Need to look at speeding on roads

Need to sort Lewisham roundabout and Ladywell turn off out

Neighbouring and in fact the while if London needs to carry out similar ideas as air pollutants do not recognise council boundaries.

New diesels have low emissions

Worried poorer residents will have older more polluting cars and end up paying more. Newer diesel cars satisfy the low emission standards and are less polluting than petrol cars. It seems bad policy therefore to apply a surcharge for these cars when many petrol cars are more polluting

nice to be included

No good idea, electric cars are the future.

No more should be done to make driving/parking cheaper from those rich enough to afford a electric/hybrid car. Any subsidies should go into making the vehicles cheaper to own rather than cheaper for existing owners to run.

No parking around schools at school run time

No parking permits where I live in Catford. Immediate pollution is higher as traffic is routed down Verdant Lane to join the South circular- exists from Wellmeadow etc should be opened up to better distribute traffic and pollution in the immediate area

No permit cost for residents!!

No thank you

No thank you

No to tax

No where near enough emphasis is placed on the way motorbikes are used.

I also want to see heavier fines for speeding vehicles and a new fine for anti-social driving which causes accidents, delays, pollution, noise pollution and violence/fear.

No, fundamentally as above. Also try to prevent cars using 'rat runs'. I live in Girton Road, which is a dangerous rat run from very heavily polluting Mayow Road to Newlands Park.

This road should be 'residents only' access and parking. Also the enormous pollution levels on Sydenham Road at the end of my road need to be reduced radically, not allowing highly polluting lorries and vans into the area except for deliveries. A house renovated in my road has been responsible for parking 5 vans a day on the road, as the developer says all his workers arrive separately with their equipment, this cannot be allowed to continue. Often they sit in their vans with the engines idling, either using the phone or eating, shocking. If I ask drivers not to idle their engine they usually answer me rudely.

Not all diesel engines are bad - just the old ones. Emissions have improved due to the improvements in engine design. What/whose data will the Council be using to establish how vehicles permits will be costed. Will the data be specific enough to differentiate between differing model types.

Not all diesels are equal. Your question 9 appears to be black and white but you can't just compare all petrol with all diesel, this is rather naive. Modern diesel is much less polluting so if you're going to jump on the diesel demonising bandwagon then consider all the facts and introduce an emissions based diesel charge not just a lazy and greedy, revenue generation scheme.

Not all roads in the borough have parking permits and in roads where there are permits some residents park on driveways or in a garage. Your policy also discriminates against older and less well off residents as they are more likely to own older cars. The one thing a council should do is treat all its residents in the same way. Your policy does nothing to improve air quality as you cannot control all cars driving through the borough. This policy is just an attempt to use those who buy parking permits as a cash cow.

Not every home owner can afford to buy a new car and the reduction in permit cost does not meet the Addie always cost of buying a more eco friendly car. .

Not everyone can afford lower emission vehicles.

Not everyone can afford to replace their actual car with a lower emission one as they are fairly new cars costing lots of money.

We need support to help financing low emissions cars or couple of years to save and get rid of old cars, 2020 is too close already.

£50 charge for diesel cars is just unfair just to park ! Cars are not emitting co2 while parked as far as I know so I dont get this one. We will be hit in few years time by the extension of the zone for driving around so can we have a break when we are at home trying to enjoy our family with the little money we have left.

Not fair on Diesel car owners who bought cars when the government encouraged it a few years ago. Maybe bring in surcharge but only for newer diesel cars. E. G. People who bought diesel cars after government stopped encouraging it.

Not happy with parking permits in my residential area. It is like an extra tax not necessary. Not long ago petrol cars were the enemy, now they change their minds. Electric cars need power stations for their power. It's all relative.

Not put permit prices up. People will simply pay for it and the council will just earn more money from residents that shouldn't have to pay to park on their own street in the first place. Why should people who have lived in their homes for over 20 years have to pay to park outside their own houses? It's ridiculous

Not sure how much effect a lower rate for parking for cars with lower emissions would do to the environment since most streets in Lewisham have free parking.

Tax vans/lorries and busses so heavily so the old ones disappear from our streets. Or just ban them.

Also - how can it be legal for a diesel ice cream van to be parked in for example Mayor park with the engine on for HOURS next to the playground and where people exercise.

Nothing apart from stop raising the price of our permits. Put the money into more programs to keep the kids off the streets and bring back our youth clubs. Makes life easier for parents and guardians and overall you. Give the future the future to look forward to. Plus I've paid £120 annually for my permit for at least 3 years running. Why is it shooting up by £55 to £175 when you say it will be equal to or lower than current fees??? I want answers because I see no real improvements for cars but cyclists are getting priority.

Now thank you

Obviously this is essential but a downside is that lower income families with older vehicles will struggle to replace vehicles or pay the extra charges. Can they be helped?

Offer free parking permits to people who are green enough not to own their own cars! Why do I have to pay more for visitors because I don't have a car myself?

Offer more free parking for electric vehicles and more charging points

Older people may find it impossible to buy a new car

On Ashmead Road parents are picking up their children from school and arriving at 3pm, some are sat in their vehicles with the engine running which is outputting more pollution than a resident parking a diesel car. The borough hasn't tackled this problem so introducing higher rates won't solve this issue of high pollution on the street.

On spot find for over smoking vehicles,

Once again the poorest people will be penalised

Only concern is about visitor permits for trades people and friends who don't have new, cleaner transport. How expensive will be visitor permits for us?

Only drawback for me would be the fact I'd pay more for a visitor with a more polluting vehicle which is out of my own control.

Only that I voted against our road being made resident parking in the first place - I never minded parking anything up to 100 yards or so away and walking to my front door, and it does irritate me that I have to pay £120 for the privilege of parking outside my house. And will irritate me even more when these proposals go through (as they are bound to in one form or another) and it becomes £160!

Only that some of the questions already appear to be designed to illicit the response desired by the Council . It's not exactly an impartial survey.

On-pavement parking, even in marked spaces, is a blight for pedestrians. Cars and vans often cross over the lines and even when they don't they block buggies and wheelchairs, forcing users into the road, often where there is no drop kerb.

Other boroughs have greatly subsidised the provision of cycle hangers (eg many in Newham are free). I would like to see Lewisham increase their partnership with the cycle hanger providers and roll out many more of these and also put their hands deeper into the pocket in order to increase access to residents.

Our car is a diesel but it has very low emissions - we have had it checked by several garages who all confirm it is one of the lowest emitting vehicles. A blanket diesel surcharge is misinformed, and encourages a high turnover of cars, many of which are safe, for scrapping or selling abroad.

I am engaged in a conversation with the Council about Zip Cars - we now have 4-5 parked in our very small road most of the time. While I absolutely support the principle of reducing car ownership, I strongly resent the idea of paying a for-profit corporation, which has no vested interest in pollution reduction compared to its need to make money. They are buying business permits at a seemingly uninhibited rate and while they have introduced a small number of electric cars, Lewisham has very few charging points so as far as I can see, we'll just be swapping like for like.

I am also trying to secure funding for anti-pollution initiatives for my kids' school - according to a government tracker which provides funding for lowering pollution, we're in one of the lowest emission areas. I absolutely do not believe this is true, and I will keep fighting for the funding - but it feels very much as though the council is placing the onus of responsibility on individuals while not committing any funding, or working with big businesses to do their part. Our current vehicle is a Citroen C3 Picasso Exclusive Blue which we purchased less than four years ago. At the time, the Government were offering incentives to buy vehicles, like ours, which conformed to the highest EU emission standards. We were persuaded that our choice was the 'greener' one compared to choosing a new petrol vehicle.

Our car will be exempt from the new ULEZ charges but Lewisham Council's proposed changes (according to your Price Permit Calculator) will see the cost of our Resident Parking Permit rise from £120 to £135 per year while a neighbour with a similar petrol vehicle will see the cost of their permit fall by £35 per year.

This would place us in the position of avoiding extra charges to actually drive the car but incurring extra charges to have it parked. This makes no sense.

We do not have the luxury of being able to use off-street parking nor can we afford to purchase a new vehicle. I suspect that there will be many thousands of car-owners in a similar position within the borough. This proposed change will not affect a diesel car owner who can park on their own property but their vehicle will contribute just as much to air-pollution as those of us who are required to park in the street. Also, consider a diesel car-owner who uses their vehicle infrequently when compared to a petrol vehicle owner who drives every day. The diesel vehicle, if parked in the street will cost its owner more to sit idle (therefore not contributing to pollution) than the petrol vehicle which is being used frequently.

Further, this proposed change will disproportionately affect residents living in smaller properties (unable to park off-road) and those who cannot afford to change their vehicle.

In my view, Lewisham Council need to rethink these proposed changes and find a fairer system of charges or simply adjust the charges so that everyone pays the same. Air-pollution is a serious and growing problem which requires imaginative solutions. Singling out those residents who have no choice but to purchase a Resident's Parking Permit does not seem, to me, to be fair or imaginative and will do nothing to tackle this growing problem.

Our family brought our car during a time when the government considered diesel to be a cleaner fuel so we invested our car is only 6 years old and we're being hit with extra charges because the government have decided to move the goal posts! There should be a subsidy for owners when brought their cars before the change of mindset came in.

I also don't think it is fair to put parking permits across the entire borough it should only be put in areas of high parking demand and where parking is at a premium. I don't see the need for parking permits in the outer areas of Lewisham borough.

Owners of diesel vehicles were encouraged to do so by the government some years back, they should not be penalised for it now.

Owners of non-commercial diesel vehicles should not have to pay £50 extra for a parking permit. Many were persuaded to buy diesel vehicles to reduce the rate of CO2 emissions. I have a euro 6 rated diesel vehicle which emits lower emissions than a lot of petrol vehicles. It is the cleanest category of diesel vehicle that can be bought. I shouldn't have to pay £50 for creating less pollution than the majority of other cars.

Parked cars don't emit harmful emissions...

Making people buy lower emission cars - increases the number of lower emissions but then increases those cars and all emissions.

Parked cars generate no emissions, so your whole strategy is nothing more than a cash grab cloaked in Extinction Rebellion fake virtue. Furthermore, you charge the same for cars which may only be driven once a week or less, as for cars that spend all day driving around. parked vehicles don't pollute !!

we will be paying twice for driving once this scheme comes in this is unfair (ULEZ) boundary will be extended up to the South Circular within the Borough by 2021

Parked, switched off cars (like when the owner is at work elsewhere) do not make any emissions compared to lorries that drive through to reach the A2 and M20.

I strongly disagree with this proposal because this disconnects with the ULEZ scheme - dramatically increasing costs (especially having purchased a ULEZ compliant car in February 2019) while providing no appreciable benefit for it either. Southeastern and Thameslink Railway services cannot be relied upon and there is no tangible improvements expected for years while bus services have seen steady cuts and quiet reductions. The work around Lewisham DLR did not provide provision to allow it to extend further south, limiting further options to use public transport to further afield destinations.

This more than quadruples what I pay in permit charges despite currently being told my car is low emissions (and ULEZ exempt) by everyone, and being purchased on that basis.

Parking needs to be even cheaper than this for electric vehicles. They should do a scheme like Westminster, where electric vehicles only need to pay for 10 mins parking and can park for the rest of the day for free. The electric vehicle residents parking permits are too expensive in this proposal, they were only about £20 for this year, they have quadrupled in price in the proposal, this is not taking air pollution seriously or encouraging people to buy electric cars. Also, more charging points are desperately needed. The Source chargers are a con and are the most expensive, which is odd considering that is the government scheme, Polar and Pod Point are much cheaper and are sometimes free. Put charging points for residents in the lampposts. Electric only parking on chargers needs to be enforced, regular petrol and diesel cars keep parking in them, and then people with electric cars cannot charge their cars, so then we break down.

Parking permit is for parked vehicles on public roads. What difference does it make if petrol or diesel or electric car are parked? They are all with their engine off for the parked period and hence not polluting at all.

If you are looking for revenue why dont you increase income tax ?

So far I have been paying permit holder fees for years and no improvement has been made to the road or anything. Where are the money I am paying for parking permit going?

Parking permits are detrimental in some ways as people then park in areas such as the mews that exist in Brockley. This increases pollution in the mews and affects the wildlife and people who use the mews.

parking permits for diesel vehicles would also be subject to a £50 surcharge.

New diesel vehicles are fitted with Ad Blu to help the emissions so why are this going to be charged £50 surcharge?

Parking permits for my visitors are too expensive

Parking permits should be limited to 2 hours a day. We have 9-7 which is ridiculous.

Parking permits should be used as a deterrent to drive. Many people drive because it is free them but permits would discourage others and make them think twice about not using public or walking. But it must be seen to be enforced otherwise the problem will rise again.

Also double parking near schools by local residents leaving their engines on is despicable. The same people who complain about air quality for their children are the contributing factors. The schools should be forced to develop a drop off system and a parking controller present when collecting to dish out tickets even if they have only been stationary for 2 minutes.

Parking permits should not be used to pursue this policy agenda. It is unfair and discriminatory. Already large diesel vehicles pay more in Congestion charge, fuel tax and road tax. This would be purely a discriminatory revenue generating policy by the council. It would inappropriately disadvantage family car owners such as myself.

Parking should be chargeable across the borough.

Encourage residents to use alternative ways of transport. Improve infrastructure to entice residents to use alternative modes of transport.

Parking should be free for the residents, why do ppl that live and pay council tax in the borough have to pay

Parking space should be used for other uses such as wider pavements, cycle parking, cycle hangars, parklets, cycle lanes. There are too many cars parked and people feel like they own the street and are entitled to it. They should pay to occupy public land with private objects (as bars do for tables etc)

Parking takes up the same space regardless of emissions so shouldn't have to pay more for parking. People should be encouraged to drive responsibly and better public transport options. It is already expensive to park as a resident outside my home now and this is a method of increasing residential parking. Haven't seen an example where the price has decreased! Penalise households with more than one car. Incremental charging for each subsequent car should be introduced.

Penalising car owners is counter productive and impact the poor harder. People with less money have older more polluting cars and will struggle to update. The reverse is true for more wealthy households. Any action to improve the car emissions needs to be as a grant or discount to incentivize adoption.

Penalising diesel vehicles is a tax on the poor. Electric and hybrid cars are expensive. You should acknowledge that until a few years ago we were encouraged to buy diesels because they were said to be better for the environment. That was a scandal and you now want the poor residents of the borough to pay for it. Shame on you.

Penalising everyone that has a car and lives in the borough is just another way for the local council to squeeze more money out of people.

If this comes into effect I will now have to pay more than double what I pay for my permit now. Penalising poorer residents who would generally have older cars with high emissions seems like taxing the poor. There has to be gradual introduction over 10 years to allow residents to change cars.

Penalising resident parking is the wrong thing. Some people have old cars but hardly use them.

Penalising residents with increased costs to park outside their own homes is not the way forward. Parked cars with their engines off give off no emissions so why charge more. Encourage visitors to use public transport. Charge business users more to encourage them to go green. Get the big banks to turn off the lights on their towers in Canary Wharf. Penalizing owners of diesel cars via parking permits is not the right approach as there's no discrimination between people using their car daily or only every once in a while. Clearly a car that is rarely being used won't contribute much to general air pollution.

Besides, the ULEZ will already penalize owners of diesel cars and hence encourage buying cleaner cars. And it is based on actual usage. Hence no need for some indiscriminating parking surcharge.

People already pay for emissions when they buy road tax. A parked car has no emissions
YOU CANNOT CHARGE US FOR SOMETHING WE ARE ALREADY BEING CHARGED FOR.

People are already being charged for higher emission cars with the introduction of ULEZ so this is purely an opportunity to charge people twice.

People are dying because the air pollution is so bad, it's appalling.

People cannot afford new cars and run older cars for a reason. and unless the council plans to give subsidy for residents to buy lower emission cars this is just revenue exercise and you did double the permit in a non inflationary way within the first year of operation

People haven't got the money to just change their cars and it's unfair to penalise them for this. Especially as it was the government who advised most of us to get diesel cars

People need to use cars, the majority cannot afford to upgrade and extra charges to park where one lives can be an additional hardship.

People on low incomes will not be able to afford a new car. Buses are awful to travel at school times as full of children who could often walk. Parking will move to already contested roads where there are no parking restrictions

People were encouraged to buy diesel car as told better for the environment, now being punished for it

People who cannot afford a new car to comply with proposed regulations are the people that will once again be out of pocket

People who have older vehicles or diesel vehicles would be more than happy to trade them in for a newer less polluting model, but there is no help financially and most of us can not unfortunately afford the newer vehicles.

Lewisham could pioneer its own trade in scheme and trally make a difference. Help us to help everyone.

People with "gas guzzling" cars already pay for that with the UK road tax.

Why should they pay more for a "PARKING" permit? The car is parked so not polluting.

You are extorting from people.

You need to spend more time sorting out the present CPZ. it to Lewisham council AND NSL 6 months to replace the stolen parking signs from short stay bays in brookmill road.

That bay was solid every day by commuters. O lost track of the number of calls to council and NSL. Both was useless

People with diesel cars should not be penalised in this manner

People were actually encouraged to buy them thinking they were better for the environment

How would it be fair to ask people probably on low to middle incomes who probably have to drive, to pay such a fine

Absolutely awful and I drive a petrol car!

People with less money are likely to have older, more polluting cars and therefore would have to pay higher parking permits. This is a regressive tax and will increase inequality in Lewisham

People who buy new cars will have a larger overall carbon footprint, using more resources in manufacture, and disposing of their old car. Why should they be rewarded for this?

Many people bought diesel cars because the government told them they were less polluting. It's grossly unfair to penalise them now.

Perhaps a park and ride scheme for the whole of London - Create large free car parks outside London and allow visitors who leave their cars outside the city a free return trip on public transport to reach their final destination?

Perhaps off topic, but the decision by Lewisham train station to make a one way system to stop Lewisham residents getting on the train quicker (we have to go up to the main entrance between 7.00-9.00) has meant that lots of people miss trains of a morning at platform 3. It seems a little unnecessary.

Perhaps you should take this situation more seriously, and in a manner that is commensurate with the climate emergency the borough has already declared. What we don't need is more of the same greenwash, which I'm sure that people that fill in this questionnaire will see through. Permanent parking should be based on size of engine/emission. However, visitor parking is expensive as it is and prices should not go up.

Phase out diesel black cabs and replace with hybrid, electric or hydrogen

Phase this in to take effect in 2025. People bought diesel cars in good faith and now the research is indicating that govt policy needs to change. In my case this would be a 257% increase and not acceptable.

Picking on diesel cars when public transport is far below par has to stop. I hate getting on the bus as it is dirty, smelly and hot. Picking on cars in general is a cope out. Diesel is cleaner and more efficient than petrol cars when the car is looked after properly. Sort the dirty streets out with all the rubbish and no bins to put rubbish in out. The dust is causing more issues for me as an asthma sufferer than the diesel car. I have had them and my husband has one and that is not causing breathing issues as much as the dirty dusty streets that is encouraging rats, mice and foxes.

What are the permits paying for or towards. It's just another easy money making scheme to charge poor people

Plant more trees allow 2 hours free parking in CPZ at least reduce wasteful driving around the argument is about revenue not polluting the air as there is no link between higher parking fees and driving habits of residents..if the objective is to reduce emissions then encourage drivers to park

There is not even an attempt to explain how this will improve air quality

Planting of trees in residential roads should be encouraged and funded by the Council and not left for wealthier residents to self-fund.

Plants help reduce pollution and improve morale. It would be great to have more plants and living walls in Lewisham.

Please address the emissions around schools in the borough as residential cars buses may not be the main cause but instead the large number of commercial vehicles (often diesel) which do not move quickly through the borough and often slow traffic in and around the boroughs residential and school areas

Please bear in mind that old polluting cars are usually owned by less affluent people so fees should be increased gradually and ideally incentives provided

Please can we have a concerted effort to enforce the borough-wide 20mph speed limit. The limit is a brilliant idea, but that's all it is – a concept that looks marvellous on a press release or strategy document, but is entirely toothless without active, vigorous and ongoing enforcement.

I walk, drive and use public transport across the borough, and there is very little sign of the 20mph limit having any impact – apart from those attempting to drive more slowly resulting in

others driving aggressively and overtaking dangerously because they feel their progress is being held up.

Two examples: traffic regularly travels at 40mph-plus on Manor Lane (particularly dangerous during the school run) and I have personally witnessed cars travelling so quickly down Burnt Ash Lane towards Lee station that they have been unable to stop at the pedestrian crossing, driving through a red light.

Please consider increasing the CPZ for Leathwell Road and Elverson Road (zone G), from Monday - Friday to at least Monday - Saturday.

Parking at the weekend is becoming almost impossible due to the increase in car drivers moving into the increasing number of flats being built on Thurston Road, with none or limited parking facilities

Please consider my ideas above and get back to me.

Please consider people who are employed in the borough and must drive in.

Please consider that Euro 6 diesels are not as bad as other diesels and are more efficient.

Please consider those who cannot afford to change their car - billing them £50 to use the car will push them further into poverty.

Please consider volunteers to Lewisham and acknowledge their contribution to the community.

This consultation may impact on volunteers and has not been considered.

Please continue with this initiative and phase out all but necessary and business travel

Please could you find some non-Amazon incentive for participating, ideally something that supports local businesses who pay their taxes.

Please discourage driving motor vehicles - irrespective of their pollution levels. My neighbour's SUV takes up 12square meters. Because I don't have a car I am not allowed to access this space? I would prefer a tree in that space.

Please discourage home owners from turning their front gardens into parking. It means that the council then has to provide a slope onto the road for them and pedestrians have to watch out for cars turning in across the pavement. If nothing else, all pedestrians should feel safe on a pavement.

Plus, we need all the green spaces we can get - front gardens being important for that.

Please do as much as you can to reduce pollution. A study in to the the level of pollution andtheeffectsyo improve transparency and awareness.

Please do more for walking and cycling. There are far too many rat-runs all over the borough. Please do not adopt a one size fits all policy. Age and geography (I live on top of Telegraph Hill) really do impact on my ability to leave my car behind and cycle or to walk up hill with heavy shopping.

Please do not hike up the visitor surcharge to 1.60. I already pay this for 5 hours for a childminder for three days a week, and it is a considerable cost at the present charge. A period of at least 18 months should be observed before the rate is increased, as the zone was only introduced this year.

Please do not introduce any more 9-6pm CPZs. These only move the problem and do not allow for parking to use local businesses. A 12-2pm CPZ reduces station congestion just as efficiently

Please do not use it as an excuse to generally put up the price of permits. We do a low mileage compared with many people but do need our car for visiting relative and friends in difficult to reach places plus occasional holidays. WE use public transport as much as possible. You've covered this below, I see. Good!

Please do something

Please don't bring in the diesel charge until the same day as the ulez charge. We are already having to face costs to change our car, so that is the deadline... don't make it earlier than that

as it's not affordable. People bought diesel on the advice of the government and now we get no help to change our cars. Don't give us an extra tax too before the ulez deadline.

Please don't fiddle with speed limits, doesn't work

Please don't attack diesel cars; we bought these at the behest of 'greens' who insisted they were better(for spurious reasons when it was common knowledge that they emitted nasty little particles eg PM10s / PM5s that got right down into peoples' lungs).

They are mindless idiots please don't base policy on what they recommend!

Please don't increase the cost of parking because people living there are suffering because of high cost of rent.

Please introduce a controlled parking zone in Childers Street Deptford., SE8.

Please introduce parking permits in the whole borough at the same time. Otherwise the last areas to have the permit will be swamped with vehicles from elsewhere.

please keep costs down. we were encouraged to buy diesel, now we are told it is bad.

Please make residents continue to enjoy their homes. We as the borough, belong to the group of the lowest number of car owners. Why are we so harshly penalised?

The proposed charges, parking and residential are disproportionate to the problem at hand. I hear that residents 'have to pay this much and this much' how is the council helping to reduce CO2?

I propose school buses which both children and teachers can make use of as well as hospital buses for both patients and hospital staff.

Please please encourage some electric car clubs to set up in a Lewisham. I would sign up immediately. As a large family a new car will be expensive for us, I'd rather keep our large diesel MPV for holidays, family outings and use a car club electric for journeys in the week. Once a decent infrastructure available, and a decent electric MPV we will switch vehicles. Right now forcing people to give up diesels leads to hybrids....100% electric vehicles should be the aim. We need help charging in the street though! Please help us - we all want to change but the infrastructure needs to be there.

Please provide alternative before imposing penalties to people that use diesel car. There is no point to force people to abandon their cars when there are no alternative adequate public transportation. It is not even safe anymore to walk peacefully without fearing robbery or stabbing.

Please rethink your strategy on this issue.

Please review your findings regarding diesel emissions. Each car should be considered regardless whether it is petrol or diesel. There should be a cut point for both. The new to be zone charge is far too high to residents while unfortunately there are many other factors that contribute to air pollution.

please see above plus no effort has been made in the Borough to introduce charging points for electric vehicles. We live on a street with only on road parking. What thought has been given to the early introduction of electric car charging points?

Please see above. NB I do not need a parking permit so I personally am not affected by the costs I think it is just unfair and poorly thought out.

Please see comments above. More must be done and faster!

Please see response to q12. This is completely the wrong approach and is yet another way for Lewisham Council to tax residents more. Lewisham Council would be much better off if they looked inwardly and sought to improve their own inefficiencies before punishing residents.

Please sort out that mess of a shopping centre at Lee Green, stop talking and do something, compulsory purchase comes to MIND, it's 20 years now that I've lived in Lee and that so called shopping centre has been a disgrace for as long as I can remember, BUT that might mean you have to do SOMETHING ABOUT IT.???

Please take into account that while people may wish to change their vehicles to ones that have lower emissions that they cannot afford to just buy a new car to meet these changes, myself included. Incentive schemes are needed alongside this intervention.

Please also ensure that the levels you set to be eligible for a lower parking charge are aligned to those that will be set for the ULEZ extension as currently they are not. I have a band 4 car with 124 CO2 emissions. This means I do not have to pay the penalty charge to enter the ULEZ yet I don't qualify for Lewisham's current reduced parking rate for low emission cars which has a limit of 100 CO2 emissions

Please can you include in your proposal more electric car charging points. I'd love to change to an electric car but not only am I unable to afford a new car I would have very limited places to charge it. People who live in flats and without driveways will not be able to install charging points even if they can afford the outlay. The charging points on Doggett Road are used almost exclusively by the car hire company who installed them so these are not in reality a public resource.

Would it not make more sense to have a policy that reflects the ULEZ extension in more detail? I live within the proposed ULEZ extension zone so I will have to have a low emission car so what's the point in enforcing a low emission car parking scheme when TfL will have in effect already done this (assuming your definition of low emissions matches, as mentioned previously).

please take note of my comments in 12 above

Please take radical action now.

Please use money raised to fund the above suggestions

Please, please, do introduce visitor spaces.

Points relating to me directly:

The increase in my annual parking permit cost will be significant if the proposed changes are enacted, in fact I will be paying an additional £55.00 which is an increase of over 30%. This will stretch me financially but I am happy to pay it as I recognise the need to clean up our air quality. However in return I would like the council to amend the parking controls on our road (Wildfell Road Catford) so that it is resident permit parking only for 24 hours a day with the exception of Sunday and public holidays. If I am going to be paying an extra £55.00 I would like to be able to park my vehicle near to my home when I am working before 09:00 or after 19:00 during the week or at any time on Saturday.

Wider points regarding this consultation:

I completely agree with this drive to clean up our air quality, the facts relating to pollution from diesel & petrol vehicles and the negative effects on our health are obvious and have been scientifically proven. I think drivers should pay a higher premium for owning and running a more polluting vehicle but it is important to bear in mind that some people have to use their vehicles for work or commuting and with household incomes under increased pressure the council should be mindful that they could be putting residents and businesses in difficulty by implementing these policies. It would be brilliant if we could all afford expensive new or newer electric vehicles but many households and businesses, which need vehicles, cannot afford these. With the central government electric car buying grant being cut significantly will the council step in and offer a grant scheme to help residents and local businesses acquire electric vehicles? It would be unfortunate if, once implemented, the outcome of these proposed changes would be to pile more pressure on residents and businesses in the borough who are currently struggling and cannot afford to upgrade their older vehicles.

Poorer people are also more likely to drive older polluting vehicles

Poorer people drive older cars. Whilst I agree in principle with the increase in parking permit prices for cars with higher emissions, it will be financially challenging for the majority of

households who own these cars. If this higher rate for parking permits does go ahead, I'd be interested to know how the extra income for the council will be used.

poorer residents, of which there are many in this borough, cannot afford to upgrade their vehicles and it is immoral of the council to impose this increase. CPZs already cost a considerable amount to residents once the cost of visitors, including service engineers, is taken into account.

Pressure needs to be put on gov't to allow and encourage easier and more economical access to electric cars. They are currently only an option for the wealthy and those of us who would like to upgrade but can not afford to are having to pay extra always.

Well done for making disabled blue badge parking free at least.

Proposed changes would cause my residents permit to cost £240 instead of £120. That is an immediate 100% increase to my costs which is unaffordable and totally unacceptable.

A cost increase as steep at this is firstly not acceptable full stop. Furthermore, any increase should be phased and spread out over a number of years.

If the idea is to actually reduce air pollution, slamming people with an immediate 'fine' won't achieve that outcome.

The council have made no efforts to raise awareness of the issue in Lewisham. I've never seen anything from the council before being sent to me on this topic. Why is the council's first response to try and slam a 'fine' on people as opposed to tackling the issue by addressing the real problem and raising awareness.

Seems like another way to just raise revenue. What would this money actually be spent on?

Protect our babies and children by funding schools for green walls

Protect people's right to car ownership.

Provide more electric charging points throughout the borough

Publicize this widely.

Punitive charges jarm poorer people who cannot afford to change their vehicle. If these charges are introduced they sjpikd be balanced with grants to change vehicles.

For myself, I have to drive to Lewisham because I live in Kent and there are no park and ride facilities. Please lobby TFL to introduce park and ride

Put a surcharge on lorries and coaches driving through Lewisham.

Put more electric car charging points in the borough.

Put traffic calming eg speed bumps in on 20 mph roads as cars often do 30 mph.

Put traffic lights at junction of Worsley bridge road and Southend lane as it is a dangerous crossing.

Put a zebra crossing or pedestrian lights across Worsley bridge road near the station as it can be hard to cross.

Putting the price of my parking permit will not help me buy a more eco friendly car.

It comes across as the council taxing me more in a way that I can not afford to avoid.

My £120 permit will increase to £160

I need my car to take my baby and dogs to parks and visit their mum at the parks near her hospital.

Q8 is asking whether we agree that the council should lower rates for lower polluting vehicles. Of course but that isn't what you are doing! You are RAISING rates for 95% of diesels and 71% of petrols. How is this a rate reduction policy? What it is is a cash cow opportunity based on wider government policy that is not targeted at permit increases.

The ULEZ policy is poorly thought through anyway so to piggy back on this just makes it

worse.

Petrols are not the offenders although they pay far more road tax. Why more than double the permit cost for large petrols? This is a group of 9% whose cars more than likely sit in the street all day as they will be leisure cars not daily drivers causing no pollution whatsoever. The only effect this will have on anything will be to pump up the purses of the council.

How much thought has been given to the fact that people will continue to pave over their front gardens and the adverse environmental impact of this.

Personally I do less than 1500 miles a year over two vehicles, I use buses and trains and cycle locally but my permit costs are going through the roof. I have a minimal pollution impact. I will be asphaltting my garden.

question 10 should have included 'I don't know ' box as most participants would not know whether this amount is fair or optimal.

Question 6 is ambiguously worded. I am aware of the problem air pollution has on some people and that it is not generally good for all of us. However I am not aware that I suffer any health problems as a result of air pollution myself.

Question 11. I am aware of the planned extension of the ULEZ to the south circular. However it is currently difficult to know just how it is going to be implemented. More information would be useful as soon as possible to be able to make an informed choice about future plans.

Questions could be better framed considering the importance of the issue being tackled. Raise awareness with communities and churches and put more billboards up to tell people the facts. I speak to people idling in their cars at pick up regularly and climate / emissions denial is a recurring theme

Raising parking and penalty fees will in no way contribute to improving the air quality in Lewisham.

Raising the cost of (diesel) car ownership (instead of ownership) is a stealth tax on carers.

There are many people like myself who keep cars mainly to visit vulnerable/elderly family in places inaccessible by public transport. They are used rarely, so taxing use would not be damaging. By contrast, punishing ownership (which in itself does not pollute), instead of usage (which does pollute), seems to constitute a stealth tax on those who need access to our vehicles to take care of our families.

Raising the price on parking permits and surcharges, not sure how this really helps improve the quality of the air.

People will not be able to drive their vehicles. More investment into transport
Rather than charging parking permits on vehicle CO2 emissions the council should charge on pollution. This is CO2 emissions times distance travelled. The distance travelled is easy for the council to get hold of from the DVLA MOT database. This is much fairer!

Really good idea to be looking at this.

Reduce congestion by introducing a congestion charge

Improve bus lanes

reduce council tax for those that give up their car etc

Reduce crazy expensive parking permits

Reduce the lower emission cars and retain the cost for higher emission cars do this isn't just a money exercise for the council.

Reduce the time frame of residents parking

Reducing prices for cleaner cars is different to increasing them for dirtier cars. It's a shame that this consultation appears to be focussed on increasing charges and finding justification to do so.

Reducing the emissions within the borough is a good idea, but some residents with cars with higher emissions may not have the means to purchase a lower emission vehicle. Also higher charges may also have a negative financial impact on these residents who may rely on these vehicles for employment and childcare purposes

Remember Lewisham is amongst the boroughs that are covered by the term "poverty" especially Downham and parts of Bellingham.

People can not afford to dash out and purchase a new/hybrid vehicle. A car to those in poverty is a means to get around and with families to consider, a cheaper option than public transport.

For those of you who work etc it is a way of life, together with an iPhone, iPad, etc. For those who don't then these things are luxuries. The order of the day is food banks, charity shops and so on.

Remove all cycle lane and discourage people to cycle as these are dangerous to road users.

Remove parking charges and restrictions

replace some car parking spaces with bike hangers and pocket parks. More secure bike parking space in Lewisham centre or other civic places. More cycle paths that are enforced and more contra flow cycle paths to improve the convenience of cycling rather than driving.

Resident parking in the borough is already very expensive compared to other boroughs, where you get one parking permit included with your property. I think increasing the cost for permits for second vehicles should be considered, without increasing the cost for one-car households. Currently I would be penalised with a more expensive permit, when I cannot afford a new car, while people who have company cars or new cars would benefit from a reduced cost of a parking permit.

Residents car parking should be free so that residents have a confirmed parking space. The Council has no right to charge other than a small admin charge for each permit to cover their costs not to be a money making exercise. I find it mendacious to charge high charges for the right to park a vehicle. A parked vehicle is NOT creating pollution. To tax pollution you need to tax vehicles on the move - with a charge to is based on level of use.

Residents parking hours - 2 hours only between 10 & 12 are not long enough and make it often impossible for residents to park. Longer hours might encourage non residents to use other modes of transport rather than driving to park for free.

Residents permits do not reduce the use of motored vehicles. it contributes to the reduction of social diversity in the borough as a lot of families are being priced out.

Residents should get time to change their vehicle to one that complies. While I agree it's important for air quality to improve it's almost important to understand that the public were sold a lie when told diesels were cleaner vehicles.

Residents should not have to pay to park near their homes. if you want to stop people parking in the borough, don't penalise those who live here, who need their vehicles.

You have said you are introducing lower parking permit rates for low emission vehicles. This is rubbish. Currently, we own a low emission car and because of this only have to pay £30 a year for the privilege of parking on the road outside the house. Under the new proposals, this will now INCREASE to £85 per year so please don't lie in the proposal document by telling us we will be paying a lower charge based on emissions. Where is the incentive to buy low emission vehicles when you plan to take away an existing benefit. What is the council doing with the extra tax, sorry, income?

Residents who cannot afford to replace their existing vehicles will be penalised by introduction of higher charges, which will not deter usage by necessity and hence will not improve air quality either, but is another form of additional council tax revenue.

Residents who may have a vehicle but only use it on the weekends or who have to have one to transport their children around should not be penalised by higher parking permit charges.

The amount a vehicle is actually used should be taken in to consideration. People on middle or low incomes cannot always afford new lower emission cars.

Rewards for people without car

Rob the poor to feed the rich. Half of you who make these changes don't even live in the Borough like the person who changed our roads to 20mp. She lives in Kent
Roll out CPZs everywhere and reduce the total number of bays whilst doing so. Currently less than half the population of the borough are using valuable real estate for free! It is a subsidy of private and polluting transport!
Run a consultation on introduction of tougher restrictions on polluting cars, such as transit chargers and tax for non-electric cars.
Sadiq Khan is the worst mayor ever
Same as above.

Also, check out the Broken Window Theory:
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Broken_windows_theory

I think if we improved the appearance of the borough/ pollution & litter. Then it would have a huge impact on how people will behave.
See above re traffic enforcement. I would be against introducing parking permits in Sydenham because it is detrimental to local businesses.
See above, I don't not support this measure. I also disagree with residents parking permits where they do not relate to a specific parking place outside your house. It is my understanding that councils issue 20% more parking permits than there are spaces for cash generation. This policy will not reduce the number of cars or vans in general or help people that may have spent £30, 000+ on a car or entered into a three or four year lease agreement. I also think charges should apply to blue badge holders, they either get their cars free or receive high benefits and are able to afford to pay charges just like everyone else, that's the model on the continent.
See above. Using this as an excuse to increase revenue is a form of stealth tax. Highly unfair to residents that might be on the breadline struggling to make ends meet.

More than double the existing annual cost of £120. Absolutely scandalous. Lewisham should be ashamed of itself! Worse than Poll Tax!

See above. I don't believe that emission causes the death figures quoted. This sounds statistics worked to sound dramatic with lots of assumptions.

See above. Please invest in infrastructure to promote electric car usez seems wrong to single out diesels when modern diesels are much improved, they've improved because of taxation but effective blanket bans on diesels surely might be counterproductive.

Shouldn't it be a tax on usage rather than type of car owned. We use public transport all week and local use at weekends is just to the supermarket. This is a oncd a week activity within the borough. We only use the car to leave the borough to get to elderly family who are outside London.

shouldn't the residents who do NOT have a car and consequently do NOT pollute the air be rewarded in some way?

why don't you offer 10 hours of free visitor parking credit to them too!

Smoking is adding more to the pollution in the borough

So you are planning on adding your own tax on top of the ULEZ to people that cannot afford to replace their cars.

And what will happen to the cars getting replaced? Most likely scrapped or shipped to another country. But at least it's not in your neighborhood.

Some areas have permits but very few spaces where you can either park for a short period of time or machines to do Payand Display. When my parking permit has lapsed this has created problems and delays treating patients who have just been discharged from hospital

Some areas have two different schemes in place, for example highways permit and estate parking , these need to be aligned to ensure fair charging.

Some diesel engines' CO2 emissions are extremely low compared to petrol engines, e.g. 2.0 Audi TDi Ultra has a CO2 emission level of 104 g/km. Drivers of these cars should be entitled to a reduction in the £50 surcharge, as they are newer engines, don't attract a ULEZ charge, and emit fewer pollutants than older diesel or petrol engines.

Some diesel vehicles are clean with ad blu or other ways of reducing emissions. They are better for the environment than old petrol cars ,yet you seem to be hitting the owners of new diesel vehicles hard. That seems like a very blunt instrument and ,overall, I doubt that it would reduce emissions as much as other measures. eg idling, school pick ups, buses

Some of the very new diesels are less polluting than older petrol cars. This should be reflected in the road tax banding though.

some of us can not afford to buy a new car with so we are punished

Some of us have disabled children who do not live with us but when they come to visit there is no parking place 4 them. My daughter has disability & needs my help constantly but I find it challenging if there is no parking place in front of my house 2 be able 2 assist her when she needs it. I am of the opinion that parking 4 them should be free in the space the need e.g in front of their parent's home or anyone who cares 4 them.

Some parking for local residents is essential as people use cars for shopping, which is good for local businesses and transporting those who need assistance. In some areas parking restrictions seem to be biased against local residents, for example resident parking could be used for local people between the hours of 10-3 when residents use their cars for work.

Sometimes there are loads of spaces empty as residents are at work causing shoppers to drive round for ages looking for somewhere to park, causing more pollution. Parking restrictions could be reviewed to be more logical and sensible.

Some people can't afford new cars so it would be good to introduce a scheme

Some people need cars because of health problems, work requirements, caring responsibilities etc. I think there should be more education about impact of car usage and to get people to change from diesel. But these costs are harsh and assume that people can just change their car overnight.

Some years ago we bought a diesel car as the government and borough said the these had lower emissions.

We would now be penalised for having followed this advice.

Sometimes people have to drive and I couldn't afford a permit

Sort out the congestion on roads passing through Lewisham.

I understand the need to reduce emissions but creating huge charges for me to park (when parked my vehicle isn't generating any emissions) whilst I am still breathing the effects of vehicles passing through the borough seems a double punishment!

Sounds like the Council are enhancing revenue streams

At least some vehicle emissions are incorrectly assessed by the DVLA

Speed things up. Fast track all efforts to sort this out as Lewisham and Deptford are the worst areas for pollution and toxic air. It is dangerous and must be prioritised

start creating parking more green spaces on Catford

Start making the alternatives to driving the easy options. Driving should be the last resort.

Still need to ban pavement parking outside Brindishe Manor School. One day, a child or a carer is going to get crushed by a car parking there. Its ludicrous that you still allow it, most of the country bans it, and you allow in on the only access points to a primary school.

Stop charging peoples and make more affordable to change car with more money and not scamming them always, we bought car to move the economy not for just fun, now you want us to change our car out of the blue immediately but do you ask your self we maybe the people's don't have any extra money to spare??? We are already at the limit. Stop this now make better choose help the car owner not dry them from the last drop of blood.

Stop charging us for basic needs for everyday.

Permits are not a solution, it just moves congestion and adds pressure to the struggle of life with costs and fines.

stop commuter using Lee Station parking in residential side streets,
likewise for cycling to central London
Stop fly tipping name and shame
Stop cars on Sydenham high street parking on the pavements most weekends and evenings
Stop focusing on cars, you are doing it wrong. Focus on the alternatives (I have a Masters in Transport Design).
Stop forcing a green agenda on your residents to suit your political ideology.

Stop punishing individuals because they can't afford to change to an 'overpriced' green car.

Stop harming much needed business income by forcing businesses to close and leave the borough because they can't afford to change their vehicles/fleets.

Stop letting zip cars park in resident bays unless you remove the charge to residents

Stop penalising car owners and get oil companies and motor manufacturers to resolve issues
Stop penalising people who don't own cars - at the moment if you are a resident without a car who hires one for short periods you can't park it anywhere. All residents not only car owners should get a certain number of free "visitors" permits per year. Arguably non permanent car owners should get more not fewer.

Also don't penalise poorer people who can't afford to change old cars. I agree with charging a new diesel 4x4 which is completely unnecessary in London more than a new smart car. I don't agree with charging someone with a 10 year old car more just because it doesn't meet emission standards - for two reasons: (1) they probably can't afford to change it and charging them more won't help that! (2) evidence is divided re whether the energy and consumables needed to replace a car outweigh the higher emissions of keeping an old car

Stop penalising private car ownership. Until the gov puts in more electric charging points and subsidises electric cars like Europe, these are unobtainable for most wage earners. Stop penalising drivers for having no off street parking and needing to pay a private company (ringo) as these are the ones who usually can't afford. once again, taxing the poor.

Stop stealing from poor people

Stop taxing the poor! The ULEZ boundary taxes those with older cars i.e. those who can't afford to update their car. I am a pensioner with an 18-year old small car and drive less than 3,000 miles per year, yet I am to be penalised for this both in terms of parking permits and the ULEZ. I'm sure there are many thousands like me around the borough who use their car sparingly and can't cope with the expense of buying another one. We are being driven off the road while those who can afford a modern car are not penalised at all. I can understand the need to reduce air pollution but feel that lorries, large petrol-consuming vehicles and large vans should take the hit, not pensioners and the poor!!

Stop the rat run through Ravensbourne Road, London, SE6 a nightmare

Stop the rat runs between roads leading from the south circular to Rushey Green

Stop this money making way you have of ripping the residents for any £ you can. You are trying to over double my charge this is disgusting.

stop trying to make money from drivers who already pay road tax, and will soon pay ulez tax and have to get new cars, Lewisham council are just trying to penalize drivers again.

Stop trying to penalise those who can afford it least. If you want to introduce these measures, at least provide a scrappage scheme to enable people to upgrade their vehicles.

Stop trying to profit off your residents.

Stop using motorists as a cash cow and come up with some real innovative ideas, people like me who are given a car by their company have no choice as to what type of vehicles we drive. My employer is still issuing diesel vehicles despite political opinion therefore I (and others like me) will be penalised with a surcharge for something that we can do nothing about, whilst I appreciate the motivation behind this idea it is inherently unfair.

stop wasting my money on such lengthy, difficult to understand documents like this one

i couldnt find what band my car come into, so i i was unable to see if in would be worse off financially

include more machines you can put a £1 coin in and do some quick shopping and stop ruining the footfall of local businesses.

allow all paying resident holders a couple of free visitors a week ANYWAY - give something back

stopn building huge gyms, blocks of flats etc that have insufficinet parking and casue blockages on the road and STOP selling the parking facility to RIP OFF comen and keep hold of our own land and ability to charge YOUR residents fairly and decently

for FS sake - start having a holistic approach that doesnt focus on BLOODY AIR QUALITYu Strongly agree with all measures to reduce air pollution, would support beyond those outlined in this consultation.

Strongly disagree with visitors paying for permit.

Prices should never rise noatyer what car you drive. This is a parking permit and should have nothing to do about the enviroment.

Strongly support the initiative to improve air in the borough

Suggest you wait for the extension of the ULEZ. Otherwise you are proposing to penalise Lewisham residents without doing anything to discourage the much larger number of road users who are simply passing through the Borough (and creating the bulk of the pollution).

Support anything that can be done to reduce climate change and air pollution. Have developed asthma as a result of local air pollution. Feels unfair given that I travel by car in the borough about twice a year at most.

Take into consideration that not everyone who lives here bought many years ago - we have paid £320k for a flat and added costs like this will push us away. We love Lewisham but it needs an infrastructure to support going car less or more efficient - we don't have that.

Take into consideration that some diesel cars have a lower CO2 emission than petrol cars so it's unfair to charge a surcharge to all diesel drivers.

Tax cars on the A2 as the create far more pollution than parked cars

Tax diesels, and get rid of polluting public service vehicles first - e.g. buses, but do not take action against petrol cars using the cleanest fuels e.g. Ultimate.

Tax on the poorest who cannot afford new cars

Taxing diesel vehicles is nonsense and a further way of councils taxing under the guise of the environment. It's not what you drive, it's how often!

Terrible traffic here at 9pm

TfL should not exempt taxis from ULEZ.

Thank you for being part of the solution for tackling climate change.

Thank you for consulting me by email. This is a very effective way of engaging Lewisham residents.

Thank you for consulting with residents about this important matter

Thank you for taking air pollution seriously.

Thank you for what you are doing to improve air pollution. It is a desperate situation and I support any measure that can reduce the traffic on the roads. Please do not be put off by thinking people don't care. I have lived here for 9 years, and air pollution is my number 1 concern.

Thank you, this is very important for all of us.

Thanks

the %age hike is too much for low income families

The £50 surcharge for diesels is wholly unreasonable and would, I believe, be open to legal challenge. It is also based statements which are not true your question 9 states "Are you

aware that when compared to petrol cars with a catalyst, diesels have higher emissions of NOx and much higher emissions of particulate matter, and are therefore more harmful to health?" Euro 6 diesel engines have exactly the same PM emissions as Euro 6 petrol engines (0.005 g/km) and only slightly higher emissions of NOx (0.08 & 0.06 g/km respectively) and Euro 6 diesels have lower CO and HC emissions than Euro 6 petrol engines. Naturally, Euro 6 diesels have far lower emissions of any type than Euro 1 - 5 petrol engines. You will also be aware that Euro 6 diesels are exempt from charging in the ULEZ precisely because they are so clean and many owners will have bought them for this very reason. If the council is serious about cleaning up the borough's air then the parking charges should be based on the Euro engine classification which look at emissions harmful to health rather than the road tax bandings which are based on CO2 emissions which, whilst implicated in global warming, have no effect on human health.

The £50 surcharges should be based on people income and circumstances as it not affordable to everyone. Also not everyone has the money to afford to change there vehicle to non diesel . So it great if your rich but what about individuals/couples raising a family/students low earners this Will effect them. There should be a scheme for residents to have discount taxi fare if you want to reduce air pollution some people have no choice but to drive due to being a carer or having a disability themself .Lewisham council has more than enough money to afford this them self then trying to take it back from resident.

The 10 free hours for visitors is already a policy but one that the parking staff seem reluctant to adhere to. So to package this up as a new idea is dishonest.

As always lewisham council looks to seek ways to make money. It's happy to sell of land and promote over development without the consideration on pollution levels and then cites this "tax" will help solve the problem.

Don't authorise a new school development in Lee Green and refuse to do an environmental impact report and then say you're looking to improve the pollution levels.

The actual particles from diesel cars is now very low. Please use latest information.

Why are you thinking of charging residents to park outside their home? That is just disgraceful. Charge people who drive from outside the borough and produce far more pollution. Really you should look after your residents not penalise them.

The air in blackheath is fine. No issue to be addressed. The council should focus its time on crime and homelessness which are much bigger issues than trying to make more money from car owners

The air pollution is not caused by residents, it is by cars passing through. This proposed measure is not targeted the principal polluters

The annual cost seems to have sky rocketed - can you explain the need to increase costs at this level when inflation is running in the single digits. This is a stealth tax!

THE CALCULATOR IS NOT ACCURATE AND VERY UNFAIR

I have compared various cars on the calculator as follows

3 litre diesel sport range rover £250

2 litre turbo diesel 4x4 - £270

Hybrid small yaris - £700

Small fiesta less than 1 litre £700

full electric car £700

THE 3 CARS THAT ARE EXEMPT FROM CAR TAX DUE TO LOW OMISSIONS ARE A HIGHER PRICE THAN THAT OF A GAS GUSSLING HIGH OMISSION , POLUTING TANK.

The charge shouldn't just be on the polluting effect but also if people are using public transport . I use my car for longer journeys to places not served by public transport typically once a week. Why should I have a diesel surcharge when a low polluting car and less was know about diesel and I drive very little.

There should be a differentiation between diesel cars which meet ULEZ which mine does and

older more polluting ones. Why should ULEZ pay an additional amount over and above other petrol models which may be more polluting.

Please send me a copy of the equality impact assessment, as I am concerned that you will be adversely affecting those on lower incomes, single parents and those with health conditions as may have older cars (but may travel less miles). Also what about those who have off street parking and pay nothing but may drive more?

The charges is too much

The city of London is already doing this with the ULEZ. The proposed surcharge you are wanting to impose is just another stealth tax to buffer your coffers. The ULEZ will already serve the intended purpose of lowering emissions in the zone which includes the borough so you are in effect double taxing for the same thing and this is just a revenue raising activity on your part.

The consultation lacks the provision of detailed information and analytics on possible options, on which to base rational judgements; rather like the initial Brexit consultations. Why hadn't this been shared?

The consultation should be sent to all residents on the electoral roll and to business owners in the borough , if it has not done so already, to ensure the validity and inclusiveness of the consultation.

The cost of my permit will be far too expensive. I cannot afford a newer car. And I cannot afford the parking permit so please advise what I should do?

The cost of temporary/visitor permits is soo much higher than residents permits that to be honest it makes me want to buy a car and resident permit because I think it might work out cheaper than my spending on visitor permits for people looking after my children, plus cleaner, plus the few days a year I rent a car parking permits plus car rental fees.

I do not understand why you would give people that have a car additional free permits, but not people who don't own a car and are using public transport. This seems like an additional incentive to own a car.

The cost of the permit is outrageous and parking is getting worse.

I've just calculated what the charge will be under the new system and there's hardly no difference in price and my car is only 2 years old.

The council already charge far more than other boroughs for parking permits. Plus they charge for visitors permits and now they want to increase the cost to park your car outside your home!!! It's unreasonable especially when not every road in the borough is subject to parking permits. How is that justified?

The council does not take any notice

The council is proposing to lower the rates for cars with lower emissions

Is not going to make a difference to cars producing high emission. Just like the ULEZ people are charged to drive their cars if they pay the fee How does that stop pollution?

How does charging stop air pollution?

Would it not be better to ban cars with high emission from driving than trying to generate more money, you cannot buy cleaner air.

Park and ride may be a solution

The Council must involve the millions of car journeys made within and through the borough as well as looking at measures for its residents who are smaller in number.

The council needs to do more cleaning on the roads and streets.

The council seems at odds with itself - what is the policy for on-street electric car charging? my nearest charging ports are over 15 minutes walking. But, there is no plan to 'increase' 'street furniture'?

Also query why I need to own a car to look at the cost of parking?

Last question, one that I would like answered and responded to - why are the parking permit

times 9 to 7pm? Is it to ensure resident only parking? if that is the case, why would you not have a shorter time zone like Greenwich? 10am to 2pm would have the same impact, no?

A comment on the layout of the consultation (as someone who's currently designing the HS2 consultation in euston) the layout is confusing and not user friendly. I will probably be submitting a complaint about how convoluted the consultation is and how difficult it is to respond - I presume you haven't done an equality assessment?

The council should also consider how to reduce the space dedicated to parking and return this to better uses such as walking, cycling, miniature parks, seating, cycle parking, etc. etc. The Council should be discouraging people to use cars overall, especially in areas with high PTAL. Carclubs are very important so parking permits should only be allowed to disabled residents in areas with high PTAL.

The Council should consider the need for private car use and where alternatives are viable. In parts of the borough with high PTALs, the permit cost should be far higher as the need for private car use should be less (for most residents). The Council could then use this money to fund cycling and walking improvements in other parts of the borough, enabling alternatives to private cars in those areas.

The council should first set an example by only using electric vehicles/ lorries.

The proposed charges represent a huge increase and are HIGHLY REGRESSIVE because more well off residents have off street parking and can afford to buy new cars.

The increased parking charges will only have a very minor impact on Lewisham air quality since parked cars do not cause pollution. Pollution is caused by moving traffic, a large percentage of which is passing through or coming in and out from surrounding areas.

The council should not be so quick to penalise the residence who park here as the bulk of the pollution is caused by through traffic on the major roads.

I only use my diesel car 4 -6 times a month and that is to travel out of Lewisham. St should I be penalised for not actually switching the car on or moving it. The ulez is a much better solution as it affects the people using the car not owning.

The council should offer cheaper prices for those with hybrid/zero emissions vehicles, but not increase prices so much in one go. Most of the residents agree with improving the air quality, but you will only upset people with such an increase in charges.

The council should plant more trees on the streets.

The council should replace trees that have been cut down with new trees. At least on a one to one basis.

the council should provide grants to aid diesel vehicle drivers to change their vehicle to non polluting type

The council should strongly resist the ULEZ for its residents. This will penalise those least able to afford it.

The council will do what they want irrespective of what the residents of Lewisham say

The CPZ scheme has been in place for less than 3 months in Fossil Road and already the Council wants to change the cost of permits to residents.

I ave always been strongly opposed to the scheme as residents should be able to park a car in the road where they live without having to pay an additional cost on top of the road tax that they already pay.

Most residents who now need to buy a parking permit to leave their car outside of their own house during the daytime do not use their cars for work and are therefore not adding to air pollution (they would not need to buy permits if they were using their cars during the daytime). Hundreds of commercial vehicles and commuting drivers are using the residential roads as cut-throughs, racing between speed bumps and breaking heavily just before speed bumps while residents cars are parked with engines off creating no pollution what so ever.

I own two classic vehicles that are insured on a group classic car policy with limited mileage.

They are show vehicles rather than 'every day' cars and are probably driven less that 5 miles

per week.

Due to the age of these vehicles there is no easy way to lessen the emissions to make them more 'green' but because of their historic value they have been exempt from most legislation relating to road tax/mot requirement.

I have lovingly owned and enjoyed these classic vehicles for many years but I will not be able to afford to keep them if I am going to be penalized financially for keeping examples of our motoring heritage on the road (driven or parked).

I strongly oppose the proposed changes to the CPZ permit charges.

The CPZ where I live (Embleton Rd) is detrimental to my life and that is our neighbourhood. To restrict parking 9 am to 7pm is too long. Surely the hours are too long. We do want work people to be able to park and friends in the really evening.

Why not 10am to say 2pm?

The CPZ is a tax , it is too expensive.

Further although I support your revised policy , we can afford a new car , you will penalise people who are poorer.

The current parking charges in Lewisham Borough are appropriate for the meantime and they should not be increased. There should be a balance view approach to emission controls. While drivers are to be encouraged to switch to environmentally friendly vehicles, punitive local parking charges should not be used to drive vehicle owners off the road. Some residents own vehicles for a good reason. Some older drivers (not qualifying for blue badges) who have no support from anyone with their shopping; rely on their vehicles to carry such load on their own. In Lewisham Borough there are jobs which rely on ownership of vehicles such as mechanics, parts suppliers, etc. Already from October 2021, the Council is going to get very rich from £12.50 per day charges of vehicles which do not meet the ULEZ criteria. What is the need to jump the gun!

The current price for a resident permit is £120. The 3 most popular bands are all above this standard price. It seems like the drivers are always being penalised and its always in the pocket. If you dont want people to drive then ban cars or make the lower emission cars cheaper. Its not financially viable to sell a car that's only ever going to go down in value but up in everything else.

The currently parking restrictions are poorly enforced. Unless this is rectified the proposed changes to parking permits are of no use.

The demonisation of diesel is discriminatory if there is no scrappage in place. We were encouraged to buy diesel by the Labour government.

However, the fact is Euro VI diesel is cleaner than an old petrol car so why do they not get penalised?

I'm luck to be able to afford the £50 extra in my parking - others are not.

Finally, if my vehicle is good enough for the ULEZ, why is Lewisham trying to charge me for my fuel type, which makes the car more economical? I use it to drive to my headquarters in the Midlands every 6 weeks, not pop to Tesco.

The effects of pollution on health are complicated and the measures used to curtail diesel use are simplistic and unfair to those who bought diesel cars on the recommendation of the government

The emissions standards should align with the TfL ULEZ standards. It's confusing having multiple systems running in the same area.

the equality implications section does not adequately answer the argument that this environmental tax will hit those with less financial resources- the increase in costs just for parking are exorbitant and unfair. The overall report also does not consider the bigger environmental issue that the pricing mechanism encourages the purchase and production of yet more vehicles with a great environmental set-up cost compared to better maintaining

current vehicles of various kinds on the road perhaps by having a stricter local MOT. . Instead of adding more taxation to our costs, justified by supposed environmental benefits, it would be better to reduce the high costs of London's public transport system to the user. The congestion charge was supposed to have produced environmental benefits but clearly did not. Much of this appears to be (and is) a way of raising tax by using the go to of environmental crisis- but not tackling that crisis in any meaningful sense.

The extra charges will affect poorer people in the borough who cannot afford new cars. The fact that parking is changed from all day to 10-12 and the price is still the same is quite frankly disgraceful. Perhaps charge the £50 to people who come into our zone but unfortunate residents that bought the diesel when it was 'encouraged', it would be a big punishment for those who can't just switch up the cars. Anyone buying a car from 2019 onwards aware of diesel problems, yes charge them

The free 10 hour pass should include all residents not just those already with resident parking permits and actually should give more allowance to those without a permit already to disincentivize car ownership and therefore usage

The goods train running through the borough massively pollute the area too and contribute nothing.

These should run around the capital.

The Government are already penalising motorists over emissions via road tax hikes, Lewisham Council are using this as a money making exercise.

The Government are ALREADY penalising motorists over emissions with tax hikes, Lewisham Council are using this as a money making exercise.

The government are already taxing diesel drivers heavily, through the car tax and soon through the ULEZ. We do NOT need yet another tax on top of that for parking permits.

Charging more money for these cars will not improve air quality, as people cannot afford to replace their cars. All it will do is put more cash into the council's pocket under the pretense of being for the good of the community.

I cannot disagree with this proposal more strongly.

The government did falsely inform us to get diesel car and now we will be penalised which is unfair. Spend more on buses to improve their emissions. Whats to say in few years we will be told electric cars are harmful.

The government encouraged people to buy diesel. Now they are being hit with more and more charges. As a diesel car owner I am not in the position to change my car. I use it mainly to go and visit my parents in gillingham they depend on my visits for help etc being in their 80's. Should I be hit with more charges they will be the ones to suffer. By increasing the cost of parking it will not reduce the amount of car journeys. If anything it will increase unnecessary journeys because people will move their cars to a road where permits are not needed till the evening and then return their cars back to the road they live in.

The government is taxing the cars and vans so why is the council taxing us as well?

The government was relatively recently recommending diesel cars, but in light of recent research there has been an about turn. However people can't change their car on a whim, therefore find themselves in a position where parking permits, ULEZ, and other costs are pushing up the price of driving without much warning. (Whilst I don't necessarily class myself in this bracket) the people who will struggle the most to get environmentally friendly cars and will therefore have to face the increased costs tend to be the poorer motorists in the first place.

The government were promoting diesel cars, to the point that I purchased one because of this, in 2015. Then after the VW scandal, we now realise that the diesel cars are not as "clean" as we were told, and now the government seems to be "punishing" diesel car owners with increased taxes etc. This isn't fair unless the government provide help to the existing diesel car owners to sell and change to a cleaner petrol/electric car instead.

I have now scrapped my diesel car but had the government not promoted diesel, i would have purchased a petrol car in 2015 instead. I feel that I was "conned" and feel that my decision was influenced by the government's promotion, and feel that I have lost a lot of money because of this.

There will be many more drivers who feel the same and whilst it would be good to implement ways to discourage people buying diesel cars, people who purchased them during the period 2012-2016 should be compensated.

The highest cause of pollution is from vehicles passing through Lewisham. ie, along main roads, traffic jams and rat running through residential areas.

surcharge on diesel vehicles does not take into account the use of the vehicle. ie, some vehicles are parked for most of the week causing no pollution. This makes an extra parking charge nonsense. (a moving charge might make sense) if it was possible.

It therefore suggests that the aim is to increase the amount of income from parking. While parked - no emissions. Therefore base the charges on annual mileage.

Its the craziest scheme ever thought of. ie. pay more for diesel car parking parking ie, parking - definition not moving - not causing emissions. The incentive you're hoping to provide (of giving households with a non-diesel car free 10 hour visitor parking credits) defeats the actual point of reducing cars on the road and improving air quality?.

Also there are many families I know who are planning to switch cars and would really like to switch to electric. If they don't have a parking bay at their property, they are put off by the fact that charging stations are situated quite far away (even though it might be within a 1 mile radius) to make it worthwhile for them to make the switch. Are there innovative solutions (e.g. where the council can provide safety tubing to conceal charging wires from houses across the pavement onto the road to charge cars) that can be introduced here?

I work in sustainability and innovation, and would really like to work with the council to explore such options. I can be contacted at XX.

The increase in charges for parking petrol car seems excessive. I rarely use my car (ie it is not creating pollution) so an increase of 50% is excessive. New rates should be introduced for new vehicles purchased after the introduction of the new policy. Penalising people for decisions made in the past is in my view unfair. Many people are not in a financial position to change their car to meet emissions targets though these would be a consideration for their future choices. Give the low car ownership in the borough, it suggests that most pollution is caused by vehicles passing through the zone, not the residents

The increase on my car is double and I only use it once or twice per week as we prefer to use public transport or walk. It is unfair to penalise a person for owning a car, this should be on usage. Public transport does not service all transportation needs.

The issue is not parking, it's driving. Vehicles travelling from say, Bromley to Southwark are passing through and polluting Lewisham with no penalty. In addition, a parking permit is a fixed tax and pays no reference to how much the car is used and therefore how much pollution the car produces. I might use my car once a week, trying to walk / use public transport (- and sacrifice my free time to do so) where possible to minimise pollution whereas someone else might use theirs twice a day (e.g. school run) with no increased penalty. The cost to park is not addressing the issue. It's an unfair local tax. The issue must be addressed at national level via fuel charges. Road tax changes are an option but can be evaded. Parking charges will not address pollution. Lewisham Council must be careful not to produce/ amend a parking permit system just to try and show that it is doing something to address pollution. The local government is right to consult local people. Local initiatives should also be supported.

The London Mayor has adopted extension of the ULEZ, including LB of Lewisham in 2021. This will levy significant penalty fees on diesel car users unless already meeting Euro 6 standard. Replacing my well maintained Euro 4 diesel car will undoubtedly be far more environmentally damaging than continuing to run it until end of life. But the ULEZ requirements dictate I must do this. Replacing the car before the end of its useful life is already a significant financial cost to me, a Lewisham resident. As diesel is the only suitable engine currently available for the type of motoring I do, the LB of Lewisham parking proposals will penalise my household twice-over. This is unreasonable, unfair and inconsistent with the policy already put in place by the Mayor of London. It will be prejudicial to LB of Lewisham residents to be further penalised with a diesel surcharge of this type.

The new prices for parking a car outside your home in Lewisham is ridiculous. The price has gone up more than 100%. How are people suppose to afford these new prices if wages have not increased?? Its appalling! What will the council be doing with all these new payments??

The answer should be fixing all the pot holes in and around Lewisham because they are terrible! I'm extremely disappointed in Lewisham. I purchased a car myself because it was fairly easy to maintain- these new prices will make it more difficult and I need my car from work. The new prices are a terrible decision, and expensive as they are already.

The new roundabout off Lewisham Town Centre does not improve congestion in fact the layout seems to have made it worse

the new scales "will actually result in equal or lower annual permit fees for 29% of petrol vehicles, and 5% of diesel vehicles that currently have a resident permit"

Based on the above estimate I am not sure what is the point off the new parking charges to be introduced?

It makes more sense to wait until 2021 with any changes - people should have enough time to prepare, and Lewisham will have a better understanding of any impact of ULEZ on people/businesses in the borough

The only reason I have to purchase a resident permit was due to the number of locals parking within my area to use the trains, as residents this was frustrating and also had an impact on us as residents each day as there was never anywhere to park, this is no longer the case but I have to pay a price to park on a street which I pay taxes towards, which is really unfair, this becomes an added price or another debit to change my vehicle before the ULEZ extension or another Lewisham charge being added, £195 year to park is ridiculous!

The order and nature of the questions in this survey is extremely biased and should not be viewed as an object analysis.

the respondent is lead down the garden path with questions purely designed to link health with exhausts emissions e.g. Q6, before asking asking the respondent to agree with what the council wants to do anyway. No indication is given about how much a reduction in emissions will improve health; or indeed to what extent pollution actually affects health on a borough wide basis.

Normally there would be a cost benefit analysis done. The public should know:

- 1) how much you expect to raise from these taxes
- 2) what sort of Co2 and particulate reduction you expect
- 3) how this reduction is explicitly linked to a quantified improvement in health.

The parking charge should not be introduced until 2021 and the ulez

The parking fees and enforcement by Ringo are already unfair. Those that live in the borough should pay a lower rate for visitor permits and parking in all zones.

The parking permit are already expensive and to be adding another high amount of if it's quiet unfair considering not everyone can afford such amounts. It sounds like a nice idea for people to drive around in low emission car but a lot of people can't just afford to by a brand new car.

These new changes are will be affecting the lower class families who already have a low income

The parking permit calculator is not very helpful -- why ask for the registration number and then ask for info on emissions?

The parking permit charge is a farce. The restrictions and therefore cost of enforcing them was reduced dramatically and the permit price has stayed the same. Increase restrictions and reduce permit price. Prevent people driving into the borough not people who have to park at their own houses.

The parking tax is biased against people with older/diesel vehicles regardless of how often they use them. This might disproportionately impact people who need a car but are unable to afford a new low-emissions vehicle.

The parking zone should be changed - we live on brownhill road and have to park 10 mins away yet cannot park in bowness road which is opposite.

The plan is very one sided, more stick than carrot. If you want to encourage cleaner car usage then provide the infrastructure to support it. Our road needs an electric charging point for example, yet we are consistently ignored when asking the council for installation.

The policy document suggests that parking charges will be reduced for some residents, but this is not true.

Only 5% of vehicles are eligible to remain at the same £120 level, and costs are increasing for everyone else.

It is short notice to impose such a significant increase - for our household it's a £105 increase. When the parking permits were first introduced they were £60. It feels like the council is just using this as an excuse to rake money out of residents, dressed up as a green initiative. This will hit a lot of middle and lower income families who cannot afford to upgrade to electric vehicles.

It's a regressive tax as electric vehicles are currently the most expensive to buy.

What impact assessment have you done on how the proposals will affect middle and lower income households?

Previous government advice (when we bought our car) was to buy fuel efficient diesel car. The policy seems confused in its goals and ineffective in meeting them.

The target of the policy is air quality, but you frequently talk about CO2 emissions - which have no impact on local human health. Any policy to improve local health should focus on actual air pollutants and not CO2, if this is indeed what you wish to target.

Secondly, there are basic questions that do not appear to be addressed with regard to whether this policy will be effect. These include:

- What proportion of air pollutants in Lewisham come from cars owned by residents vs vehicles moving through the borough from elsewhere? And is it at all significant?
- What is the elasticity of demand with regard to owning a more polluting car, and what actual reduction in air pollutants is anticipated from increasing the price of owning such cars via parking permits in such a minor way?
- How will the effectiveness of the policy be measured? Is it even possible to measure it?

Without answering these questions it is impossible to determine the anticipated or actual effectiveness of the policy.

Without having been presented with any actual data, my expectation would be that the great majority of air pollution in Lewisham comes from vehicles outside the borough and mainly commercial vehicles (especially movement of heavy goods vehicles, builders and delivery vans, etc.). Therefore residents parked cars would not seem to be worthwhile.

I also very much doubt that charging anyone a little more for their parking permit will have any impact whatsoever on their decision of what car to buy, or to make them sell their existing polluting car any faster. And even if there is some impact, it is surely negligible versus all the other factors at play. There are already many taxes and incentives to buy less polluting cars and minimise the amount they are driven, and your additional tax really does not appear painful enough to add any additional incentive (nor could it ever be so without being considered totally punitive on residents). Therefore it is impossible to consider it as anything other than a revenue raising exercise with some virtue signalling tagged on in order to make it more difficult to reject.

I think the council's efforts are better spent genuinely trying to improve the services for residents than wasting time and money on consultations seeking to micromanage minor issues like parking permits - especially in such an ineffective way.

The policy seems to be directed at reducing the level of harmful emissions as it is affecting the health of poorer residents. If poorer residents are not car users then this is fine but if they are then ultimately this is increasing the cost of car ownership. As above, the emphasis should be around getting people cycling and walking for the journeys that are under 5 miles. The poorest people who rely on their car should not be penalised when looking to reduce car emissions

The poorest will have old cars of at least 10 years old if you are going to increase the cost on these cars you should be ashamed of that policy.

Its the rich that can afford electric cars and why should they benefit as always from these green policies?

The pricing model risks disadvantaging the poor further. It doesn't look like you've even tried to address this.

The problem isn't the type of vehicle - often people don't have a choice, because it's a car or van required for work or provided by a third party, and the people least able to buy a new car/van are those that increasing parking charges will most affect.

Just police better the parked-up-engine-running problem - including those using vicar's hill between about 6 and 7pm every weekday. Stationary, engines running.

The problem with encouraging a switch to low emission vehicles is that it does not deal with the issue of number of cars on the road. A lot of particulate pollution comes from tyres and brake pads which will continue to be a major source of air pollution even with low emission vehicles. Also the tyranny of the car and its domination of public space should end and our city would be a far more livable and healthy place.

The proposal could hit some people economically who has no choice but to own a very old vehicle with higher emission. That is not fair.

The proposal does not go far enough. Air pollution kills 40k people/year in the UK and the traffic policies MUST start to reflect this.

The proposed bands actually reduce the incentive to use a low emission vehicle as the current rate for low emission vehicles is only £30 per year. The rates for band 1 & 2 vehicles should be kept at this low level and the rates for the highest emitting vehicles should be much more punitive. Owners of Range Rovers can afford much more than £250 per year.

the proposed changes are not about lowering the cost for low emission vehicles but the council will, in fact, raise the cost of parking permits for many people. Families cannot afford to change their cars immediately - it needs long term planning. The proposed changes in the cost of permits will penalise the vast majority of people living and working in the borough. I can see no evidence from these proposals on what the additional revenue will be spent on to improve air quality.

The proposed new resident parking permit cost for my car under your proposals would be prohibitively expensive for me. I drive an old (but small) car, and only use it approx once a fortnight when other modes of transport aren't feasible (eg to bring home a large amount of

shopping that I wouldn't be able to carry on public transport). I cycle to work, and otherwise take public transport everywhere. I feel it's quite unfair to penalise very low users of cars in this way. The consultation asks whether I agree that low emission vehicles should be encouraged - which I do - but that's quite a different thing to penalising those with older vehicles. I could not afford a new car so these proposals would really adversely affect me. The proposed surcharge is extremely unfair as a few years ago people were told diesel is better than petrol for a good air quality; some people would have purchased their cars based on this advice, however now they are being penalised.

Also people are already paying a diesel surcharge in the car taxes and the ULEZ, this surcharge is the council jumping on the bandwagon.

The parking permit allows one to park a car, the surcharge to penalise a diesel driver is therefore unethical as a parked car is not emitting any dangerous gas.

The proposed system of increased charges for parking permits based on emissions is ill considered and provides inappropriate incentives. Emissions arise from driving vehicles not from parking them. If you wish to reduce emissions in the borough and elsewhere then charges should be related to the use of the vehicle not on parking it. A low use high emitting vehicle is less of a problem than a high use low emitting vehicle. Permits should therefore be charged for on a combination of engine type/ size and annual mileage which car owners are required to provide to their insurers on an annual basis. Such an approach should not be difficult to implement and would be much better targeted than your proposed cheap and nasty approach. From the box below it can be seen that 71 percent of residents will pay the same or increased charges if they have a petrol car and 95 percent will pay the same more or the same if they have a diesel car. If this scheme is to be seen as truthfully an environmental scheme not a way of increasing charges, which it probably really is, it should be designed to be revenue neutral.

The public transport system in Lewisham is excellent. However it could always be improved and the fares made lower. I think it is partly the high cost of public transport that encourages people to drive their cars.

The purpose of these increased charges is not clear.

If it is to improve air quality then it will have no such effect on its own unless every penny raised is directed towards that purpose.

If it is to reduce car use it may have the opposite effect. Currently I only use my car for out of town trips: if I have to pay so much I should start using it more.

If it is to discourage driving in the borough then extend paid parking to every street in the borough. That should help.

If it is to discourage car ownership it won't have much effect when there are only 15,000 permits in the borough. Why not extend this charge to every car owner in the borough?

If it is to raise money to plug gaps in the council budget, it is wholly inequitable and all your literature about air quality is very disingenuous. If there are nearly 300,000 residents in Lewisham why should the 15,000 permit-holding residents who can't afford to live in big homes with off-street parking be shouldering the burden?

The quality of the air is not only affected by cars which are stationary, so I am not sure if that tax will improve the air quality.

The air quality is mainly affected by vehicles with the engine running. Taxi and bus are more than blamed here. Also stupid traffic lights that are forcing cars to stop should be avoided.

Lastly the air pollution is also affected by inefficient heating (house and commercial), diesel trains and motorbikes. Which none are looked after

If you want to tax fairly, heavy vehicle (such as suv, pickup) which are not needed in an urban landscape like Lewisham should be taxed

The questions are not balanced

the questions are skewed to obtain the answers you want. A question aimed at one view should be balanced by asking the opposite, balancing one.

e.g. you ask if its right to lower the parking charge for a lower emission car, but you dont ask if its right to increase the charge for a higher emission car?

In addition to this failure, by raising charges for higher emission vehicles you will be unfairly punishing/penalising residents who made decisions to buy cars before emission levels were a material issue, or attracted punitive charges. Higher charges for higher emissions must only be applied to cars bought after the charges are brought in.

Also you will be punishing residents least able to afford to change their cars.

In your pre-emissions records category there should be an exemption for really old classic cars (like the TFL 2021 plans) as these are driven rarely and contribute a very tiny proportion /% of the overall emissions load.

The questions are very prescriptive to determine a specific outcome, I'd suggest this is being used incorrectly to reach a predetermined outcome.

The resident parking is not currently good value, even without an increase. We pay full resident permit fees but our road is only resident parking only from 10-12. I would support a higher charge if the resident parking only time was increased to 9-5.

The rich who have the cash to drive a new car will pay less and the little man gets the bill.

Buses, ambulances fire trucks, police cars all diesel.

I understand you want to increase prices but doubling it isn't the answer.

The right approach (already adopted by the government) is "Only NEW Euro 6 diesels that don't pass real-world tests face increased tax". Some car makers cheated with static vs real world tests, but it is a too coarse and unfair solution to tax all the diesels right away. I agree about the older diesels, that's why surcharges should be based on emission bands, non on the type of engine. Moreover, diesel engines have an indirect lower pollution factor, as they require less fuel per mile, hence petrol stations require less frequent refilling, hence less diesels petrol trucks on the local roads of Lewisham.

The roads in Lewisham are as dangerous and polluted as any other London street.

Please do all you can to encourage cleaner air for us all.

The root cause of higher emission is that the traffic flow is poor, as stated above, also the questions are biased and do not focus on the root cause (traffic flow), which requires more investment, as surcharges only act as a deterrent. So, a poorly constructed, or deliberately constructed consultation.

The scheme should be based on a mixture of car model & yearly mileage to correctly and fairly reflect car pollution.

I assume the funds are to be used to plant trees & go towards green initiatives?

If this is a way for the council to generate additional revenues, then I am against it, as drivers in Lewisham will already be penalised when the ULEZ expansion comes into effect.

The spread of cost from highest to lowest across the resident banding is too extreme.

Residents with older (but newer than 2001) vehicles are very unfairly penalised.

The streets of Lewisham need cleaning as well as the air. Don't use the ULEZ as a means to milk the general population of their disposable income - insight true change.

The surcharge doesn't take into account that newer diesels (are as safe as petrol cars).

The surcharge for diesel vehicles is too low. It needs to be higher. At least £100 or even double the banded rate. This comes inline with other London boroughs.

Your question 9 makes it clear that diesel is especially bad, and even within the industry the Co2 measurements are effectively wrong, so make the diesel surcharge higher as the disincentive to continue polluting with a diesel car.

Your proposed parking permit charges for the lower emissions bands is too high. Currently, you charge £30 for Band A and B emission vehicles. Now you are suggesting at least £70. That does not make sense. Electric cars are zero emission, so offer zero charged parking permits (or even a low admin type charge like £10). Given EV charging access is lacking in Lewisham, those owners are likely to park off-street to charge anyway. Secondly, the lower bands like A and B (e.g. hybrid type cars) should only have to pay around £30 for a parking permit: it's exactly the sort of car choices you want to incentivise.

The principle behind the proposed changes are spot on. The actual monetary numbers are not.

The surcharge is a regressive tax. People with less income are less able to change their vehicle type easily and tend to drive older polluting cars. This surcharge is easier to avoid for those with higher disposable incomes, who tend to buy unnecessarily big cars.

The surcharge on diesel cars only is a very crude measure. New diesel cars are significantly less polluting than old diesel cars (pre September 2015) and old petrol cars (pre 2005). The proposed measure will therefore penalise people who own diesel cars which were registered after September 2015 which are less polluting than certain petrol cars, i.e. those which were registered pre 2005. Furthermore, it is clearly a nonsense that a diesel car which meets minimum emission standards and therefore is eligible to travel in the Ultra Low Emission Zone without a charge, would have to pay extra to park in Lewisham.

See the TFL Ultra Low Emission Zone rules here: <https://tfl.gov.uk/modes/driving/ultra-low-emission-zone/cars?intcmp=52215>

Why is Lewisham imposing a rule which allows petrol cars pre 2005 (i.e. very polluting) to pay no additional charge, and at the same time forcing owners of low emission diesel cars (post September 2015) to pay more? Why is Lewisham not imposing the same rules as TFL?

The policy is crude and clearly not based on research (as evidenced by question number 9 above. This question should be relating to different age cars; it is too simplistic as currently drafted). Why not follow the TFL guidelines, which are based on scientific results, instead of an arbitrary rule against diesel cars?

The surcharge would be another charge that would hit low income families who do not have the means to change their car to one that falls in line with low emissions. ULEZ already does that

The survey assumes this should be a priority for local council

The survey should have made clear how much more/less you would pay with what is being proposed. It is pointless just quoting how much you would pay under the new system. Very few people will remember how much their existing permit costs. This shortcoming invalidates the consultation as price comparison is a key influencer.

The switch to electric/hydrogen needs to be accelerated.

The targets should be more ambitious.

The tax on diesel and the scale of parking permit increase is outrageous the timing of parking restrictions is wrong. It would help local residents and businesses to introduce part day restrictions that deter commuters and car dumpers.

Introduce more flexible parking restrictions around garages that allow cars to be temporarily parked and strictly enforce them.

The traffic build up in Lewisham is also linked to the terrible system for traffic near train station and the congestion around the station where all the buses go very badly designed

The trick is not to go after residents but to stop people coming in from outside the borough who use our neighbourhood as a giant car park. (probably than using TFL to get into the congestion charge zone). It's not just the normal cars but also busses and trucks that park up our road every weekend and many week days and nights. That will have a real effect on local pollution. Also the latest modern diesels are actually cleaner than older petrol cars.

The ULEZ and diesel surcharge would affect us financially as we have a family car that runs on diesel. A whopping £135 extra per year! More than double our current permit. Are you all really serious?

The ULEZ charges will be coming in to force in 2021 that will mean diesel vehicle owners will be hit with 3 extra charges. ULEZ, higher annual road tax & higher parking charges.

Buying a car is a massive expense and it was not so long ago that diesel cars were being promoted as the better option.

Whilst I agree with reducing pollution I do not believe just diesel car owners should be penalised. How reliable is the evidence that is being used? From which sources did this data originate?

A researcher at Loughborough University has invented a way of making diesel emit zero NOX by a process called ACCT. the process converts the NOX into Nitrogen and Oxygen both harmless.

There are very few electric charging points in the area and if you do not have a driveway you can not charge your car from home as you often do not get a space on the street anywhere close to your house.

In terms of pollution, what is the life span of an electrical vehicle battery? How will used batteries be disposed and at what cost to the environment?

The ULEZ is already encouraging lower emission vehicles . People will gradually upgrade their cars when they can afford to do so . Parking permits should cost same in borough . This policy favours the more well off and motorists face astronomical costs as it is . As retired people we are struggling to afford a car now and without a car it will seriously affect our quality of life ie ability to go out and about to other places beyond the borough . Also why should we have to pay twice what with the ULEZ coming in .

The ULEZ is already going to impose swingeing penalties on those of us who bought diesel engine cars on government advice due to the low CO2 emissions, the Council should not jump on the band wagon and see this as a great opportunity to fleece its residents.

The answer does not lie with moving to all petrol transport, the increase in CO2 emissions would be enormous as well as benzene release (a carcinogen). The numbers 'killed' by pollution is greatly exaggerated, there are plenty of harmful particulate releases in the home; toasters, frying pans, candles, sprays etc.

This is an attack on those who can least afford it, the well off can easily buy a new compliant car and most likely have off street parking.

The ULEZ limits require EURO 4 compliance for petrol vehicles, and EURO 6 for diesel vehicles. It seems this is because the limits in those two categories are equivalent. So whilst it is acknowledged that diesel vehicles have higher harmful emissions (especially NOx) overall, does that assertion still hold if one compared EURO 4 petrol with EURO 6 diesel (i.e. ULEZ compliant vehicles)?

Moreover, before EURO 5, petrol vehicles have no limit on particulate matter produced (and still have no limit on combined hydrocarbon and NOx emissions, even in EURO 6), yet the introduction of a PM limit for petrol vehicles in EURO 6 suggests that they also produce appreciable PM emissions (recall that PM is second behind NOx as the most harmful type of vehicular emission).

Moreover, what about the diesel-hybrid class of vehicles? Would these also face the suggested diesel surcharge? Given that cars are marketed with only a single, average (CO2)

emissions number, it can be difficult to assess the true emissions of especially hybrid vehicles in different environments. What evidence is there comparing diesel-hybrids with pure petrol vehicles?

Hence, I would favour a strict emissions and/or EURO-rating limit, as per the ULEZ. This would theoretically have the desired effect of encouraging cleaner cars, albeit only the cleanest diesels would meet lower ratings.

The ULEZ should be just for central London and I am petitioning against it being extended. The ULEZ that comes into effect in 2021 will penalise owners of older cars, regardless of how efficient their engines are.

Scrapping an older car and buying a new one is not a good solution. The pollution caused by manufacturing a new car by far exceeds the emissions saved.

The ulez will already affect people with diesel cars, it is a huge amount of money especially for those on lower incomes. I agree with the ULEZ zone in principle, but believe that it (as always) will be the less well off that will be affected. They will be paying both the ULEZ charge and a second charge from Lewisham Council. My feeling is that this is not fair.

The ulez will already significantly increase cost of owning a diesel car it is punitive and unnecessary for the council to increase this. For many people who require a car but do not use it extensively this is an unaffordable extra. Most cars in my road are not ulez exempt and there is a massive cost for all in having to buy a new car or pay an extortionate extra daily cost.

The ULEZ will cover most of Lewisham Borough from next year and so the more polluting vehicles will be paying a charge or discouraged from entering the borough. Further extra parking charges will drive shoppers and other business away from the borough.

the ULEZ zone will already target diesel vehicles. that is sufficient. i don't agree with additional penalisation for vehicles which are just stationary (parked)

The Ultra Low Emission Zone (ULEZ) is sufficiently punitive for residential diesel owners. It is not appropriate and proportionate for the council to further penalise diesel owners, especially as for many models of vehicles there is no electric, hybrid or petrol counterpart. More appropriate would be to charge commercial vehicles

The use of vehicles is already taxed by central government in a variety of ways. The parking of a vehicle causes no pollution. This measure will unfairly penalise less well off households who cannot afford to purchase a new vehicle. Additionally, a flat charge for all Diesel vehicles is unreasonable given that new Diesel powered cars are often much cleaner overall per mile travelled than many petrol cars.

The vast majority of the the traffic in the borough is created from people driving through to get to their work destinations - along routes such as the A2 and the a 20 through Lewisham and the also the south circular. It would seem unfair to penalise the residents of the borough many of whom travel to work by train and only their cars occasionally at weekend.

At worst Lewisham should consider differential charges such as the central London diesel charge where older polluting vehicles pay a higher charge than the newer vehicles which comply with the ultra low emissions standards.

The way the questions are structured are not fair or balanced - they are structured to elicit the answers you want, in particular the third section of question 8. I absolutely believe that we should encourage people to drive lower emission vehicles, however this is already being done by the enforcement of the ULEZ charge and this initiative does not seem to me to be anything other than a further exercise in revenue generation for the council. I appreciate that the council have been hit by massive cuts from central government but that doesn't make it okay to keep passing the burden on to those who can least afford it. If you really want to do something, create a sponsored trade-in policy that would allow people to exchange vehicles with higher emissions for those with lower ones at no cost or an affordable monthly fee (with no interest). When you introduced the RPZs we were told they wouldn't rise- instead they doubled the next year and again the year after. Enough now!

The whole idea is great until you realise the discount to those with newer, more eco friendly cars is a discount on an increased price. The high emission cars are being charged a lot

more. What you haven't taken into account is that the cars that will be charged more are more than likely older cars, therefore probably owned by people who cannot afford a newer car. The people who can afford it get a discount though. You're taxing the poor and giving the rich a break.

The wording and structure of this survey has been designed to induce respondents to agree with the council proposal. Not as a practical consultation.

The wording is very suggestive and manipulative towards your particular viewpoint, its like reading propaganda

I own 3 cars but take the train to work, vehicles do not pollute when stationary and turned off, all cars are equal when not in use. I use my car to travel outside of the borough so why should I pay not only road fund license and petrol tax and parking permits, but an additional pollution charge to keep the car stationary outside my residence?

This is becoming ridiculous, why dont we all just give you our salaries and then you can decide how much we spend on food etc

thanks

There are a large number of heavy vehicles, coaches, and commuters who drive through the borough on the A roads. These probably have more of an impact on the boroughs pollution levels than the residents that live here, but the proposals being made above only target Lewisham residents. I support the strictest possible low emission zone standards.

There are higher impact approaches to reduce air pollution, e.g. by tackling/focusing on actual car use rather than parking:

1. The ULEZ extension should be brought forward and implemented asap.
2. Proactive measure to move car journeys to cycling should be implemented - this should focus on school runs, deliveries and commuting - see points in answer to question 12.
3. Public transport options should be enhanced, e.g. with more frequent buses.
4. The Bakerloo line extension should be implemented asap.
5. Higher priority should be given to buses, e.g. more bus lanes / increased bus lane hours of operation, more policing of bus lanes.
6. Car sharing schemes should be better supported, e.g. with more cars available and incentives for residents to use them., e.g. dedicated parking places for car sharing schemes on residential streets and near facilities, so a shared car user knows they have a good chance of finding convenient parking. Car sharing companies should be incentivised to provide low/zero emissions vehicles.
7. More electric charging points should be provided, including on residential streets. Most Lewisham residents do not have off-street parking and so are unable to easily charge electric cars - this is a barrier to running a low/zero emissions electric car.

There are people like me who have to drive their own vehicle for their business. I'm self employed. We were encouraged to buy diesel cars by the government. I have for my business and I will be charged for this already in 2021. I already cannot afford to change my vehicle to fit with the scheme and have to increase my prices which stands to put me out of business. Increasing it again to be able to park will kill the business

There are people with high emission cars simply as they cannot afford a better car. You will be mostly targeting the poorest households.

There are several proposals for new builds in the area. These proposals state that the residents of the new builds will not be viable for residents parking permits. However, I feel it unlikely that this will be enforced, especially after the house is on its second owner.

The proposals also do not make any allowance for the introduction of electric charging points. This was a consideration when purchasing a new car as we deemed it was not viable to have an electric or plug-in hybrid when the closest charging point to our residence is over half a mile away

I would like to correct your questions above as it is misleading and directional for the survey.

Yes Diesels do produce NOx but this is not directly related to health issues to close residents. The cause of that is small particulates which increase considerably with the age of a car when the catalytic converter ages. Thus a 3 year old petrol is considerably more polluting than a new Euro 6 Diesel. Euro 6 diesels have the added ability to refresh their converters with 'Add Blue'. Lewisham should follow the TFL advice on Diesels which is what is applied to the ULEZ. There are too many cars in Lewisham as a whole. Public transport links are excellent yet I see car after car with single driver no passenger, it's a disgrace and more should be done to penalise these drivers

there aren't enough car parks or disabled bays in the borough

There has been an increase in price. We bought a hybrid, it was free then parking had a charge!!! So for us there has been an increase.

There have been many mistakes eg at the Gateway redevelopment, which have contributed to poor air quality. All future development projects should be required to demonstrate a positive impact on air quality.

There is a lot of speeding on 20mph roads in Lewisham, it would be great if this could be addressed too.

There is a need to distinguish between newer diesels and older diesels - as the ULEZ does. My emissions are lower than a lot of petrol vehicles but you propose to charge me a diesel additional charge which we just can't afford.

There is little point charging more for permits when large parts of the borough are not permitted - e.g. Brockley. This should be remedied - parking permits should be introduced. Lots of people drive and park in Brockley, and then get public transport into town - this makes the Brockley air pollution worse. Lots of cars are also dumped in the non permitted areas, and remain unmoved for months.

There is not enough information available to the public on the matter low level emission in Borough.

There is nothing that addresses parking for families/carers that visit from outside the borough.

There is nothing that addresses cars being parked near Honor Oak Park station as the owners use it as cheap holiday parking for Gatwick Airport.

There is strong political will to reduce air pollution and climate change. I started Clean Air for SE23 a few months ago and already have a facebook membership of 170. Catford Clean Air and Climate Action Lewisham are other great local groups. You need to harness this grass-roots power and support us.

Do more to publicise the issue-have exhibitions at library/supermarkets etc. Anti idling campaigns at schools. Put it on the curriculum.

There is too much parking allowed for people who commute into town from Lee Station. Nothing to do with this consultation but the problem does need sorting as well.

There may be public transport, however, Ladywell gets highly congested, buses are packed with school kids, many of whom reduce comfort of public transport. Quicker and safer to use own car. I often can't get on buses with my buggy due to lack of space

There need to be broader considerations in a review of Parking policy - and specifically, the very unfair treatment of working parents that is a current unintended consequence of the policy. Compared to other boroughs, Lewisham offers no solution for working parents with carers/grandparents/nannies to be able to register their vehicles and issued with permits at a reasonable cost. I do not drive or have a car, our nanny does, and needs to do so as part of her provision of childcare. But as Lewisham does not offer nanny permits, I have to pay for weekly visitor permits, totalling £900 per year. This is an additional £735 compared to the cost of a resident permit, if I was instead a stay-at-home mother with car. The only alternative is a business permit, at £500 per year, but this wouldn't allow her to park anywhere near our house as it is a residential zone. This is a severe penalty on working parents, unreasonable, and unfair. Many parents have grandparents caring for their children - the same issue applies to them, paying £900 additional childcare cost as a direct result of Lewisham's parking policy. I am happy to pay for a resident or nanny permit for any car parking in the area, but these

need to be 'reasonable - similar, or at maximum 2x, the resident rate, not £735 more per year. The nearest borough, Greenwich, provides these to parents for free.

There should be a greater emphasis on incentives and fewer punitive measures like extra charges to change individual behaviour. eg making public transport more reliable, cheaper and more comfortable to encourage use. Financial support should be given to those who own diesel cars/vans to help them buy new ones as many people were previously encouraged to buy diesel by the government instead of more fines.

Air pollution and climate change are not just a matter of the individual choices of residents. They are systemic and relate to group behaviours for example emissions by industry and manufacturing, food waste by food outlets and single used plastics manufactured by industry. If all households in the country were to produce 0 % waste in terms of plastics, food etc that would still leave 90% of the problem intact. it is time for the government to have a grown up discussion on issues of emissions and climate change.

There should be rebates and refunds for those on benefits. As well you should consult with local community groups.

There should be stricter monitoring of the bus lane infringements. The cycle routes need better marking. Pedestrian crossings should be more responsive to the management of air quality.

There should NOT be lower fees - except for the disabled.

Trades people can forward the costs onto their clients.

Writing below in point 14. that there will be "equal or lower annual permit fees" is not sending a message that you care about the environment - it is there to placate residents and defeats the purpose of this proposal.

There still needs to be ample parking provided.

These increased charges will do little more than generate revenue for the council. They also take zero account of vehicle usage. I drive a high carbon emissions car but extremely infrequently - why should I be penalised for not using a high emissions vehicle and instead walking/using public transport? Surely I should be rewarded!

Until there is significant incentive and joined up government/council/business approach to move to electric cars and infrastructure all this will be is a revenue generator and will hit those who can least afford it the most.

Why aren't you investing in electric infrastructure, not just for residents but to also support the future widespread use of electric for council trucks/service vehicles etc? If you're looking to drive people to lower emissions vehicles this will be ineffective.

These questions are totally biased and you are only doing this survey as everyone is going to reply in the way that you want. These are all leading questions designed to produce only the answers you want and not actually to get an opinion from residents. Not ALL diesel cars are bad.

These were very leading questions guaranteed to give you the answers you want in order that you can raise our the price resident parking permit.

Think it is a good thing

Think it is too much to almost double my petrol car residency, especially as charges have only just been introduced. They are only in force for 2 hours a day. It would be cheaper to get pay and display for every day!!

Think it's important to allow the residents to have access to visitor permits for there family and friends

Think of a good incentive

This amounts to enforced taxes. Some of us don't have a choice but to drive a diesel van for work. You are discriminating against the working man. You should be focusing on knife crime and tackling that issue as well

As homelessness. Instead you jumping on the bandwagon to make more money and tax people. Another example of elitism. Pathetic governing.

This appears to be an excuse for revenue raising.

These changes are bound to pressuring people with low income that cannot afford to buy a new low emission car. Support people that cannot buy a new car with financial aid (no creating debt!) if they cannot afford to buy a new car. Invest on families to change not punish them. Add a tax only based on annual income and rent/mortgage paid: people are struggling in Lewisham, don't burden them to milk more money!

This charge is unfair to the people who don't drive much like myself, where I only need to drive at the weekend to drop kids off to lessons and do our weekly shopping. I do less than 300 miles per month.

It should be charged as a daily rate like CC but much less. Say £1 a day for small car to £3 for a large car to drive within the borough. No drive no charge.

Or incentivise people in Lewisham to buy low emission car. Offer low interest loan for families to switch to electric or hybrid. Not squeezing more money out of hard working families.

This charge should not be introduced.

This consultation could be an opportunity to set up a blueprint for transport in the region. It should be an opportunity for investment in the future. Air quality is a large part of the discussion around general pollution, and environmental responsibility. Good air quality will reduce breathing complications in adults and children, improve general well-being and ameliorate the consequent healthcare costs to the borough.

This consultation is a farce since you have obviously made up your minds in advance. The 'questions' are clearly just a way of getting across your pollution/climate change agenda, of softening us all up for what you are about to do - and, of course, a bit of free virtue-signalling.

I do not accept that there air pollution is causing a health crisis in London. The figures you cite are transparently flawed and skewed to fit a political agenda. The air has never been cleaner.

My wife is a carer and needs her car for work. We are not rich enough to afford a newer vehicle. That we have to pay over £100 a year to park outside our own home is already a disgrace. As usual, these absurd and unnecessary policies hit the poor the hardest. Well done, Labour! Thanks!

Don't count on my vote.

This consultation is biased in that it says "air pollution is causing a public health crisis in London.....". This is simply not true. People are living longer in London and no deaths can be directly attributable to air pollution.

This consultation is designed to emphasise that diesel vehicles' (only) as air polluters, but it appears to be being used to package and make more palatable a raft of increased parking charges. Parking is a good revenue source, hence the increases in parking charges.

This will do nothing to reduce pollution from petrol vehicles or reduce traffic on our roads.

More positive and ambitious solutions should be being consulted on rather, than a further tax on residents. Where is the evidence that residents are the major contributor to air pollution in Lewisham?

This extra charging for car with higher emission is like punishing the poor who cannot afford a new car. No one wants to drive an old over vehicle if we can help it. It is further punishment for those who are less well off already.

This feels like a very antisocial measure that would hit low income residents badly. I need my car for work, and do not drive locally, yet the price of my parking permit would more than double with this scheme. I'd love to be able to buy a newer car, but with ulez and this, the value of my car has plummeted and thus getting a newer car would be even more taxing. In Lewisham, the cost of living is rising rapidly, council tax is rising, and utility bills are rising as well, which in turn makes it much harder to put aside funds to upgrade ones vehicle.

This idea is unfair. Not all roads in Lewisham have CPZ's so the 'dirty' cars parked on these roads won't have to pay anything! They can continue to drive diesel cars with no fees.. either make it for all or not at all. Also giving green cars a massive discount also unfair. CPZ are about being able to park in your road easily, stopping commuters from parking on them, not about type of car you drive. Again, sorry but I'm all for reducing emissions and getting healthy air but this way not fair for all as you are only targeting CPZ roads in the borough.

Regarding the prize draw, this should have been to claim a prize locally, not Amazon!!! This increase is going to cause problems for the public who have listened to the government for the last 2 decades telling them that diesel cars were good for us. They have no need for a financial upheaval . It is a way to raise money for the Council , by saying it will save the planet. The charge will penalise the poorest.

This is change is almost by definition regressive as it tends to be those on lower income with older cars and some depend on these vehicles for their livelihood.

This is a biased survey based on the amount of leading questions contained in this survey. This is a charge on parking, when stationary vehicles are not running . To conflate this with pollution is puzzling, especially given the introduction of a ULEZ zone very soon. I have no objection to open and accountable pollution tax, but I suspect this is a 'stealth' tax by the Council.

This is a clear attempt by the council to extract more revenue on the pretext of improving health.

This is a cynical way of extracting money from the local population. The consultation is a farce as all London boroughs WILL be introducing charges if they can get away with it. If air pollution is such a problem why are there no restrictions on the increasing air traffic. Mr S. Kahn is about to partition London and ruin social contact and the flexibility to deal with life's pressures. The Borough should not be so willing to participate. The ability to move around London will be based purely on the ability to pay. Fine for the rich and the healthy. Not so good for the rest of us. blanket exposure to the villains in using public transport has to be recognised. Reminder that many diesels were bought on the encouragement of the Government. The degree of pollution is in part dependant on how vehicles are driven. Bleeding the population for money is really what this is about and the questions presented here are loaded so as to draw attention away from this.

This is a discriminatory policy that unfairly taxes the residents in the borough who do not necessarily have the means to change their cars for lower emission cars. This is another example of a stealth tax on the poorer residents.

It would be better to target the commuters passing through the main arteries of Lewisham, or target the hundreds of building vans that are working all over the borough.

This is a good step towards cleaner air

This is a great idea. I still live in Blackheath, but now the Greenwich part (15 years in Lewisham part beforehand). I am 58 and owned a car from 17-49 when I gave up driving (hire 2-3 times a year). Never looked back once I stated to cycle most local places. However, my 48-year old self would never believe this - and would never have predicted I would almost give up driving entirely. It is a habit, and even possibly an addiction like smoking. You need more campaigns to discourage driving and increase cycling (copy anti-smoking campaigns). Show how QUICK it is to get from A to B by bike - and not the care. Show how CHEAP it is too.

And note I am not a zealot re cycling. Some people need cars for reasons to many to mention. However, if you can nudge a few to try cycling once, it will reap benefits.

This is a great initiative & I'm really glad it is happening

This is a money making scheme - the ULEZ is taking care of this problem and many have already had to change their vehicle - and we do not need Lewisham adding cost to local residents. If you want to do something enable EVERY huse to be able to access electric charging points outside their home

This is a money making scheme, due to central government funding cuts

This is a political stunt designed to further the corrupt and incompetent labour administration

This is a subject that is incredibly important right now and we must act immediately

THIS IS A TAX ON POOR PEOPLE THAT CANNOT AFFORD TO BUY NEW CARS

This is a terrible proposal, I've just purchased a new diesel car which is compliant with H&S polices, so why should I pay more for a parking permit. How much will Lewisham council give me and other car users to change our cars to more environmentally friendly vehicles? As ever you look for way to claim more money form your local residents. What are you doing to stop high polluting vehicles from entering and driving in Lewisham?

This is a timely exercise and could bring about big changes. I think that while Parking is and issue the encouragement of the young to change their habits e.g. walking or cycling instead of taking buses would give more benefits in the long term.

This is a totally biased survey methodology. You are one sidedly presenting all the negatives and then asking questions ensuring bias in answers. This would not be allowed by any independent market research company and as a professional market restoreearcher I resent the bias in constructing a survey methodology to get the answer you are looking for. You also have false statements. Diesel can be low emission and below petrol in NOX - you make a very blanket claim about diesel. The issue with cars with high emissions is there use and not their parking. ULEZ is already a blunt instrument that just charges by day vs amount of travel. You are now proposing to charge just for owning or parking a car - regardless of whether used. The Lewisham area is one of the worst in London for integrated transport. The ability to make bus journeys or joined up train journeys to various locations are ludicrously difficulty - including multiple connections or trains in and out of London. There is therefore punishment without any alternative on any journeys. If one does want to have an electric car there is no adequate infrastructure to deliver it. Diesel was promoted and encouraged by the Kyoto agreement. I am therefore the owner of a diesel car because I was encouraged to buy it. Now you are proposing to charge me more for just owning it. Offering no incentives or financial capabilities to assist in the loss you will generate from selling the car and replacing it. You have not sorted an adequate infrastructure to provide alternative journeys. So in short you are just using my ownership of a diesel car as a way of taking more money from me - this is omn top of a ULEz charge that is already planned.

This is a typical money making scheme there is no indication what is done with the additional funds.

The planning authority is happy to build houses but doesn't care about residents and the type of environment people live in. The high rises in Lewisham are a disgrace, this is turning into a scary area!

there are no infrastructure improvements, schools, more parks or facilities.

This is a very blatant discriminatory proposal. Parked cars do NOT pollute therefore should not be charged more, just because they happen to be in a residents parking zone.

THis is about parking - not driving, remember driving causes pollution, not parking outside your house!

It's not clear whether this policy will apply to the existing CPZ or Borough wide

This is again a burden and blight on car/diesel owners

This is already being covered by the ULEZ charge . If this was such an emergency tfl and Lewisham council should have the balls to ban ALL diesels , instead of penalising owners of older cars who can least afford it . Basically if you can afford to pay your can drive the most polluting car with impunity , while someone who cannot afford to change their older car is taxed off the road . How is that fair ?

This is hardly a consultation. The questions are bias, and heavily weighted ones.

This is just a cost increasing exercise because you will simply increase the cost of permits for Diesel engines. I cannot imagine that you will lower the cost of the smaller petrol engines

This is just another form to get more money

This is just another way of getting money from tax payers for councils to waste on non important things.

This is just another way to milk money out of car owners. If you were serious about reducing emissions then you would be using any additional monies raised for electric vehicle infrastructure projects, such as converting lamp posts to charging points etc. There are over 2.3million registered cars in London, how many charging points are there?

The charging structure based on emissions is wholly inaccurate, a recent BBC report states that many Hybrid car owners are not charging them at all, see report here:

<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-46152853>

Also, like the VW emission scandal for petrol cars 98% EV's are not meeting fuel economy figures with some being as much as 63% down on claimed figures, see here

<https://www.petrolprices.com/news/hybrid-cars-meet-fuel-economy-figures/>. If true, government needs to start setting standards so that customers can get accurate figures when comparing emissions and local authorities can also charge fairly based on those emissions.

This is just another way to milk more money out of your residences. This scheme will not reduce car usage in the borough & therefore pollution. Improve public transport & reduce its fares to entice more people to use it.

This is not a solution. it will only hit the families and poor households, who own older cars, and cannot afford a new car.

ULEZ is already hitting older car owners, this will have even more of an impact. Not to mention Road Tax which is designed to do just that.

I think it is outrageous for a council to be charging extra on top of these already high fees.

This is not council work - you cannot penalise drivers, when it is not against the law and people were encouraged to buy diesel by government.

I also have no confidence that the money you will take from residents will be solely used to clean air in the borough. Lewisham should think harder about how to address the problem rather than just 'tax' residents and take money.

This is obviously another way of getting more and more money from residents, you do realise that we pay car taxes for our cars and vans to be on the road unlike cyclist. Lewisham is one of the most expensive borough for parking with fees going up and up, other borough where property cost a lot more than the borough of Lewisham and who have less people in them, charges less where residents are able to park for free, this is another stealth tax on residents. I am fed up of authority's blaming the public for their incompetence, for example they were the ones that encouraged car manufacturers to make diesel cars stating it was the best, then change their mind and use the opportunity to spin the public another yarn regarding pollution. What are they now going to do with all these cars and vans? How are they going to dispose of them? Isn't this polluting the environment? Why don't the council plant more trees to help with the pollution instead of these high rise flats that cage people in. Lewisham council has built a lot of flats with very little outside spaces for anyone to enjoy, another excuse for this tax that they want to impose on us. You people in authority think the public are fools, clearly you all do not realise that you can't fool a fool forever. The questions that you pose is only to be answered in one way no other option is given for you to answer then in a way that express or challenge your question.

This is one of the poorest boroughs in London and you have just increased council tax and now you want to do this. Do you want your residents in debt and financial trouble. There is no tube no night train limited night buses so people have to use cars to get around. On top of this residents in new builds pay 6x as much for parking permits than home owners this is robbery. Sort out the transport before subjecting people to increased parking. It's a money grabbing disgrace.

This is punitive towards people who own diesel cars who owned/purchased them before more was known about their pollutant effects.

This is really unfair on people with Diesel cars.

I understand what needs to be done but it should not be at the expense of the resident because they have a diesel car.

Stop constructions right and left and concentrate of building a better environment with parks and green areas.

the battle can only be fair if you look at all the sources of the problem.

Air pollution is not just cars and vans - it's everything surrounding us.

This is unfair as it has no relation to the amount that an older car is driven and anyone who has off-street parking would not be impacted (therefore appearing to be a tax on the poor). If it is to be brought in, residents that already have a parking permit for a diesel car should be given at least 3 years grace before they incur any additional charges.

This is very one sided anti car campaign. We are encouraged to buy a certain type of car then discouraged. We have to pick up the costs all round.

Cars effects on the environment are not just air pollution, this questionnaire is clearly aiming for one result.

This is yet another assault on everyday people to effectively create another means of income generation i.e a tax. Those that are getting by are being hit again rather than those in positions of real wealth and power - these days car ownership does not equate to being truly wealthy, the impact of austerity impacts on all everyday people, this is not over despite what politicians believe and your proposals will add to it. Everything is interconnected, proper support needs to be put in place to implement the changes not just expect and assume that people can afford to change vehicles or pay what you are proposing.

It is not clear where the money generated will be spent and how if at all it will impact on air quality measures. If implemented any income should be directly put back into air quality improvements not used for any other Council business and this should be made clear on an ongoing regular basis to all residents as part of a commitment to transparency and accountability.

This new system is being promoted as a means of reducing pollution but I wonder whether the chief motivation is to raise more funds. I see fees for only 29% of petrol vehicles will remain the same or below current fees.

this plan looks like a money raising exercise and not a serious attempt to control pollution .we were encouraged by government to buy low emission diesels and now we are being punished for following government advice.

Small petrol engines because they rev much higher still produce a lot of emissions.

older petrol and diesels should be taxed off the road by government and not by councils.

some residents have no choice to use a car and it is a big financial layout for those that just about manage ,voters think of their pockets first and hurt people to much the political price could be heavy.

the council should invest in more hedges and trees to try and offset pollution and much more traffic restrictions around schools is needed.

This policy is not about air quality. If it is please can you write down what you intend to do with the money raised? Will it be used for planting trees in streets? My area in crofton Park could accommodate loads of trees especially along the main roads. Or you could use the money raised to compulsory purchase the existing green space owned by developers such as the Buckthorne road cutting. However, forgive me for being cynical but none of the proposals include a business case for how you are going to use the money? Why not?

This policy is not properly thought through. Penalizing the diesel car owners is not fair as the govt actively encouraged diesel cars not long ago. Encouraging them by giving financial aid will be the right move.

This policy will only effect those living in the borough, whereas I imagine a significant amount of pollution comes from those just travelling through Lewisham - which this policy would do nothing to address.

This proposal alone will not make a big difference. LBL needs to tackle pollution from larger roads. These roads make local schools highly unattractive for parents and reduce the life chances of all residents.

This proposal- charging based on emissions - unfairly discriminates against poorer residents of Lewisham - people who can afford to upgrade their cars will win and those who have no other option but to keep using their old banger because they are poor will pay the price - as ever in this society poorer people will ultimately end up poorer. There has to be a fairer way - one based on income perhaps?

This proposal is punitive to diesel drivers. Considering only around half of households own a car why would you penalise residents for a problem that clearly is beyond their control.

The issue is that the south circular runs through the borough causing higher levels of pollution. The extension to the ULEZ zone will only push more polluting cars on to the south circular in an attempt to avoid the charge, this consultation will have no impact on that.

Charging people more to have a space to park their car will not do anything other than generate more income for the borough.

There are many large areas of Lewisham where there are no parking controls at all, or more affluent areas where residents have driveways. This is a stealth tax for people that live near train stations that don't have access to off street parking.

This proposal will affect those individuals and families who are low earners within the borough the most. This is extremely disproportionate and does not make sense. If there is a problem try to resolve it so the effort and sacrifice for all who live in the borough is equitable. It stands to reason that wealthier residents will be able to upgrade to low emission vehicles thus having to pay lower parking charges however they are the ones who are probably most able to pay the extra charges without feeling the financial implications of raised charges.

This proposal will disadvantage the poorer members of the borough - those who do not have access to off street parking areas. It is thoroughly flawed and misconceived

This proposal would be a disgusting tax on people who bought diesel vehicles when they were told that they were better for the environment due to lower CO2 emissions. You shouldn't punish diesel drivers by charging them more, instead you should reward drivers of electric vehicles by charging them less.

This proposed policy is penalising poorer people who can't afford newer cars with less emissions.

This seems like another attempt to charge residents. This time because of non residents

This seems like another money making exercise by Lewisham Council.

It might pay you to remember that when the tap is dry nobody drinks.

The people who use electric/hybrid vehicles are generally in the upper earnings bracket.

Those lower down the scale do not have the luxury of changing vehicles every year or so and are therefore likely to suffer the most financially.

This should be means tested, some people need cars for work and can not afford permits

This sounds like yet another gentrification scheme! The poor who cannot afford electric or hybrid vehicles cannot afford to drive or park in the areas that they live/work so hard for peanut pay in. In all these plans for ULEZ I have heard no proposition of car manufacturers offering discounts for people trading in soon to be prohibited petrol and diesel vehicles, which doesn't surprise me because this is a prime opportunity for them to capitalise on the situation. Why should the rich capitalise over the poor who build, sustain and serve Lewisham everyday? Do we not pay enough taxes as it is?

This stinks of a cynical revenue raising scheme.

This survey is full of leading questions. LCC could not give a shit about health or the environment, you are just using this as an opportunity to generate funds because you have consistently failed to implement long term environmentally friendly policies

This survey is poorly written and because of that I have chosen strongly disagree for everything, where as if it was written better or 'truthfully' my answers would have been different. For example, it says 'is the council right to introduce lower rates for parking permits for vehicles with lower emissions' which I would usually say strongly agree. However, this is a

flat out lie and you would be doing the opposite, as my mother's hybrid car gets charged £30 a year for parking and with this new policy this will be upped to £70...

When it comes to this £50 surplus charge for all diesels... How is this even fair? People have got rid of their old diesel cars for new ones that are within the new ultra high emission zone regulations just for you to charge them all £50 extra a year? This is arguably robbery.

I don't understand how you can bring in the new ultra high emission zone (which I understand is needed to try and achieve our lower carbon footprint targets) which have hit people (mostly those of lower incomes) hard already, to then just add this on top... All to park outside our houses for 2 hours a day... Its laughable if I'm honest.

This whole Idea is just another 'Bash the easy target Motorist again' a Money Making enterprise for the council.

Proven by allowing the heaviest polluting traffic to still be accepted IF THEY PAY ENOUGH MONEY FOR THE PRIVILAGE.

This will essentially be a change and charge that affects our and lower working class people in the Borough. Lower income families tend to have older cars which are more often subject to the charges. People cannot afford to replace or buy more modern cars. This is an solely going to affect poorer people. Driving should not just be for the rich. Although I am a vegan for environmental reasons and have a desire to improve air quality and attack on the more vulnerable people in our Borough is not justified.

This will penalise Lewisham residents who cannot afford to change their car on a whim. They're mostly poor or just about managing.

The massive increase in the parking permit a few years back encouraged many people to turn their front gardens into car parks. This has destroyed pollution absorbing hedges and plants and increased drainage problems

This parking permit project is a tiny knee jerk reaction to a much larger problem.

This year there was a significant increase of council tax. Now if the council again increase parking permit charge by the name of reducing emissions, will be totally unacceptable. People with the average income will be hurt the most. Look for other avenues rather than just straight increase the tariffs.

Those least able to change their vehicle will be most likely hit by these charges

It's another tax on poor people

Those that drive diesel cars are already being penalised by ULEZ charge, and doesn't seem fair to also then be impacted by yet another charge. I only drive mine at the weekend as I work in London and this will heavily impact my budget as it is.

Those who have purchased diesel vehicles prior to the study on emissions are being penalized. Many residents might not be able to afford all the additional charges or to replace their vehicles which can have a detrimental impact on their quality of life.

Parking zones should all be either 10am-12noon or 9am-7pm. Living in the south of the borough, Any guests have to pay for a whole day parking where as if I lived in a different area, I would only have to pay 2 hours max for visitors, this is not fair.

I also see people regularly park in a disabled bay on our street, without a blue badge, and receive no fine.

TI HIS IS ANOTHER HIDDEN TAX.

Times are hard for a lot of Lewisham residents, low income, increase of living costs across the board. How are we suppose to find additional funds to pay increased parking costs.

To add a charge for people who are parking their cars for free is not in an effort to bring down the amount of air pollution, it is to get yet more money out of the people this city already abuses. Everything we do and you want more money.

People who live here and have lived here for a while shouldn't suddenly be lumped with a fee to park their car. Some people can't even afford to pay their rent-this would be devastating to those people.

To avoid unduly penalising local residents, you should identify whether the proportion of pollution that the non-resident through traffic creates - there are likely to be far more heavily

polluting non-resident vehicles than resident vehicles. Something needs to be done about these.

You need to improve the provision of electric charging points for residents to encourage up-take of electric vehicles. I would have purchased an electric car when I changed my car last month but could not do so because I live in a third floor flat and have to park on the street. to blame diesels is wrong ! this is a money making scam set out by sadiq khan and lewisham is following him, its deeply unfair to victimise diesel drivers when petrol cars produce far more carbon - as you know !

To clarify my answer to Question 8 - 3

I agree that residents with lower emission vehicles should be rewarded with lower parking permit rates, but I don't think people with higher emission vehicles should be penalised/charged extra. Usually, people with older (and therefore higher emission) vehicles are on lower incomes and cannot afford to upgrade to a newer low emission vehicle.

To encourage electric cars, have charging points otherwise in Lewisham it's impossible to charge an electric car.

To introduce a parking permits doesn't make sense and doesn't contribute to cleaner air. The money won't help the cleaner air. I need to drive for work and carry equipment for children who have complex health needs, I am unable to use a bike or public transport.

To keep my car below 20 mph requires the engine to run at higher revs than at 25-29 mph. Thus it seems that it is increasing pollution by observing the new limits. It seems to me that at higher road speeds the turbulence created is greater and that helps disperse the damaging particulates.

I am aware of the road safety implications of speed.

To me this is just an excuse to hike up prices and put a levy on us evil diesel users as we are an easy target .

To penalise owners on a borough level based on emissions when road tax is already does, plus congestion and ULEZ is just outright money grabbing. Unless you make the entire borough CPZ's then it's disproportionately affecting some residents. If you are still going ahead with that, then an additional levy of £50 for diesel is appalling. It would just be fairer to say "every resident in lewisham that owns a diesel needs to pay £50". That i could live with...but based on a parking permit requirement?

To penalise those who have purchased a diesel vehicle (essentially on the recommendation of the government though subsidy and pressure) seems grossly unfair, given they may not be able to afford to change the vehicle

Today's cleaner diesel fuels, advanced engines and effective emission controls combine to achieve near zero emissions for fine particles and smog forming compounds like oxides of nitrogen (NOx). Clean diesel's proven energy efficiency and ability to use renewable fuels position diesel as a key technology to achieve cleaner air, lower greenhouse gas emissions and a sustainable environment around the world.

People have invested in diesel and while older cars are serious polluters, this just isn't the case with new models.

At the very least there should be a period of grace whereby owners of new cars are not penalised.

Too early to suddenly impose a surcharge on diesels. Give 12 months notice.

Too many large vehicles like artic lorries, cement trucks etc are coming through London on the South Circular throughout the day and into the night

Totally disagree about the effects of pollution - I know 100's of people and kids and no one has ever said they were ill due to vehicle pollution.

Also taxing diesel owners or petrol car owners is bullshit - I have a 3.2 V6 alfa romeo as my weekend car , why should I be penalised when I drive it only once a week . My van is a euro 6 diesel engine so is lower on emissions and is my work vehicle but you want to charge me a

surcharge , go fuck yourself

Until electric cars are more affordable and thousands more charging points including in peoples homes and flats I think its bollocks to tax and charge people because they own diesel or some petrol cars

Totally unreasonable people can't afford electric new cars money making scheme!

Why not fit a device to lower emissions... I might try and design one!

Traffic calming measure are not working on residential streets especially the small hump designed for busses which are extremely useless.

Traffic lights turning into Westhorne Ave from Burnt Ash Hill are too close to the bend in the road. The lights further down do not give pedestrians time to cross both sides of the dual carriageway. As a result traffic is being stopped at the lights twice encouraging idling of engines.

Traffic system outside of Lewisham Police station is a disaster. Look to improve traffic flow and road layouts.

Change London buses and black taxi cabs from operating on diesel engines.

Trains and DIR should be cheaper

Try not to cripple small businesses with more charges, they provide local employment and are struggling economically. Punitive charges for commercial vans is unfair. Try to reduce private car use.

Try not to penalize residents who are less well off , need to use a car for various reasons, and can't afford to just go and buy new cars .

Try to create more pedestrianised areas everywhere/no car zones. We all benefit from improvements to the environment.

Twelve years ago, believing like so many others that diesel vehicles were less polluting than their petrol-driven counterparts, I bought my present car. I am now being "encouraged" to change it with no financial inducement to do so save for being effectively fined for not doing so. Indeed, one could argue that Lewisham's proposed policy is a "reverse Robin Hood" policy, taking from the drivers of old cars to reward the drivers of newer ones.

I note that the permit price calculator is not currently working. Perhaps it is embarrassed! Ulez needs to be re-evaluated and compensation paid to those whose cars do not fit the requirements

understand that there are two brackets of diesel vehicles, and treat them differently. My car is extremely clean, as a EURO6 and should not be penalised like a 20 year old diesel banger. My car is much cleaner than many petrol vehicles, which are not being penalised. You should use the same system as the ULEZ, which takes this into account, rather than applying a £50 penalty to all diesel vehicles.

Unfair on people who cannot afford to change their car that is essential to their work.

Until recently car owners were encouraged to buy diesel so now need to be incentivised to switch to cleaner vehicles rather than punished for misinformation.

Until very recently, Diesel cars were encouraged by the Government. Then the emissions scandal broke and now they're being victimised. I'm sure many diesel drivers wished they'd made a different choice of vehicles, but they might not be able to afford to sell a car that's probably lost a lot of value.

Until you stop prioritising cars - particularly in central Lewisham - and lobby for better public transport, you'll not reduce pollution.

Usage should be considered too. I face a 20% increase in residential permit charges for a brand new petrol car which has an annual mileage of less than 3000 miles used solely for travel outside the borough

Use carrot and stick to get people to give up their cars. Driving in this borough is largely unnecessary and in Deptford it's like living in the Wild West the way people drive myself and my neighbours are surprised we don't see higher fatalities.

Using parking permits as a way to "persuade" residents to change their driving habits is not acceptable as it does not cover all residents, does not take into account how often the vehicle is driven nor does it affect vehicles driven by people who live outside the borough. Usually poorer people have older cars as they can't afford new ones. By introducing the proposed changes you will more likely be penalising those on lower incomes who can't afford to upgrade their cars to the (currently very) expensive low/zero emission vehicles.

Also, I have a low emission car today. Your proposal increases the lowest band dramatically in price, why is that? It means my permit would go up £65, even though it's one of the lowest bands there is. Even 0 emission cars have a much higher permit cost than today. This decision suggests a money making exercise for the local council.

Also, there are not enough electric car chargers in the area. Every street should have several, since it's not easy to charge today, i.e. living on a terraced street with no driveways.

Vehicles are already subject to pollution based costs through vehicle excise duty, ULEZ and of course fuel duty, with tax making up the majority of fuel cost.

Cars which are parked cause no pollution. I for example only use a car when I need to leave London; about once every two weeks. I walk and use public transport in and around London. The car parking charges would only serve to punish residents of the borough whose cars are sat at home while they pay the exorbitant London public transport costs. They would do nothing to prevent the thousands of filthy diesels that travel through the borough in rush hour, sat in traffic jams, to no benefit of anyone in the borough while affecting those residents health. This will not reduce air pollution in my view.

Either work towards clearing traffic jams along, the A2 and Blackwall tunnel approaches being the worst examples or come up with a scheme that discourages local residents using their cars for short journeys such as to the shops or the school run.

Vehicles that are classified as Historic Vehicles with DVLA should have a reduced price or free residents permit. This would be consistent with the current exemption from the ULEZ charge for Historic Vehicles.

Very good idea!

very limited scope for opinion in the answers

Very supportive of anything that improves the air quality

Very supportive of this consultation.

Visitor parking permits should not be affected.

Visitor permits need to be cheap and more than 10.

If you do have an e-vehicle there should be minimal parking cost for residents.

Visitors paying at meters should also be charged less with e cars

Visitors permits should be free

waste of council money

Waste of time & money

Watch the wardens who are very selective about who they give tickets to

We all want to improve air quality but instead of putting more taxes on our shoulder you can help us financially to change our diesel car..did you ever think that we not afford to change our cars is not we don't want to...so that is your help..putting more taxes or increasing them...?

We always have to pay for visitors who visit by car. It is awkward and time consuming and expensive for us. It has a real negative impact on the greeting of the guest, someone who we may not have seen for years, but before we can greet them properly we have to input their car details and ensure parking has been paid for. We often also don't request reimbursement as this is embarrassing but this can lead to a whole new monthly outgoing for us. On two occasions we have also received PCNs despite having paid for the parking through RingGo - one when we were actually in the process of paying. It's an awkward and problematic system

and definitely needs changing. We should not have to pay in order to see our friends and family.

We appreciate that parking has to be regulated in Lewisham and that the cost of doing so (parking meters /wardens etc.) has to be recovered but cannot see what it has to do with what sort of engine a car is powered by. We would understand if it were charged by the length of the vehicle, there-by some vehicles taking up more of the available parking space than others but cannot understand how a stationary car in a parking space has anything to do with emissions.

Yes of course we would like to reduce the noxious emissions from London's air but surely the government and Mayor of London are already in charge of this with their dedicated Road Tax charges and ultra low emission zone charges (soon to include Lewisham). This already penalises owners who have bought their cars with predicted use and the environment in mind and who have taken the governments past advice and encouragement to buy cars with low co2 emissions in order to lessen the effect on global warming.

Introducing a surcharge to further penalise diesel vehicles which contribute to the lowering of green-house gases that affect the whole planet in favour of petrol vehicles which increase these gases seems very wrong. We know that other money grabbing councils have introduced a diesel surcharge to their parking charge but wouldn't it be nice if Lewisham were to rise above this and demonstrate fairness to resident motorists.

We live on the A2 on Blackheath so obviously get the full affect of the thousands of diesel vehicles that pass us everyday. Back when petrol was loaded with lead we changed to diesel powered cars to lessen our affect on air quality and have continued with diesel due to its greater efficiency on fossil fuels and favourable environmental characteristics. We rarely use our car to drive in Lewisham / London preferring to walk or use the public transport system. The car sits stationary for days at a time and is mostly used to travel to our family in Kent / Wiltshire and for holidays.

We were fully expecting the next rise in parking to double as it did last time (from £60 to £120) in which case we would have been charged £240 instead of the £165 proposed by this consultation. It should therefore have been a relief and pleasant surprise to find a reduced fee however we have tried to distant our personal circumstances from these proposals and cannot see how it is fair to charge parking based on emissions.

If the council needs more money to cover the increasing cost of parking regulation it will need to raise the parking charges in line with inflation and not confuse it with the pollution caused by moving vehicles.

We are all talking about parking in LBL, what will happen if the Borough gets the Bakerloo line to run through it, more cars parking all day in unrestricted roads and paying no fees for the privilege, but local residents having to pay, this is around the wrong way it should be free for residents, and out of towners should Pay.

We are already being taxed by the extension of the Ultra Low Emission Zone. Seems to me Lewisham is just cashing in.

What about the buses ?

We bought a diesel car a few years ago and will change it for a hybrid or petrol when we can but it's not fair to penalise us now with extra costs as we can't afford to change it.

We bought our diesel car with a particulate filter in 2009 because we drive rather than fly to France regularly. We cannot find a greener way to travel still due to lack of efficient batteries and infrastrucure. We want to change our car but as we drive long distances it is still the best for the environment. We drive very little in London as we use publc transport.

We bought our second hand diesel car on the advice that diesel was more "environmentally friendly". Since the recent findings to the contrary it seems that consumers are being penalised for the manufacturers deceitful practices around emissions and from "expert advice". Will there be a diesel trade-in scheme to help those of us in this situation?

We changed to a low emissions car last year and our permit was £30 but now its gone up to £70 which I dont think its fair

We currently don't have controlled parking in our street or neighbouring streets. It's not clear whether you want to introduce charges. I would strongly oppose the extension of charges to new streets.

We don't currently have resident parking permits on our road, but as we are just south of the South Circular, I am concerned about the possible increase in parking on our road and neighbouring roads following the expansion of the ULEZ in 2021. I therefore think that resident permits could come to our road as a way of preventing over-parking from others who don't wish to park on their road which may be in the ULEZ.

We got a car as a result of you introducing the CPZ as borrowing cars and hiring them, which we did, would have cost so much more in parking than owning our own car.

Salami slicing the area, rather than having a borough-wide CPZ is dishonest. Naming an areas and, when the vote goes against, recounting on a street by Street basis is gerrymandering. Charging £60 in the first year then doubling it and now trying to increase it again looks greedy. Try and win back the confidence and trust of the public. Behave in a decent and honest way for a change.

We have a lease car that has 2 years left, so we being unfairly treated.

We have a new diesel 2017". It's not fair for non polluting diesels to be penalised

We have a very small (fiat 500) petrol car. We are already fortunate enough that, as a result, we pay a lower charge for the permit than otherwise we would do. But it seems our permit will go up - from £30 to £85. There are times that we are unable to park even close to our flat (most of our road now has converted the front gardens to carports, knocking out at least 1.5 car parking spaces in the process not to mention contributing to the poor air quality by knocking out green spaces...) so this seems a tad harsh. We would LOVE to have an electric or hybrid car, but being in a top floor flat see little chance at being able to charge it at home...and currently charging points on the way to visit relatives (the only use for the car in the borough) are non-existent.

We have big family of 6 people. We use 7 seats car and most of those cars are diesel engines. I didn't see a petrol one at all. By brining this law you effecting budget of big families because we all use this type of cars. But you don't think how to help us. We already pay council tax. Some streets have free parking at all time so lts not fair that our neighbourhood br1 have to pay for parking permit. Please introduce some discount programs for big family why pay council tax already

We have to pay for permits because of people outside the borough driving in to use local train services. We are being penalised because we live near good public transport links, now you want to penalise us more. When the ULEZ is extended in 2021, we will have to get rid of our vehicle which we were encouraged to buy by the previous Labour government, we only make necessary journeys to provide transport to vulnerable adults. Why penalise us more than necessary by charging us more to park outside our house?

We like to lower parking permits.

We live near a station and many people park outside our house to get to station. I would not object to resident parking in my neighbourhood.

We must remember that drivers were encouraged to buy diesel cars in the past and do many people do own them and will continue to use them. It makes sense to align the definition of polluting vehicles with the ULEZ

CPZ's should be extended to more areas especially round stations to deter commuters driving to the area and using our streets are free station car parks

We need a CPZ in Ladywell along Ladywell Road from the junction with Chudleigh Road.

We need more charging points for green cars etc

We need more public transport. Access to the tube and DLR in hither green restricts reduction in car usage

We need to keep free parking on the streets

We pay a lot to park in our homes as residents and is another form of taxation . The air pollution needs to be tackled but through policy form central government and not taxation on a local level, never received any free parking permits allowances for the past 5 years neither....automated parking renewal

we pay to drive, tax, mot, insure our vehicle and should not have to pay to park on our street. The government not only tax us on the congestion charge and the ule zone so being charged again just to park outside our home is unjustified and greedy.

we should be given free resident parking permits.

We recently changed cars to comply with the ULEZ and am loathed to change at our cost again just to satisfy the selfish needs of the Tory government who feel the need, want and desire to oppress the daily life of those going about their business in this messed up country. We recently disposed of our diesel vehicle as it did not meet the ULEZ regs. This parking proposal seems to follow on from the ULEZ expansion.

We should not penalise local residents when most of the pollution is caused by visiting or through traffic.

We shouldn't need to pay for our parking permit to park right outside of our homes

We visit parents and grandparents who live in Lewisham. We don't just visit for an hour or two, we visit for several hours or a weekend. Introducing a parking permit will not change our behaviour - it is really just introducing an hourly parking charge to visit our family; that is very annoying.

Also I suspect this will lead to more gardens being paved, as has been the case in other permit zones, with the resultant environmental loss.

We were encouraged by the government at the time to buy diesel cars as they use less fuel and having done so it would be more damaging to the environment to scrap diesel cars and encourage the manufacture of more cars. We were told our car was low emissions when we bought it.

We use our diesel car which is a for long motorway driving and also taking large items to e.g. the council tip and living within the South Circular we would incur the UELZ charge each time we do so.

The annual charge even without the diesel surcharge comes to an increase of 80% on last years charge

We were encouraged to buy diesel cars a few years ago.

My diesel car requires only £20 per annum road tax as the emissions are so low. Please explain why you propose I should pay a surcharge to park when my emissions are lower than my neighbours with petrol cars. It makes absolutely no sense. A money making scheme using residents health as a justification when LB Lewisham doesn't give a damn about its residents or their health care & happiness.

We were encouraged to buy diesel cars and so I did. I use public transport in London and only drive when I do heavy shopping, need to go outside Lewisham, or there is no appropriate public transport. I am now forced to change my car much sooner than necessary (it is only 7 years old) and considerable cost. I am now facing a residential permit charge of £210 annually with my current vehicle. It is a high charge.

We were encouraged to buy diesel vehicles by the government. We cannot buy a van of the size we require in a petrol version. These charges will severely affect our business.

We were told originally that the parking zones were to prevent people parking for the station which was never a real issue here and, it would only cost £30 for administration. You are now proposing to charge me £190 a year to park outside my home on a road that my vehicle tax has already helped to pay for. We rarely see parking wardens and your administrative cost are negligible as you no longer provide parking shops, less wardens and it's online and paperless. My son receives enhanced rate PIP (both elements) and I have been unable to acquire any information from Lawrence house regarding a disability discount in recent years.

My two eldest children cannot afford to live in Kent so I rarely get to see my son's two children as they live in Ashford. It appears to me that this is just another means of social cleansing as

it hits the poorest the hardest and enables you to build and sell more luxury flats to overseas investors.

We were told to buy diesel cars a few years ago, now we're being unfairly taxed for having a diesel car. Happy days from a nanny state

we would like a face to face consultation or to share our experiences. Charging ports for hybrid and electric vehicles were not placed in our street despite 4 cars needing this kind of support .

Well done for taking a small step to tackle the air pollution crisis. Measures like this are not enough but at least it is a step in the right direction. More action please.

Well done Lewisham. Always difficult to balance the needs of the community you serve but the aim of making Lewisham's air cleaner and healthier for everyone in the borough is very worthwhile.

In terms of higher cost permits for more polluting vehicles I have two concerns:

1 - Previous scandals involving vehicle emissions and diesel cars. Can the published emissions be trusted?

2 - Taxing those who can least bear the tax. I don't think this should apply to those who can least afford it. I'm thinking of those who absolutely need their car to get to work (sometimes in many different locations around Lewisham, e.g a self-employed cleaner or carer) but are barely on the minimum wage. Is there any way of differentiating people who have the choice to drive (for leisure purposes say) from those whom driving is an absolute essential to earn a very modest living?

Well the ULEZ is not guaranteed yet and we use our vehicles for work. I would love to buy a electric van but the cost is way to high there is no way I can charge it and the range is appalling.

I'm all for helping the borough and environment but the technology is not there yet and to expensive.

I have always voted Labour but will not when it comes to local or mayoral elections anymore. What about pollution from other boroughs? This is a central government policy issue and the Government is already planning to charge car drivers... a local policy is a double whammy and really just designed for you to earn an additional income from car owners.

What do you do with the income that is generated? The transport facilities in Catford are poor. No overground or tube!!! It is unfair to impose this charge on existing car owners.

What about vehicles with adblue? Would they still have to pay an extra surcharge?

What has happened to the centre of Lewisham is awful. The air quality is noticeably worse than it was pre development. The area is still very dangerous for cyclists and pedestrians. Glad that this consultation is taking place but much more needs to be done and urgently.

What has parking permits got to do with air pollution? This just sounds like a money make scheme for the council. Why does the area need parking permits? This doesn't discourage people from using their cars

What is being forgotten in the fuss about diesel emissions is that the Government encouraged people to buy diesel cars and vans because they were considered less polluting and with greater fuel efficiency which was thought would benefit the country. A lot of people have lost money and should be compensated by the Government.

What isn't clear is whether post 2015, ULEZ-compliant diesel cars will also be subject to a £50 surcharge. As a council with one of the lowest rates of private car ownership, these proposals smack of using green concerns to increase parking permits and punish diesel car owners.

What will you spend the money on?

What will you spend the extra money from diesel parking cars on?

What would happen to disabled drivers who have a blue badge?

Whatever initiatives the councils come up with they should not involve trying to grab money by sneaking in more taxes. There does seem to be a belief in Government and local councils, that going through the motions of a consultation they then have a legal green light to take yet more money from hard working people. This is a good idea from the perspective of the planet, but this also means any and all decisions on a course of action should not be monetary based.

The world is waiting for the next generation of electric cars and the network of charging points to arrive, people will buy electric, and I think local councils shouldn't dress up yet another money grabbing effort as a climate crusade.

When I was a boy I was the only one with asthma on a school of 250 children; when I retired from teaching in 2018 an average class of 30 children had five or six children with asthma. Air pollution must be at least partly responsible for this huge increase,

when a car is parked up it is not putting out emissions ?

When I bought my Diesel engined car, it was solely because the Labour government at the time actively encouraged the public to buy Diesel engined cars. I never would have bought it if I wasn't paying attention to the government's advice at the time. Already I have to pay a ULEZ surcharge any time I need to drive across London. Now Lewisham borough wants to punish me some more by charging me even more to park outside my home, because I own a diesel engined car that the government had previously encouraged me to buy. I think it is unfair and very inconsiderate. What Lewisham borough is doing here is picking on very soft targets and using us as cash cows to raise funds for the council. Surely it must be unethical to be punished twice for owning a diesel engined car which a previous labour government encouraged us to buy?. We're being punished by the Mayor of London with his ULEZ scheme, and now Lewisham wants to inflict further punishment. It is not fair and it is not right and I strongly disagree with your proposal to fleece us some more. Even more infuriating is the fact that no mention is made about what the extra revenue generated will be spent on. I hope the council will show some consideration and drop this plan to introduce a surcharge for diesel engined cars. I'd buy a new car if I could afford one. Unfortunately I can't afford to buy a new non-polluting vehicle.

When I signed up for the parking permit zone it was for (and I quote your website) "helping residents park and reducing congestion." What I signed up for was parking my car not for it then to become a penalty tax.

Plus I am rather puzzled as to how a PARKED car is adding to air pollution.

I understand that from October 2021 that the ULEZ will be extended and I will be happy to pay for when I do actually drive my car on the road. But not for parking it outside my house.

Furthermore, adding this extra charge will make the yearly permit un-payable to a large chunk of people in the borough.

Older cars tend to be owned by less-well-off residents and pensioners, so the bulk of the penalty tax will be hiked on to them rather than the wealthier residents who can afford modern cars, or company car owners who also clock up the greatest mileage.

I don't see that as being a fair distribution – just another stealth tax under the guise of being a greener policy that targets a PARKED car.

It is discriminatory and unfair and targets a select group of residents, specifically those unable to afford to buy a more modern car or pay the extra charge. NB your new permit charge calculator is helpfully not working.

It may also be worth reminding you that in 2001 the then government was actively

encouraging people to move away from petrol cars to diesel. I understand that since then much more has become known about climate change, air pollution etc but having made what was deemed the right choice back then diesel car owners are now seen as pariahs and slapped with every penalty tax going.

But all that aside, all anyone is doing is just parking their car. The engine is stopped and there are zero emissions from a parked car.

When measuring volume of vehicles (eg Canonbie Road) do it when NO schools are on holiday. Once private schools have broken up the volume of traffic is greatly reduced. When my street was originally listed for inclusion in a controlled parking zone a few years ago, we were told the permit price would be about £70; it is now £140 with no explanation as to why the administration costs have apparently doubled. There is a danger that this implementation feels like an attempt at yet another money grab.

Older vehicles are more polluting - poorer residents are also more likely to use older vehicles, and the least able to upgrade their vehicles in response to increased financial pressure. Poorer residents are also the least likely to own properties with off-street parking where they could avoid this charge. Elderly residents, and those who may suffer from some form of disability which is below the threshold required to obtain a blue badge may have a greater need of a private vehicle, both groups being less able to use public transport. This is a regressive tax which disproportionately affects those least able to pay it, and those with polluting vehicles already pay increased road tax for their use.

For me, this is all theoretical - I can afford to pay more if required, and have a driveway I can use if I prefer to do so. But the borough should be concerned with those drivers who do not have my advantages.

When parked, unless idling, vehicles produce zero emissions. Taxing diesel vehicles is a cynical income generation tactic.

When putting Lewisham projects and services out to tender, include the type of vehicles (ie hybrid, electric v diesel, petrol) used by prospective providers as a criteria, alongside price and qualifications.

When residents complain or even put petitions to the council to do something about rat running of fast lorries and cats Lewisham answer is always effectively not now in few years will look into it or even not enough deaths on the road.

When residents parking was introduced it was £60 now its £135 for a small family car. How are you spending this extortionate increase on stopping air pollution?

When the car is parked there are no emissions so why charge more. The money raised doesn't seem to be put back into transport, or road improvements, so where does it go?

Appalling council that haemorrhages money through mismanagement and corruption

When the outer London ULEZ comes into force many of the 'green issues' of car pollution will be addressed without the need for Lewisham to increase it's rate and impose a discriminatory punishment charge on residents who have the misfortune to live within the CPZ and also own a car.

When the ULEZ comes to the south circular diesel cars (some petrol) will already have to pay a daily fee. Introducing an additional fee to park outside your own home is not the right way to go about improving air pollution.

If I commute outside of the borough every day for work, driving around Croydon for example, and only come into the borough for 4-5 minutes just to get home, park up and TURN MY ENGINE OFF, why should I have to pay a surcharge and higher permit fee. When other people live out of the borough, driving in it for hours each day without these additional fees, and I bet some will even find a way to get in and out without paying the ULEZ even if it adds 15-20 minutes onto their journey each day.

I do intend on changing my car soon but don't feel like I or anyone else should be forced to do

it sooner than they can afford to, to avoid being penalised. People save up for year to buy their dream car to them be told your are going to be penalised to parking it and leaving it with the engine off outside their home. The ULEZ will deal with the people who commute within the borough.

You are encouraging people to put themselves into debt to avoid these charges, putting a big dent into their household income to buy/finance a car that they can not afford and can end up having a big effect on their mental and emotional wellbeing. Not to mention leaving them short to be able to pay their rent/mortgage and other bills.

Lastly, I feel it is unfair to penalise people via parking permits, when a walk up the hill will take you to a road with no permits at all, so residents there do not have to pay to park outside their home, or additional fees and surcharges for having a diesel car.

Using the permit price calculator below (point 14) I will have to pay an extra £30 a year because of the car I chose before the Low Emission Zone came into place, and before I became aware/educated on the effects diesel has on air pollution. I should not be penalised for not knowing before hand and for not being able to afford a new car at this moment in time. When the ULEZ is extended in 2021, the south circular will become a ring road on the outskirts of the exempt area, so the pollution around that particular road will increase significantly. what is being done to prevent this? I live close to this road and things will get worse when people can travel around it, but not inside it without paying the ULEZ charge. ridiculous.

I want to buy an electric car. Lewisham council and the government are doing nothing to assist: There is no diesel scrappage scheme. There are not enough charging points. I am not allowed to run a charge cable from my house to my on street parking (which other boroughs allow such as Brighton).

When we were last able to buy a car, the government and everybody was encouraging everybody to buy diesel cars. As much as we agree with reducing car emissions, we can not afford to buy a new car or even a second hand hybrid. More time needs to be allowed for the older cars to be replaced. A scheme like the government scrapage scheme we had last time would help.

The ULEZ and the councils scheme is going to hurt people like us that cannot afford to change their cars. It will penalise the less well off.

When you consider that the ulez zone will extend to lewisham by 2021, I think it would be unnecessarily punitive to also burden motorists with extra costs to enforce compliance with green policies. People pay enough already.

Where shall I start ? I cycle daily and breath in a large amount of car exhaust fumes without being able to do anything about it. On a philosophical note: Who ever gave permission to use internal combustion engines within a city where people and cars are in close proximity and space is often limited ? An accident of history ? No consultation took place for that permission

....

My personal opinion is that public transport is excellent within London and its suburbs and people should not need a car (the usual exception for disabled people and some business vehicles apply). They can walk, cycle or use public transport. Allowing them to own, operate and park a car within London is pure luxury and lowers the quality of life. It should be prohibited. An anecdote: Recently, some road surfaces were renewed in Brockley and all cars were required to temporarily park somewhere else. I cycle through these streets regularly and they are normally used as parking area and for rat runs. Once they were closed to cars, I saw kids playing in the streets. As soon as the cars came back the kids vanished. Parents are weird

While councils and government should be doing everything they can to improve air quality, and as harmful as diesel cars are to the environment, I don't think a direct surcharge to those who own them is fair, as not long ago, we were all told that diesel cars are good for the

environment (I own a 1 Litre, petrol car with catalyst), and many would have bought diesel at that point in time in good faith, only to be lumbered with new charges only a few years later. Cars are very expensive to replace, and many can not afford to do that.

While I support charging more polluting vehicles more for a parking permit in principle, I personally feel that this should only be implemented to new permit applications (made if someone moves into the borough or gets a car for the first time) rather than applied to those who already have permits who could not have known about the proposed changes when they bought their cars. I feel this would strike a fair and appropriate balance.

While I support the Council on reducing nos. of diesel cars and old polluting cars, I recognise many poorer families who cannot afford to replace their existing cars will be hit, and so ask that the Council bears this in mind when setting their charges.

Also it is not clear if these new charges will affect visitor permits. We have no control over what type/age of vehicle our visitors use.

While in principle obviously lower emission vehicles are desirable, the cost to the environment of MANUFACTURING new vehicles is also important. It may be greener to keep driving older vehicles till they are not working than to scrap them and cause new vehicles to be manufactured.

While this project might help, it's only a small parts of what need to be done

Whilst diesel vehicles should be phased out it is fundamentally unfair to penalise owners of diesel vehicles who were for years encouraged to buy these vehicles by the Government and others. Often diesel cars were bought by the lowest earners simply because they offered an apparently cheaper efficient vehicle. To effectively treat these people as second class for doing what they were encouraged to is manifestly unfair and will hit the poorest the hardest. Whilst I agree emissions should be reduced, a parked car is not producing any emissions. ULEZ is the right way to do this not parking charges

However, NOx is a local issue so should be tackled by a council.

But, CO2 is a national/global issue and should not be addressed by a local council.

Whilst I agree that residents need encouragement to switch to cleaner cars, I feel it very unfair to penalise this who bought diesels following similar encouragement from central government in the 2000 - 2010 period

Whilst I agree with the idea of increased charges for cars emitting the most pollution, given these are typically older cars I do think you risk financially penalising some of the poorer members of the borough.

Whilst I agree with your broad intentions here, I would like to see closer collaboration of transport and public health (physical and mental) policies. (Walk and cycle safely should be no. 1 option).

I also have concerns around the 'punishment' of diesel car owners. Not so many years ago, diesel was seen as the 'green' option. What happens now? These owners will look to sell these cars....who to? abroad? (shifting the problem, not solving it.) Or they are scrapped, and a new one is manufactured. I genuinely don't know, what's better. Keeping an old diesel car running or scrapping it (landfill) and manufacturing a new one?

I just hope the totality is being considered, not just the immediate, local solution.

Whilst I fully support this proposal as a start. Lewisham needs to enact many more methods of tackling poor air quality in the borough, and soon. Particularly around our schools. Idling diesel 4x4s spewing particulates, whilst dropping off/picking up children should be discouraged/penalised.

Whilst I support the reduction in car traffic on our street (cranbrook road) since the introduction of parking charges, I would really love it if there some bike racks put in (where people used to park in the street) so that more people could cycle and lock their bikes securely.

Whilst I understand the need to reduce pollution I am not happy that my already expensive parking permit is doubling in cost. I don't see how this would help the pollution issue as it is not going to make me change my (petrol) car. All it really does is make me pay more to park my car outside my house.

Whilst in principle I agree with the intention. It disadvantages people who cannot afford to buy a newer car!

Whilst it is preferable for more people to drive low emission cars, many people can't afford to buy such car. Additional diesel cars shouldn't automatically be penalised by a surcharge as I selected my diesel car as it had lower emissions than its petrol equivalent.

Also whilst the majority of time I walk to travel around the borough, sometimes driving is necessary e.g. to avoid carrying heavy shopping, injuries

Whilst the objective of the changes I understand it is because the government promoted diesel cars a few years ago that there are so many diesel cars in the road. The everyday person can't afford to change their car on a whim because diesel is now out of fashion and will now be financially penalised.

Who ever designed the Lewisham Gateway, has created gridlock, I wonder why?

Why always attack the motorist??? If you charge more for parking and permits then local shops will lose custom and more shops will close. Think outside the box!

Why are diesel cars having an automatic surcharge if older petrol cars will have higher emissions? If a car is 2016 diesel and meets the euro 6 standard it will have lower emissions than an older petrol car. Would it not be fairer to have a charge if your car is diesel and doesn't meet the ULEZ standards? The charge should relate more accurately to the emissions of your car, so if your emissions are, for example, over 150mg then there should be an extra charge.

Why are old diesel vans charged less? checkout on

<https://lpl-permit-calculator.farthestgate.co.uk/calculate-permit-price>

Why are residents and visitors being charged extra to have a permit based on emissions when the car will be stationary, therefore not producing any emissions! Particularly true for residents. We will already be charged the ULEZ for emissions on our cars so why then charge us twice?

Why are you just giving free permits to those that have a car? Why penalise people that use public transport and they don't contribute to those emissions.

Why consult? This just needs to happen and the faster you do it the greater the benefits you'll see. Specifically: people will want to come and live here over other boroughs. If you're slow to implement then it won't be a selling point for Lewisham.

Why contemplate penalising Lewisham residents when they were encouraged to buy diesel cars and vehicles. Why penalise blue badge holders by only allowing them restricted parking.

Why increase the cost of parking permits. There are so many cars around and they all have to park somewhere. Just stop them parking on blind corners as used to be the case as this makes coming out of side roads so difficult. Open up roads on to the South Circular. Traffic flowed much better before you closed off all the roads onto the South Circular and local people didn't want it because so many roads are just clogged up with stationary vehicles now - like Verdant Lane and Torridon Road - with their engines running waiting for traffic to improve.

Why do council want to bring a policy that make disabled parking in residential homes mandatory this will increase the stress careers have. The idea should be abolished and not add as a policy as it will trigger so panic and agitation. In as much as I support the idea of reducing pollution in the locality resident and careers should not suffer for the changes done of the policy are not favourable at all. Most families with disabled kids are most likely to be affected more if this is put in place and most of us cannot manage without driving especially when you have a child with so much going on in their lives. Everyday from one appointment to the other is a problem of its own and not to drive is stress on its own

Why doesn't this cover cycle parking? There is not enough throughout the borough both public cycle stands and private secure facilities.

why don't you categorize types of diesel vehicles?

diesel euro6 is much cleaner than euro5 etc.

Why don't the council introduce alternate days when people can drive their cars. Also mopeds and motorbikes are responsible for a lot of the pollution. How is the council going to tackle this?

Why is it only on the web rather than a letter drop to all residents

Why is it that those poorest and unable to buy a newer car are yet again those who stand to be penalised more financially?

Why not do what boroughs like Bexley do and make resident only parking for one hour in the middle of the day rather than all day. This deters commuter parking so lessens the traffic in Lewisham but does not impact negatively on local business or people visiting friends or family.

Not everyone can use public transport easily eg mums with buggies/ disabled children. And if you have to use 2 or more buses for your journey it can be very hard for some people. difficult.

Why not let TFL deal with it, why all this multiple taxation on drivers! This is similar to double jeopardy, punished by TFL and punished by Lewisham Council. Cigarette, boilers all cause worse problems, why not tackle that first

Why penalise the poorer members of society who are likely to own older vehicles and face higher charges because of vehicle emissions, and surely most traders/haulage vehicles including buses coaches and lorries are diesel powered. Get the extra funding from them.

Why should I be penalised for having a nice car £250 to park out side of my house your Dictating what car I can have

Why so few electric charging points for cars across the borough? You could play a leading role in putting in place infrastructure that helps nudge individuals to make the right choice. Instead this comes across as an opportunity for LB Lewisham to get more money out of car drivers - not as a thought through move as part of a wider strategy on how to de-carbonise transportation

Why will my electric vehicle resident permit rise from £30 to £70?

Why is this needed? the ulez is already coming...

It will be more expensive to run

Will all households with cars or visitors have to pay they charge or is it just where existing permit areas are that will pay? Encourage car clubs and car share more instead.

Why not tax multi-car households more as they can clearly afford it?

Government should tax higher

earners more or council tax for wealthier areas should be increased so that those who can and should bear the brunt of this do so!

If new permits are introduced can residents pay monthly rather than annually like with a TV license?

Will cars who release less pollution have a lower parking charge, or will it stay the same and others vehicles charged more?

will the extra revenue from parking be invested in providing more green areas and traffic free areas and paths.

Will you take the diesel blue into consideration?

With additional taxes, the ULEZ, Congestion Charging and higher fuel prices, owners of more polluting cars are already being financially stung. As most of us with cars that are considered more polluting are stuck with older cars, making owning them more expensive isn't helping us replace them with something cleaner. People with highly polluting luxury cars are hardly affected by these financial incentives to be more green, so the end result is just more money for you and further costs for most of us. Neither fair or helpful.

With increases in council tax and other bills, the reduction of childcare services its essential that some people are able to drive so that they can work.

With Lewisham being in the ultra omissions zone I feel it would be unfair to penalise diesel drivers twice. I agree with penalizing, but not twice.

What about something based on the number of miles driven. For example I have my car for when we visit the grandparents (they are not easily accessible by public transport and a 2 hour drive away). But I don't use it for local journeys or commuting. You could consider charging for those doing more than 5000 miles a year perhaps.

with the area traversed by major arterial roads with often stationary traffic I doubt the increases in parking charges will have much effect other than making business and residents trapped in the resident Permit zones poorer.

solution doesn't stay where it is created.

Keep vehicles on the main road moving so get on with the Catford Gyratory work asap.

On tat subject I do not see any mention of the Railway bridge being dealt with.

If it remains a pinch point then reformatting the road layout will do very little.

Get rid of the speed humps. surely car and vans in low gear hopping over bumps to comply with the 20mph limit burn more fuel and create more emissions than one travelling at a constant speed in a higher gear.

With the introduction of the ULEZ, local residents with older cars will be facing a double taxation for their car. Although an interesting concept, the council needs to be aware that those that can least afford the additional parking tax are also the same families / individuals who can least afford a newer car. Given a car is a households' largest investment outside of the family home, a very long lead time should be given for these types of changes. Long lead times would allow households to budget for an upgraded car, which will typically be in excess of £10,000. Without such consideration, additional annual charges may be crippling for some households and will ultimately put those households further away from affording a greener car.

The resident permit tax also unfair penalises those who have a car, but drive it minimally. For example, a household who averages 2000 miles per year shouldn't be paying the same new tax as a household who averages 30,000 miles per year.

In addition, taxes should be determined by central government, not local authorities.

Revenue from such taxes are then allocated at the level. However, I feel that given the recent funding cuts to local authorities, this feels like a lazy alternative tax revenue for the local authority rather than a genuine green initiative. Green initiatives should be looking at more interesting and beneficial ideas and promoted through good governance rather than a tax.

Lewisham should also keep in mind, TFLs controversial ULEZ is already taxing households in the near future. The local authority doubling up this tax, that will hurt lower income households the most, is abhorrent behaviour.

With the ULEZ already imposing huge charges on those with more polluting vehicles I believe that adding further charges for parking at home is ridiculous. Even with the government grant many will not be able to afford to replace their cars with ones that are low emission.

As an owner of a classic car (1989 Mini 30) which is not yet old enough to be exempt from the ULEZ I feel that I am being discriminated against. I believe that there are certain cars which should be exempt from these charges as owners of these are preserving a part of history. With the ULEZ being extended in 2021 no decisions should be made by Lewlsham until the impact from that is assessed..

With the ULEZ forcing people who want to drive within the north and south circular to get a cleaner car anyway, the proposal just adds to the hardship for all car dependent households who can't afford to replace their existing car.

work with TfL and south eastern for more buses and trains per hour. Paint yellow lines over all driveways to stop commuters parking.

Would be great to see more electric charging bays. I'd love to move to electric but am put off by the inability to charge it near me.

Would have helped if the government hadn't promoted the use of diesels. Also I don't see any electric charging points so until infrastructure is sorted I don't see why residents should be penalised.

Would very much welcome residents parking permits across the borough to stop large numbers of people driving to Lewisham stations eg Bellingham and then taking public transport and making parking very difficult for residents and their visitors

Yes - a lot of people drive and park in our borough because they offer valuable services, like nursing and educational psychologists.

So once again I don't think the focus is right to say everyone should take public transport. I just hope it's been considered that these people shouldn't be penalised for providing the services they provide if they can't afford a new car.

Yes I have Toyota Prius which is hybrid Cathelic converter was stolen on 30/04 this year, when I call police I was told I am already 8th person calling to report same thing so I asked what are you doing, nothing it's not worth it, so what can I do?, Get rid of it.

While garage was towing my car a gentleman told me it happened to him and with police advise he sold it!

So if people don't have hybrid cars I am afraid partly Police authority is responsible! yes only that i know i am wasting my time because the council will do what they want whether we like it or not

Yes please be reasonable. A lot of people own cars because they need to. I have an 80+ year old mother who relies on me to get her around

Yes this is another form of extracting money made up by the council, to collect another form of income. Not everyone has the means to afford this, you should be requesting government assistance and not the residents. You build around Lewisham, at the residents expense, demolishing several times before you get it right. The change of Lewisham roundabout has now been made worse, by your so called improvements.

So will follow this last attempt to extract more income, to probably pay for another idea that you have in the pipeline

Yes we have been residents of the new cross area most of our lives, we have seen many changes & feel the parking permits are unfair as parking is not an issue, if you make it permit it will push people to park in free areas, most probably nearer parks etc. It will not stop people having cars as many need these for work, seeing family that have moved away & will effect family members who visit often to keep in touch. Once again families will be affected by less contact causing health & usually the start of depressive mental health issues.

YES! a total rip off, you muck up more roads than fix a solution.

Yes, if you are to continue enforcing parking charges you absolutely must make it a more user friendly service. The company you outsource to is extremely uncaring and at times quite rude. I've seen the parking attendants regularly talking on their phones, sitting on walls, urinating on the street - not a pleasant thing to see at all.

I did once have a parking permit issue in Lewisham and calling the company to try and sort it out was incredibly tedious and if you do a very simple google search you will see that countless people feel the same.

I understand the need for a council to outsource some of its services however, you must continue to be accountable and ensure that the company you choose has a good track record of customer service.

Yes, its just a money making scheme guided in trying to reduce air pollution.

Yes, my diesel car was purchased in 2014 as I was lead to believe by the govt diesel was better than petrol. If you are now going to penalise people like me, you should think again!

Suggest amnesty for diesel cars under 10 years old or sliding scale of payment for permit.

You need to give a reduction for people who had already bought their car before this scheme gets introduced.

Another suggestion would be to base cost on mileage done eg elderly person may have had a car for many years (either older petrol/diesel) but hardly drives the car (therefore having very low emissions) would be hit with the same higher charge as someone who drives every day.

Yes, would our comments really make a difference?

Yes.

Our diesel car is new and therefore isn't as harmful as older cars. You can't just put a blanket on the type of fuel as that doesn't work fairly.

Yes. Cheaper permits for greener vehicles is unfair. Less well off people still rely on their older, more polluting vehicles and the well off will once again be let off higher taxes. Many people have bought diesel powered cars because of the massive promotion during Gordon Brown's administration, and can't afford to just go out and buy another car. Furthermore, electric and hybrid vehicles should not be encouraged. While they may make local air cleaner, what many people overlook is the massive cost to global pollution and environmental destruction that results from making these "low emission" vehicles.

Yes. I just changed my diesel car last weekend for a Ford Focus 1 litre which should reduce my CPZ permit from £120 to £30 but the new proposal puts it back to £85. I might have kept my diesel if I knew the council was planning on shafting me for going green. Not happy!!!

Yes. This is a double penalty on residents. See 12 above. We will already be penalised under the ULEZ scheme that was supported by LBL. Traffic passing through the borough on main through routes is by far the biggest problem. Road layout arrangements around Lewisham town centre are appalling and lead to increased pollution. Not local residents

Yes. This shouldn't be a money making scheme. £120 annually for a permit now is a disgrace and much higher than other councils.

Your explanation of charges below basically says that 2/3 of petrol and 95% of diesel cars will pay more than today!!!

Yes.

I do think that your plans are discriminating against people who may need a bigger car, due to family size etc. who cannot afford to upgrade their car to a lower polluting car and who do not have a driveway to park their car on and have to park on the street. Not all borough residents can afford to live in houses that have drives.

When the parking permits were initially introduced to our area, it was to help us to park outside our houses, as a lot of hospital staff parked in our roads. However, this did not solve the problem, as they could still get permits. The initial cost of the permit was £30 per annum and then after a few years it doubled and then doubled again a few years later to £120 it is now. I feel that with the other charges that are coming in, this is yet another cost to residents. If you go ahead with your proposals I think you should then allow residents who have permits, to be able to park anywhere in the borough, and not just specific streets, as is now the case. I think this is a valid suggestion, considering the charges you are proposing.

Yet again when a council needs to be seen to be doing more to improve air quality it hits the easy target, the driver; specifically, the diesel vehicle driver. I'm the driver of a diesel van which has a category euro 6 engine ie less polluting than many petrol vehicles on the road. Less than 10 years ago government were encouraging everyone to purchase diesel and then when trends changed, practically overnight, all those that bought diesel cars or vans were lumbered with them and have been punished for following government advice. I think that any vehicle that is exempt from a charge in the ULEZ should not have to pay any additional parking charges.

Yet another money grabbing scheme by petty commissars in the guise of green taxes.

This whole consultation is a farce, it's nothing but a money making exercise!

You already charge £130 per year for an annual parking permit - this is a significant household cost and cannot be increased. People, especially families, do need cars in the area even if they are only used infrequently. A parked car does not cause emissions.

If you want to encourage people away from vehicles then bus routes or cycle lanes in the area need to be improved. I currently live near Hither Green station and there are no direct bus routes to Blackheath, Greenwich, Beckenham etc without having to walk to Lewisham first. There is poor bus provision to Lewisham from Hither Green Lane and Staple Guest Road with small buses and infrequent services.

We own a car but use it rarely and only for long trips out of London due to exceptionally high train fares. We already pay for an annual permit, and it looks likely that due to the Silvertown Tunnel being built the only cost free option to travel north will be the Rotherhithe Tunnel. I am all for reducing air pollution but the constant costs blindly applied to all vehicles needs to end. I really believe TfL will have to be involved as people are only going to be encouraged out of their cars if public transport is reliable and fast enough to compete with a car.

You are already penalizing diesel

Owners, who have been encouraged by Government to buy diesel cars, with the emission zone. Owners can't afford to be penalized again by parking charges.

You are attempting to penalise those that are unable to update their current vehicle due to funds and those that need a vehicle for work who are unable to afford to update their vehicle.

Whilst I agree with improving air quality poverty is already a massive issue in Lewisham, DO NOT penalise the people that are already struggling PLEASE.

You are hitting the everyday man & women, When you buy a car you are not informed about what it does to the air. Start fining the car markers not the people that drive them.

The Council are using air pollution as a way of getting more money. This is just another form of tax

you are just robbing people

You are NOT taking into account the fact that Diesel cars manufactured since Sept 2015, meet the ULEZ requirements - My car has emissions lower than 110 so why penalise me and others like me when there are multiple petrol cars which have far higher emissions. If you had the EV infrastructure in place, I would have bought an EV car - but you don't BUT I bought a low emission Diesel car instead.

You are wanting to help those in borough but a lot of low income families will have older diesel cars that they cannot replace due to finances.

You can not expect people to change their cars now after indicating a few years ago diesel was better. So the ones who cannot change their car will Lewisham Council help them to upgrade their vehicle?

You could also introduce no parking zones 500 m around all schools during the time pupils arrive and leave. Planting hedges near roads around schools will also have a massive impact. You could phase in the new residents permit charges. When people change/register a new vehicle the higher charge could apply. This would encourage people to consider a lower emissions vehicle.

Low emissions vehicles are expensive - These new charges are effectively a tax on the poorer households in the borough. If someone cannot afford to change their vehicle they will be penalised.

How about some positive encouragement - schemes to assist people in buying low emissions cars rather than penalising people for not being rich enough to change their vehicle.

You councils know just one thing: rising the price of everything!!!! Shame on you!

You currently charge me £30 for my hybrid car residents permit and you appear to want to more than double it to £70 when I've been a responsible resident of the borough and bought an eco friendly car. How do you justify such a price hike?

you have abused and lost residents trust by using the parking permit to increase revenue.

It's always bringing in more tax to try and save the planet with no actual proof it helps.

You are stealing money from residents and using fear factor to enforce and bully people into it.

You have offered selection of proposed actions, but none of them properly address the problem and are punitive and regressive in nature, disproportionately impacting on the least well-off. The better-off households will often have off road parking, so won't need a permit. Having been encouraged to use diesel, many people have bought such cars and can't simply afford to change them, and at the same time are reliant on their cars. If such a surcharge is to be introduced, perhaps base it on ownership/usage, not on parking permit application, and also give people enough notice to be able to change vehicle (3 years?).

If it is health-focused, perhaps more use of pedestrianisation could help.
You have to think about penalizing people with cars that cannot afford to change them and how hard hit these will be being made to pay more.
You issuing disable badge permit to people who abuse them and some even not deserve it as they are mobile. Carers use them for their own pleasure when so called disabled even not present.
You may have to consider that your actions will affect those who are living on the breadline or on benefits. People who are struggling but have a car to go to/from work may not necessarily be able to afford a vehicle that meets Omissions criteria and more pressure is put on them to survive by paying out more for parking and running a car.
Your mayor is but an asshole and not really interested in true reduce but ask this survey but still do as you wish.

Meet public Saturday open air meeting Lewisham centre tell them your plans listen them

I date you the useless asshole youth who not live local so what you know

I am Samuel Dunmoodie and challenge you open air meeting any Saturday outside Lewisham Shopping Center if you date but you will not as you are a loser.
You need to consider that if we have workmen to repair in house it is us who pay the permit. Builders need to bring their vans to bring all the machinery and equipment. It should not incur extra costs.
You need to penalise people based on much the drive not the type of car they have. A new petrol car is more polluting than an old diesel if driven every day.
You need To support residents that need cars for work but are stuck with commuters and school parents idling in their cars
You need to take into account not everyone can afford to buy a car that meets the low emissions standard and therefore may not be able to afford the higher cost of the parking permits.
Your questions are written in such a way that most people answers would show support for the cause. They don't really ask people if they are happy to pay more for parking and on top of paying extra to drive and in tax.
You should not be discriminating against diesel owners. There are more petrol cars so therefore they produce more pollution! Old unkept cars should all be removed. Stop large vehicles hgv's etc from using residential streets.
You should not penalize residents of the newer euro 6 diesels as their exhaust gases are on par with petrol cars and are ULEZ exempt
You should not punish people for having diesel when previous governments promoted diesel. If you want to reduce emissions put in more electric car chargers.
You should put. One electric recharger to encourage and make those car park spot free!
You shouldn't be punishing people who can't afford to buy new, lower emission cars.
You shouldn't penalise people for having older cars, instead, invest in ways the green those cars including lpg conversions and better catalytic converters
You shouldn't punish those of us with diesel cars, you should give more time to change, when I bought mine from vw I was assured it was the most environmentally friendly- who knew!
Road humps cause cars to change to ilow gear and cause more pollution, so take all these

out, along with using the borough as a busy flight path
Why not have car free days on the south circular?
Ban or charge those smelly coaches/lorries on the south circular
You talk about 'reducing' parking charges for less-polluting vehicles, actually I think you are just increasing charges for everyone to make more money.
You use some 'facts' about deaths in Lewisham that are attributable to air pollution etc but don't reference the source or other circumstances.

What if there are still 100 deaths because these people travel to more polluted boroughs or that the reduction expected by lower particulates would not be significant enough for this vulnerable group?

Can you please publish the reference and data behind these statements?
You will come up against resistance. We have to be strong in the face of lazy, grumpy old men in cars.
If it cost me more to drive I would have added incentive to get rid of the car I hate.
We need to also get on top of fly-tipping, people will use this as an excuse to fly-tip on the grounds that they can't drive to the recycling centre. This is a huge problem that needs re-thinking.
you will put me and my husband out of business. you will close so many businesses down. more empty shops on the street due to this money grabbing parking idea.
Your figures of people getting ill in the borough due to emissions is still low compared to the population of Lewisham

Stop hiding behind the emissions as you and everyone else knows this is just a money making scheme
Your new charges are draconian for resident permits. People are not able to change their vehicles on a whim. Most people keep their cars for at least three years and more. You need to rethink your charges, staggering the increases. The infrastructure is not sufficient yet to cope with everyone changing to electric vehicles, nor are electric vehicles versatile enough to cope with the travel needs of many people.

Please indicate whether Blue Badge holders will be able to park in undesignated bays, there are never enough disabled bays.
Your questions are completely biased to try to get people to agree with you to justify what is essentially no more than a money making scheme. You are proposing for zero emissions vehicles to more than double the price of a curr not permit!!!! if you genuinely cared about the environmental impact then those permits would be free!!

For almost every car you are significantly increasing the cost of permits - overall this will simply rake in more money from people who can ill afford it. You are putting up the cost of parking in a shopping area that is dying. This is a relatively poor borough and what you propose in the guise of protecting the air is disgraceful. Why are you increasing the cost of permits for electric vehicles. This consultation is nothing more than a way of tricking people into supporting your price increases.
Your statistics are selective. Also, some diesel cars are far cleaner than others. Most diesel cars are far cleaner than commercial diesels. Your inability to keep traffic moving exacerbates pollution. You need to be rigorous about what you choose to do - this seems to be politically motivated and as such, not what you are meant to be doing.
Your whole mindset towards the car is negative, counterproductive.
The better and more communications there is the more money and ideas are generated and the greater freedom we have.
You're potentially penalizing families who have bigger cars, and calculating permit cost based on car ownership vs actual use. We use our car at most once a week, walking and using

public transport the rest of the time, yet would be charged more annually than someone using their smaller car daily.
Youve convinced me never ever to vote for labour again in and form. I voted labour all my life never again

Section 3 – Business permits proposal response (verbatim comments)

LB Lewisham public consultation
Business parking permits verbatim comments

652 comments out of 3,767 surveys – 17.3%

Q: What else could Lewisham Council do to help improve air quality in the borough?

- Cycle schemes
 - Improved walking routes around the Borough
 - Diversion of the South Circular to less populated area
 - Improved public transport links
 - Restrictions on single-passenger vehicles during peak hours
 - Install covered bike rides in residents streets.
 - Build safe cycling lanes
 - Existing cycle lanes need to be well kept (some of them are in a dreadful state and therefore very dangerous)
 - Pedestrianise as many streets as possible (make it more difficult for us to drive and therefore easier for us to take the streets by walking/cycling!)
 - Plant LOTS of trees - get citizens engaged, most people will be willing to contribute towards greening their streets
 - Reduce through traffic / rat running in residential areas.
 - Require all new developments (residential and commercial) to significantly increase green space within those developments. This could include grassed areas, planting of mature trees, wetland type areas for large developments , etc.
 - Encourage modern builds / extensions / developments that will result in lower energy use rather than focusing on what are poor planning outcomes already
- (ULEZ) boundary will be extended up to the South Circular within the Borough by 2021

this is unfair charge

£50 is a lot

1)shame people who sit in cars for more than two minutes with the engine running through the press and road signs and Lewisham life, etc .

2)encourage parents of infant and junior school children to walk their children to school with rewards given out at school, would make a huge difference to air quality , and make children and parents fitter as well.

1. Pay for complete rewiring to all properties in the borough. If all cars were to go electric the power infrastructure could not support the increased power required to charge electric vehicles.

2. Put a free charging point on every lamp post. As I only have on street parking, I cannot get an electric vehicle as I have no access to a charging point.

3. Remove all road humps. These cause vehicles to slow down and speed up causing more pollution.

4. Remove all bus lanes. These cause more congestion hence more pollution.

5. Remove cycle lanes where they cause congestion and therefore increase pollution.

6. Instead of adding £50 surcharge for diesel engines, support research funding into making diesel engines less polluting. Diesel engines are the most efficient transport engine type and should be encouraged!

2 cars per household

50 pounds is not enough to get rid of the old vans/lorries/busses off our streets.

Ban ice cream vans from being parked with the engine on.

Lewisham don't have many streets with parking permits so not sure how big a difference it will make....

A diesel surcharge would disproportionately impact on small businesses. Also, having been actively (and mistakenly) encouraged to use diesel vehicles, small businesses will have invested heavily and cannot afford to simply change immediately. Would it be possible to introduce this gradually: for example, larger companies (e.g. supermarket chains) could be immediately liable; SMEs based outside the borough could have a period during which to make alternative arrangements (12 months?) and SMEs based within the borough could have a longer period to introduce the changes (3 years?). This would make the polluter pay, without making it infeasible for them to continue trading within the borough, which would be counterproductive on many levels.

actually do something to encourage public transport use not just charging. Blocks do not use renewable energy. This borough is decades behind others.

Add free charging points, free permit, add a prize draw to win a Tesla Model 3 for residence. Address serious problems with traffic flow through the borough. Lewisham Way, Town Centre, traffic jams create heavy pollution not local residents. The new layout in the centre has made this even worse than before.

Advertise the Lewisham Cycle Scheme better and invest funds into the community.

Make the roads safer for people to cycle and more cycle lanes.

Make sure cycle lanes are marked out properly.

Encourage people to walk and increase the number of bus lanes. Fix the road where the big roundabout used to be in Lewisham, that area itself probably makes up most of Lewisham's air pollution and the bus garage is in the worst place possible. There's always traffic!

Cars and the cost of running them is expensive enough, putting permits up just plunges people further into debt and does nothing for air pollution. Where does the money you raise from permits go?

After decades of polluting the local community with its own vehicles better solutions should be found

All buses to be electric to help cut pollution

All cars with high emission should be stopped

All council owned properties made passive so use less energy.

All council vehicles replaced with hybrid or electric.

Get BAA to stop thousands of aircraft flying low over Lewisham.

Make large deliveries to shops etc made at night.

Remove speed bumps.

use only sustainable fuel for all council owned buildings.

All delivery vans, trucks and lorries should be low emission cars.

Allow businesses only certain amount of car in the borough.

Allow only resident's cars in certain areas

Already answered this

already answered this on the resident section. Same answer applies here

already answered this same question previously

Already commented

already commented in last section.

Already stated

answered already

Answered already

answered this already

answered this already

Ant trees in every road. Encourage people to plant plants and trees in front and back gardens.

Stop rat running vehicles into and through borough Lewisham does nothing to stop rat

running through residential roads. Over the last 20 years always the same well do something

in the future and one occasion even that not enough deaths have taken place in the road. By the way the aeroplanes create far more air pollution.

Applying same principles to ambulances etc.

Are your bin collection lorry diesel if so change them

Articulated lorries only allowed to travel through Lewisham at night

As already stated.

1. Build enough charging points into every street, making it easier for people to switch longterm. Without adequate infrastructure in place,

2. Actually put in place the Catford gyratory system (rather than just talk about it)

3. Get the Bakerloo line extended to Catford and beyond much sooner (rather than just talk about it).

4. Get trains to run much later.

5. Get more police on the street, so that if walking home at night through Catford / Forest Hill people feel safe. The Perry Vale area is very residential and 'dead' after 9.30pm, making it feel unsafe and risky to walk through.

As answered in the above questions.

As before

as I said before

As per comments in the Resident Permit Section

As per resident feedback

As per resident response

As previous

As previous

As previous section

As previously comment in 1st section

As stated in previous section.

As stated in the other section

as the edge of the ULEZ runs through the borough, introduce much reduced charges for Lewisham residents who might have a daily routine crossing that border.

Ask people to consider this on a volunteer basis or look at an incentive to consider giving a grant to borough residents to change to a low emission vehicle

At EV charging station car spaces, it should be a traffic offence with automatic fine if a petrol or diesel vehicles are parked in the space reserved for electric vehicle parking and charging.

Ban all but the lowest emission [diesel] vehicles.

Ban all vehicles

Ban Cars, Extend Cycle Lanes & encourage walking & public transport.

Ban certain business vehicles at certain times of the day.

Ban driving apart from trade and disability permits

Ban higher polluting vehicles

Ban ice cream vans with engines on, especially in fronts of schools and in parks

Ban idling

ban idling

Ban lorries

Base it on usage not ownership.

BE HONEST AS TO WHY YOU ARE DOING THIS!

stop hiding behind pollution to tax us.

Be more creative in helping/subsidising residents to move to cleaner vehicles

Better bike lanes

Better buses

Better cycling routes

Better flow in traffic.

Better public transport

Better public transportation

Bicycles

Bikes

Block off all through traffic in the borough so that the residents do not have to shoulder this imposition. Boroughs play the traffic deflection game. Bothe clearly absurd situations.

Stop cutting down trees without replacing them. should answer his emails.

Get rid of all road humps along bus routes.

Stop shoe-horning people into more densely populated areas and community housing. Build better transport infrastructure and stop commuter traffic hitting Lewisham. Divert passing traffic out of the area.

build segregated cycle lanes especially along the bromley road

Buses

Buses and Taxis should be electric

Business permits should only be awarded to non-diesel vehicles. This is the best way to change behaviour and improve public health.

Business should be encouraged to go electric - ~Vastly scaling up rapid electric charging points should be a priority. I would have got an electric car if i could trust that i could get to fast charging point (as it's not possible to charge from my home). The local supermarket has 2 different electric chargers and both are usually occupied. It should be at least a quarter of the car parking spaces in every car park that has these charging points! There's no where near enough!

Business should have to pay for extra pollution

Business should pay a higher rate for permits than families

Business vehicles should pay more.

Businesses should not offer parking spaces for workers and encourage them to travel by public transport/ bike.

Campaign to make the south circular inside the zone.

Campaigns

can't think of anything

Cars in band 1, with under 100 Co2 should not be charged more!!!

Also

Right now older motorcycles are not tested for emissions ant therefore automatically are not ulez exempt. This should be changed as this data is available from the manufacturers. For example I own 1999 suzuki sv650 which is known as a viehicle with low enough emissions to be ulez exempt but due to its age this data is not registered on the v5 and therefore is bound to be charged. Which is absolutely unfair to those that like older yet efficient motorcycles.

Change the waste and recycling lorries and all other council vehicles to zero emission ASAP.

Change their fleet of vehicles to electric .

charge a higher surcharge for business vehicles.

Charge buses and taxis.
Charge business permits more.
Charge business users more
Charge businesses much more than residents for their polluting vehicles.
Charge businesses using diesel vehicles in the borough
Charge commuters who drive their vehicles through Lewisham and who do not reside in the borough
Charge for permits and discount car pools.

Better cycling routes and electric buses.

Less flight paths over London and discounted train fares.
Charge vehicles a higher fee for business permit if the vehicle is more than 3 years old.
Simpler to understand for the average person than vehicle omission stats. A easier to implement as registration number is all that is required. Newer cars are less polluting.
Cheap loans to replace diesel cars
Cheaper public transport
Cheaper public transport tickets
Cheaper public transport

More pedestrianised streets
Cheaper transport
Clamp down on vehicles not properly serviced
Close down factories, grow more trees and parks
Closing roads to cars and traffic a few times per year
Come down heavily on rat running to confine pollution to the main roads.
Comments for the residential section can be repeated here .

As a resident of another borough working in Lewisham the gross increase based on my moderately older car will mean thinking about the continued use of a permit which could affect work and ability to visit clients in the same way. Possibly if Lewisham is the appropriate borough to work in. There are good but not great transport links. Journeys doubled in time from home to work and around the borough will have an impact if via another method of transport.

Completed
Consider encouraging business deliveries to come at night to reduce traffic on roads during the day.

How to encourage/support consumer delivery vehicles to be electric (ie what is your charging network strategy??)

Continue to campaign about cleaner air
Continue to improve walking and cycling access across the borough.

Slow traffic down to at least the designated speed limit.

This would begin to make think twice about getting in a car for a short journey.
Continue to search for ways in which the flow of traffic at key times of day, on main roads, could be improved e.g. Loampit Vale into Lewisham centre during afternoon weekday drivetime. This would reduce idling.

Contribute to people on lower earning to buy a lower emission car if it should an issue
Control factory emissions

Could we have more electric buses operating in the Borough?
 Can you encourage the use of electric cars by introducing power up points?
 Can you make cycling safer and easier in the borough?
 How about a bike rental scheme like in central London.
 Crack down on idling
 Educating businesses
 Crack down on motorbikes with modified engines
 Create awareness of the important of low emission, encourage people to make a better choice by walking or use public transportation.
 Create more gardens, parks, introduce a park and ride service if able and plant more trees.
 Create more pedestrianized zones (no vehicles) on major high streets? This would help to stop traffic build up (due to high count of pedestrians crossing streets etc.), therefore, leading to less stopping and starting/idling of engines.
 Create more points for the electric charging of vehicles.
 Cut down on the lights and increase/improve the safety for road users
 Definitely starting with pollution from car fumes.
 Delivery hours has been proven to be a efficient way to reduce emissions. By allowing commercial traffic only outside of peak hours, congestion is avoided.
 Disallow multiple business parking permits for the same resident is a predominantly residential street
 Discourage driving pupils to school
 Do all this very quickly. It's a public health crisis.
 Do not allow lorries and polluting vans into highly busy areas during peak times
 Do not charge home and business owners charge those that use it as a thoroughfare
 Do not extend the Ulez to inner London Boroughs.
 Do not give parking permits to polluting cars at all.
 Do not penalise business owners.
 Do not use a business parking permit
 Don't have a business permit
 Don't agree with a surcharge you are heavenly penalizing people and business that have diesels. Scrapping all diesels also have a huge impact on the environment. You need to combine different alternatives . Incentivating not penalizing
 Double the charge for diesels every year
 Educate all population households what pollution is what it's effects are on health and well being !
 NHS initiatives tackling well being must include this issue!
 Educate and not punish
 educate not charge
 Education
 Plant more trees
 Efforts to curb speeds in 20mph zones.
 Electric
 Electric , hybrid
 Electric charge points in residential streets where houses don't have driveways
 Electric charging points - more needed
 Electric council vehicles

 Changing points everywhere.

 Free parking for electric vehicles

Ban dirty tfl diesel fume pumping buses from our council

Electric kick bikes to rent

Electric or hybrid buses only.

empty the bins more reguarly.

Encourage buses and commercial vehicles to shut off engines when stationary.

Encourage business to have deliveries before certain times to reduce build up in rush hours

Encourage central government to introduce a scrappage scheme to get diesel cars off the road

Encourage cycling

Encourage cycling!

Encourage deliveries to hubs and encourage businesses to use greener modes of transport for "last mile" deliveries

Encourage electric car purchases by providing a borough wide charging network and/or making it easy for homeowners to have a charger installed near their home.

You should be leading this Lewisham!

Encourage greater use of garages.Many lie empty.

Perhaps then,people would leave their car at home & use public transport

Encourage local electric only vehicles

Encourage more cycling

Encourage more people to walk cycle and use public transport

Encourage parent to walk their children to school.

Encourage parents not to use there cars for the school run. Provide safe, alternative transport for children. Encourage people not to use there cars for short journeys. Encourage people to spread their journey times and not too add to the gridlock at peak times. Having vehicles sitting in ques must make pollution worse. Doing 20mph must make pollution worse as cars are not efficient at that speed

Encourage people to buy and drive cars with lower emissions but not punish drivers by introducing and making them pay exorbitant prices for parking permits. It's bad as it is already, why put more effort in trying to squeeze the little that residents have?

Encourage people to cooperation.

encourage people to make more use of the public service. there are homes where people have one vehicle per person. there is no moderate use. They use the car even to go to the market that is close to their area.

Encourage people to take public transport

Encourage people to use electric cars

Encourage people to use public transport to work rather than drive, particularly your own staff, many of whom drive and park on our road as there is no CPZ.

Encourage people to walk or cycle.

Encourage the addition of electric vehicles for Lewisham business.

Encourage the use of electric cars

encourage the use of motorbikes and scooters as they produce the smallest Nox. They prevent congestion and if only 10% of motorists switched to PTWs pollution be lowered to 40% overnight. Why are the council not embracing PTWs?

encourage the use of PTWs motorbikes and scooters as they are proven to ease congestion and so pollution yet you like sadiq khan dont include them in your transport strategy- why is that? they are proven to be very low in Nox and pm2.5.

Encourage use of public transport

Encourage walking

Encourage walking and cycling. Limit all vehicle journeys

Enforce all Uber drivers only use low emission cars

Enforcement action against businesses flouting parking restrictions including businesses that illegally repair cars on the roads. Enforcement against pavement parking by businesses and churches

Ensure public transport is green

Have a better traffic flow as sitting traffic contributes to air pollution

Ensure that all council vehicles and buses are low emission.

Prevent coaches from running engines unnecessarily

Ensure your own polluting vehicles - vans, refuse vehicles and school buses comply.

Everything I have mentioned before. Plus take the motorbikes away from outside restaurants on the high street.

Extend bakerloo line. Lewisham is underserved by TFL making owning a car necessary for some residents.

Extend low emissions zone.

Extend the boris bikes scheme within the borough

Extend the tube to Lewisham

Extend ULEZ beyond that border or through own measures

Extend ULEZ to the whole of Lewisham borough

Extending the ULEZ not much good for south of the borough if does not include South Circular.

Fast food restaurant using wood burning ovens need to be monitored

Find out natural ways to reduce emissions

Fine drivers sitting in their cabs with the engines running while waiting for fares.

Focus on buses and taxis as these are usually exempt from any limits.

Ensure traffic flows more freely around Lewisham station as the number of cars queueing up and idling is a major issue

For bigger business' (ones that can afford the permit), a permit could be good. This could be however be a regressive tax impacting smaller businesses who are unable to afford the permit.

For businesses make sure they get efficient deliveries to reduce traffic. Ideally make them switch to low emission vehicles using permits. Stop them parking on double yellow lines.

Force buses and taxis to switch off their engines when sat in traffic.

Free bus service

Free buses

free buses

Free public transport, to give all Residents free parking permits to park outside their own house / flat

Get rid of the bottleneck at Catford Bridge - too many set of lights and only one lane.

Pointless spending money to divert the south circular when you fail to tackle a key problem in the flow of traffic.

Get more cars off the road, improve buses and trains and make them cheaper to get people out of their cars

Get mother's/father's to walk children to school. They are supposed to be in catchment area.

Get older vehicles off the roads

Get rid of bottle necks by sequencing of traffic lights

Get rid of diesel black cabs

Get rid of sleeping policemen: speed bumps.

Get rid of the 20 mph limits within the borough.

Get rid of the 20mph speed limit because it creates more emissions due to standing traffic get the traffic moving. Stationary or slow moving traffic increases pollution.

Get the ULEZ sooner and to cover more of London. More cycle parking in town centres and on residential streets. More segregated cycle paths to make it safer for people to cycle especially with children - places I cycle I wouldn't want my child to cycle so we drive when he is with me! More electric car charging points to make it viable to switch from petrol to electric in areas of terraced housing - every lamp post could be converted to a charging point!

Give free bikes

Give people time to adjust - not a lot of time but enough to change cars as they can afford to. I'm not expecting financial help from the Council but this is going to cost small business a lot of money and we need to plan for it.

Give residents, visitors and workers of the borough enough time or a deadline to migrate to petrol or electric cars rather than imposing heavy fines.

Go even further and be even more restrictive. Need to drastically reduce numbers of cars and vans on the road.

Government encouragement to trade in vehicles with subsidement of eco friendly vehicles.

Grants for electric cars

More charging point

Fines for idling with engine running

Plant more trees

Greater restriction on business permits, there are vast number of ways to get to Lewisham and permits should not be given for personal cars, just work vehicles eg. Vans that are required for deliveries etc

Greater use of car / van pooling for businesses

Green buses, bin trucks, flytipping trucks.

Have electric car charging bays to encourage people to buy more electric cars and also hybrid cars

Have given comments previously

Have mentioned elsewhere

have more cycle lanes

have no drive zoneas and days

Having to move my car every 2 hours is an unnecessary use of fuel and creates emissions. If I didn't move my car all day while at work, emissions would drop

Help business owners to choose a cleaner way to get their delivery.

If they do reward them.

We have hybrid busses why don't we use hybrid or delivery Lorries. I know it's up to the government but as part of the government we can change that for our areas.

Help small business with grants or information for sustainable transport of their goods.

Help us to buy green cars

Help with cheaper rate of car mantainance.

higher charges for high emmison

Hybrid cars

I commented on the previous one

I have ALREADY answered all of these questions in great detail!

I think it reasonable to focus more on business users - I appreciate it could still be seen as a little unfair, but they are more likely to replace their vehicles more often - and of course are likely to do greater mileages.

I think making van drivers pay more for diesel Van's could have and adverse effect on small businesses in the borough. I think it may be better to penalise larger companies that use lots of Van's and can afford to change their Van's.

I think that the council should implement a parking fee in the parking areas in the council to prevent people to bring their cars into the council and use more public transports.

I think the council and ultimately the government should work with incentives and not with fines/ penalties, as the more polluting cars /older vans will generally belong to the smaller businesses.

I would suggest an incentive scheme for those companies whose members cycle/walk/use public transport which could be in the form of reduced business rate.

For older vehicles/ diesel vans I would suggest a scrappage scheme (would need the government to fund) that allows companies to change their car/van if they need one for running their business, as every company would choose a more modern fleet if they could afford it.

I think wrong approach - some people need cars for work, some people get their work vehicles provided, neither has a choice but to pay to park.

The actual problem is people in stationary cars/vans running their engines whilst either in a traffic queue (Vicar's Hill between about 5:30 and 7pm, for example) or in side roads just pull up to check phones, wait for people etc.

The people least able to replace their vehicles - those on low incomes - will be those who you are penalising most by increasing the charge for parking. As a Labour-run council, you really should be on the side of the low-income residents.

I VERY strongly object to the council imposing a higher tariff on diesel vehicles when I am PREVENTED BY THE COUNCIL from owning an electric powered vehicle as I have no way to charge one.

I would really like to have an electric powered vehicle (Tesla). I cannot do this at present as I live in a terraced house in Hither Green and I have no way to charge it. If I could charge an electric vehicle I would buy one immediately and dispose of my diesel powered car.

Identify all the sources of air pollution. Stop picking on diesel owners.

If less houses were being built we would have had much more greener space and fewer vehicles in the first place. The amount of high rise blocks of flats in the Lewisham area has contributed to pollution as there are more residents with vehicle

Implement parking restrictions around the stations. People drive into Lewisham from outside London to use transport and park in roads around the stations, thus increasing pollution levels.

Impose striker fines on the drivers who don't adhere .

Improve & increase cycle lanes / paths

Improve better transportation

Improve cycle lanes and edge of road paving for cyclists

Improve cycle lanes

Improve frequency of trains

Create more local jobs

Improve cycle parking

Cycling incentives

Cycle routes

Cycling signage

Law enforcement for dangerous drivers

Improve road surfaces

Improve our park and green spaces

Improve public transport

improve public transport

Improve public transport

Improve public transport

Improve public transport access to South London, ie Brixton. The P4 is inadequate and leads to more drivers.

Improve public transport infrastructure. More bus lanes and cycle paths. This will make travel slower for private vehicles and this act as a general deterrent.

Improve public transport. Also, enforce more energy-efficient and "green" regulations for businesses and new buildings.

Improve public transport. Stop building housing for an expanding population without the infrastructure to support it.

Improve road layouts at choke points.

Improve the infrastructure of electric power points for all the upcoming electric vehicles you're trying to flog to everyone.

Improve the outrageous bottleneck on the South Circular

IMPROVE THE PASSAGE OF ALL TRANSPORT THROUGH AND IN THE BOROUGH INSTEAD OF IMPEDING AND DELAYING AS YOU DO NOW, BY HOLDING UP TRAFFIC WHERE IT COULD BE MOVED THROUGH AND ON IN A QUICKER WAY THEREFORE NOT ALLOWING POLLUTION TO BUILD UP AND . A PRIME EXAMPLE IS OPPOSITE ST PHILIP NERI SCHOOL BY HOME PARK WHERE BUSES HOLD UP TRAFFIC DOZENS OF TIMES A DAY THEREBY INCREASING POLLUTION MANY TIMES OVER, A CASE OF BAD PLANNING AND POOR FORESIGHT BY L B LEWISHAM

Improve the road network at peak hours so cars are not left hanging about in traffic polluting the air

Improve the rubbish square about in Lewisham which has made things so much worse

Improve traffic flow

Improve traffic flow rather than fine people for having diesel cars... the emissions are coming from the thousands of vehicles coming into London through Lewisham from Kent and other areas it's not the locals' cars having this impact so why fine the locals? As a country our tax goes up already on older vehicles. This will not help. I live in Lewisham and use my car only a few times a week, sometimes I don't use it at all and when I do it's to go out of London so why should I pay more? Traffic flow is the problem, cars sitting in traffic is causing pollution in built-up areas ...

If you had to put your loved one in a room with an old car running for 10 minutes or a new car running for 2 hours which would it be??

Exactly! Sort out the traffic flow, encourage people to use motorcycles or scooters that live out of town and commute in.. fining people will not sort this problem.

Making people buy newer expensive cars only increases the carbon footprint.. scrapping perfectly good vehicles is crazy... but then if you take this advice you won't make a lot of money to fill the void in council funding.. which is really what you're trying to do...by upping permit and pay and display costs.

improve traffic flow

wash down road surfaces to remove particulate matter

plant more trees

Improve traffic light sequence and flow at lights, such as Verdant Lane onto the South Circular, to prevent cars sitting in one spot and spiking the air pollution in that area.

Improve your cycling policy! You do pretty much nothing for cyclists. When you redo roads, you don't add cycling lanes. The Lewisham centre redevelopment is an absolute shame. It's all very well and good to 'punish' diesel, but what do you do to encourage cycling? Nothing.

There is no cycling policy. We don't need different types of cars, we need less cars.

Improving green spaces and encouraging residents to now buy into cleaner and greener technologies

Incentives to try alternatives

Increase (and enforce) parking restrictions - it is too easy for cars and vans to be brought into the area at the moment.

Increase and improve protected cycle lanes to encourage more residents to use cycling and walking as active transport.

Offer subsidies and grants to local companies that use electric or electrically assisted forms of delivery over petrol/diesel vans.

Consider a subsidised cargo bike hire system like Waltham Forest:

<https://www.enjoywalthamforest.co.uk/cargo-bike/>

To encourage more local shopping to be done without a car.

Don't allow developers to build bloody huge roundabouts that discourage walking, cycling and slow public transport...

Increase charges for permits for people who drive in for their business.

Free for electric

increase rates for parking permits ; remove access for large vehicles outside nighttime [11pm-5am] so they are not stuck in jams polluting and not polluting when kids are on way to and from schools; ban all large vehicles from roads near schools.

Increase the cost of business permits.

Increase the current 2 hourly health professionals permits to 4 hourly - such a waste of time/ petrol/ increasing pollution - moving the car for no reason

Southwark have already done this with good effect

Increase the number of buses.

instal electric charging points in residential areas

Install electric car charging for flats

All council vehicles to be electric

Scrap schemes for Lewisham residents

Install Electric charging stations across the borough.

Reward residents who pollute less eg car sharing schemes. Don't always penalise. More carrot and less stick.

Install more bicycle parking racks in residential areas to encourage people to use bicycles.

Introduce controlled parking zones throughout the borough

Introduce electric / cleaner buses ASAP. Restrict the use of heavy goods vehicles to night-time only.

Introduce incentives for households & businesses to use renewable energy for their premises as well as transport.

Introduce more delivery drop off points for light weight deliveries locally e.g shops, etc to reduce number of delivery drop offs in area.

introduce more pedestrian only zones, ban cars use and also ban smoking.

Introduce parking permits on the Corbett estate

Introduce Santander bike stations

Increase taxes for all types of car owners

Introduce weekend restrictions in Lewisham Hospital area

Invest in your cycle scheme and extend it. Give free bikes and free bike repair to residents, students, and workers. Bring more cycle hire schemes to the borough. Invest in bike paths.

Make more roads car free. Invest in TFL and public transit. Make businesses pay a surcharge for every van or lorry making deliveries to them. Make businesses pay a much higher fee for diesel vehicles and any non-electric vehicles. The lowest earners should not have to shoulder the burden of this when businesses in the borough are by far the largest polluters.

It is a well know fact that urban trees have significant effect upon the amelioration of air quality in respect of both gaseous and particulate emissions. The Council should plant more trees in streets. More particularly, the Council should have a policy of replacing all trees removed as a result of them having reached the end of their natural or safe life. For example, when we moved to Embleton Road in 1985, it used to have approximately 25 trees. The Council has removed all except two of them and none have been replaced. In itself this is inexcusable, but the concerns regarding air quality make reversal of this policy or practice

imperative.

To this end, additional parking revenues generated as a result of changed policy, or changed charges should be ringfenced to fund the planting of trees - and particularly to the replacement of trees which have been removed. The Council should have a strict policy of no nett loss of street trees on a street by street basis,, and should aim to to have an overall nett increase.

It is irrational and exceptionally unfair to charge Lewisham residents, trapped in already expensive controlled parking zones, for a problem they are not causing. This is purely a revenue raising issue, cynically using the environmental arguments as an excuse. It will not improve air quality.

Reducing through traffic and diverting traffic away from the area, or improving the bottlenecks would make a far larger contribution to the improvement of air quality in the borough. This is irrefutable. LOCAL RESIDENTS ARE NOT THE PROBLEM AND YOU KNOW IT!!

It's one thing to encourage and reward petrol drivers, give them discounts by all means, what you shouldn't do is charge diesel drivers anymore. This is so clearly being used as an opportunity to make more money

It's not the local councils job to tell people (by extorting money) what they can and can't drive. Action on climate change and air pollution has to be a Governmental decision the monies required will be massive, and a local council bringing in another stealth tax in the guise of cleaner air will not help.

I've answered this elsewhere

Just away of getting more money from people

Keep the traffic flowing, instead of having traffic lights every 100 yds . what causes the pollution is Traffic Jams, not my little car when it is parked

large lorries, over sized vehicles to avoid driving during school peak hours
have more cycle lanes

Leave it to the Government

Leave residents alone

Lewisham could debar, restrict or/and stop polluting vehicles from passing through its roads to other Boroughs and other places, because this pollution is caused by all non-resident vehicles.

OTHER COMMENTS:

Why cost-punish Lewisham residents by fees and various parking charges???

Lewisham has one answer. Tax vehicles. It will have no impact

Lewisham is an area full of transport. I think depending on where, if you own a business you could reduce the carbon print by just commuting into work. But having said that lewisham is also very busy during certain hours so I guess this is why they drive

Lewisham should no nothing - punitive fees within the extended ULEZ will drive away (pun intended) most or all the worst polluting vehicles by Autumn 2021.

Lower fees for low(er) emission vehicles will only encourage that road traffic congestion you are meant to be fighting.

This proposal seems to be using green credentials to cloak cynical revenue raising by a financially incontinent council unwilling to live within its means .

Lies lies lies, this is parking permit nothing to do about environment.

Residents bought this in to be allowed to be parked outside there home regardless of the car they own.

Don't be adding silly categories to it to penalise the poor

Limit car idling in Lewisham central
 Limit HGV in the area
 Limit larger vehicles on certain days and certain times
 Limit the amount of business permits. Have separate spaces that are not outside people's houses.
 Business's should pay a lot more for more than one vehicle.
 Look at housing heating
 Look at bus, taxi. Who are polluting more than car as always running
 Diesel trains should also be forbidden at 100%
 Motorbikes should be looked as well
 Look at possibilities to pedestrianise more of it.
 Look at research to look at other ways of identifying other causes for air pollution.
 Low traffic neighbourhoods
 Lower public transport costs of travel
 Make a pedestrian zone
 make buses cleaner
 Make cycling more safe and reduce the crime
 make cycling safer
 Make hubs for parcel deliveries and encourage everyone to walk to pick up
 Make it easier for pedestrians
 Make it harder for people to park vans and commercial vehicles in residential streets.
 Stop ice cream vans running their engines whilst stationary.
 Make it possible for traffic to move rather than putting in so much traffic lights in a short distance that some cars are just sitting idling, while waiting to move on.
 Make more high-Street areas completely car free
 Make resident parking 24/7 across Lewisham borough.
 Make roads public transport accessible only
 Make Thameslink trains stop at New Cross Gate station. Currently they pass through without stopping.
 Make the bus fare free one day a week
 Make 1 bus fare for per half day
 Only authorise one car per household
 Put more electric station and make those station free to park in
 Make the South Circular greener!
 Invest in Flower displays / new trees
 Manage road works better, improve traffic flow management. Bring in solar power, plant more trees
 maybe a scrappage scheme
 Maybe help small businesses with pay offs for older diesel vehicles. Or end up losing the business
 Maybe work with businesses and/or energy companies to provide electric vehicle charging points around areas with many goods deliveries, to encourage the use of electric vans?
 Modern diesel cars (with ad blue) are significantly less polluting than most petrol cars without a catalytic converter.

 Penalising modern diesels is not the right approach.

The Council should consider the emissions for each car and only the the older Petrol and Diesels should be subject to to surcharges.
 More and more business vehicles are being used from private addresses. Not only does this increase parking issues as van drivers normally have their own car too, it also increases

pollution in residential areas. Can some thought be given to suitable parking areas for business vehicles.

More bicycle facilities

More bus route

More buses more often

More cycle lanes

More Cycle lanes. Pavements could be used for pedestrian and cycles. This is done in other countries such as Cyprus.

More checks on big lorries and haulage vehicles to make sure that their companies are complying with the new rules.

More cycle lanes.

More parks and green spaces.

-More cycling super highways

More education on air quality management

More electric charging points for electric cars.

More electric charging points.

More electric charging points.....

More electric Charging points, and heavy subsidies for electric car owners

more electric vehicle charging points

More electrical charge points to encourage and support electric vehicles.

More frequent bus services around peak hours.

Fines for leaving engine running on stationery traffic.

More green spaces.

More incentives to not use the car. Better cycle lane provision. Subsidise travel passes.

More parking restrictions and cycle lanes.

More pedestrian areas

More Public footpaths

More safe cycling lanes

Reroute the south circular

More public transport

More spaces to lock up bikes in office car parks.

More trees

More trees & plants

More trees and invest in green spaces. Less use of concrete in construction.

More trees planted. All pavements, along busy roads and also on residential roads.

Protect open green spaces.

Have air pollution checks in all school playgrounds and run initiatives to improve these year on year.

MORE TREES

MORE PARKS AND OPEN SPACES

more vehicle charge spots

Motivate the drivers to chose low consumption cars

Much higher surcharge for diesel vehicles — these need to be encouraged to be phased out, entirely and finally. They have long been obsolete, following the introduction of various next-gen technologies (electric and hybrid-electric, hydrogen fuel cell etc.)

Must think carefully about parking permits applied to small businesses. It's not fair to slap charges on trades who need vans. Electric cars aren't yet competitive.

Perhaps businesses should be positively incentivised through improved taxation for reducing carbon footprint rather than additional charges

No building schools and flats next to the main roads. Growing green areas

No business parking on residential streets. CPZ for the Evelyn ward.

No car days on busy streets

No driving in vicinity to schools and nurseries.

Not by parking permits

not give out business permits for cars used just to travel into work and encourage travel by public means other than essential delivery

Not put the permit up for cars that have passed the emission as its only benefiting the Lewisham council parking system when they already get enough as some boroughs don't pay for permits if our boroughs pays for permits all boroughs should as it not fair

Not sure how you can unless you insist on battery vehicles

Nothing additional than from residential permits.

Nothing diesel should not have surcharge

On the whole, public transport has improved in recent years and there are less excuses for driving to work.

I am however concerned that bus routes are being shortened. Changing buses at the Elephant and Castle is going to be chaos.

Only allow company vans in at certain times

Open more roads, install higher bridges, install tunnels, around Lewisham

Over charging motorist will not tackle the problem.

Can we assume that if we all switch to electric vehicles then you will scrap all resident parking charges and make it FREE.

Owners of business vehicles should pay a higher rate,

very large vehicles/lorries should not be allowed to travel on smaller side roads near schools in Lewisham and London.

All vehicles need to be restricted from using roads

With Schools on them, in peak times.

Parking

Parking areas should be planned on need not financial gain.

Reconsider balance of business/visitor parking. Eg around Blackheath is a "doughnut" of business parking with little visitor availability.

Parking permits for residents

Parking permits should not be used to pursue this policy agenda. It is unfair and discriminatory. Already large diesel vehicles pay more in Congestion charge, fuel tax and road tax. This would be purely a discriminatory revenue generating policy by the council. It would inappropriately disadvantage family car owners such as myself.

Parking vehicles is in my view less of an issue (ultimately during parking they don't emit CO2 or NOx).

Focus should be on commercial vehicles including buses that serve the borough and DRIVE actively through Lewisham, especially old diesel trucks including from abroad

Passing heavy goods vehicles create much more pollution than residents parked cars as parked cars have their engines switched off when parked

Pedestrian only areas .

Improving the flow of traffic around the borough so that fewer cars are sat in traffic idling.

Pedestrianise areas

Pedestrianise places

Pedestrianise very populated areas

Pedestrians only- zones around schools, hospitals and shopping centres.

Penalise households (and businesses in particular) with more than one car. Incremental charging for each subsequent car should be introduced.

People sometimes need vans for their work and to park them near where they work. Same is true for deliveries.

People were encouraged to purchase diesel cars because they were cleaner they won't be able to sell them for a reasonable amount.

I think we could grow more trees, educate people especially young people. Encourage those who can to cycle - safely. Provide more community transport for elderly and less able people. Look at taxis and mini cab vehicles, make sure they are clean. Also business vans, lorries.

Make public transport safer. Many people are scared to use it. Put staff on stations.

People who have older cars can't afford cleaner newer ones, don't penalise them

Permit all areas to stop people from other boroughs driving into the borough (2 hours middle of the day) and leaving their cars on our streets to go to work One permit per household. No permits for people with offstreet parking, less cars would encourage more people to cycle.

Phase in payment for diesel so less well off can budget to replace

Phase this in to take effect in 2025. People bought diesel cars in good faith and now the research is indicating that govt policy needs to change. In my case this would be a 257% increase and not acceptable.

Phase traffic lights so that cars are not idling for such a long time thereby reducing overall pollution.

plant many more trees and foliage to combat the CO2 emissions

Plant more green walls

Don't have school entrances on busy main roads (Ashmead)

Plant more trees

Have more cycle ways

Plant more greenery

plant more trees

Plant more tree's

Plant more trees & green pollution barriers

Reduce heavy goods vehicles

Stop unnecessary demolition of sound housing & redevelopment

Protect all green spaces & street trees

Plant more trees a long each road. Make all busses hybrid/ not deisel/ electric

Plant more trees along the main roads, replant the trees that have been removed for residential development.

Prevent side-streets and housing estates being used as 'rat-runs' to avoid queueing on the main roads i.e. Sayes Court Estate in Deptford used to avoid Creek Road/Evelyn Street.

Plant appropriate pollutant absorbing trees like London Plane along the main roads.

Plant more trees and fund tree care.

Use cpz money and money raised for looking after footpaths, trees and planting.

Plant more trees around schools

Plant more trees to clear the air - operate a plant a tree scheme where organisations based in Lewisham can have a small placard on the tree to show that they planted the tree and also maintain it. Also charge them a small fee to plant the tree.

Fine cars that are driving blowing out fumes from their exhaust. You will need photograph/video evidence as proof.

Car sharing should be promoted with a central database of drivers who are willing to share their cars with other people who are on the database who are willing to pay to jump in someone else's car. All the passengers should be vetted (DBS, List 99, no criminal convictions or cautions, etc) to protect the drivers. Similarly, the drivers should be vetted with the same checks and that they have not had any driving convictions or warnings.

Plant more trees to combat co2

Plant more trees!

Increase green areas in urban environments.

Encourage homeowners to keep gardens green rather than paving over.

Regulate small local industries which cause pollution.

Improve the traffic flow so that vehicles are not sitting for long periods in traffic.

Anti-idling awareness campaign.

Re-route heavy goods vehicles from driving through towns.

Ensure all borough owned service vehicles are non polluting.

Target:- parents on the school run, church/mosque goers/convenience store users. Often these drivers cause congestion problems & block local streets plus many times drivers sit in their cars with engines running.

Plant more trees, encourage other options other than driving...

Plant more trees, reduce the amount of industrial construction. Encourage people and make it more cost effective for people to use public transport.

Plant more trees.

Plant more trees.

Provide cheaper public transport

Plant more trees. Trees feed off of CO2 and produce O2 as a byproduct. It's simple science.

plant more.trees

Plant trees

plant trees

Plant trees and green hedges a pollution hotspots

Plant trees and offer free parking for petrol

Plant Trees and stop people from burning fuel in their fireplaces.. AND restrict cars in busy areas.. Park and ride would be good

Plants lots more trees please

Plants trees

Please refer to previous responses

Please see my comments in the previous section.

please see my previous answer for this.

Please see my previous comments

Please see previous comments regarding controlled parking, rat-running and speeding.

Please see previous response

Please see the 'residents parking permits' section.

Pollution generated by buses, businesses and other sources. Cars only account for 33% according to your own statistics. What is being done about the other 67% which obviously present a much higher risk to air quality and pollution?

Pollution isn't just cars, maybe less drivers

poor consultation document, biased

Prevent all non compliant vehicles using Lewisham roads both inside and beyond the south circular.

Provide subsidies for businesses that are looking to buy compliant vehicles (new or used) to replace non-compliant vehicles.

Prevent lorries coming through the centre of Lewisham

Previously commented

Previously stated

Promote bus priority including removing parking along bus routes.

Introduce a plan to remove parking supply significantly over a specified time period e.g. 10 years.

Protect green spaces

Plant more trees

Improve the flow of traffic, to cut down on congestion.

Provide a more flexible waste collection service to discourage the use of private vehicles.

To ensure that businesses are not penalised for offering delivery services which support car free customers.

Provide financial support to businesses to update their vehicles.

Provide incentives to purchase non diesel and electric cars eg vouchers with popular retailers.

Establish extensive infrastructure for electric supply for electric cars

Provide subsidies to businesses, or even better, provide 'green' vehicles to meet their agenda. You need their income to fund your plans.

Provide those with low quality emissions vehicles catalytic converters or an incentive to change/trade vehicles.

Public transport, especially train & tube fares should be made more reasonable in price, so that more people travel by public transport instead of driving their cars!

Put a pressure on car manufactures to create appropriate exhaust filters for existing cars.

Raising the Residents permit price for a low emission hybrid smacks of greed when you penalise BOTH a high polluting diesel and a low polluting hybrid too? Shame on you.

Rather than penalising older vehicles which largely belong to older residents who are less able to afford to replace their cars, large, expensive and inappropriate vehicles (for a city) such as Range Rovers, should be penalised. These are usually bought for their status and are affordable only by richer citizens who should bear the cost.

Idling too should be penalised as a source of pollution.

Re route the A2

redesign lewisham town centres gateway project car park to get traffic moving again

Reduce car use overall. Retain parking permits for disabled residents only in areas of high PTAL. Improve the cycle lanes.

Reduce clinical/chemical/industrial waste.

Give people chance/time to change vehicle.

Subsidies financially to buy the low emission car as they are mostly unaffordable to buy.

Reduce congestions at traffic lights and busy junctions, which are impacting negatively the air quality of the nearby houses.

Reduce individual smoking

Reduce number of roads that HGVs are allowed to travel on.

reduce public transport fees- especially DLR, overground, council support bike schemes, introduce cycle paths, introduce pedestrian only days on highstreets

Reduce rat running and better time traffic lights to reflect the flow of traffic during rush hour.

Reduce the amount of traffic on south circular

Reduce the cost of parking permits overall in the borough phase out diesel cars rather than penalising diesel car owners or diesel van owners on the basis that it's the government that promoted the use of diesel in the first place and now they're penalising us for it it's their fault they forced to suspend the money and now we're going to have to spend even more money because we don't have a choice

Reduce the cost of public transport and increase its quality

Reduce the cost of public transport.

Reduce the excessive amount of diesel buses that run through th borough

Reduce the number of buses running through the high street as if it were a merry-go-round, causing no just only damage to air quality by to people's safety because of the speed and way they drive through Lewisham High Street

Reduce the number of commercial vehicles that come through blackheath to protect our green spaces.

Reduce the number of delivery vehicles - people should be encouraged to click and collect rather than clogging the roads with diesel vans.

Reduce the number of heavy goods lorries travelling through the borough.

Reduce the quantity of goods vehicles in the borough and impose the highest charges on business whilst working with them to ensure they do all they can to improve air quality.

Everyone needs to make a change but businesses need to lead the way.

Reduce through traffic

Reduce traffic bottlenecks to cut down on stationary traffic adding to the problem

Reduce traffic coming off the A2 through Blackheath

Reduce traffic on the south circular

Remove 20mile driving zones marking what a waste of paint!

Remove all cycle lane and discourage people to cycle as these are dangerous to road users.

Remove restrictions and phase traffic lights correctly

Remove SELCHP plant.

Introduce more Bike Hangars on street to encourage cyclists.

Replace buses with clean ones or put scrubbers

replace trees that are cut down with new trees

Require ice cream vans to be licenced, and importantly non-polluting. Especially so as they can park for hours at a time in summer with the engine running.

Resign mayor.. meet me Sam Dunmoodie open sir meeting Infront Lewisham shopping centre any Saturday if you got guts and let's see if you willing listen to people

Respect the fact that most people with diesel vehicles only have them because the government told them that they should sell their petrol cars and buy diesel, like us.

compensate us and we might be able to consider some of these absurd measures.

Restrict Business users to Business user bays, why are some allowed to park in resident bays and restrict the number of business permits per business. There are far too many estate agent vehicles being used and parked in both business and residential bays

Restrict commercial vehicles.

Restrict lorries driving through the area during the day time hours. Make lorries do deliveries during the night time hours.

Restrict vehicles by bringing in parking restriction throughout Lewisham

Sack itself , be replaced with common sense approach, not another money making scheme

Sadly it's very difficult to say create no car zones on Sundays perhaps - this is because as we get older (which is where I'm at) I need my car to help me get about - I suffer with arthritis so my car really is gold to my lifestyle.

Safer cycle lanes. Better security for bicycles.

Penalise cars idling.

Walk to school policy.

Encourage local public service workers to use public transport, car share etc.

Same as above.

Same as before

Same as comments in previous section

Same as for private cars. See my earlier answer.

Same as I replied for personal. Q18. Are you aware that when compared to petrol cars with a catalyst, diesels have higher emissions of NOx and much higher emissions of particulate matter, and are therefore more harmful to health?...is leading and factually not correct. There are low emission diesels that can be lower in NOX emissions than petrol. This is a totally biased all diesel is bad biased and skewed approach. Totally wrong and should be pulled in how this biased questionnaire is being done.

Same as previous question answers. Be more open and honest with your research - most newer diesel vehicles pass the ULEZ criteria.

Same as previously stated

Same as residents

I think more punitive action should come in 2022 if drivers are not replacing vehicles in large numbers.

same comment as before -- discourage use of second cars

See answer for personal permits

See answer to previous question.

Don't encourage lower emission driving. Discourage driving.

Commercial vehicles should be electric.

See answers given for resident permit

See answers in previous section on resident permits

See answers under residents as I completed wrong section.

See comments in previous section

See comments on previous page

See comments on residential permits.

See comments to previous questions

See earlier comments

See last entry .

See my answer in the previous section.

See my previous answer please.

See other

See other sections for answers.

See Point 22
See previous
See previous
See previous
See previous answers
See previous answer
See previous answer
See previous answer.
see previous answers
See previous answers
See previous comment for residential permits
See previous comments
See previous comments about what Lewisham Council could do.
See previous page
See previous page answer to 12.
see previous question
See previous response
See previous section
See previous section.
see previously
Segregated cycle pathways. This would protect pedestrians and cyclists and make it much safer and easier for everyone to get around.
Ship goods in by hot air balloon.. Lol. Deliveries should be later in the evening.. After 7pm. More shops are open.
Should not be the councils place to do this .
Small business will struggle. Lewisham should encourage small business to survive and charge the large conglomerates to enter the area.
Somehow try to manage traffic flow better in borough hotspots, i.e. places where traffic queues for long periods of time with engines running especially in rush hour.
Sort out the congestion on roads passing through lewisham.
I understand the need to reduce emissions but creating huge charges for me to park (when parked my vehicle isn't generating any emissions) whilst I am still breathing the effects of vehicles passing through the borough seems a double punishment!
Sort out the congestion on the major roads, create major one way systems into and out of borough
Sort out the road layouts!
Sort out the traffic by the old roundabout. The new design is a mess causes delays and increases idle traffic
Speed bumps on all streets
Start creating safe cycling lanes in order to get more people in cycling. If there was safe cycling lanes I am 100% sure there will countless cyclist would be on the road like Denmark

of Scandinavia and the Netherlands. People must feel safe before they can take a ride on their bikes.

If you are actually serious about saving our beautiful planet 🌍 not becoming a money machine like we've always seen my suggestion is the only way to take action now.

Stay out of people's business and give free parking permits and free permits
stop being so negative about private transport

Stop building more flats to profit developers and stop opening more stores in area

Stop building more housing to encourage more people into the borough - no brainer!!!!

STOP CHARGING £700 FOR A ELECTRIC CAR IN ACCORDANCE WITH YOUR PRICE CALCULATOR WITH £250 PROPOSED CHARGE FOR A TURBO DIESEL 4X4.

AGREE WITH THE PRINCIPLES OF THE PROPOSAL BUT THE CALCULATOR IS COMPLETELY WRONG AND UNFAIR.

Stop charging people and make more affordable to change car with more money and not scamming them always, we bought car to move the economy not for just fun, now you want us to change our car out of the blue immediately but do you ask your self we maybe the people's don't have any extra money to spare??? We are already at the limit. Stop this now make better choose help the car owner not dry them from the last drop of blood.

Stop commercial vehicles from being in residential areas unless working or delivering.

Penalizing families that run more than one car.

24hr public transport systems. Where not waiting over 15mins for bus or train

Stop cutting trees down and building on green spaces (for 'social housing')

Stop emissions from aircraft

Remove all road bumps

Extend DLR / tube

Safer streets

Stop focusing on cars, you are doing it wrong. Focus on the alternatives (I have a Masters in Transport Design).

Stop giving Priority to Motor vehicles. All major roads should have Segregated cycle paths, especially going into central London.

Ban cars that generate Emissions from the roads from 06:00 - 10:00 and 16:00 - 19:00
Monday to Friday.

Stop issuing permits to non residents. You state Lewisham has great transport links. Lessen the traffic lights and get the traffic moving!

Stop large commercial vehicles from using residential streets. Confine them to the main roads.

Stop lorries and coaches parking with their engines running

Stop lorries going through the borough

Stop or tax passing lorries making them use A2 to get to London

Stop penalising drivers! Regulate cyclists as I've nearly been run over multiple times when they jump lights. Improve public transport. We have terrible connections in south east London

Stop persecuting the motorist

Stop putting cycle lanes across roads - forcing cars to stop & start which increases emissions.

Stop rat-running in residential streets

Stop removing healthy trees & start planting lots more.

Stop selling cars that have high emissions

Plant more trees and flowers

Stop building houses/flats as that contributes to more people hence more pollution

Stop spraying the air with chemicals, I know this is something the government will say doesn't happen but we see the chemical trails and on mornings where this has happened my breathing is greatly affected. I think if the council or government want to bring about more

people choosing electric cars for instance then they should be charging car manufacturers for building them and local transport should be doing more to help instead of things like not being able to have the air conditioning on on a bus when it's 80-90 degrees outside let alone on the bus it's a health risk by itself. The government and council need to look into environmentally safe ways of disposing of cars when people change their cars.

Stop the 20mph limits which result in lower gears being used causing more pollution.

Strategic and intelligent routes for cycling, space for bikes and trailers for business.

Street trees and lower emission public transport. I would also be encouraged to use Santander cycles if the scheme was extended to the borough

Support businesses that need support to change vehicles from diesel

Surcharge for diesel cars should be £20 so people can be able to pay surcharges should be applied to vehicles doing higher mileage.

Surrounding roads close to the town centre for example Pennerly Road, Inchmery Road, Thornisbeach Road close to the town. During weekdays people drive from outside the borough and park there and get the bus into town or to Lewisham station as there is no parking charge. Making it hard for community health professionals to visit patients and bringing more pollution to the borough. Weekends parking is easier I think if Lewisham were to make the whole area controlled parking zones like Barking and Dagenham and Newham people would have to use public transport. Or introduce a park and ride service. For me I live in Essex and travel to Lewisham for my job as a community nurse. I do drive a hybrid and for patients I can walk to then I will. However, my list is very vast and patients are spread far and wide meaning travel is necessary.

Swap people's cars to electric for free

Tackle persistent offenders - big lorries on streets e.g. deliveries to Bell Meats in Bellingham.

Take into account yearly mileage (as indicated on the MOT).

People who drive more miles will pollute more even with a newer car.

Take measures to actively reduce CONGESTION. It is not parking a vehicle that produces pollution it is using it, particularly in areas where traffic is queuing. Low mileage residents who use their cars once a week do not generate as much of a problem as those who drive and park every day in the borough.

Tax business owners vehicles.. including vans

Tax business transport companies with huge trucks

Tax commercial vehicles travelling through the borough

Tax heavy plant passing through the borough

Tax traffic on A2

The borough really need to up the numbers of EV charging points as there are very few around.

One of the reasons of people not buying electric vehicles is the lack of charging points.

I strongly believe that if Council is trying to improve air quality within the borough, rather than just add surcharge and extending ULEZ to South Circular, it should help residents by adding EV charging points, in that way residents wouldn't think twice before buying an electric vehicle.

The businesses where I live don't have parking permit yet they are forever parking badly so as people who live on the street are unable to get parking spaces and they have young families.

The council should offer lower rates of parking to electric delivery vehicles and increase them for diesel

The diesel surcharge is too low

The effect of smoking on air quality & health - particularly when groups of people are outside a public building eg library, hospital for example & you have to walk past.

The existing South Circular Road (A205) is woefully inadequate for 21st century motoring and is in capacity shortfall. Along this route, there are more bottle necks which in pacts on travel

times. & time wasted in general in the congestion. If capacity was increased to meet demand, journeys times would be improved & less time would loss.

The Extinction Rebellion idea (embraced by Oxford City Council and six parliamentary select committees) of a citizens' assembly seems sensible as it is hard for Lewisham residents to know what to suggest in this box.

Aside from a citizens' assembly, I would like to see the following:

- Better cycling routes including segregated cycling lanes to make cycling safer, especially in busy areas like Lewisham town centre and around Deptford Bridge
- More trees and plants e.g. green roofs on buildings, moss, more semi-wild areas in parks (rather than just lawn)
- Car-free days, when vehicles are banned
- Crack down on vehicle idling
- Ban cars around schools/strict traffic enforcement
- Charging stations for electric cars
- A climate budget
- Incentives for people who don't own cars e.g. free visitor vouchers or council tax reductions

The government is already charging business users. The council need to leave us alone or we will go out of business

The ULEZ is there to deal with diesels, this is not a job for the council. The council should focus on a reduction of non-local (out of borough) traffic. Invest in speed reduction measures and improvements for cyclist and pedestrians. Also making it more attractive for home owners and business to install EV charging points might be a long term strategy that works.

The way forward is electric vehicles, there are very few, if any charging points for electric vehicles in Lewisham. The way to sort out air pollution is to incentivise not punish.

There are loads of ways to help cut pollution more trees is one

There is no where to charge electric vehicles. How does the council expect people to move to hybrid or electric vehicles if you can't charge them. Big investment in charging points is required, and these could offer reduced parking charges. By increasing parking spaces for electric vehicles this would create a big incentive to switch.

Unfortunately when the replacement of street lighting was completed this was a big missed opportunity to combine charging points with the lighting. Lighting was moved from the edge road to nearer homes so the opportunity to retro fit lights with charging points to lighting is further diminished. There needs to be massive infrastructure improvements and investment to make Lewisham more environmentally friendly.

There needs to be a fine for sitting in a vehicle with the engine running when parked. Many business drivers are particularly guilty of this, although so are many drivers. It's unnecessary pollution.

There should be a different rule for businesses that encourage that business to incentivise staff to use hybrid cars etc

These questions are repeated from another section.

With reference to business permits I think this needs to be monitored as there is potential for diesel vehicles to be increased through this means.

They need to install electric vehicle chargers everywhere especially around Blackheath village or it would be possible to contemplate changing to electric.

Thieving scums

Think of a good incentive

Think of something else instead of conning the public out of cash to line the pockets of the shithole Lewisham

This is a repeat of the previous part of the questionnaire, I have already answered this.

This is a repeat question!! See previous answer.

This is purely an income generator, and unfair on people who already own vehicles. The road tax is where any pollution tax should currently lie - in fact the fuel price should generate all levies. That way, the more you drive, the more you pollute, the more you pay. It's ludicrous to suggest a tax for a vehicle that is parked - i.e. NOT polluting the atmosphere. If you want more money from parking, just put the price up - don't conceal this need for more cash behind a veil climate change compliance!

This is the same set of questions as section 1 - is that intentional?

to ban all diesel vehicles that are not euro 6

To encourage people to use more public transport by reducing costs. Improve the experience of travelling on public transport particularly buses where anti-social behaviour is very common.

Improve traffic signalling. There are a number of traffic hotspots across Lewisham where simple changes to traffic signalling would keep vehicles moving. Are you aware that a stationary vehicle creates almost 2-5 times more pollution than a moving vehicle?

Invest in roads. There are a number of roads across Lewisham where two lanes have become one which has increased traffic and pollution. Switching these back will create more space for vehicles and possibly help create more cycle lanes.

Improve public transport pollution. Buses are one of the worst polluters on the roads, updating the fleet with carbon neutral vehicles will improve Lewisham air quality significantly. Traffic system outside of Lewisham Police station is a disaster. Look to improve traffic flow and road layouts.

Change London buses and black taxi cabs from operating on diesel engines.

Try to move the Bin and waste recycling away from Ilderton Road area. Cut down on high storey buildings. Allow for space between properties as it was some years ago. More green areas for families to play.

Tube station.

Updating residents about how to improve the quality of the air, by leaflets, messaging, leaflets etc.

Upgrade buses

Use electric to be exempt

Use low emission vehicles itself

Vehicle noise pollution

What about resident at Lewisham who use diesel cars. Because I just bought my car 3 months ago. What is an advise to me please

What are people supposed to do with their vehicles that they need to transport their goods to their business. The government touted diesel vans as being more efficient. Business will close. Catford will become a ghost town or is that the aim so that builders can build concrete cities. The ones along the old dog tracks are an example.

When the ULEZ is extended in 2021, the south circular will become a ring road on the outskirts of the exempt area, so the pollution around that particular road will increase significantly. What is being done to prevent this? I live close to this road and things will get worse when people can travel around it, but not inside it without paying the ULEZ charge. ridiculous.

I want to buy an electric car. Lewisham council and the government are doing nothing to assist: There is no diesel scrappage scheme. There are not enough charging points. I am not allowed to run a charge cable from my house to my on street parking (which other boroughs allow such as Brighton).

Widen the S.Circular at Catford Bridge.

Will all your Lewisham homes vans be subject to the same charges? If not then why not?

Work with car dealers in Lewisham to offer special deals to residents for low emission vehicles and hybrids.

Yes we all need to change, but where are these extra funds going to come from to pay for better air quality. Low income families with cars will not be able to keep their cars.

You are attempting to penalise those that are unable to update their current vehicle due to funds and those that need a vehicle for work who are unable to afford to update their vehicle.

Whilst I agree with improving air quality poverty is already a massive issue in Lewisham, DO NOT penalise the people that are already struggling PLEASE.

You will never improve anything, because you never get anything right

Section 4 – Business permits overall consultation ideas (verbatim comments)

LB Lewisham public consultation
Business parking permits verbatim comments

470 comments out of 3,767 surveys – 12.5%

Q: Do you have any other comments that you would like to add about this consultation?

{1} i would assume most people like myself .if possible would like to purchase a newer car with lower emissions

[2] were ever you go in lewisham you have to pay parking i use to shop all the time in lewisham .now to be honest i dont no the last time i went there . and i dont drive to lewisham i walk .but my friend drives as she lives further away ,so not only dose she pay for parking here she then has to pay to park at the bottom of my road .so what was a cheap day visiting me not turned into a small ransom

all in all the council will put up the parking permits no matter what residents say

1 ULEZ compliant diesel vehicles are generally les polluting that petrol ones (CO2 considerably less and NOx slightly more)

2 Many residential streets have no poption for 'off street' parking and should generally not be considered as CPZ areas.

3 A resident parking scheme penalises residents but has no effect on those who just drive through the borough or drive into the bouough and park off street on business land.

A lot of the borough does not have permit parking, this means that only a select few will be paying this extra charge.

When the ULEZ comes into force Lewisham permit holders will be doubly penalised.

I feel a lot of the pollution comes from traffic passing through the borough, and if there was better traffic management it would cut down on all of the idling engines.

A parked car is not making pollution - a car used a lot will make most pollution, and will be parked the least.

A parked car produce no emissions. Charges for higher polluting cars should apply to when in use and to all across the borough - not just those who live in a CPZ and have no off street parking. By using parking permit charges in this way smacks of revenue generation - not of encouraging greener social behaviour.

A researcher at Loughborough University has invented a way of making diesel emit zero NOX by a process called ACCT. the process converts the NOX into Nitrogen and Oxygen both harmless.

Data changes rapidly. How up to date is the evidence used in this enquiry?

As the vehicle industry invests in improved technology to lower vehicle emissions how will the council respond in respect to charging rates?

Can we access the original reports used to influence these proposals?

What's being done to make cycling safer?

Absolute bullshit

We are paying to parkoutside our home.

Nothing needs to be changed as resident are happy with paying to park.

Residents don't want to be penaoslised for fake researched environmental issues u thieves bastards

Advertise the Lewisham Cycle Scheme better and invest funds into the community.

Make the roads safer for people to cycle and more cycle lanes.

Make sure cycle lanes are marked out properly.

Encourage people to walk and increase the number of bus lanes. Fix the road where the big roundabout used to be in Lewisham, that area itself probably makes up most of Lewisham's air pollution and the bus garage is in the worst place possible. There's always traffic!

Cars and the cost of running them is expensive enough, putting permits up just plunges people further into debt and does nothing for air pollution. Where does the money you raise from permits go?

Again Lower income families will be penalised

Again, the questions in this survey are guaranteed to give you the answers you need in order that you can raise the price of the parking permits and tax us further.

Again, these 'Do you know.....' questions are simply designed to secure agreement by bullying respondents into agree to your agenda.

Air pollution and levels of traffic generally is a problem in the Borough and should be a high priority for the Council.

All buses need to be modernised and more electric buses introduced

All NHS vehicles should be exempt from parking permit charges, we are trying to provide a service to Lewisham residents.

already answered

Already answered this

already answered this same question previously

already commented in last section.

Already stated

Already stayed

Although I do not own a diesel vehicle, I think that the government have done enough towards implementing cleaner Air

I feel that a lot of diesel owners have been already financially punished enough by the government, and don't think it's now fair for councils to also be financially hitting them again !

I know people that are close to loosing businesses as a result of these measures . Why do the council now feel they have to also hit these owners ??

I think you find that most of these owners have sold or are in the process of selling there vehicles now anyway .

An email consultation like this is a very effective way to engage Lewisham residents.

ANOTHER WASTE OF PUBLIC MONEY

answered already

Answered already

answered this already

Anti diesel propaganda.

Anything to reduce private car use goes inthe right direction

Are only idiots solutions! An electric car produce more pollution in the car life than other. In lewisham area the public trasportation is crap, with all this imposition means to disrupt life and economy of lower wage people. Reduce the pollution against shops with conditioned air etc.

Shame of you!

Are you penalising the poor businesses?

Does this extra charge include taxis?

As a driver of a diesel car, I am already paying higher road tax and have to pay £12.50 to drive into central London. The Ultra Low Emission Zone will in 2 years take in Lewisham. It is ok to try to persuade people to drive a car that emits less pollutants and I am sure everyone would if they could simply afford to buy a new car and get nothing in return for their own one. That is not the case for most people. Adding a surcharge of £50 is just another blow to motorists who are already paying more and will pay more still in 2 years. At that point, no one who drives a car like mine will be able to drive into work. It is all a bit much. My parking will go

up by £130 per 6 months. Not just by a surcharge amount. Still, The Council will make more money I guarantee.

As above

as above

as above

As above

As above

as above I'm absolutely disgusted at the way in which you approach this I think you should be looking at phasing out diesel cars reducing the overall cost of parking permits providing better cheaper public transport with greater access providing subsidies providing grants for bicycles petrol and electric vehicles etc not penalising car owners or van owners because they're only doing what they were told to do and we don't have a choice your the government we appointed you to serve us yet it feels more like we've just serving you

As above, more CPZs not current patchwork.

As before

As Boris Johnson memorably said, 'F**k business'.

As motorists the government encouraged us to purchase diesel vehicles and now we are being hit in the wallet for this choice. Just another stealth tax. Government and local authorities should be incentivising people to change vehicles and not penalising them.

As per comments in the Resident Permit Section

As per previous page

As per resident feedback

As previous

As previous section.

as previously stated, this is a stealth tax on which businesses have no representation or mandate

As stated in previous section.

As the Council is aware the Mayor is extending ULEZ in 2021, under this hard working Londoners could pay up to £3,000 extra per year simply to travel to work. This is the most radical change to be introduced in any major city across the world. Though it is important to tackle air quality in London, it is also important to support people in making the switch to less polluting vehicles. The proposals as they stand seek to penalise those who cannot afford to make the switch. The Council should offer financial support otherwise this is simply an additional tax.

One suggestion is to only apply the additional £50 diesel car tax to cars bought after the implementation date. This would be a fairer application of such levy.

As an employee of Lewisham and Greenwich NHS Trust, I travel from East London everyday to get to work currently parking in Holbeach Car Park at a cost of c£500 per year. Fortunately my car, is ULEZ compliant as I cannot afford to change it. The current cost and time of travelling by public transport are both greater than travelling by car. In addition, I cannot afford to move due to the high property costs in London but in addition I have two children under 14 in settled secondary schools and elderly parents nearby who require support in managing their daily lives.

I also believe that tackling London air quality should be left to the Mayor's office rather than individual Councils setting their own agendas which in this case simply adds to the tax burden of that which are already set to be introduced.

Aside from parking permits more trees would improve air quality.

I find Parents outside schools leaving their engines running really shocking. Sometimes there's still 10 minutes to go before the gates open!

Assess based on frequency of car usage as opposed to published emissions.

Assess throughly the impact of numbers/volume of commercial, articulated (often diesel) vehicles travelling through the borough in built up residential areas near schools.

Be careful to ensure Borough residents who are dependent on their vehicle for their livelihood, are not squeezed out of work due to charges which are too high.

Be more vigilant on the streets and also be more sympathetic to disable users.

Business is the life blood of any community adding extra cost just helps to close a business leaving empty shops leading to poor high streets, full of charity shops and shops of low quality.

Business owners need business bays. There is a shortage of business only bays in the borough. For small business owners who rely on their vehicle. The fee for business permits are higher, we should not have to compete with other Road user for parking space and have dedicated business only parking bays within close proximity of independent shops not located near the shopping centre.

business should have to use public car parks or private land

businesses have to chip in to the solution to problems at least partly created by business transport

Businesses should be charged for their parking.

Businesses should be encouraged to Lewisham, and not put off by higher taxation. Help should be given to enable businesses to adopt lower polluting transport, as a real investment in improving air quality

Cars in band 1, with under 100 Co2 should not be charged more!!!

Also

Right now older motorcycles are not tested for emissions and therefore automatically are not ulez exempt. This should be changed as this data is available from the manufacturers. For example I own 1999 suzuki sv650 which is known as a vehicle with low enough emissions to be ulez exempt but due to its age this data is not registered on the v5 and therefore is bound to be charged. Which is absolutely unfair to those that like older yet efficient motorcycles.

Change one way streets. All that happens is gridlock as there's one way in and out of Catford. For example Brownhill Rd into St Mildred's and the one way Minard Rd etc hence cars idle sitting in traffic.

Change your policy regarding parking to fit actual people rather than the companies you serve?

Charging those with diesel cars more money will only generate the LA more income, it will not solve the problem of air pollution. Look at the congestion charge and emission charges, they clearly didn't work, London has never been so busy or full of Diesel vans/lorries/buses. Diesel owners bought their cars based on information from the government and LA that they were better for the environment, so why should they be penalised for trying to do their bit. If charges are to rise it should be across the board with all vehicles paying the same. Petrol vehicles with accordance to the latest research may be marginally better for the environment, but they do their bit in creating pollution. Moves such as this will only encourage diesel owners to buy petrol cars. What you could do is encourage people to buy electric by investing in lots more charging stations and abolishing all parking charges for those vehicles. Rather than the second hand Islington, get rich quick scheme you are proposing!

Check the registration plates of cars parking here in the day. Many people don't live here but drive through to park and get a train causing the pollution. Permits would force them to leave their car at hm and get the train.

Clean the streets up first introduce fines for people not keeping their garden clean, there are so many better ways of raising revenue than attacking the motorist.

Completed

Contact me if Lewisham wants to learn what we are leading on in Thurrock. Happy to chat either formally or informally.

We have been working on this for longer, and much more comprehensively, than Lewisham as it is a much higher priority for us, being significantly more affected by air pollutants (M25, Dartford Tunnel, Queen Elizabeth Bridge, A13, Lakeside, huge volumes of freight traffic from Tilbury and London Gateway all massive air pollution generators).

Control the population

Could be higher cost

Could there be some greater transparency on use of zip cars in the borough? Also the parking rates they are paying? On any given day walking in Blackheath I can count 10 plus vehicles parked in pay and display bays as well as in resident bays. It would be good for Lewisham to be fully transparent on use of zip cars and how it is acceptable that they can take up so many resident bays. As the analysis suggests as part of this survey the area is well catered for in terms of public transport therefore it would appear the only reason there are so many zip cars are due to the commercial gain Lewisham gets from the zip cars business permits

CPZ for Evelyn. We deserve the benefits the rest of Lewisham gets.

Create better cycle paths.

Improve crossing facilities on S Circular.

Disable and parents parking only for Lewisham residents in Lewisham hill

Do more to give pedestrian's less traffic to contend with when trying to reach the shopping centre.

do not give parking tickets to carers

Do not increase residents permits, we have already had a 100% increase

Do not increase the parking fees to local residents.

Do not introduce parking permits. Please make registered vehicles pay more

Do not want higher fees under any circumstances

Don't punish me for having to drive my disabled child in a car I cannot afford to upgrade to these standards.

Don't punish the less affluent, those leading privileged lifestyles can afford charges many cannot

Drivers are transitioning to energy efficient vehicles, it will take time to replace all cars and vans without causing unnecessary economic hardship to people who cannot afford to upgrade. Someone driving a 15 year old diesel van is unlikely to have the resources to go electric.

Educate people

Electric cars do not have the infrastructure in place to keep everyone moving efficiently. Not enough charging points, and not enough roadside recovery groups are equipped to repair vehicles on site.

Electric council vehicles

Changing points everywhere.

Free parking for electric vehicles

Ban dirty TfL diesel fume pumping buses from our council

Electric kick bikes to rent Would encourage less Car pollution

Encourage small business (other than fast food places and betting shops) into the area. If you have a hub of small business supporting the area less pollution will occur because we are not having to order through large companies.

encourage the use of motorbikes and scooters as they produce the smallest Nox. They prevent congestion and if only 10% of motorists switched to PTWs pollution be lowered to 40% overnight. Why are the council not embracing PTWs? Penalising diesel vehicle owners does not reduce pollution it just makes the council more money

Encouragement should not be done through economical punishment, education and awareness is better.

Ensure that the advice you're giving is likely to stand the test of time. Not that long ago, we were all advised to switch to diesel. Now we are being penalised for doing so.

Ensure those with low income aren't penalised for not buying a new car.

Even though I have one car in the household the council should lead the way with big changes so that our children don't suffer from health issues as a result of poor air

Every little step help. The easier it get for foot traffic and cyclist, the less people will be driving.

Extention Backerloo line

Factor in lower income households

Fix your survey as its asking the same questions & putting me off of completing it

Free bus service

Free public transport, to give all Residents free parking permits to park outside there own house / flat

Frequency of visit should be taken into account with the charges. For example, I have an annual business permit, but I only travel and park in Lewisham 2 to 3 days a week.

From question 20, referring to ULEZ being extended to the South Circular Road in October 2021, by the current Mayor of London. I feel he as engineered the next level of forthcoming congestion through his implementation of this. Even if I drive a ULEZ compliant car, I will still experience congestion on the ULEZ boundary for the A205 as I attempt to cross in into and out of it.

Further reduction in business rates could be extended to companies that green/plant their property and that adhere to certain energy conservation standards.

Get on with it

Give people alternative choices before axe on their heads. Its not affordable for every body to buy low emission car as they are really expensive. No body would argue about the effect of high emission on air but that can't justify to penalise the residents again when already they pay increased amount for road tax.

give residents free parking permits.

Hardly a consultation if it is going ahead anyway in 2021.

Have given comments previously

Having cycle schemes similar to those in the Southwark, Westminster and Camden will improve congestion and air quality.

Help lower income families make the transition from older vehicles to newer less polluting vehicles

High Street businesses are struggling with online competition and you are about to reduce footfall by taxing people with cars

How about you change your question above from "Do you agree with the Council's policy of trying to improve air quality within the borough? " to two separate ones, i.e. "Do you agree that it is the council's task to attempt to improve air quality?" and "Do you agree with the current policy the council has chosen to attain this?", as your current question leaves no interpretation of saying that I think your policy is crap (which I do) and wanting to have cleaner air.

Why are permits for residents not for the lifetime of the car? Why is the price so amazingly high?

how can lewisham be one of the lowest car/vehicle users but you claim that 6.5% (99.7) deaths in lewisham were attributed to particulate air pollution. Are you aware as I am that not all PM2.5 or PM10 is directly and solely attributed to vehicle emissions as you claim. Are you aware that domestic gas boilers also contribute to pollution of this type? Why are you using this to demonise vehicle owners particularly diesel owners when you know that petrol cars produce more carbon which is a bigger killer? How can you justify charging me £285 when i currently pay £120? you are saying its ok to pollute as long as I pay! you think this is ok? How often is the surcharge being paid- doesn't say...

Don't agree that diesel cars should be penalised

How will higher rate improve air quality?

How will Lewisham make sure that vehicles comply with the new rules. At present drivers don't even comply with the 20 speed limit. And they do it with impunity. If there were enough cameras this could be better enforced.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Broken_windows_theory

I agree with the business user being charged for diesel.

I agree with your sentiments about improving air quality, BUT PLEASE BUILD AN INFRASTRUCTURE first so that people can swap polluting vehicles more easily, rather than just adding more taxes onto everyone. Council tax has increased substantially, Brexit uncertainty is pushing up costs. The average to lower income person, cannot afford to pay more taxes. We want to keep parking free and encourage mobility, allowing residents to support local businesses.

I am frankly disturb by this consultation as it will hit us ordinary people whose reasons for driving are just as valid as higher income people. It smacks of a Tory policy from a Labour borough - not good.

I have only just seen this consultation. It should have been out on the streets where people are.

It is on line. So many people cannot go online and also have no access to it. Mostly the people who will be hit by this.

i am happy they are doing it.

I am not a business owner

I don't think merely adding a surcharge will entice people to not use their cars.

I don't believe it is ethical to penalise diesel drivers via the parking system. The government encouraged people to move to diesel and people, especially those on lower income, are stuck with them since their resale value has collapsed. Over the next few years as they age they will naturally drop out of the system.

I don't drive

I don't think this should be used as an excuse to raise parking fees. You say 'lowering fees for low emission vehicles' but you talk about raising fees for everyone and then just charging low emission vehicles less. Which is not the same thing. Be honest about what you're doing. I feel that this policy will affect the poor, making their lives even harder.

Also please note that an electric hybrid with emissions of 135g is more polluting than a diesel with emissions of 114g and yet you are proposing to charge less for the hybrid and penalising modern new diesel car owners that are driving cleaner cars - how can you justify this.

eg Lexus NX 300H F sport emits 135g costing £130 and a

Mercedes C220 D Sport Premium emits 114g and you are proposing to charge £150

You are proposing to charge and penalise cars that emit more Co2 and yet the above example proves otherwise.

I have a business permit to park my car to carry out my community Nhs job. If you start increasing costs, I will not be able to afford to work as I cannot change my car currently.

I just put my two year old newish petrol car registration in your system and it appears you propose to increase my permit by £100 to £850 so to me this exercise seems to be a money making scheme rather than helping air pollution. You are going for easy targets. The main pollution are lorries.

I live in the new Catford Green development, Adenmore Road. As a social housing tenant I am NOT giving ANY parking rights whatsoever. The bays are for homeowners (wealthier) only as if their vehicles are less polluting than that of the poorer residents. I can't even get a parking permit (for myself or visitors) so it costs money (and possible fines) to live there and not be completely isolated (I struggle with mobility and can't necessarily manage public transport). I pay my Council Tax like everyone else but I have less rights, have is that fair?! If it was really about reducing Air Pollution then why were/are there NO ELECTRIC Charging Stations when you had the ideal opportunity to install them?

The decisions made were/are about profits & making money nothing else.

I realise pollution is a massive issue. However, I'm concerned that the people who will be hit the most by surcharges are the poorer and workers. People with more money are able to buy greener vehicles, but self-employed and small businesses cannot afford this and they will be the ones being charged. Why aren't there more schemes for the less well off, small business and self-employed to subsidise the change to greener transport. I don't know anyone who is anti change, they just can't afford to upgrade to greener vehicles.

I strongly disagree that drivers are penalised for manufacturers faults. This issue should be approached from very different angle. As I mentioned previously I would like to know what council did penalise manufacturers for faulty products and what kind of funding you get from them to deal with health problem caused by pollution they produced?

I suspect that the offer of lower parking charges for less polluting vehicles actually means higher charges for those deemed to be "more polluting."

Yet another example of treating motorists as cash cows

I think it would be unfair to penalise owners with diesel cars as it was a Government push for more diesel cars on the roads. The diesel scrappage scheme should be promoted as an incentive. I do not have a diesel car but just think it would be unfair.

I think that those that help to cut emissions by using public transport could be rewarded with cheaper and better public services.

I think the costs should be higher for diesel to really push people away from these vehicles, especially for delivery businesses. Many of the vans are making local deliveries and should be strongly encouraged to move to electric.lower emissions. Residents can make changes where they can but have no say in businesses who often look to cut costs where ever possible.

I totally understand why this is happening but I really disagree with penalising the motorist further especially people with older cars. The car companies/government should find a better way to help older cars to be upgraded to the newer lower omissions vehicles. Perhaps all cars should be rentals that way we stay in the correct zone. I don't have the cash to upgrade, but once I do you can bet I'll be getting a better car for the environment and that is what you should be encouraging us all to do. I have seem what the new price calculator will cost and it's a lot for me. I have to pay for a permit for my health and to allow me to get to the school on time to pick up my boy on time. I won't get a pay rise to cover this additional cost so what do you want me to do. The cost of council taxes are going up/food/fuel no matter what we are all suffering for what the world governments are refusing to acknowledge. Stop penalising us to make up your own short comings and do something to get homeless people off the streets instead!!! Another topic that's hurting.... and sad to see.

I VERY strongly object to the council imposing a higher tariff on diesel vehicles when I am PREVENTED BY THE COUNCIL from owning an electric powered vehicle as I I have no way to charge one.

I would really like to have an electric powered vehicle (Tesla). I cannot do this at present as I live in a terraced house in Hither Green and I have no way to charge it. If I could charge an electric vehicle I would buy one immediately and dispose of my diesel powered car.

I would like to know what will happen to all the money the council will get from this money making scheme and what would happen if everyone converted to driving electric cars where next the council would try and make easy money. Everything is taxed privatised and so forth but the working class people of Lewisham do not receive any benefits. Personally I'm tired of councils jumping on the band wagon with these things just make and waste money on things that are not needed or even wanted in some cases while children and the elderly keep getting services cut and it's their health that's being affected the most

I would like to see developers take a burden for the high emission vehicles they send through the area to build the developments they sell for large profits

I'm not a business owner. That's why I can't really add much to it.

If the borough is in ULEZ why should some residents pay twice?

If a charge is to be levied on diesels it should be on all diesels. It is unfair that owners of the same vehicle in the same street, which has some residents' parking and some open parking (such as the one I live on), may or not have to pay this charge. Also, is a car parked off the street any less polluting?

Improve public transport and transport links

Improve traffic flow rather than fine people for having diesel cars... the emissions are coming from the thousands of vehicles coming into London through Lewisham from Kent and other areas it's not the locals cars having this impact so why fine the locals? As a country our tax goes up already on older vehicles. This will not help. I live in Lewisham and use my car only a few times a week, sometimes I don't use it at all and when I do it's to go out of London so why should I pay more? Traffic flow is the problem, cars sitting in traffic is causing pollution in built up areas ...

If you had to put your loved one in a room with an old car running for 10 minutes or a new car running for 2 hours which would it be??

Exactly! Sort out the traffic flow, encourage people to use motorcycles or scooters that live out of town and commute in.. fining people will not sort this problem.

Making people buy newer expensive cars only increases the carbon footprint.. scrapping perfectly good vehicles is crazy... but then if you take this advice you won't make a lot of money to fill the void in council funding.. which is really what you're trying to do...by using permit and pay and display costs.

Improve your cycling policy! You do pretty much nothing for cyclists. When you redo roads, you don't add cycling lanes. The Lewisham centre redevelopment is an absolute shame. It's all very well and good to 'punish' diesel, but what do you do to encourage cycling? Nothing.

There is no cycling policy. We don't need different types of cars, we need less cars.

Increasing parking charges will not make any difference to air quality. No one is going to rush out and buy a new £25000 car to avoid having to pay a £50 surcharge.

Increasing the parking charges for commercial vehicles will do nothing to improve air quality in the borough. If commercial vehicles need to be somewhere, they will be in that place. They will simply pass any higher cost on to their customers. It will not stop or reduce the use of those commercial vehicles. The proposed policy would then be nothing more than a revenue raising exercise for the council.

Introduce tax on non-electric cars and transit charge.

It is a great idea to implement these surcharges. But it is totally wrong to do it without warning. People typically only buy a new car every 5 years or more. So you need to give at least 5 years' warning and/or phase them in gradually. You will still change behaviour, but you avoid unfairly penalising those who can least afford a new car.

It is becoming very difficult to support local business as there is limited parking.

It is not right to charge higher rate for parking vehicles with higher emissions as they are not generating any emissions whilst parked!

Charges are already introduced in many areas of London for circulation of any such vehicles whilst they are generating emissions. These emission charges will come to Lewisham in 2021.

Introducing another charge based on pollution to parking is not necessary and would be a double penalty over the same matter. There is enough discouragement from the ULEZ charge that is being extended to Lewisham in 2021 and the council would better focus their efforts on addressing other polluting sources not already covered by other legislation such as the ULEZ.

It is unfair to address the problem by targeting only those residents already unfairly trapped in a CPZ. This can only be fair if it is addressed at a national level.

It seems to add a considerable amount of money to the cost of each vehicle, given that the current cost is about £120 per car. It should be based on the mileage the cars actually do as well and or in addition to its Euro Level,

It should affect traffic THROUGH Lewisham, not just within Lewisham.

It should place a higher tax burden on people driving/commuting through the borough, as we know that there are more cars driven through the borough at peak times than there are registered within Lewisham. It does appear that the first target is to penalise the local residents who cannot afford to change their cars, where it should be the first target to penalise traffic going through the borough, then following this step, then target those living in the borough.

The borough currently has no charging points for electric vehicles, and the electricity supply per road, can only support fast charging of 6 vehicles concurrently. So even if all residents bought electric cars, only a very small minority would be able to charge them.

It's a done deal you just doing questions to validate taking more money from working people. Or parking etc. No real plans to improve air quality. So just wasting money on this survey to justify more fines etc.

It's an attempt to get info

It's late but it's not too late. Forget the financial implications and focus on the impact on humanity for a change. Look out across Central London from Greenwich Park on a clear day. We shouldn't be able to see the air that we breath.

It's not the local councils job to tell people (by extorting money) what they can and can't drive. Action on climate change and air pollution has to be a Governmental decision the monies required will be massive, and a local council bringing in another stealth tax in the guise of cleaner air will not help.

Also, you shouldn't think that going through a "consultation period" is a green light to carry on with your initial decision unchanged.

It's should go ahead.

I've just answered all these in previous question. If you are going to willfully waste my time I am going to lose interest

Just away of getting more money from people

Keep business permits to a minimum.

Lewisham could debar, restrict or/and stop polluting vehicles from passing through its roads to other Boroughs and other places, because this pollution is caused by all non-resident vehicles.

OTHER COMMENTS:

Why cost-punish Lewisham residents by fees and various parking charges???

Lewisham council has already decided to introduce these rip off charges & the questions are skewed to push there agenda

Lewisham council must invest in our parks

Improving green spaces and encouraging residents to now buy into cleaner and greener technologies

Lewisham should do nothing - punitive fees within the extended ULEZ will drive away (pun intended) most or all the worst polluting vehicles by Autumn 2021.

Lower fees for low(er) emission vehicles will only encourage that road traffic congestion you

are meant to be fighting.

This proposal seems to be using green credentials to cloak cynical revenue raising by a financially incontinent council unwilling to live within its means .

Lewisham will always be a shithole

Low emissions diesel should not have surcharge

Make all boroughs pay for permits ■ not just selected ones it's all because or boroughs is trying to make money back for spending on things we have on offer eg. More adults centres, children centres, phone charging station for people to charge there phones ramdonly in the street if its a matter of residents paying more on permits and council tax which is other boroughs don't pay it really unfair

Make it 24/7 parking

Make it safer for cyclists

Make resident only parking for one hour only in the middle of the day to deter commuter traffic but not penalise local business and visitors

Make Thameslink trains stop at New Cross Gate station. Currently they pass through without stopping.

Make this process fair.

Making people pay more as an incentive to stop them owning higher poluting vehicles is frankly wrong. Buying and selling vehicles is expensive and unaffordable to many people.

There is nothing wrong with reducing emissions and if this charge must go ahead, many years' notice should be given to allow people time to replace their vehicles as they would normally not sooner because they are forced to.

Modern diesel cars (with ad blue) are significantly less polluting than most petrol cars without a catalytic converter.

Penalising modern diesels is not the right approach.

The Council should consider the emissions for each car and only the the older Petrol and Diesels should be subject to to surcharges.

Money making opportunity for Lewisham Council

More education in the schools to look at the other ways to reduce pollution.

More electric cars to hire in the borough would be a good way to reduce numbers of cars in general and the emissions from them.

More stables for our horses and donkeys.

More trees

Motivates more fitness activities free walking bikes etc

Motorbikes

motorists should pay a lot more for the pain and early deaths they cause, not be given excuses by allowing them to use electric vehicles instead. public transport needs to be made good enough that nobody needs a car in london

Mr S. Kahn is about to partition London and ruin social contact and the flixibility to deal with life's pressures. The Borough should not be so willing to participate. The ability to move around London will be based purely on the ability to pay. Fine for the rich and the healthy. Not so good for the rest of us. blanket exposure to the villains in using public transport has to be recognised. Reminder that many diesels were bought on the encouragement of the Government. The degree of pollution is in part dependant on how vehicles are driven.

Bleeding the population for money is really what this is about and the questions presented here are loaded so as to draw attentoion away from this. The phraseology used makes a mockery of the consultation - It would seem that all London boroughs WILL be introducing these obscene charges

The country voted to leave the EU and reduce immigration. More people more pollution in every way.

My self use only

Need to keep free parking for residents & business owners / users

Neighbouring boroughs must carry out similar schemes.

Not everyone can afford a greener vehicle so a scheme could be put in place

Not happy you are going to charge us but not doing anything to sort the situation yourselves- like planting more trees or making buses more environmentally friendly

Not put permit prices up. People will simply pay for it and the council will just earn more money from residents that shouldn't have to pay to park on their own street.

Offer free permit to electric cars

Older residents will likely drive older cars will the borough offer car grants or what incentives will be given to encourage residents to trade in their diesel cars or to free transport card if they give up their car completely?

Only diesel vehicles that don't meet ULEZ should have a surcharge

Business permits should be more limited in number per business address

Only people having a car should decide. And this should be voted by referendum

Only to keep residents updated.

Our family brought our car during a time when the government considered diesel to be a cleaner fuel so we invested our car is only 6 years old and we're being hit with extra charges because the government have decided to move the goal posts! There should be a subsidy for owners when brought their cars before the change of mindset came in.

parked cars do not generate emissions. Moving cars do. This is a ridiculous consultation.

Parking is already expensive. Stop tapping residents for cash to plug your funding gap.

"This will actually result in equal or lower annual permit fees for 42% of petrol vehicles, and 32% of diesel vehicles that currently have a Business permit. "

In other words, it will be more expensive for most permit holders.

Parking permits should not be used to pursue this policy agenda. It is unfair and discriminatory. Already large diesel vehicles pay more in Congestion charge, fuel tax and road tax. This would be purely a discriminatory revenue generating policy by the council. It would inappropriately disadvantage family car owners such as myself.

I would add that this survey has significant bias and would not fulfil the criteria of an unbiased survey with the lead up questions. Which would put into doubt the validity of the consultation.

May be worth considering whether under a series of freedom of information request the council would be able to defend the leading approach used in the questioning.

Parking restrictions around schools (play streets)

Incentives for not using cars. Don't allow any more driveways

Parking/storing your van at home doesn't necessarily mean you work in the borough but have to travel for work. People's lively hoods will be greatly affected by the extra money they will have to spend on parking a vehicle they drive out of the Borough in daily.

Paying £45 to park at work is already a large amount and I do not agree with charging different amounts depending on the car. As some vehicles will already have additional costs with these changes.

Penalise households (and businesses in particular) with more than one car. Incremental charging for each subsequent car should be introduced.

Penalising businesses within the borough seems illogical when out of borough businesses are not equally affected when driving within our borough.

Penalising drivers doesn't help when the infrastructure isn't there for transport.

Penalising local residents is wrong. We already pay enough in government taxes and are constantly faced with cuts everywhere. It's disgusting.

Penalties for households that have more than one car.

People will not stop using their bad cars just because you increased the rate, everything shouldn't be about paying all the time, help the people to help the environment, why not set up various workshops where these people can service their cars cheaper? Cuz when they see that servicing their cars on a regular basis is cheaper than the rate they've been charged for using a bad car that endangers their own health along with the public, I think they will choose regular car services.

Permits

Permits are just a money-making scheme for the council and serve no useful purpose.

Phase this in to take effect in 2025. People bought diesel cars in good faith and now the research is indicating that government policy needs to change. In my case this would be a 257% increase and not acceptable.

Plant more street trees. Look after them. Don't cut down green spaces, enforce the planting of trees in new developments

Plant street trees along key arteries (A21, Deptford Broadway and New Cross Road). There are many trees with small canopies that would definitely improve air quality while maintaining road safety.

Please consider volunteers in Lewisham and acknowledge their contribution to the community.

This consultation may impact on volunteers and has not been considered.

Please do everything you can to look after the air quality in our Borough.

Please do not penalise council and NHS workers who often use their cars free for their employment.

Please don't try to penalise local businesses. We need to encourage them, not hurt them.

Please refer to previous responses

Please remember that diesel owners bought them in good faith after being lied to by the car industry. They should not be punished twice but helped to update their vehicle.

Please see my comments in the previous section.

Please see my previous comments.

Please see previous comments regarding controlled parking, rat-running and speeding.

Please see previous response

Please see the 'residents parking permits' section.

Possibly less cars from businesses owner in the borough

provide grants to existing diesel vehicle cars

Providing police presence

Raising the business permit is a little more acceptable and businesses should be seen to be more ESG aware.

Raising the Residents permit price for a low emission hybrid smacks of greed when you penalise BOTH a high polluting diesel and a low polluting hybrid too? Shame on you.

Rather than charging parking permits on vehicle CO2 emissions the council should charge on pollution. This is CO2 emissions times distance travelled. The distance travelled is easy for the council to get hold of from the DVLA MOT database. This is much fairer!

Re work travel around Lewisham could the council incentivise businesses to use staff bicycles /motorised scooters for example eliminating the need for some or all within borough car work journeys. e.g For all business with no/under a certain amount of permits per year based on business size they get said bike/scooter Boris bike style or incentivisation savings through business rates, green awards etc.

A few services in the children's centre have talked about the idea of work bikes.

Read what I wrote on the residential parking

reduce bus fare, £1.50 for one hour free is too expensive.

stop children free travel, and enable 5-day travelcard for work people

and weekend travelcard to be also cheaper
to encourage everyone to use public transport

Reduce smoking

Remove all cycle lane and discourage people to cycle as these are dangerous to road users.

Same as above.

Same as before

Same as before

Same as for private cars. See my earlier answer.

Same as in number 2

Same as previously stated

See above

See above

See answer to question 13.

See answers on previous section on resident permits

See comments on previous page

See comments to residential permit question.

See earlier response

See personal replies

See previous answer

SEE PREVIOUS ANSWER

See previous answer.

See previous answers

See previous comment for residential permits

see previous comments

See previous comments

See previous comments.

See previous comments.

see previous question.

See previous response

See previous section

See previous section

See previous section

See reply given for residents permit

Shshjsnsnsnbsbsbsb

Signage for Business Permit bays should be labelled more clearly (ie not the same as the standard Resident bays). They are too easily confused as on the face of it they look identical.

So what are you going to do with this extra revenue? Help the families of those you claim have died as a consequence of pollution. I can answer that one - NO! This is a cynical attempt to raise revenues and there is no other valid reason!

Some streets (e.g.Gillian Street SE13), which presently function largely as rat runs, should be closed off as through routes

Sort out the congestion on roads passing through Lewisham.

I understand the need to reduce emissions but creating huge charges for me to park (when parked my vehicle isn't generating any emissions) whilst I am still breathing the effects of vehicles passing through the borough seems a double punishment!

State what will be done with the revenue generated from the increases.

Stop charging peoples and make more affordable to change car with more money and not scamming them always, we bought car to move the economy not for just fun, now you want us to change our car out of the blue immediately but do you ask your self we maybe the

people's don't have any extra money to spare??? We are already at the limit. Stop this now make better choose help the car owner not dry them from the last drop of blood.
Stop focusing on cars, you are doing it wrong. Focus on the alternatives (I have a Masters in Transport Design).

Stop making things harder for small businesses
Stop penalising poor people with this car tax. Maybe you don't know but only rich people can buy electric cars.

Change your mindset - everything you do centres around taxing people.

People don't use polluting cars for fun or to cause more pollution.

You need to lead by example, clean up your own vehicles 1st but not by making us pay for it but take it out of your own personal earnings because that is what we will have to do. But you won't do that because it does not put any money in grubby little hands!

You never suffer from these extra taxes because you just take it from us to pay your way, typical. If you had to pay you would find more efficient and innovative ways to reduce pollution. I bet you the person that came up with this idea gets a bonus, pay increase or an award of some sort in your "how can we sting the residents and non residents meetings"

What will you do with all this money you will raise? That is not even in your consultation, it just lines your pockets, you should feel ashamed but you don't because you really don't care, we are just cows to be milked.

Even if you tell us what you are going to use the money you will never prove to us what it was actually used for. You will also never tell us how you politicians, councillors and senior management of the council will personally benefit from bringing in the new con.

Then come the BIG and MOST important thing! You WILL continually increase the parking charges after you have told us how much we as residents will benefit in pollution reduction and financial costs. How do I know? You have done this so many times before.

Unfortunately we know you are not in office to serve the community but to bleed us dry and feed your insatiable greed.

People who I don't pay for parking permits cannot have visitors permits: that is great for pensioners whose children want to visit isn't it? This discourages visits and increases loneliness, but of course you don't care and you won't do that because it does not put any money in grubby little hands!

.Here is a simple, zero cost and instant way of reducing pollution and showing that you are truly want to reduce pollution. I don't believe you do want to reduce pollution as a primary objective (if it goes down that would just be a bonus for you.) make all portable fossil fuel power generation run off of plus gas or propane. So all building sites, hire companies would make a simple cheap conversion unit on the generators. But you won't do that because it does not put any money in grubby little hands!

If you were really against pollution you would also tell our central government to stop doing all these war games, NATO exercises and wasteful mission that puts up more pollution than the rest of the population. But you won't do that because it does not put any money in grubby little hands!

Stop penalizing diesel cars owners when we were misinformed to buy at the time that it was better. Who is to say that electric cars may be then discovered it is bad

Stop permitting personal vehicles and especially estate agents cars

Stop putting cycle lanes across roads - forcing cars to stop & start which increases emissions.

Enforce the parking permits already in place.

Stop giving permits to residents who live in flats and have spaces / driveways to park in.

Start making all residents (especially in flats) pay for parking whether private or not.
Stop putting prices up. We had free parking for years, then agreed to permits for £30 per year for a guaranteed time of many years ahead and each year it doubled! You're STILL doing it!

Could my wages go up please to afford all these charges? LIVID.

stop replacing trees with parking zones (this is happening in veda rd)

Stop taxing people who can't afford new vehicles. Not everyone can afford to buy new low emission cars. Some people need vans for work. The cost of newer low emission vans is prohibitive.

Stop using motorists as a cash cow and come up with some real innovative ideas, people like me who are given a car by their company have no choice as to what type of vehicles we drive. My employer is still issuing diesel vehicles despite political opinion therefore I (and others like me) will be penalised with a surcharge for something that we can do nothing about, whilst I appreciate the motivation behind this idea it is inherently unfair.

Stopping coaches in Old Road would be a start. It is like living next to a coach station.

Taxing small businesses with more and more charges will not help the local economy. Instead find a way to help local businesses become greener

Tesla Model 3 prize draw, for people who go electric in a holiday, cruise an Tesla Model 3.

Thank you

thank you

Thank you.

The ULEZ extended to the South Circular will stop local people driving to their workplace say in Deptford/Greenwich just because they have diesel lorries/vans and cannot afford £30,000 or more to replace them. So local businesses will be forced to close.

The air quality in Lewisham is appalling, people are dying because it exceeds legal limits.

The consultation assumes respondents believe that local council is best placed to improve air quality, I do not believe this should be local governments role.

The diesel surcharge is too low

The extra charges will hurt local businesses

The owners of the diesel car are already paying higher road taxes with which I strongly agree. I do however disagree that the owners should pay higher price for parking as the car when is stationary does not emit any more of the pollution than any other type of car.

The parking in this area is an absolute disgrace, I've been a resident for 12 years and have repeatedly been ignored. Every year I pay my fee and pay for visitors to park. There are 48 2 bedroom flats in my block and we have only 12 parking bays. I've been paying all these years for the privilege to park nowhere near my house. I work shift work and often find myself having to park 6/7 roads away at 4am in the morning, Blackheath is a quiet area and it's absolutely terrifying running around the streets at that time. As I have mentioned on countless occasions there is a huge derelict garage next to us that has been locked up the entire time I have lived there. This could provide ample parking for all residents. Instead it's used as a drug trade ground, is rife with rats and garbage and has homeless people in it every night. It's absolutely shocking this has still not been looked into and that you are even considering increasing the charge to any of you residents, before we tackle emissions I feel like it's more important to tackle crime grounds and infestations.

The proposed surcharge is extremely unfair as a few years ago people were told diesel is better than petrol for a good air quality; some people would have purchased their cars based on this advise, however now they are being penalised.

Also people are already paying a diesel surcharge in the car taxes and the ULEZ, this surcharge is the council jumping on the bandwagon.

The parking permit allows one to park a car, the surcharge to penalise a diesel driver is therefore unethical as a parked car is not emitting any dangerous gas.

The range of costs seems quite sharp for the largest vehicles, which may include minibuses and other community vehicles that would be difficult to change. It might help to have a grant scheme or temporary grace period for such organisations so they can manage the significant cost increase.

The South Circular has become more busier since the ULEZ was introduced. Where people are trying to avoid paying. I think extending it further can only assist in reducing air pollution but I think it needs to go further than Lewisham itself.

The surcharge is expensive

The targets should be more ambitious.

The ULEZ is already going to impose swingeing penalties on those of us who bought diesel engine cars on government advice due to the low CO2 emissions, the Council should not jump on the band wagon and see this as a great opportunity to fleece its residents.

The answer does not lie with moving to all petrol transport, the increase in CO2 emissions would be enormous as well as benzene release (a carcinogen). The numbers 'killed' by pollution is greatly exaggerated, there are plenty of harmful particulate releases in the home; toasters, frying pans, candles, sprays etc.

This is an attack on those who can least afford it, the well off can easily buy a new compliant car and most likely have off street parking.

There is conflicting information regarding diesel and petrol engines. Everyone seems to have an agenda and appears to be looking out for themselves rather than the residents of Lewisham.

There should be rebates and refunds for those on benefits. As well you should consult with local community groups.

These questions are very leading / framing. You ask whether respondents are aware that 'xyz', where that is a claim or assertion. You do not ask whether the respondent agrees with the assertion. Anyone who watches news would be aware of these statements, but not necessarily agree with them.

Thieving scums

Think long and hard don't penalise the poor go after the haulage contractors

Think of a good incentive

Think of underpasses to ease the flow of traffic

this a waste of time

This consultation is meaningless, because you don't listen

This does not solve the problem.

This extra charging for car with higher emission is like punishing the poor who cannot afford a new cars. No one wants to drive an old overhauled if we can help it. It is further punishment for those who are less well off already.

This is a repeat question!! See previous answer.

This is a tax on poor people that cannot afford new cars

this is another money making scheme hurt more residents and bring in more revenue in fines

This is another stealth tax, which will produce minimal change to air quality but a large change to revenue income.

If Lewisham council is really serious about air quality they would impose the changes I propose and not force another lazy stealth tax.

This is purely an opportunity to charge people twice when ULEZ is already doing this.

this proposal is thoroughly misconceived and will affect the poorer residents of the borough who cannot afford off street parking

This sounds like yet another gentrification scheme! The poor who cannot afford electric or hybrid vehicles cannot afford to drive or park in the areas that they live/work so hard for

peanut pay in. In all these plans for ULEZ I have heard no proposition of car manufacturers offering discounts for people trading in soon to be prohibited petrol and diesel vehicles, which doesn't surprise me because this is a prime opportunity for them to capitalise on the situation. Why should the rich capitalise over the poor who build, sustain and serve Lewisham everyday? Do we not pay enough taxes as it is?

Though I've got petrol car, I disagree with the fact that diesel car users to immediately get rid of their cars for lower emissions cars without time.

Money doesn't just come easy.

Time wasting

As the Lewisham council once told me - consultation means we have come to tell you what we are going to do not ask you what you want - exactly what you are doing with this

To be honest it appears purely as another means to tax people rather than make a genuine effort to solve pollution. But only increasing the tax a little (ie the £50 diesel surcharge) is not really punitive enough to force change. All it will do is increase Lewisham's cash flow. If you really wanted to force people to change then charge them £1000 pa surcharge. But I imagine the council want people to keep their old diesel cars as it adds to their funds.

To clarify my answer to Question 17 - 3

I agree that residents with lower emission vehicles should be rewarded with lower parking permit rates, but I don't think people with higher emission vehicles should be penalised/charged extra. Usually, people with older (and therefore higher emission) vehicles are on lower incomes and cannot afford to upgrade to a newer low emission vehicle.

To meet the ULEZ deadline work needs to start now ..your cycling infrastructure is lacking severely in so many ways ..I commute 10 miles in and 10 miles home from London .

Quiet frankly it is dangerous and unpleasant and the route choices do not improve things much

Traffic system outside of Lewisham Police station is a disaster. Look to improve traffic flow and road layouts.

Change London buses and black taxi cabs from operating on diesel engines.

Unfair

Unfair to increase our parking permits as it has nothing to do with how often you drive your car. Also people who lie and say they are disabled and don't have to pay for a permit in the first place will then not have to pay this new charge

until recently we were encouraged to purchase diesel cars. Now we are being penalised. This feels extremely unfair.

very hard to obtain parking permits when needed for visitor/tradesperson - ringgo is no good
Victimisation of normal workin folk. In order to charge more for living and working here. Raise your money by cutting back on your free meals , company cars. Over paid excs !

We bought a diesel based on Government recommendation and expert advice and now we are to be penalised. That is not fair.

We currently have 2 hourly permits which enable us to complete our visits however have to sometimes move our car during visits or meetings as this timeframe is not long enough.

We shouldn't have to pay to park outside own house.

We were encouraged to buy diesel vehicles by the government.

We cannot buy a petrol van in the size necessary in petrol version.

These charges will severely affect our service business.

Well done Lewisham for taking air quality seriously!

What about pollution from other boroughs? This is a central government policy issue and the Government is already planning to charge car drivers... a local policy is a double whammy and really just designed for you to earn an additional income from car owners.

It is unfair to impose this charge on existing car owners.

What will the money be spent on?

When any car is parked with the engine turned off all the cars are the same regardless of engine size and CO emissions. Its not fair parking permits should be the same price.

When the ULEZ is extended in 2021, the south circular will become a ring road on the outskirts of the exempt area, so the pollution around that particular road will increase significantly. what is being done to prevent this? I live close to this road and things will get worse when people can travel around it, but not inside it without paying the ULEZ charge. ridiculous.

I want to buy an electric car. Lewisham council and the government are doing nothing to assist: There is no diesel scrappage scheme. There are not enough charging points. I am not allowed to run a charge cable from my house to my on street parking (which other boroughs allow such as Brighton).

Where are the funds being invested? Why are you permitting a reduction on the number of trains that run on surrounding stations of Lewisham? Why are trains always packed? Buses also very crowded....bring the tube to Lewisham. Give us alternatives before penalizing hard working people. A car is not always a commodity, there are circumstances ex illness that it's a necessity. I can't afford a taxi every time I need treatments at the hospital, and after chemo I also use my car to pick up my kids from school. Are you saying this is wrong? Please give consideration to the vulnerable not all the needed have disable badges.

Where will you spend the money collected?

Whilst I fully support this proposal as a start. Lewisham needs to enact many more methods of tackling poor air quality in the borough, and soon. Particularly around our schools. Idling diesel 4x4s spewing particulates, whilst dropping off/picking up children should be discouraged/penalised.

Whilst on the topic of parking and street use, please be aware that I no longer use the small local traders on my local high street due to the difficulties of getting there whether I walked or drove, this is due to the mess that resulted from some "upgrades". I travel a lot for work and can get what i need in a better environment outside the borough.

Why again tax the small business owners. Lewisham council with it's crazy parking zones and rules are driving me out of business

Why are old diesel vans charged less? checkout on

<https://lbi-permit-calculator.farthestgate.co.uk/calculate-permit-price>

Why are people in areas that require parking permits going to be penalised further. Not every house hold can afford to buy a new car as technology changes. The lower cost of parking permits does not equal that of the cost of changing a car to a more environmentally friendly one. Many small business and charities use diesel vehicles these additional costs could put them out of business.

Why do I keep answering the same questions? I feel it insults my intelligence to constantly say that I am aware of pollution problems.

Why is it only on the internet not being sent as a letter drop

Why on earth have you duplicated exactly the same questions for two sections?

With the increase in business rates and other costs, it is increasingly difficult for business owners in the borough. Customers should be able to park for free or at low cost close to businesses for short stays.

With the introduction of the ULEZ, local residents with older cars will be facing a double taxation for their car. Although an interesting concept, the council needs to be aware that those that can least afford the additional parking tax are also the same families / individuals who can least afford a newer car. Given a car is a households' largest investment outside of the family home, a very long lead time should be given for these types of changes. Long lead times would allow households to budget for an upgraded car, which will typically be in excess of £10,000. Without such consideration, additional annual charges may be crippling for some households and will ultimately put those households further away from affording a greener car.

The resident permit tax also unfair penalises those who have a car, but drive it minimally. For example, a household who averages 2000 miles per year shouldn't be paying the same new tax as a household who averages 30,000 miles per year.

In addition, taxes should be determined by central government, not local authorities. Revenue from such taxes are then allocated at the level. However, I feel that given the recent funding cuts to local authorities, this feels like a lazy alternative tax revenue for the local authority rather than a genuine green initiative. Green initiatives should be looking at more interesting and beneficial ideas and promoted through good governance rather than a tax.

Lewisham should also keep in mind, TFLs controversial ULEZ is already taxing households in the near future. The local authority doubling up this tax, that will hurt lower income households the most, is abhorrent behaviour.

You are attempting to penalise those that are unable to update their current vehicle due to funds and those that need a vehicle for work who are unable to afford to update their vehicle. Whilst I agree with improving air quality poverty is already a massive issue in Lewisham, DO NOT penalise the people that are already struggling PLEASE.

You are not doing this protect health or the environment. You are only doing this to generate funds because LCC consistently wastes tax payers money and has no value for money strategy with indicators, baselines and targets which encourage cost savings.

You currently charge me £30 for my hybrid car residents permit and you appear to want to more than double it to £70 when I've been a responsible resident of the borough and bought an eco friendly car. How do you justify such a price hike?

You not go listen anyway..

You shouldn't be punishing people who can't afford to buy new, lower emissions car. You're proposals & ridiculous controlled parking zones have ruined this borough. Putting additional financial strains on the elderly, the poor and small businesses struggle to survive.

Section 5 - Visitor permits proposal response (verbatim comments)

LB Lewisham public consultation
Visitor parking permits verbatim comments
1,233 comments out of 3,767 surveys – 32.7%

Q: Do you have any other comments that you would like to add about this consultation?

"...and Lewisham doesn't become a car park?" - this is NOT a neutral question, it is strongly charged in favour of a particular answer - this is why I "strongly disagree" with it. If you want to get an honest answer, you better ask questions which are not biased towards something you want to hear.

"annual review" means annual price rise. Visitor permits are needed for visitors and tradesmen, and they are too expensive already. Some roads pay a lot of money for visitors, others pay nothing. How can this be fair?

"Lewisham doesn't become a car park" - biased much?

"Review" should not be primarily seen as an opportunity to increase prices.

~Whatever Lewisham Council do they will make sure they make more money out of motorists. "Lewisham doesn't become a car park" is an amazingly fatuous comment and makes me suspect that this consultation is a sham.

£1.60 per hour is expensive.

£6.40 per day is very expensive - elsewhere in the country day permits are cheaper.

There is no reduction for visitors of local residents.

This seems like a revenue raising exercise dressed up as a green initiative.

1) I believe households should be apply to apply for a yearly visitor permit to give to visiting residents. There should be tight rules on this (such as no longer than 5 nights in a month) to avoid people "selling" spaces.

2) "...and Lewisham doesn't become a car park?" is a loaded statement. That entire bit of the sentence doesn't need to be there. I care about the number of cars in Lewisham as well but, come on, let's not resort to fantastical statements to solicit support.

1. 10 hours is nothing. Especially in cases where residents require care workers or health visitors - paid or unpaid. So double amount of hours and make visitors permits free to anyone in receipt of disability or sickness benefits or receiving social care.

2.

What about those who don't have a resident's permit? I have no car, but still have visitors who do. I'm not even taking up normal car parking. It seems fair that anyone living in a CPZ should receive a fixed number of free visitor (or at-cost) passes. regardless.

1. No point in making visitor permits the same cost as anyone else can use in a pay and display. This does not benefit residents but rather feeds your cash cow. You need to charge visitor permits at a lower cost in recognition of supporting your residents, the people you serve. The general public or those not resident on the street can use a pay and display at their own cost.

2. The biggest problem is the ridiculous period that resident CPZ's operate.

A) They need to operate for longer e.g. up to 8pm to give residents a chance to get home from work and get a parking space

B) They need to operate for at least the morning on Sundays. This prevents the roads getting clogged up with church goers parking like idiots and preventing busses from getting down the street. Currently all sorts of damage is caused because of it, and the residents bear the brunt of it all. Plus we have nowhere to park when we come home with our shopping! This Council does not care about what might seem like small matters but are actually the things that encourage voters to keep you in power.

1. On your Likert type scale qu3 is odd.

2. A lot of fear of cpzs is Councils forever raising the rates.

10 1 hour slots isn't enough, my grandmother regularly has friends visit her and spends an extortionate amount of money on parking permits.

if you think an older person only needs 10 hours of visits per year, I think you need to increase your social budget (ps, why do your council workers ask to use our visitor permits?)

also, what about builders?

10 free hours a year is an absolute joke!

10 free hours is insufficient, 20 would be more reasonable.

Saturdays need not be controlled.

Consider restricting visitors parking to no more than 3 hours to control parking rather than charging.

10 free hours is too few, especially if awarded in one hour increments.

10 free hours per year is pathetic.

10 free hours should be for all residents

10 free visitor permits is not enough. It should be at least one per week

10 hour visitor passes are already part of the package so I am not sure why they are included in the consultation unless you are planning to remove them (in which case the questioning used above is dubious). Although I admit that Lewisham doesn't advertise the fact and you, as a resident, have to be proactive in requesting them. If anything this should be increased to 50/60 hours to be useful.

Visitor parking should be cheaper than commuter or shoppers choosing to park on your street otherwise you are just further taxing residents.

I can understand wanting to charge car parkers during peak hours (9-10 or 3-5) to stop commuter parking, but I think the majority of the day does not need to be charged for parking on the street. What does pressure on roadside parking look like? There is no guarantee with a residents permit that you can park near you house, so as long as people aren't double parking, i don't see what you think the charges will do other than increase car journey length and add to pollution as drivers find a cheaper place to park.

As a resident I have had to pay over £800 in car parking charges for the first two years of living in Lewisham. This includes two years of a resident car parking permit plus out of town visitor parking, rental car parking and parking for tradesmen etc. I don't think that this is an acceptable level of cost and is a definite push for me to look at moving to another borough. 10 hours "free" visitor parking is not enough for residents who require visits from district nurse/doctors/meals on wheels/etc. It is the ill and vulnerable who are always affected by CPZ and parking charges.

10 hours a year does not seem like very much.

10 hours a year is extremely unreasonable and pointless.

10 hours a year is not enough for visitor parking, residents need regular contact with family & friends not be penalised for yet another money making scheme.

10 hours free a year is not good enough. Too little

10 hours free household parking is unacceptable.

This equates to a day and a half of free parking a year which is appalling.

1 hour a week/52 hours a year would be far more satisfactory.

10 hours free parking for everyone. not only annually payments. we people who pay every 3 months have the same rights.

10 hours free parking PER YEAR - FSS.

The only thing I can take from this survey is the council is not on my side. Fine, I'm not on your side either ..

10 hours free parking per year ! really, is that why I pay £2500 pa council tax for? - I agree you need visitor permits, 10 free hours a month at the very least

10 hours free parking permit in a year? Is not a good decision.

10 hours free per year - is not enough in my opinion. Your friends a family should be able to visit you without paying for the privilege to do so.

10 hours free visitor parking per resident per year for visiting friends and family members seems very small. A one day visit from a family member could use a whole years allocation. Perhaps resident should be given 10 hours per week.

10 hours free visitor parking permits per year? This is nowhere near enough and demotes rather than promotes community cohesion and community sustainability as it means visitors will no longer be able to visit. The whole CPZ idea for Lewisham as a whole is a ridiculous and terrible idea. Incredibly unfair on the average Lewisham resident.

10 hours free? I had a carpenter on site today - would have blown through 8 hours in one day! What's the point?

10 hours is a very stingy amount. To get more seems complicated.

10 hours is far too little

We need to keep free parking for all

10 hours is not a very useful amount of time. It would be more helpful to be offered eg. 2 days, 2 half days and 10 hours of visitor permits,

10 hours is not enough

10 hours is pitiful for the proposed charge hike especially if you have to drive a diesel for work.

10 hours is too little time

10 hours is very little.

It is unfair to charge people for having maintenance and emergency works undertaken at their property. Tradespeople should be able to get free permits with due notification .

10 hours isn't very much for a year - should be increased, e.g. to 20 or 30.

10 hours of free parking a year - really? That works out at less than one 1 hour visit per month! How very generous - what do people do who are reliant on families members visiting to help with elderly relatives?

10 hours of free parking a year is barely anything.

Some people rely on friends/relatives to support them on a regular or ad hoc basis. E.g. Doing weekly shopping or other chores. Without affordable visitor parking, this can be difficult. I think residents WITHOUT a parking permit should be able to apply for discount visitor parking - at a lower rate than the pay and display rates. For same reason as above.

10 hours of free visitor parking annually ??????. Do the people who make these decisions have no family or friends, not to mention people who are ill (especially when recovering from an operation) who need people visiting (both professional and personal).

10 hours of free visitor parking is nothing if you have friends/family over or some building work done. It thus comes an extra, unwelcome, charge when residents have already paid £123/130 for parking their own c.

Residents have no choice but to use their years' s worth of visitor permits if they have builders in doing even a small job as the van is needed to transport materials and tools.

10 hours of parking for free is nothing, a resident should receive more free parking. What are we paying our council taxes for?

10 Hours per month would be fair

10 hours per year is not enough. It should be increased to at least 20.

10 hours per year! That's ridiculous. People who have a disability, or elderly again lower income families being penalised. Lewisham council you are remind me of vultures. Circling the weak and waiting to strip their bones!

10 hours really isn't much a year.

10 hours visitor parking for free is not enough. 52 would be fairer.

10 hours visitor permits is inadequate.

10 hours? You think 10 hours a YEAR is enough? Parking is free where i live, my family can come and see me. You're telling me that my family visits are now limited to 10 hours?

Disgusting.

10 hours? That's not enough. If we are residents why only 10 hours in a year? If u have a family member coming for a bday , or to visit??? Not even an hour for month...you really not looking after the well-being of residents. You are promoting lonelines.. out elderly need visit from friends and familes. 1 hour a month????

10 hrs free visitor parking is not enough

10 hrs free visitor parking permits must also apply to those who do not have a residents parking permit. The goal of Lewishams's air quality startegy is to encourage more sustainable modes than private car. The ultimate benefit is where residents give up using a private car altogether and no longer need resident permit. They should be rewarded for this rather than penalised by being forced to pay for the odd visitor (whilst those who choose to keep their cars,polluting more, are rewarded with 10 free visits).

10 hrs of free visitor parking per annum is not sufficient. I would recommend 10 hrs of free visitor parking per month. Whether we like it or not, a lot of people still have to travel via car.

Your wording of the 2nd question in item 25, above, is provocative. There may be isolated issues with parking, but to say that Lewisham would become a car park without raising parking charges is biased and wrong for large parts of Lewisham. This requires something more sophisticated than a one size fits all proposal of raising charges.

10 is too few, especially if you have regular family visits from family outside your borough.

The pricing should be far cheaper than standard parking meter rates for resident visitors.

10 one hour free visitor permit per year is absurdly mean spirited. As it is, my family and friends don't visit us as much as they would like because of the parking problems. I would sooner have 10 half day permits or 10 two hour permits rather than 1 hour. If people visit, an hour passes very quickly and visitors get anxious which is why they don't call.

10 visitor permits are ALREADY free to households paying for CPZ.

How dare Lewisham now suggest you are being thoughtful and you are going to 'introduce' this.

Consult Facebook to see what people think of this.

10h free parking permits should be issued to all residents not only paying ones. The ones who decided not to have car and not to pollute local air are already doing good for keeping pollution down . So having 10h a year of free parking for friends to be able to pop by to help with occasional shopping only will encourage people not to have cars on permanent basis. You need to have some kind of scheme to reward people for contributing to lower pollution,not only penalising system for causing pollution.

1hr visitors permits should be issued as paper vouchers still. Not everyone has access to a computer when a visitor turns up. Ringo/Lewisham parking website is not very clear or easy to use.

20 daily parking permits a year would be better. And first hour always free.

30 free hours per household per yer as other London boroughs do this

40 hours would be a reasonable amount of free parking. 10 hours is just pedantic and pathetic and not even worth you talking about it.

A days parking at £6.40 is an affordable price. I would appreciate this if paying for a guest to park. But what measures are you taking to ensure this is not abused?

A large van/medium lorry regularly parks in our road - sometimes there are two. The driver of one doesn't even live in our road (many of us have noticed where he walks to after parking). I'd like to see this stop. It's a residential street and it's fair enough for vans or lorries to sometimes be parked but not day in day out and all weekend.

A major rethink on parking control in the borough and only allow the large residential building programmes if they provide sufficient parking within the build a review every 2 or 3 years is adequate.

we don't get our entitlement of free permits as it is, this will make no difference.

Abandoned cars should be towed away more regularly

Add parking machine's so visitors pay a minimum fee.

Again - this is nowhere near clear enough in terms of what you're proposing to do. The charges have gone up year on year and you're making it impossible for people plan household budgets

Again it's lorries & buses that produce more particulates in total so act on them too

Again there should be better London Transport to stop people using their cars especially parents with school age children, dropping them off. They should walk which is better for their health. During school holidays the traffic is much less

again totally biased questions

Again why 10 hours, this is revenue extraction.

Agree so far.

Agree with visitor permits as long as easy to administer. One caveat, visiting tradespeople should not have to pay for parking in controlled zones.

All Lewisham residents in CPZs should be given two permanently applicable free whole day visitor passes to use for tradesmen, carers, visiting relatives etc. Many other boroughs do this for their residents, already and it is extremely sensible.

All residents should be allowed to purchase visitor parking

e.g.

I don't have a car, but sometimes I rent a car and need to park outside my house

I have people visit by car

All residents should be entitled to 10 hours free visitor parking per year

All residents should be entitled to some level of visitor parking permits, not just those who have a permit - the two are not related.

All residents should be given 1 permit free per household. Not just disabled badge holders. If a resident has more than 1 vehicle then they should pay for the rest.

All residents should be given some free visitor permits. When builders or workmen or family and friends come we have to purchase permits. A number of housing association tenants get free visitor permits in Greenwich Council and in other parts of the capital. If the issue is to do with pollution we should have a level playing field for all.

All residents should get ten free hours. It is ridiculous that I don't qualify because I've been green enough to give up my own car!

All residents should get ten hours free parking. Just because I don't own a car doesn't mean I don't use the service. Frequent family visitors etc. The amount we spend on visitor permits is significant

All residents should get the same for visitor permits whether they have a permit or not. If you don't have a car why be penalised for people wanting to visit you vs someone who has a car?!

All residents should have 10 x free permits per year to allow for essential visits such as tradesmen - or I would go further and say residents who do not have cars should be given more free parking permits than those who do have cars, since households without cars do not take up a parking space most of the time. Hourly permits do not allow Lewisham to become a

"car park" so this is not a concern and charges should not be reviewed annually as this risks becoming a cash cow and inconveniencing people.

All residents should have an allowance of free permits

all residents should receive a free number of tickets each year

All residents should receive the same 10 hours free parking rather than giving it only to those with a residential permit - or those without a residential permit should receive more.

Residential permit holders are already using up a parking space, and there is no reason to incentivise them to use another one. Nor is there any reason why they may be expected to have more need of visitor spaces - everyone has occasional tradesmen/visitors by car.

All residents who buy an annual permit are entitled to 10 X 1 hour permits. How many take this up? Why isn't this just given out or advertised more widely?

All streets in Lewisham need to be controlled by appropriate CPZs

All those paying Council Tax should be given a small number of free visitors' permits.

Allow the visitor parking permit credits to be used in the council car parks as well.

Am worried about my visitors who need to park their car in the area. I do not have a car so do not have any permit. Will my visitors be penalised?

An annual review of parking charges seems a costly and arduous exercise.

I have recently had a baby and have spent a fortune on visitor permits to have visitors and support from family and friends. I really resent paying for these particularly since our road is empty most of the time. Our cpz is enforceable till 7pm which is silly, much prefer for these to be in place from 10-2 say.

An hour is an absolute waste of time it needs to be much longer than that

An increase of £6 is diabolical

Annual review simply means annual increases.

As a resident I would like to have a visitor permit book to park in other parts of the borough. Or LBL introduce a borough wide visitor permit.

Another charge that will be applied whatever the outcome of any consultation specifically if the result is a negative.

Another revenue generating policy. With a fig leaf of 10 hours free to disguise a significant price rise. Yet another leading series of questions of a biased survey.

Another way to reduce parking is to have controlled parking between, for example, 10.00am and 1pm. This will stop all commuters who drive to and park in Lewisham to be as near to the train station as possible. Potentially a big reduction. It also means residents use far fewer permits therefore less admin costs. It works in Balham (Lambeth).

Any money raised should be ring fenced for keep roads maintained and safe

Any tightening of restrictions on visitor permits needs to be done only alongside a review of why residents may truly need these. Currently, residents have to pay for visitor permits for carers and people providing necessary childcare. It is unlikely that many resident visitor permits are 'frivolous' - there are only occasional social reasons for having someone park at your house, and increasing the cost of these is simply a stealth tax.

Are my scratch card permits still valid? If not can I get a rebate for them?

Are you proposing to introduce new CPZs or stick with the current ones. I strongly suspect there will be more CPZs meaning all residents with cars will be taxed unfairly as will any visitors. Completely unjust if so!

Areas around rail and tube stations particularly vulnerable to heavy commuter parking weekdays. Should attract heaviest restrictions and parking fees.

Areas that are next to south circular with no cpz currently will become more of a car park than they are already in 2021

As a council you have actively not promoted the free visitor permits to residents and some of us have lost our for several years. I think automatic adding these electronically is fairer

As a Lewisham Resident I have had to pay for my Resident Parking Permit. I have been paying it since 2011.

Recently I had a problem renewing on line, this resulted in me being 2 hours without a Permit, this has cost me £65 even on appeal, they were very rigid. I think that checks could have been done electronically to see the pattern, and I should have been able to have to ticket cancelled.

That said, have you thought how the new charges will affect the older population of Lewisham who are not in a position to buy a new car, but still drive, thus combatting loneliness, and allowing them to remain independent?

As a low emissions car owner I already pay a reduced parking permit fee, so that part of your proposal isn't new. However I currently pay a much reduced fee compared to what you are proposing. It seems more likely to me to be a way of the council justifying a price increase!

As a new build we are not entitled to parking yet you are looking to give out extra parking to current residents. I think this contradicts the reason you are stopping them.

As a non car owing resident I receive no benefit from my active decision not to have a car. I do receive guests / trades from time to time and should be granted a limited number of hours to accommodate these people. It is unfair that only people with paid car permits receive 10 free hours parking for guests.

Question 25 is biased / not impartial, "... car park?"

As a non-car owner it would be nice to be able to allow friends who do drive to be able to park for free (limited) outside my house from time-to-time. (Elverson road SE8).

As a resident in a CPZ which is only 10am to Noon it would be sensible to offer 2 hour parking as an option on Ringo. At the moment it is necessary to buy 2 single hours or 5 hours This can be inconvenient to buy a second hour when the first expires if you are in the middle of a meeting or perhaps a church service .

As a resident in Lewisham I was told that having parking restriction I would only have to pay about £30 a year for my parking permit now I'm paying £120 I was also encouraged to buy a diesel car now I'm told not only do I have to pay more for my diesel car but the council rate is going up for parking my diesel car.

I don't think paying more for parking. Air pollution in the borough I think the council need to have a look at their policy and change it to encourage people to change their car not charge them or for the car they are using.

as above

As an annual permit holder we are already entitled to 10 free permits. Why are these not sent automatically? Why do we have to ask for them?

As I said before stop building flats Lewisham now is so overcrowded where are they all going to park Lewisham was a lovely place I wouldn't worry about visitors no one wants to come here.

As I've said before I think all road in Lewisham near to the town centre and station should have 7 day permits as the roads get filled with visitors going to the town centre on the weekend. We can't get a space on our road sometimes. Ellerdale Street

As long as the review does not become an excuse to raise the cost.

As previously mentioned, unless there is effective enforcement of the CPZ then the proposed changes are moot.

As resident permit owners already pay, it feels unreasonable to charge us again to use what is likely to be the same parking space! I think there should be a number of discounted visitor permits per year, per resident permit.

As usual your knee jerk reactions will punish the most vulnerable such as the elderly and disabled who may rely on friends and relatives visiting. Also the laziness of not wanting to employ anyone to empty parking machines means that people park for work and then just phone at 10am to book their parking for the 2 hours so the space is tied up all day by people who don't live in the borough.

Ass resident I am concerned that Lewisham is proposing to introduce CPZ across the borough and this will not be affordable for a lot of other residents. Other alternatives should be explored

At the moment I pay £120.00 a year, if that is then going up to £160.00 a year, then I should be able to park on my road. Which at the moment I can't on weekends.

Charge people on weekends as well you will make more money for the council, which is probably what this is all about.

Not everyone can afford a hybrid car, if they was more affordable I would get one tomorrow. Use the extra money you make on permits to get more traffic wardens who actually come around more then once a week, and you will make a fortune in charges,

Availability of parking for genuine visitors to residents is important
avoid daily permits which would have to be sustained - ease hourly/2 hours permits - 1 per week of 2 hours should be free

ban the church in downham way on a sunday we cant even get family over as they take the piss along with company Van's

Before you start refining the terms and conditions of existing CPZs, please look at the pinch points near rail stations where there are no existing CPZs and resident parking is a complete nightmare due to commuter parking.

Being elderly paying out for visitors permits is too costly but being alone I need friends and family

Give us a break!

Better enforcement of parking violations is also required. Car drivers that persistently park on pavements (with no marked bays) and double yellow/red lines need to realise they will be penalised for their actions.

better governance of parking zones as people just park and get away with it

Better transport links needed.

Better value for the resident house cerb

Bit of a leading question the last one to be honest. Seems like an excuse to annually increase the charges whilst trying to position it as the only way to do it.

Build carparks and allow 'commuters' to park there. Let them buy an anual parking permit to the car park

Build more car parks in Lewisham to alleviate the parking problem. Banning vehicles won't work as there are no suitable alternatives.

By all means review the charges at reasonable intervals. However, annually implies that they will be raised every year whether numbers of visitors have increased or not. Parking charges should not be a mere form of revenue for councils. The council could provide more free public transport.

Can I ask whether there is evidence that monies raised from parking permits is spent co-ordinately within the Lewisham area?

Can u consider CPZ on Dorville Rd and Upwood rd

Can't see what you're trying to achieve with this policy. You should be discouraging visiting the borough by car, so no one should be given free visitor permits.

Care workers or those looking after elderly or disabled people either as part of their job or just looking after family or relatives are going to be severely penalised

Carers of elderly family should get some free parking

Car-owning residents should be encouraged to have a driveway if possible, and not rely on street parking. However, visitor permits may still be required in this scenario.

Change the times a permit is in force back to 9am - 7pm. This would discourage the 'car park' effect. Since the hours were reduced I have noticed a vast increase in visitor parking. Located near two main line train stations the area fills up with visitors dispersing residents to other areas. The CPZ's are clearly a money making scheme and in no way benefit residents.

Charge all - not only within 9-5 - most driving time is outside those hours

Charge more

charge those driving through not private visitors or residents. charge the massive lorries that deliver to the supermarkets. charge the police cars that scream through the area at all hours and pollute with noise as well as fumes.

Charge times were changed at the last round so most of these offers do not apply.

Charging residents more for visitors parking should have exceptions for when service providers are the visitors. ie builders, carpet cleaners, plumbers...

Cheaper visitor parking required for residents.

Community improvement must be at the heart of these new policy and not just another scheme to extract money from Drivers

Commuter parking is the major issue

Concerned about trades people who need access...we all depend on their services. Cost will get passed on to residents...increasing burden on older residents.

Confusing website for buying permits and RingGo app has different prices

Consider having parking charges 7 days a week

Controlled parking zone (CPZ) that exist across Lewisham area, allow Zip cars to park in any bay in CPZ after there customers have completed their journeys. I cannot under how Lewisham council have allowed this! at the expense of residents who have already paid up for a permit. Parking engineers and planners, must ensure there is NO CAPACITY
SHORTFALL!

Cost is what most people understand. Most cars (8 out of 10 that I see when cycling) have just ONE person in them - and we're all breathing the fumes.

Tricky to monitor multiple occupancy but increased cost might result in multiple occupancy. cost of parking should not be a deterrent for essential maintenance of property for less well of in community

or increase isolation of elderly/ unwell

could you consider that if visitor permits are not used this 'benefit' could be used in a different way. For example if a household doesn't use their 10 hours of visitor parking permits (therefore having a lesser impact on the environment and not encouraging additional car trips in the borough) they could be exchanged for another benefit - such as waiving the cost of the brown bin collection for a year or something else that may be valued and have a similar monetary value.

Cpz areas don't seem to be consistent. Parking on our road is out of control and that is within a cpz area. There are new flats built at the end of our road with no car parking spaces so the area is more and more car heavy. There should be a limit to the number of cars per household too.

CPZ charges have gone up massively over the years. When we agreed to a CPZ we were told the cost would only cover the admin of running the system and should not be a way of the council making money out of us or have anything to do controlling how many cars are parked.

Cpz is a money making Enterprise not related to any other concerns for lewisham residents.

Where I live there is no need for cpz. There is enough space for everyone nto park.

CPZ parking is better when it covers a couple of hours in the day - rather than all day as it allows visitors and residents to use the space when necessary, but doesn't mean out of borough people driving in to use the local roads as a car park (esp near the stations)

CPZ rules are a mess and do not improve residents' parking possibilities

CPZ should be enforced at the weekends to avoid overuse of roads, particularly around busy areas like stations. If CPZ are not enforced at the weekends it encourages people to drive rather than use public transport.

CPZs can be just money making for the council where not truly needed

CPZs contribute to parking difficulties by displacing the cars of those without permits to areas where there isn't a CPZ. The high cost of parking in Council car parks also encourages on street parking.

CPZs in Lewisham should be 7 days.

The level of congestion, and pollution caused by idling cars on Sundays, particularly around the Clarendon Rise car park is awful.

This is created because the local CPZ parking and in this car park is free on a Sunday, vs. the TC multi-storey being pay-to-use 7 days which means that local residents' health is penalised on Sundays, for no reasonable cause whatsoever, since folk take the free option vs. the pay for option.

I had used to think the additional congestion on Sundays in CPZ Area B (Clarendon Rise / Gilmore Road in particular) was purely a result of greater attendance at the Sivan Temple on Sundays, but understand from them that their attendance levels throughout the weekend are actually the same, therefore the only logical conclusion is that we have more cars on Sundays purely because of the pricing differential outlined above.

Current rules Lewisham has is that another car user can park under the same permit however this only applies if there's only one vehicle at a time at the said location. This is unfair should be permitted that a minimum of 2 cars only and should be allowed to park in the said zone simultaneously.

Currently, if I need a trades person to conduct work in my house, I use visitors permits. We have no control over the type of cars, vans they drive and it is not fair to charge us for this. There should be a special permit for this or a different way to deal with this. It is often difficult to plan for the length of time they will need too.

Difficult when family or workers visit at week days it can cost large amounts if regular visit.

Very hard for elderly and disabled that need constant attention.

Disagree with an annual review as this simply translates as a way to justify increase the parking charges annually. This would be baseless justification.

Disagree with your proposal to charge £15 refund - this is a steep cost and not in line with average refund charges

Discourage cars, encourage public transport and walking!

Discourage commute through Blackheath village by commuters heading to black wall tunnel.

Do not agree with higher costs as the poor residents can not afford it and are being pushed to give up their car . With the increase knife crime in the borough this is putting some families at risk as they can not collect their vulnerability children or families members at night or early evening when needed if they have given up their car

Do not live in parking permit zone.

do not ticket carers

Do something about the way the car is registered. It is too complicated

Do you agree that Lewisham council should review visitor parking permit charges annually to ensure pressure on roadside parking is managed and Lewisham doesn't become a car park?

I think this statement is inflammatory. If you already have the inconvenience and expense of living in a CPZ you shouldn't be further penalised by having to think if you can afford to have visitors or tradespeople coming to your house. Raising these prices every year will do nothing to change people's need to have people visit them at home.

Don't forget tradesmen. They have vans and need to be able to park outside their clients.

Don't agree that free parking hours should only be given to residents with existing parking permits, that discriminates against residents who don't have cars but who might also need visitors to park near them occasionally (visiting family members/workers).

Don't agree though with charging residents for permits

Don't destroy local shopping through restrictive parking policy and over zealous enforcement

Don't increase the prices, and don't force it all online. Paper visitor permits are vitally important.

don't know

Don't pretend like you're doing this because you don't want it to be a car park, we know its how you receive extra funding - you literally just told us.

Don't punish me for having to drive my disabled child in a car I cannot afford to upgrade to these standards.

Don't ration parking for residents by money. Rich can park by their homes and poor can't. How's that fair?

Reality remains that for many people public transport doesn't meet all needs. ain't parts of the borough it is poor. It is also expensive.

See my comments above about importance of allowing visitors.

10 free permits is not enough. And what of those who don't have a car? Can they not have visitors who drive?

Driving causes pollution and polluters should pay

Due to CPZ in St Johns, they all have moved to my street without us being properly consulted.

Each household should have 2 permits

Each resident should automatically be sent the 10 free guest permits. I did not realise that I was entitled to this until a neighbour told me.

Elderly and disabled requiring community health visits due to being housebound often cannot afford or access parking permits and don't own a car and this can make health and social care visits difficult when there is only resident parking nearby. Not all health and social care staff receive permits due to budget constraints and it can be difficult to make timely visits where paid parking is not available. Could there be consideration for these types of visits and whether a certain quota of free parking be allocated in this case where the resident does not have a parking permit, or that they can easily apply for special health and social care free or reduced permits.

encourage the use of motorbikes and scooters as they produce the smallest Nox. They prevent congestion and if only 10% of motorists switched to PTWs pollution be lowered to 40% overnight. Why are the council not embracing PTWs?

Encourage visitors to get on the public transport or cycle.

Enforce current parking permit regulations.

Extend the parking permits to include Sundays.

Change the Regulation, so that, you can only park in the road where you live.

Enforce parking restrictions on the weekend to prevent people driving unnecessarily into the borough on weekend and parking in residents spaces

Ensure parking meters are working as often they are not. Particularly on Elverson road near the DLR.

ensure that cars are parked at the designated places

Evelyn award should be brought in line with the rest of the borough to have controlled parking. People who need home care should be allowed extra free permits.

Even with a residence permit you are not guaranteed a parking space close to your house.

Some business's have multiple vehicles that sit outside residents homes.

Every resident has the right to have visitors and should not be charged for having a visitor, or having a service person when the need arises. For the inflated price of the permit residents should be able to register their visitor without incurring a charge.

Everybody who purchase parking permit should have 20hours visitor permit a year

Extend the controlled parking to at least 5.30pm so residents can park after work, 5pm means you can't park.

Extend the parking zones? Make more money that way

Extremely expensive for friends, relatives, tradespeople etc to visit for any length of time. Not everyone can arrive by public transport.

FAMILY VISITORS SHOULD NOT HAVE TO PAY TO VISIT EACH OTHER AT ANY TIME OF THE YEAR

Fernbrook road has restrictions between 10-12 noon. The rest of the time it's a car park. Why not charge those people for coming in and polluting our borough, they get to park for free yet they cause far more pollution in the borough than myself and my neighbours.

Fewer parking places may drive some drivers to take the bus or walk

Firstly your online parking permits system is a complete joke. Twice this year already we've been issued parking fines for both resident and visitor parking permits purchased online. This is fraud.

For a pensioner relying on visitors for a life, 10hrs of free parking permits is nothing. I would be very wary of increasing visitor permits too much for fear penalising homeowners and increasing isolation.

For residents who live in areas with controlled parking, the council should provide for free (or at least at a heavily discounted rate) a certain number of visitor permits annually. This should be independent of whether a resident owns a car and/or has a parking permit.

It is wrong to provide only residents who have a permitted car with so many hours of free visitor parking. Those without cars are just as likely to need visitor permits. Furthermore, if you want to encourage lower car ownership (therefore better air quality), free visitor permits are more useful to those who don't own a car.

For the time I have had a parking permit I have not been able to receive the 10 free visitors permits as I have a hybrid car so lower cost permit. This is discriminating against people who are trying to keep emissions down. Will this still apply?

Free bus service

free permits should go to all, not just those with a car, that rationale is mad even for a Lewisham idea.

Free residential parking.

Free tickets will provide more cars into the Borough increasing pollution - many people who don't use them currently will just sell or give to businesses neighbours who do - this would be against the purpose of this project!

Free Visitor parking permits are not commonly known about

Free visitor parking permits should only be issued to those with a specific need, such as the need for visits by a carer. Beyond that, there should be no free visitor parking permits - people do not get their trips subsidised if they visit the borough by public transport, so they shouldn't get them subsidised if they arrive by car. Car use has a number of costs that are currently externalised, and these should be reflected in the cost of car use, including parking.

Free visitor permits are less than 1 hour per month. Free visitor permits should be at least 48 hours per year, that's about half a day per month.

Free visitor permits for electric vehicles

Free visitor permits should be available to all residents, not just those with a parking permit. We have friends come and visit us as well. And we are making a greater contribution to clean air in Lewisham than our car owning neighbours, so why are they being rewarded with free parking? This seems to be incentivising the wrong kind of behaviours.

free visitor permits would help offset the increase in the parking permit. It also allows residents to enjoy time with friends and family without the pressure of increased cost, this is especially important for older vulnerable residents and though with young children who can become isolated.

Free visitor parking vouchers should be mainly for the elderly or people in need and the amount of time should be defined case by case to avoid increase of isolation (when they need visitors, how far they live, can they use other transport etc). 10 hrs a year might be too much for some people and too less for other. Permits for small businesses that are penalised when working in London should be thought of...maybe a London wide permit for plumbers, builders etc only during working hours maybe. But other than defined needs other people

shouldn't have bonuses. Also who has space for cars on the property for visitors shouldn't be given the bonus

General parking for non residents without visitor permits is the biggest issue and car rental places using local roads to park their excess of vehicles. Not enough parking at peak times for local residents with permits.

Get rid of out of date yellow lines so that more parking permit places can be created. For example, see the 2 x pointless yellow lines in Hawstead Road which have to go through a pointless and long winded planning process!!

Get rid of the parking metre on Bradgate Road!

Give 10 free vouchers to all council tax payers

Give all houses driveways for up to 2 cars or a garage then we won't have such parking issues on our roads

Give free visitor permits to people who do not have a car and use car clubs instead.

Give pensioners some free parking permits too

Give sufficient parking permits to district nurses.

Given you are proposing changes could I suggest that you amend your visitor permits to include 2 hour slots to cover the 2 hours mon to Friday in line with the changes made to the hours a couple of years ago. otherwise the 1 hour visitor permit only covers for 5 days / year

Giving out free parking will cause more traffic and worse parking.

Already too much parking issue.

Giving some residents in the borough free parking hours is unfair to others that also live in the borough but don't use permits but still pay for parking

Going to need more than 10 hours per year - real struggle with workmen doing repairs, instillations and my parents got a ticket just dropping off some bits when I moved in.

I think the layout of my building warrants one weekday of visitor parking per month and more hours to use on Saturdays.

Good ideas

Good luck with all this - my solution, now I'm retired, is to leave London for fresher fields anyway, if the wife will get her act together.

Greater free provision should be given for family members supporting elderly and vulnerable residents (not just registered disabled). 10 hours is not enough for any reasonable level of caring!

Guest or Temp permits I see currently as a hidden tax. In my area they are designed to stop people driving to the train station and parking on local roads but the current system I see screw over the residents that actually live on the road and need to utilise it.

I am strongly in favour of providing free guest permits to those who live on the road.

I am also strongly in favour of excluding car sharing cars like zip cars from being fined for parking on these roads when they reduce the over all amount of cars on the road. If you fine the companies this cost will just get passed down to people looking to reduce car ownership in the area.

have no parking between 12 and 2 but residents can park any time

Have better designed cycle storage

Have you considered that people are essential Road users, some work for you Council or other vital services and restricting parking is making people lives so much harder

Haven't received any free visitor permits

Having friends and family visit are essential for the elderly and vulnerable.

As to roadside parking leading to Lewisham becoming a car park, all of the new blocks being built have inadequate parking. This leads residents parking on the street without having to

pay for any permit, thus adding to congestion and traffic jams (Thurston Road being just one example).

Help us with the cost

Helpful

Households with more than one car could pay a higher charge. This might discourage the current practice of some households having multiple vehicles in Victorian roads that were not designed to accommodate any cars at all.

Households with permits should be given more than 10 hrs free parking for visitors

How about giving residents who use for example their parents cars which are registered in the borough but at another address a permit for those vehicles? By the end of this year I will have paid over £500 in parking charges to RingGo and you and I don't think that's fair at all do you? Just because I use my mums car and we don't want to re register it at my rented house?

Does this seem like the best way to do things to you? Why do you operate your parking through a 3rd party company? Why are there incentives for parking attendants to give out tickets? Please answer promptly it's been 3 years of paying for my parking every day and all I get from RingGo is 'register your mums car at your house' NO why should I have to do that? It's not RingGo's placeto tell me to register my car elsewhere, where is the leniency?

How about Lawrence house workers / council workers travel to work by bus and do not clog small resident streets next to schools.

How about Lewisham Council encourages or make it a condition for granting planning permission for the new build property developers to provide basement car parks for all the new build in the area to reduce on street car parking?

How are you going to charge the visitors the extra diesel payment that you are imposing on the poor residents trying to earn a living

How can charge in some case a lot more for permit parking because of the emissions when the car isn't switch on when you are parked as in permit parking if you were charged for driving a car with high emissions as is going to happen fair enough but when you are parked you are not producing any emissions so it doesn't warrant the big increase in some cases

How do I buy daily/weekly permits for the Parkside development?

How does increasing (reviewing) charges enforce roadside parking management. Just have clearer rules to begin with.

How has the impact of visitor parking been assessed? it is by its very nature sporadic and unpredictable in terms of demands and it is unreasonable to review (interpret as increase) these charges annually. I cover the costs of my visitor permits as I think it is unfair to ask my visitors to pay to park. For those who do not have formal carer arrangements and do not qualify for the carers permit discounts, will be further penalised for additional costs for their carers too attend. Most visitors are present outside of the hours of CPZ operation and the impact on parking is then more significant meaning that residents who pay for the benefit of parking near their home can not do so , the increase of cost will make no change to this how is it that you have only now mentioned that "10 hours of free parking" is *per year* . For the love of god, what a cynical cynical nasty piece of obfuscation. Do you really expect me to support you when you are so underhand.

How will the 10 one hour permits be provided - online or on paper?

Will paper visitor permits still be available to purchase for cash at Lewisham Library? We find this very convenient and would not wish to do this online. This can be frustrating and time consuming - much easier to have paper permits which can be immediately displayed. Not all people have access to smart phones or computers.

At present, you can purchase 10 1 hour paper visitor permits for £10. Your proposal would be an increase of 60p.

Consideration should be given to reducing parking hours on Saturdays in BHA zone, say end at 2pm rather than 7pm.

How will this effect roads not in a CPZ?

How would it work if parents need to park in a permit zone in order to pick their children up from an activity so would only be parking for 5 minutes. This will have a huge impact on my business.

Hsnsnsmssb

I agree it shouldn't be a car park but it should be crazy expensive for my family and friends to stay the night. There should be a free visitors permit that corresponds to the household.

I agree on annual reviews on the provision charges can be decreased as well as increased

If for example post brexit the impact hits businesses how you can support them in waiving parking related to them

I agree that charges are necessary but if they are pitched too high it shouldn't become a money making exercise for the council.

I agree with discount for low emission cars but I feel your Lewisham charges are already too high compared to other cities . I need my car to take my ill husband around and I cannot afford to get a new car so I will now park on my drive and not pay any parking in the future. I don't think your plans will change peoples driving it seems like just another way of making money.

Do you not realise that your price increases mean more people will concrete over their gardens to park and the result is a loss of greenery and wildlife as well as problems with water run off and flooding . Where is climate improvement in that?

I agree with the parking charges but would like Lewisham to review the online paying system as can be very frustrating to use and not very user friendly.

I agree with what you are trying to do here but you've used hugely leading questions eg "so that Lewisham does not become a car park". Means your responses are statistically invalid - did a statistician (or anyone with A level maths stats module!) not review this? Grateful for a response to this comment by email. It's really important that when you invest in seeking residents views (for which thank you) you do so genuinely.

I agree you should pay for the privilege of having a car that polluted our air

I agree that cars that are worse (more polluting) should pay more

I think electric cars should be insentivised

I am annoyed that the borough introduced CPZ so quickly when it's been incapable of providing bike sheds. This doesn't make sense. I have been asking the borough's cycle team for bike sheds for 5 YEARS. How about more bike sheds and less parking spaces to open up cycling to people who haven't got room to store bikes in their houses?

Lewisham Cycling Campaign requested the borough put bike lanes in when it built the new road system in the town centre and the borough refused to listen. This is crazy. I wouldn't risk cycling through Lewisham or along any of the adjoining roads as I feel too vulnerable. If the borough wants to reduce cars, then enable more cycling. Please let's have some joined up thinking.

I am completing this on behalf of my 96 year old grandmother who has lived in the borough all her life. Its an utter disgrace that you are only giving her 10 free hours of visitor parking - you should be considering that many pensioners are isolated and living alone and rely on visitors from their family to help them. But you are going to charge her £16 for a further ten hours!! Hold your heads in shame , how with one breath can go on and on about pensioners living in isolation and we need to be considering their mental well being , when you do this. The pressure leaving the elderly will only impact on Lewishams purse more as you will have to pay to look after them and support them as we cant get parked to do it. Also how many pensioners do you know that are online!!!! not many I bet - how are they going to buy the permits? whats about those who are house bound?

I am entitled to have a car, I have a young baby. I don't drive when I don't need to but don't want to be made to feel I can't or shouldn't be entitled to a car because Lewisham have allowed so much additional housing and therefore influx of drivers

I am not clear as to the comment of Lewisham becoming a car park - for who, for what - what is that the thinking - what is the evidence for this statement. With the expansion of the low emissions zone to the South Circular the potential surely is for less traffic to visit Lewisham and there will be a decrease in travel as a consequence.

I am not impacted by the need for visitors to buy parking permits. The prices do not seem unreasonable - but again, this is about parking - not pollution.

I am not sure how effective such a policy would be.

However, I do have a major issue with Lewisham becoming a 'Zip' car park, especially on Sunday nights when all the users return from their weekends away. There has been an explosion of cars parking in the BHA parking zone over the last year as a result of this, so far from reducing the number of cars/drivers on the road, this policy appears to have increased the number of car journeys.

I am particularly aware of the impact a CPZ has on surrounding streets and I do not think enough consideration is given to how this will impact residents living in streets without a CPZ. I live in the northern end of Upper Brockley Road where a lot of traffic is generated by the local primary school and the shops and takeaways in Brockley Parade. Since the introduction of the CPZ in Tanner's Hill we have had to contend with major disruption to our waste collections, as large vehicles cannot gain access due to vehicles parking on both sides of the road. We have recently had double yellow lines painted, but again my bins were not emptied this week due to illegal parking on the double yellow lines.

Most of my neighbours do not own cars but I feel there is a case for making the northern end of Upper Brockley Road a CPZ as this would encourage more people to walk to school and their local shops.

I am rather suspicious of the questions above. For Q1: 10 hours of free parking for visitors where I live amounts to 1 DAY!? Our CPZ is ten hours per day (Murillo Road, F - and does not need to be: it should be two hours in the middle of the day like Old Road) So it seems a bit unfair that ten hours free parking to those with only a two hour per day restriction amounts to 5 entire days, and for us and others like us, it is 1 Day. We are already penalised, having to pay for visitor permits for anyone visiting our home between 9 am 7pm, so it seems grossly unfair that any 'free' visitor permits with our resident permit is worth less too. This idea appears somewhat ill thought out.

Q2 asks if we think you should review parking charges so Lewisham does not become a car park - but what you mean by this seems to be: do I think that you should be able to increase charges under the auspices of reducing car ownership in the borough, I feel strongly that you should not be able to do this on any adhoc basis - and you have increased charges significantly since the CPZs came in (one hour parking is 60% higher than when it began only 7 or so years ago!!!). It is a lazy way of addressing the problem if you just want to price out less well off residents how might truly NEED their car, but struggle to pay the ever spiralling permits. So no, I don't think you should be 'reviewing': which , let's face it, means INCREASING charges on the pretext of improving things. People are struggling enough without these unreasonable hikes in fees.

I am shocked by the steep rise in the 10 1hr. booklet charge and think this too much. As residents paying for these permits, why shouldn't we be favoured over the pay-and display parkers, who may only be parking for shopping or other errands? I would urge the Council to reduce the new charge to £12 a book. The proposed 10 free visitor voucher permits for car-owning residents could be scrapped to enable this.

I am suspicious that the Council is using air pollution as another chance to make money. When Residents' parking permits were first introduced, residents like my parents were told it would improve parking, this has not proved to be the case.

I am totally opposed to cpz.

I believe that parking hours should not be as long. it should be 10-6.30

I believe the recent publicity has caused this panic but unless you ban all forms of transport you are never going to eradicate pollution. Unfortunately the world we live in is full of hazards and hitting some people in their pockets is not going to resolve the matter.

Think about what you can do for Lewisham residents such as clearing the rubbish that is dumped so that we begin to look like a clean Borough even if we aren't.

I broadly welcome this proposal, but it still doesn't address the fundamental inequalities arising therefrom. Wealthier households often have off-road parking; again, there is a danger that this will disproportionately disadvantage the less well-off. Also, it will be necessary to prevent people from using this scheme to park near a station and commute - £6.40 is good value for someone driving in from outside London, parking near the station for the day and taking the train to work. For this reason, I suggest that the daily and weekly permits not be implemented, but that 5 hours should be the maximum. (I would caveat this with requiring that separate arrangements exist to ensure that the sick, elderly or disabled are not adversely affected).

I can't apply for a visitor permit because I live in a flat in a development where I am barred from applying for a permit because of a covenant between the developers and the borough. While this does not inconvenience me it will make it very difficult indeed for my elderly relatives to visit when a CPZ is put into place on Creekside.

I cannot see any justification for the huge increase proposed for visitor parking permits. This will effect all homeowners who need building work done of any sort. But council tenants who are already heavily subsidised by council tax payers like myself will be able to access repairs through Lewisham homes and will not pay a penny for these contractors to park. So there must be a fairer way and one of these is to keep the cost of visitor permits down. Do not use Lewisham council tax payers as cash cows!!

I cannot see any strong justification for increasing the price of the visitors' permits. Has there been a difference in the use of permits since the last fee rise? Evidence here would have been useful.

I cant find a parking space where i live in bha zone on a Sunday because you give free parking to anyone on a sunday! That is crazy. Why should people that do not live in area get free parking on a sunday when I have to pay for a permit yet no spaces to park as tourists using all the spaces.

I currently buy paper permits at Lewisham Library so I always have some in hand for visitors and deliveries. This is because I do not know the registration numbers of visitors' vehicles in advance. It would be a bit of a pain to have to go online to my Myringgo account to claim the 1 hour permits so I may not bother.

Please maintain the system of paper permits.

I currently have a free parking permit for visitors, I wouldn't want this to change

I disagree that visitor permits need to be charged in line with pay and display permits and that policies surrounding pay and display pricing close to train stations is more likely to help reduce the "Lewisham=Car Park" scaremongering.

I disagree with having to PAy to allow guests to park when visiting my home

I disagree with increasing prices for visitor permits- already quite pricey

I disagree altogether with CPZ, They go on till 7pm- too long and even with a free set of 10 one hour permits it is costly each year to park outside your own home and have friends in the area drop round

A fairer system is to have just 2 hours a day of controlled parking to avoid commuters- I note that hither green near the station has this now, so why not every where else.

I also think £120 a year is too high

I disagree with the way your doing it

Your saying so Lewisham doesn't become a car park but that really only applies to people driving park of the way and catching the train that's completely different to someone visiting someone who lives in the borough

I think if you want Lewisham to not become a car park then charge more for people who have no reason to be in the area other than to keep their train bills down not make the residents pay towards smart arse people who maybe live in dartford and cut their journey to work down, to keep Lewisham alive we need businesses and residents, and we don't need people from elsewhere coming to the area and using it as literally just a car park a good way to do this is assign visitor cars to address of businesses or residents maybe displaying a badge on the car attaching it to an address and if anyone is caught using someone else's address then abuse them for the money, all cars are attached to a person via the number plate so if I walk down the road and see someone has used my address to say their visiting me and that's untrue I can report it.

Charge them more and resident visitors less, so everyone has a fee to pay but if you part of the area yours is much less than someone who's not even spending money in the area or visiting a friend.

I do not agree that residents should have to pay for their own permit, nor do I believe that they should have to pay to allow visitors to park just to see them.

10hrs of free visitor parking a year is a pittance.

Each resident should have one free visitor permit at any time. To prevent being used improperly, such as on their own second car, the time of parking and vehicle registration should be registered, such as now with virtual permits.

This wouldn't work with scratchcards and there are many residents that can't or won't use online so there should be a phone service to register for parking.

To have an additional visitor parking at the same time would incur a charge, either online, phone or scratchcard.

I do not have a car but believe the law is you should charge only enough to cover a scheme.

This, like the recent brown bins increase, is a way of subsidising other council spending. If more money is needed raise council tax for everyone

I do not see why residents with a permit for their own vehicles should get free ticket (unless they have an electric car and their visitors too). More money needs to be raised to fund new policies in favour of climate protection - so no freebie for people driving cars!

I do not think penalizing people visiting residents is a bright idea. It should be done at national level reducing public transport cost and other measures.

Penalizing people who do not have driveways is a bad idea. This policy will just help people with big houses and big driveways and can force poor people to isolation.

I do not think the price of parking should go up. I think it is enough as it is.

I do not understand the logic of giving an amount of free visitor parking to those that already have parking. Any free parking should also be given to those that do not have a parking permit. Why should my visitors be discriminated against simply because I choose not to pollute the planet with a car.

I do think it is unfair that I who have never had a car, have an occasional visitor with car between 10 and 12 should have to pay, despite my extremely green foot print, literally as I walk everywhere or use excellent buses - mostly it is my carpenter who visits in his car and I have to pay for the visit!

I don't agree that visitor permits should be increased in line with meter parking. Residents visitors should have cheaper parking charges. Especially in BHA zone where the permits are required Mon-Sat 9-7.

I don't own a car and rely on family coming to drive me if needed at a great expense so I feel the £10 free visitor parking per year given to residents with permits should be withdrawn or extended and given to all residents.

I don't really think its right to try to discourage residents from having visitors by jacking up the price of visitor permits. That would seem to be an inherently antisocial policy.

I don't think 10 hours free visitor parking for the year is enough! Have you thought about the elderly relying on family visits? It won't help if added to that their families/friends have to pay

to park and visit!

£1.60 an hour to pay for parking is too much! Beckenham only charges about 60p an hour to park on the high street for shopping! Why is Lewisham proposing so much?

I don't think our cpz should be active at the weekend

I don't think that 10x 1 hour visitor parking permits per year for each resident is enough in terms of the number of parking permits and the duration given. It simply not enough! What iffy family want to visit For a Sunday roast? I spend more time in a restaurant eating! The permits should be at least 5 hours during the week and 24 hours at weekends (as is done in Tower Hamlets) the first 10 should be provided for free but there should be concessions for the elderly and what about those who are unemployed?

I don't think you should be charged to have visitors, this makes visiting friends and family expensive.

It also adds to the cost of things like home mtce.

For example, I have to pay for the parking when I have gas engineers check my boiler. This extra expense could push people into not getting their gas checked and make homes unsafe.

When my child was born NHS home visitors would expect me to pay for their parking!

People should be able to visit your home for free!

I don't understand why the permit system is different either side of Hither Green station. On springbank road and Wellmeadow Road for example we have permit requirements all day. On the other side of the station it is only for a 2 hour period

I don't agree that visitor parking permits should go up in price inline with pay and display. Our council tax increased this year and along with paying an annual permit fee for my own vehicle it is becoming increasingly more expensive to live in the borough. I can rarely park my car outside of my home due to thoughtless parking of others, so I have to park a distance from my house and walk laden with belongings and shopping, all at an expense already. Only the council benefit from the increased costs, I personally do not yet am being squeezed for more and more money. I would take the train and bus more but South Eastern is totally unreliable and often has maintenance of a weekend meaning it is impossible for visitors to travel to us by train, and buses are regularly late and you can not get a seat. I do not agree that visitor permits should increase as they are already expensive.

I don't know many people who will be using the visitor parking (unless to visit ill or retired people) as most people are at work when the permits are enforced.

I don't mind paying for permits for visitors as I am really pleased by how much quieter our street is most days. It means that if we want to get a zipcar and drop things to our house, there is usually a parking spot nearby (whereas there didn't ever used to be). It also means that getting out on my bike is easier as there are fewer parked cars and less traffic on our street. I think that you could increase the parking costs to discourage people parking on the streets providing you made exceptions for those with mobility problems. who rely on a cars to get out.

I don't own a car but I think that car ownership and travel by car is part of modern life. I think there are bigger issues to attend to

I don't personally own a car, but regularly use visitor permits when friends/family stay over, or when labourers are working in my flat. Thankfully, the cost of visitor permits are low, and the 24-hour window per entry convenient. If this was to change, it wouldn't just be more much expense for people to park, but it would have a material impact on the value of my property. If I was ever to get a vehicle, 10 free hours of visitor parking a year is absolutely nothing. Not even enough for one visitor with a vehicle to park up and stay overnight. I'm all for trying to discourage opportunists from exploiting visitor parking, which this seems to be aimed at, but it should remain affordable and convenient for residents and their legitimate visitors.

I don't see the point of having visitor permits that cost the same as Pay & Display. There's no cost benefit to having them is there? Can't visitors just use the Pay & Display machines. I don't see why people with parking permits should be favoured over people who don't own a car when it comes to visitor parking. If anything, people not owning a car use the public parking space less and should not have a disadvantage from that.

If a few hours of free parking are given, these should be equal for all residents - for example, these may be used for service vehicles that one may invite to do installations or repairs in a household.

I don't think 10 hours a year is anything like enough. My two sons live in Greenwich and each time we visit (usually loaded with tools or shopping) the 4 hour limit there curtails our contact - so 10 hours a month maybe.

There needs to be some flexibility too about boundaries, living on the boundary with Southwark a reciprocal arrangement would be really helpful, not only for me but for my local shops and businesses who rely on customers from Lewisham and vice versa.

i don't think 10 hours per annum is enough

I don't think it needs to be reviewed yearly unless this process is not costly. every 2 years is sufficient. Not a lot changes in a calendar year.

I don't think the free visitor permits should be based on hours, but it should be the equivalent number of days across the borough for the CPZ, so if your CPZ is all day you should get more, compared to one with a 2 hour restriction.

I don't understand why 47% of residents without cars should pay for all visitors in CPZ's but those with cars who have purchased a permit will get what will cost me over £50 a year to obtain? This seems like an unreasonable subsidy for car owners?

I fear that some of these measures will hit the least well off in our borough. For some, visitors are a life line and if you make it more difficult and more expensive for people from outside the borough to visit residents, they will become more cut off. This needs to happen hand in hand with improved public transport etc.

I feel if you are resident that you should be able to visit other Lewisham residents on your own permit without impinging on their visitor permits

I feel like 10hours free a year is not good enough, other borough give households with a paid permit a visitor pass that can be reused, allowing them one visitor at a time to park when visiting, I understand that some people may misuse this, but maybe a 3 or 4 hour reusable pass would be something to look into. Most of my visitor would visit me for 1.5 to 3 hours at a time so the one hour pass that I have paid for does not help.

Plus a free 10 hour free permit does not even cover for 1 hour a month.

A reusable visitor permit would help a lot more. For example when looking at the work that are taking place on Friendly Street at the moment, I have been told, the work on my house will need approximately 30day of access to the workmen. I work every day of the week so if I have to get someone to sit in the house when I am at work it is going to cost me a lot of money, If I cannot afford to do this I will need to take 30 days off work unpaid, meaning I will be unable to cove my rent and bills because of works that the council have decided to do on my house at an inconvenient time for me. A free reusable visitor permit would mean that I could get someone to sit in the house for me.

I feel like resident with 2 vehicles, only one shouldn't need permit.

I feel that people eligible for a car parking space who decide not to get a car, should be able to get the same benefits as those who don't have a car parking permit. I don't have a car here and use public transport because the infrastructure is so good, however when guests come we should be allowed the free allowance of parking space - isn't it good to reward those who aren't driving at all around London?

I feel that your increases for all permit charges are just a way of tagging increased prices onto the green agenda.

I feel the free visitor parking hours should be extended to all CPZ residents.

I feel the visitors permits are very expensive. During the week people don't tend to have visitors for fun, they have eg tradesmen who need their vehicles. And often you don't know how long they need to stay so you have to pay for a day or half day. It really adds up, on top of already paying the annual fee. Plus the Ringo website is a complete nightmare to use. I feel this is a very leading survey. Of course we all have an invested interest in improving the air quality around us. I fully support this and where ever possible walk or use public transport. But I feel the way the survey is worded is very (mis)leading (and what looks like your intention of rising costs) is only taking advantage of the situation. On the website is quotes: 'low emission vehicle owners will see charges reduce, while those who own the most polluting vehicles will pay the most.' But I think what you mean is that you are raising the cost and those that have low emission vehicles will pay the same.

If we pay £280 for annual parking – what service are you providing for this rise? Please don't say that it will ensure that there will be space for us to park. Quote from Lewisham Borough website: '47% of households don't have a vehicle at all' so it can't be the case that local residence will be fighting for car parking spaces.

So, where does this (extra) money go? What extra service do I get? How are you investing this extra money to help improve the air quality? Please can you provide me with an estimate as to the extra funding/money you expect to receive in the first year and how this money will be spent. I feel this should be transparent to Lewisham residents otherwise it feels that you are riding on 'Air quality & Emissions' wagon and just taking the opportunity to exploit local residents.

Quote from the Lewisham Borough Website: 'We now have the opportunity to introduce measures that will help to improve our air quality.' – what are these measures that will help to improve the air quality?

In many areas of my life I have a choice. We are a family of five and both my husband and I work fulltime. We carefully choose the bank we use, the utilities companies that provide us with gas and electric, schools that our children attend, shops that we buy food/clothes from, insurance companies, internet providers, (all to fit with our own morals and financial budget – (that just gets squeezed and squeezed every year!)). With regard to parking, I don't get a choice. You have a monopoly over that. Either use your service or don't park. My husband works very hard for his living and needs a van in order to do this. We have chosen not to purchase two cars so manage to use the van as a family vehicle also – which at times proves difficult. We feel we are being forced to purchase a new van. A vehicle that fits the emission requirements and our family needs equates to £1000's of pounds. I feel that by you raising the cost of parking hinders this. We are being forced to get rid of our very purposeful and reliable vehicle for a more compliant vehicle for emission zones - which we know will help with the environment and are happy to start to save to make this new investment for the environment - but our hopes of saving for this is isn't being helped by you penalising us with higher parking costs. It only hinders us. Please advise.

On another note, I don't understand your Amazon voucher offer of £50. Surely it goes against your apparent intentions to help improve the environmental air quality. You are encouraging people to order online (a lot of products come from overseas/China) and as a result encourages vans\lorries to drive and deliver to people's houses equating to more pollution. It also baffles me that you would support this type of business that have a reputation of not paying full UK taxes. Would it not be better to offer vouchers for local businesses? Would it

not make more sense to support your 'greener system' by offering vouchers for public transport – ie Oyster Card vouchers. I would happily pay £280 (double cost from last year) for residential parking if this extra costs were invested in Oyster vouchers. So £140 of this money to be awarded to free (Oyster) travel per application. Surely this would encourage people to use public transport and the required result of lowering air pollution. And this would encourage me to believe that this isn't just a money making excuse on your behalf.

I feel very strongly that as a Lewisham resident I am limited to the amount of visitors I am allowed to have. As a 3 vehicle household all with parking permits would that mean that we would get 30 hours of visitors parking free.

I find the questions to be leading and inappropriate in this section. In particular a.25

I guess this is where the council gives itself permission to keep extending paid-for zones indefinitely

I had a car for 20 years and never received a free book of visitor parking permits so if this is a benefit offered Lewisham council should make sure all qualifying residence receive it.

Controlled parking zones shouldn't be seen as a tool to make money out of residence.

I have a regular weekend visitor (about once a month) and have registered the vehicle with my permit. There is currently no charge at the weekend. Will this continue?

I have a residential parking permit. Because I can't afford to buy it yearly. I'm denied my 10 FREE parking permits for resident visitors.

I have been buying a resident parking permit since it came into force in my area se13. It has given me as extra expense to pay out for. I have to buy my permit every 3 months as I cannot afford the year price all in one go. As a result I am penalised and not entitled to any visitor permits. I see this as very unfair as in the long run the 3 month permits actually cost more than the year one so I am actually giving the council more money back yet they don't give me my 10 free visitor permits.

I have family who visit regularly from Kent. With new housing developments being built all of the time there are fewer and fewer free parking spaces and it's really tough. They mostly need to park more than a 5 minute walk away.

I have lived in Sydenham for nearly 4 years, I have never had a problem parking. Again, these charges feel as though you're just set to make money off of people who want to travel of their own accord, in their own vehicle, instead of being packed like sardines and pay sky-high travel fares. Is the environment really what you're thinking of?

I have lived, and previously worked in the borough, for almost 40 years and am very disappointed in this ruse of yours to once again to penalise those that can't afford to buy a new car to comply, can't afford to move to a non residents parking zone, can't afford to build themselves off road parking.(as many of those with large cars will)

I have never been in favour of the parking permit system. I believe that it is a tax on top of my road tax. Again a tax that hits those with the least money.

I have never used one but if necessary it should be checked more often

I have recently found out via a community App that as permit holders we have been entitled for sometime to 10 hrs of free parking . Some local people have already received their free books via the library , so why is this being advertised as a new incentive ?

This is dishonest and not at all new !!

Although it was never properly advertised some residents have collected free visitor parking permits for years with their resident permits.

Others haven't been told about it - thats very wrong .

I have supported an annual review of visitors permits on the assumption that this does not mean that there will be any move to end visitors permits. They are essential for friends and relatives but also for those carrying out work in residents homes or for carers visiting etc.

I have to pay £120 a year and now you are going to put this up to £190 , the houses 50 yds down the road do not have to pay anything and I have always felt very strongly about this.

I use my car for about 90 minutes a week so I am not adding much to poor air quality but am

being charged for it.

Also I am a pensioner and find it very difficult to get by as it is.

I have yet to see the figures but this has the feel of a measure to raise revenue to compensate for pressure on council charge and related income.

I hope the council will charge households having many cars with the full amount for each vehicle.

I hope visitors will only be charged between 10am and noon— otherwise young and elderly residents who are at home most of the day will have fewer visitors,

I hope you are not going to have CPZs throughout the borough.

I just hope this supports residents and isn't an opportunity for revenue gaining. I feel like residents are often squeezed for money. I would like to see the hours of charging change to support residents rather than to make money. Such as just charging between 10-12 to prevent commuter traffic, rather than all day which hits friends, family and workers.

I like the 10 free hour visitor parking. However it frustrates me that I am a resident that pays for my permit but there's days I cannot park on my road

I live (and work occasionally from home) on the border of Lewisham and Greenwich, in Lee. This area is already a car park! Every morning the road sides fill up with commuter cars.

People park here and get the train into London. I would say that along with reviewing visitor parking permits rates, it is time to install resident permits borough wide. The restricted time doesn't have to be all day. It works well over the other side of Burnt Ash Hill where the bays operate from 10-12 during the week. At least then if people still want to commute from Lewisham, the council receives some revenue from them for this.

I live in a road with only 2 hours parking restrictions a day. This means that after midday everyone parks in my road to go shopping in Lewisham. I very often can't park in my own street, where I have paid £120 for an annual permit. Visitor permits are a bit of a joke around here.

I live in an area that had permits introduced for no other reason than council buses need to be able to get in and out of my road safely. What was needed was for it to become a red route and while our charges are very low the residents as with a lot of other people have had permits introduced because selfish people who want to save money on their train journeys use nearby roads as car parks but with that said if the parking area is free why not use it. Since the introduction of permits I have been told by my rent office that just because I live in a house with my adult children we are only allowed one permit for a tenant and one for a visitor which is ridiculous, why should residents be penalised and forced into isolation because selfish people want to reduce their travel costs meanwhile there are 2-3 privately owned school buses which take up the space of 5-6 cars and don't use a permit never get a ticket just because the owner who lives on a different road has squared it with the council. Each household should be dealt with independently and each adult who is contributing by means of rent and or council tax should be allowed 1-2 permits depending on if they drive as not everyone lives in a rabbit hutch 1000 feet up with 1-2 bedrooms.

I live in an area where the controlled parking is 10.00 - 12.00. However there are no 2 hour parking permits that can be bought from the library, only 5 hour ones. Is there a chance this could be addressed in the future please.

I live in Lewisham work in Lewisham parking permit on my road are only in operation in the week not on week ends therefore I cannot park at the weekend when I need it most as well as after 6 PM in the week I pay for parking and cannot park very unfair

I live in Sydenham which is a free car park for communities during the week and travellers at weekends the worst for us is when people leave their cars outside our home and go on holiday for 2 weeks I dread the holiday periods

I live in the Grove Park zone & it needs to be reviewed & the time zone changed to 11am to 1pm, Mon to Fri.

I live in zone v. There are local shops which I am unable to use as the zone changes.

I live on a Lewisham homes estate without parking restrictions. Neighbouring streets are CPZ. This creates a problem as encourages commuters/visitors to park in our street (which only has space for about 9 cars, has 14 houses)

I live on Adenmore Rd, which is a double yellow line road all the way. Every day there are at least 10 cars, belonging to residents, parked illegally. This is putting us all at risk, by blocking access for emergency vehicles. I don't feel enough is done to stop this.

I live on Adenmore Road (the Catford Green development) and despite the fact that I am a Lewisham resident, who pays the same Council Tax as other residents I can NOT get ANY PARKING PERMITS, apparently I am NOT ENTITLED "how is that fair"? I AM DISCRIMINATED AGAINST.

I live on Childeric Road. There is no residential parking restriction so anyone can park here. It is constantly difficult to find a place to park for the residents as people drive from elsewhere in the borough to park here. They also drop their kids off at the school and leave their car here all day. It would be great if you could provide some sort of system which would restrict non-residents to do this.

I live on Haseltine road and the council will not adopt or accept responsibility for this car park area that is used by residents and the local school. Can you tell me if this car park area will be included in the permit zone if the council have no jurisdiction over it?

I need to understand whether a resident without a car and resident parking permit can purchase parking permits for, e.g. builders who need to work in a Lewisham house. This is not clearly defined, I believe.

I no longer have a car, but do have visitors. Why should I be excluded from the free 10 hour visitor parking allowance?

I object to the cost of visitor permits increasing. They are already expensive enough.

Ten one hour permits free is nothing.

If people live alone and become ill and need help from friends they should not have to pay a fortune (as I did following heart surgery).

You are targeting the wrong people. The people who should be targeted are those living outside the borough and using it as a parking place to get at public transport.

I pay for visitor parking permits and do so for people doing work at my house, eg local mum who I pay to garden, builders, tutors. For various reasons these people need to drive. By charging more for visitor permits you will be affecting their business not reducing pollution.

I pay for visitors permits and can't control the vehicle a tradesperson is driving. I shouldn't be penalised for a plumber driving a diesel van!

I pay personal rates, business rates and for a parking permit. Why should visitor rates go up for residents. It's the non visitors that you need to target - the people who pay per day without a permit that you should be targeting.

I rarely use visitor parking permits for my visitors. I think parking meter charges should be higher to discourage driving, not visitor permits, as the use for these is more genuine/ visitors tend to travel longer distances, as opposed to local residents parking. There is free parking 5 mins from my house which I would use instead if charges went up.

I really don't see why my husband and I should not only be penalised for not being able to afford a low emission car but also subsidise those who can afford one.

I see no measures to incentivise non-car ownership. Some free guest parking for non-car owners who use services like zip car would serve that purpose. Non-car ownership being far more beneficial to the borough than low-emission car ownership.

Conclusion: incentivise non-car ownership with some free guest parking.

I see no need for free parking permits. It should be changeable.

I strongly disagree with raising the price of vehicle permits when we already spend a lot of money on parking permits. Having visitors is inevitable - engineers, repairs, families and friends visiting. Many of these have no choice but to drive. Residents shouldn't be penalised for having personal or professional visitors. I'd rather visitor permits remain the same price than receiving 10 free 1 hour permits which will not last very long.

I strongly suspect that the consultation is simply a PR exercise and will be ignored by the council.

I suggest that visitors parking should be free of charge because we are paying a lot of money for residential permits already

I support CPZs - we should discourage people driving into the area and using it as a parking space.

I think 10 hours a year is a bit mean

I think 10 hours free visitor parking permits should only be given to those who need it, ie the poorest or those with health issues.

I don't think the permit charges should be increased as a deterrent. Deterring people from visiting by car in this way may lead some residents to suffer isolation.

I think 10 hours free visitors parking is inadequate for an entire year.

I think 10 hours is insufficient.

I think 10 hours is pretty meagre for an allowance, if you have a friend or a tradesman at your house then that is only one day and a morning of free parking for the year...residents deserve better than that.

I think 10 hours per year is far too little actually and more goodwill for the overall change could result from increasing to say 1 weeks worth.

I think that reviewing these charges annually is too often. People need a degree of certainty and would resent frequent increases. The question is worded in a highly emotive and leading way too ('so it doesn't become a car park')

I think 10 hours should be granted free a month not yearly

I think 10 x 1hour visitor parking permits is insufficient. Firstly I think residents should be given a combination of 1 hour, 4 hour and 1 day permits and it should be at least 5 of each to accommodate those occasions that are unavoidable e.g. trade visits for service or repairs, friends family visiting during illness etc.

I think all Council tax payers should be entitled to 10 free hours of visitor parking.

We should not be offering this only to existing permit holders as they are, by definition, part of the problem in owning a car. We should be encouraging residents to give up their cars so that the bulk of car parking is from occasional visitors (i.e. much less than currently).

I think ALL residents should be provided with a free 10hr visitor parking permit. Residents who choose not to pollute the borough by owning a car should not have to face additional charges and inconvenience should they have visitors.

I think ALL residents who live in a CPZ should get a free book of visitor permits, why reward people for having several cars on the Rd while I don't drive and have to pay for my occasional visitors to park.

I think allowing some free visitor parking is a GREAT idea.

I think charging so much for visitors is very bad. I have family who stay with me eg for 4-5days and they will not be able to park their cars

I think CPZs should apply at weekends & banks holidays. I think if you don't have off road parking you can't have a car.

I think drivers are used as a cash cow to prop up government cuts to councils so drivers get the brunt of paying more and more to subsidise the cuts. Im cynical in believing its just about improving air quality.

I think every resident should receive a visitors permit free of charge every year. Have you thought about people who are housebound and how difficult it is for people to visit. Why should we have to charge our visitors. More than one I agree a small charge.

I think if u can find a solution to saturday and sunday residents cause most of teh time there is no place to park especially sunday cause people frome elsewhere and visitors leage there cars and there is no space left for actuall residents that are paying to park.

I think it is a bit of a shame that we asked our visitors to pay to come to visit us.

It made a lot of my friends avoiding the area.

That's mean a lost of business as we could have gone for a meal, or shopping or even a drink but it s not gonna happened as the price to park is the price of the drinks
I think it is unfair to heavily charge visitors. There are elderly people who want visitors but if you raise the charges it will make it difficult for some people who cannot afford the visitor permits. It will force some people, not only the elderly into isolation.

It does not affect me but I would not be happy if it would cost people money to visit me.

You could give each permit holder at least 12 daily visitor permits per year, at least they will get two visitors per month - 10 hours per year is ultra low.

I think it should be fair for other places within our bourgh and otherwise bourghs to pay as well for permits not just selected areas

I think it should be more than 10 hours free for visitors....

I think it unfair that car owners should get some free visitor time when those of us who dont have a car have to pay. Dont you want to reward non car owners?

I think it's difficult for those of us where the parking restrictions are all day long as we have to pay for a lot of visitors permits. Maybe caters or family visitors should have couple of free hours a day

I think Lewisham need to review car club parking bays as currently there is not a joined up / well thought out approach on where they go.

I think more should be done to stop people driving to primary schools to pick up children and taking up the spaces for up to 50 minutes without a permit

I think parking restrictions around primary schools should allow a limited amount of time for parking without a permit (perhaps 30 minutes or an hour). There are many reasons why a parent would need to use a vehicle; visit teachers, collect items, collect unwell children, parents evening (not always in the evening!) etc so this will avoid parents being fined for simply visiting a school. It's highly possible that some pareents live in a different parking zone. Same applies for near doctors surgeries, health centres etc.

I think residents pay a lot for permits and should be entitled to free visitor parking for a certain amount of hours per week

I think that 10hrs is too low. I think 20 is better

I think that at present the parking situation is managing itself. I don't think there are any residents that don't find a parking space. Perhaps not just outside their house

I think that every permit purchase should be given the ten hours of free visitor parking per year. And, that this should be automatically given rather than having to apply separately to the permit purchase.

Please check the wording and clarify, as in one place it refers to 'per household' and above it says all residents with a parking permit.

I think that having the words "and Lewisham doesn't become a car park?" in your survey makes this a bit of a leading question. I have never seen an inspection taking place on our road so I doubt that reviewing (i.e. increasing prices) will have any effect on excessive car numbers except on the honest payers and you might even force a few of them to go dishonest.

I think that Lewisham is trying to increase its income by increasing our charges and it's very unfair

I think that residence parking should not be suspended for a month to accommodate builders working on nearby properties.

I think that the hourly visitor parking permit should still be at a reduced rate for a book of 10 short stay visits. This is yet another additional cost that residents have to pay every time a friend or relative visits.

I think that the new charge for the 1 hour permit is a bit harsh

i think that the residents in the CPZ zone should not pay for parking

I think the allocation of free hours should be more like 50 hours s year

I think the cost is correct and should not be raised. We pay to park outside our own house? It's wrong and then you have to pay again for people to come and visit you? It's a rip off. You should not have to pay for a permit where you live. You already pay council tax
I think the council has a lot of stuff to pay for, and giving free visitor permits is nice, but personally I'd rather just pay for them as and when I need them. Also, I didn't realise we could buy them so it makes people get the train instead of drive which is preferable I think!
I think the council should reduce the hours that the CPZ is enforced. 9am to 7pm is excessive, and should be reduced. if you're trying to stop commuter parking, make it from 10-11am, or something like that.

I think the online process for buying permits is really complicated.

I like to think I'm fairly IT literate and I always struggle with your (Ringo) system.

I'm concerned some residents who are less able will miss out and possibly be 'punished' due to the complicated system.

I think the permit time should end earlier.

I think the whole of Lewisham should be resident parking

I think there should be consideration for visitors's parking where there is a party. Car owners going out should be encourage to share instead of single person driving if they are friends and going same place.

I think these questions are leading questions asked to get the result that you want.

I think that if you are giving residents visitor parking permits they should be a book for 20 not 10 because the permit period runs for two hours. If you have a visitor they are more likely to stay for longer than an hour which means you effectively only have 5 hours of free parking.

I think they should get more than 10 hours free

I think trying to suggest that giving 10 hours free parking as part of new proposals is disgusting! You already provide 10 hrs free parking and I received my visitor permits last week. Why not let those who currently have a residents permit that they can today apply for 10 hrs free rather than suggesting this is a new policy.

I think visitor permits are too pricey given most of Lewisham is a CPZ. Residents should get a discount on the first 10 or 20 or everyone should get a free booklet. Why am I being penalised as I don't have a parking permit... You're encouraging people with cars to make yet more pollution than those that don't.

I think visitor permits should be less than public pay and display machines otherwise I don't see any point in them

I think visitors will need more than 10 hours a year - just thinking about people who come to the house to do work each year will definitely add up to more than 10 hours

I do agree with permits as our road is a car park

I think people who live in the borough should be able to park at a reduced rate in other areas in the borough

Or pay a bit less

If not it may affect business in the borough. Difficult balance

I think when you have trades people visit, it's a real pain as you have to get the make and model and number plate - it all adds to the cost.

I think you have to carefully consider what visitor permits are used for. If they help tackle social isolation (a major public health issue) or enable services to be delivered that save a car journey, and reduce overall travel e.g mobile hairdressers, informal care, or I've picked up other people's children to car share and popped in to have a cup of tea with a friend which has helped my wellbeing and saved 2 cars or even 3 cars being driven.

Also which part of the community may be unfairly penalised. Review is fine but important that equality impact assessment undertaken.

I think you need to redesign your website, it is very difficult to navigate, and makes buying parking permits a pain.

I think you should consider making the purchase of visitor parking permits easier.

I think you should advertise the ULEZ date and details. Most surely of what you aim to achieve would be achieved in any case in 2021. I pay Lewisham rates. You appear to me to be only trying to pre-date ULEZ restrictions. With visitor help thrown in. I would be paying for this as I am no doubt also paying tax for ULEZ enforcement. You are proposing I pay twice for one enforcement code.

I think you should charge on what cars people who live in Council property own. As people claim they are broke & claim every benefit going but drive great big petrol guzzling 4x4 cars. A lot run 2 cars?? How??

I think your trying to take too much money from people in a borough that is not rich.

I thought that residents buying an annual permit could already obtain 10 1 hour visitor permits if they were lucky enough to know how to get them!

I truly believe that street parking should be as limited as possible. It would make the streets easier to navigate, make it safer for pedestrians to cross, and make cycling safer for all.

I use the Ringo app to buy parking for any visitors, tradespeople and this works well for us but a few free hours would be very useful. Perhaps you could give more of residents who don't own a car so help reduce the pressure on parking overall

I use visitor permits most often for builders, plumbers etc., and therefore have no control over the type of vehicle they will use and the consequent surcharge I will have to pay. This policy will therefore disadvantage me because I cannot choose the type of vehicle I will be issuing a permit for. I assume that the app will calculate the appropriate payment rate although it would have been useful to have had an explanation of how that will operate in the proposal documents.

I hope the process by which residents can obtain paper permits for their free 10-permit allocation will be straightforward, and could be run again through the Library. My elderly parents find the Ringo web-interface very difficult to use and would prefer paper permits. I VERY strongly object to the council imposing a higher tariff on diesel vehicles when I am PREVENTED BY THE COUNCIL from owning an electric powered vehicle as I have no way to charge one.

I would really like to have an electric powered vehicle (Tesla). I cannot do this at present as I live in a terraced house in Hither Green and I have no way to charge it. If I could charge an electric vehicle I would buy one immediately and dispose of my diesel powered car.

I want a CPZ in the Culverley Green Conservation area - Newquay Road

I wasn't aware I could have 10 x 1 hour visitor permits per year if I have a residential permit, why are these not sent to me when I renew my permit?

I wasn't aware of the visitor car permits, but will use those in future.

I would appreciate an easy way of obtaining visitor permits.

I would favour giving 12 free daily permits (one per month), rather than 10. Major use is for e.g. builders, repair men, where there is no alternative to car/van use.

I would also want there to be an easy way for non-car owners (i.e. the majority of residents) to get permits too, so that they can be visited by family, friends and tradesmen. In the case of elderly residents (over 60), these should be free, with no income test. CPZs are meant to help control parking for the benefit of residents. They should not inconvenience or penalize residents unnecessarily, whether they have cars themselves or not.

I would give 10 hours free of parking to people who don't have a car not to people who have parking permits.

Or everyone could have it.

I would like apply for resident parking zone CPZ on and along Malpas road

The reasons for this are many and cogent

The road is subject to Parking stress in a multitude of modes

1. Violent disputes – over a parking spots on many occasions
2. Abandoned and dangerous vehicles – vehicles are simply left long term there in a poor state and abandoned
3. Commercial businesses not on street parking on street – commercial firm from Florence Road park their personal and commercial vehicles
4. People using radiators to retain parking spaces- I have witnessed resident using all manner of strange objects including double radiators, chairs , traffic cones to retain a parking space
5. Many commuters park their vehicles and use the nearby Brockley, New cross and New cross gate train stations
6. Lack of emergency access to some houses – because of the parking stress emergency services find it impossible to access properties
7. Some of people parking on street do not even live in borough but are commuters
8. 3 Local schools within 500 metres – the additional cars cause problems for both parents and children who go to the 3 local schools
9. Limited access for important works (plumber and electricians water etc)- in case of important household works the service has to park elsewhere

the stuff of nightmares: cars double parked; safety compromised around schools because of the high volume of vehicles in the surrounding streets; shoppers unable to park near the local high street; commuters leaving their cars in the roads close to the railway stations

I would like longer residents hours

I would like to be able to park outside my own home, Currently it is very difficult to park and some people on my road put out their bins to ensure a place. I feel this is very unfair.

I would like to continue to be able to purchase paper/ card visitor permits in advance so that I have them available for unexpected visitors. It is too much hassle to have to arrange this when they have arrived.

I would like to point out that some people using visitor permits for their visitors do not have their own car. I use a visitor permit only when my (older) mother drives to visit from Birmingham and helps me with e.g. going to the tip. It would be unfair to penalise people who don't have a car of their own but make use of one occasionally through a visitor. This might even increase people's wish to have their own car.

I would like to see a bit more flexibility in regards to having 1 additional car allowance on the resident permit, so we don't have to pay every time a family member, etc, comes and visits us for a day. By all means limit it to 1 day consecutively.

I would like to see a 'Trades Parking' option for anyone carrying out work to their property. It's a huge added cost to anyone wanting to improve their home. As it's a temporary situation I think you should be able to book trade vehicles at a reduced rate.

I would like to see where you can buy the scratch cards to put in visitors cars. It is not easy to always log on Ringo and add a vehicle.

I would not want to see parking costs increase further. I would like to see the proportion of costs that are spent back on the roads in the borough, we seem to have plenty of potholes and broken pavements.

I'd be interested to know what the plans are for electric vehicle charging. I would switch to an EV if I could charge it on my road.

I'd prefer to lower the cost of Visitor permits, raise the price of randoms parking on my street. Also extend the hours of the permit zone. I live on Bowness Road and our road gets congested with people shopping in catford, visiting church etc. They should be discouraged from driving by making it more difficult to park.

I'd rather you managed the maze of one way systems around Lewisham and the sleeping policemen both of which contribute to higher pollution.

I've never found the visitor parking system to be user friendly. This put me off inviting people over when I was on maternity leave- I actually felt a bit isolated at times, when j would call no one would pick up the phone.

Having a dedicated and reliable team with the right information would be useful

I've seen comments that the requirement for parking permits will make people more likely to pave over their front gardens to give themselves off-road parking. I agree with the introduction of banded parking permits, but please consider how you might mitigate this possibility.

If a daily carer visits a household they can only visit 3 times a year , as described earlier, carers must be given free parking facilities.

Every vehicle owner must pay for parking whether in the in the Parking Zone or not. The current situation penalises only those in the Parking Zone just because they happen to live there and suffered increased parking and pollution prior to the Parking Zone being adopted, now they are paying for the totally unfairly.

If CPZs are extended to include areas near railway stations, could residents who don't live in CPZs be allowed to buy parking permits to allow them to park in CPZs rather than having to pay for parking at a meter? Parking meters often don't seem to work which obliges drivers to buy parking online - with all the data protection risks that entails.

If I live within a borough and a buy a permit. It should enable me to park anywhere in the borough.

I should be able to travel round the borough freely.

Currently if I want to go to certain areas in lewisham borough I still can't park there because I don't have permit for that road.

What about if I want to do shopping etc ?

If it becomes a pricing issue then we will become a class society where only the well off can drive

If it becomes more difficult / expensive to park this will not encourage people to shop/ visit Lewisham

Wardens needs to actually inspect each vehicle rather than relying on memory

If Lewisham is to review pricing on a regular basis, perhaps it should also seek feedback from areas which have CPZs as to how well these are working, particularly whether the original time restrictions are still what residents want. I live in a CPZ that operates 9am until 7pm. In retrospect, I would prefer restrictions to apply at the start and end of days as the problem was commuters parking to take trains. This would mean that visitors and tradespeople could park during the day without charge to me.

If one is a lewisham resident parking should be free. However, there should be a charge if the vehicle is a heavy polluter.

If people want visitor parking permits they should have to pay for them, and they should be a lot more expensive than they are now.

If the council limits the number of permits issued to households this could lessen the amount of vehicles parked on streets

If the visitor parking permit system is being abused, then tackle the people abusing it, not system. Being able to have family / friends visit is essential to prevent isolation and for general wellbeing.

If the visitor system is being abused eg being rented out to commuters, then tackle those specific offenders.

If they review it every year, it will just go up like every other tax. Invest the energy in making people who don't pay to park at all (at the nursery at 7 Morley) but idle illegally and remind them that they are supposed to a) use green friendly modes of transport instead of driving all the time and b) that they were supposed to be using the parking lot off Slaithwaite. 60 + cars idling for 10 minutes a day on our street is the biggest polluter on our road but because they don't pay to park, they won't be impacted.

If we are having to pay every time we have a visit from a family member or plumber, for example, why are there cars and vans allowed on Stainton Rd with no permits - some are even dumped or being used for storage of rubbish. Those areas don't seem to be patrolled. What was the point of introducing the CPZ if you aren't going to enforce it fully.

If you are going to go down the route of providing visitor permits, 10 hours a YEAR is nowhere near enough... It is bad enough that we have to pay to park outside our own house, let alone then having to cough up for visitors. Especially the older residents who rely on visitors to alleviate feelings of loneliness or those that need carers etc

If you are going to increase the cost of permits for the poorest you better make sure that road is ours for the whole of the week and not just Monday to Friday extend 24 hours residents only.

If you are visiting somewhere for leisure I don't see why you shouldn't have to pay.

If you live here you should be able to have visitors after all they'll only be staying a short while

If you pay an annual fee. You shouldn't have to pay further for permits.

If you pay your council tax, parking should be free

If you're concerned about Lewisham becoming a car park, why do you continue to approve housing developments without sufficient offroad parking?

I'm not sure people use visitor parking permits to park for long periods of time unless they are visiting for the weekend. I don't know how realistic it is to say that Lewisham is a car park.

Important to address awareness raising and using ideas from other boroughs in London/elsewhere

Improve the permit restriction times! Our road is 10-12, so when I get home from work there is NEVER a parking space in my road (near hither green station)

Improve your cycling policy! You do pretty much nothing for cyclists. When you redo roads, you don't add cycling lanes. The Lewisham centre redevelopment is an absolute shame. It's all very well and good to 'punish' diesel, but what do you do to encourage cycling? Nothing. There is no cycling policy. We don't need different types of cars, we need less cars.

In introduction I saw fees go from £30 to £60 to £120 per year. I would not want any discretion with raising fees as it would be used for further income generation and also impact those less able to pay in the borough.

In Lee Green CPZ - the timings are designed to stop commuter parking - you would need to extend the times to actually reduce air pollution and particularly to cover the schoolrun hours

In line with inflation rises are acceptable but to encourage family to visit and take care of family should not be penalised

In my road the CPZ operates all day Monday to Friday. On the other side of the station in is only for two hours 10-2. Springbank Road should be two hours as well.

In my view car parks are built for the purpose whereas the use of roadside parking should be limited to residents and their guests (friends, family, contractors). I agree that the visitor permit system should be regularly reviewed and that controls on single yellow lines should be tightened.

In respect of roadside parking, shouldn't the council be more honest in stating that most parking machines no longer take coins and that drivers are forced to use Ringo parking app when parking, incurring an additional .40p on top of the quoted parking price? Does the council want visitors to the borough, wouldn't excessive parking charges encourage shoppers to go elsewhere?

increase rates for parking permits ; remove access for large vehicles outside nighttime [11pm-5am] so they are not stuck in jams polluting and not polluting when kids are on way to and from schools; ban all large vehicles from roads near schools.

Increased car parking charges only encourage wealthy car owners to park and discourage impecunious car owners. It doesn't actually address in the long term, car parking in Lewisham. Increasing cost on visitors parking penalises residents, more than it really does to help reducing pollution.

Increasing the cost of visitor and resident permits is illegal as my freedom of information request shows revenue is being spent on other things rather than just being used to run the scheme it is being used to raise general revenue. This is illegal so any move to do this now will be subject to the ability to have a judicial review to prevent this. See: <https://www.racfoundation.org/media-centre/barnet-council-loses-parking-charges-case>

It cost me hundreds in visitor permits for tradesmen when I was restoring my house improving building stock. There should be able to a clear way to suspend when building work ongoing. Increasing visitor permit charges by 20p per hour is simply additional council tax revenue. It does not improve air quality nor deter parking - visitors who need to stay by necessity will need to park. There are plenty of free spaces in our local area within 2 minutes walk; there is no parking shortage for which further deterrent is required on all residents and their guests.

It does however make sense to abolish the cashless payment fee - or at least to bring cash charges up to the same as cashless - to encourage adoption of cashless and not have to maintain parking meter machines.

Instead of trying to extract more money from Residents you need to think about provide support for cyclist, public transport (disabled people are unable to use Hither Green station - no access) and even walking path with trees etc.

Hither Green Lane is a mess. Lewisham have avoided for years to do anything with HGL. The cars that go down this road and very polluting (stop and start fast, slow.)

We need a better system to reduce the carbon emissions on this road

Introduce 1hour per day parking restrictions

Introduce more controlled parking zones. Living on a street sandwiched between different areas means that's my street is a car park.

Introduce more CPZs, the biggest polluting issues for residents is uncontrolled parking and congestion in areas such as Thorpewood Avenue, let them breathe free air rather than kill them with pollution

Invest in your cycle scheme and extend it. Give free bikes and free bike repair to residents, students, and workers. Bring more cycle hire schemes to the borough. Invest in bike paths. Make more roads car free. Invest in TFL and public transit. Make businesses pay a surcharge for every van or lorry making deliveries to them. Make businesses pay a much higher fee for diesel vehicles and any non-electric vehicles. The lowest earners should not have to shoulder the burden of this when businesses in the borough are by far the largest polluters.

Is giving free visitors parking not encouraging more cars in Lewisham?

Is that last question loaded. Lots of people I know are Lewisham residents and they may need to use their car and park in Lewisham too...sometimes they go to other boroughs and park there too!

So you make it sound as though you are trying to price commuters who park and ride out of the borough? Is that correct?

Is this to make sure you only have wealthy people living in the borough who can afford to pay for friends/family to come and visit?

It appears that if I don't have a car and therefore no permit, I cannot benefit from free visitor parking or even purchase some. It seems that non car owners are being penalised.

It doesn't seem fair to charge Lewisham residents higher and higher visitor fees for their friends and families to park. It also affects those elderly residents who need to pay for parking for essential nurse or carer visits.

It is already too expensive for visitors of Lewisham residents to park their cars. It should not be increased annually.

It is already very hard to find parking in the borough which has had an adverse effect on retail trade and I don't believe further restrictions are justified.

It is correct that Lewisham reviews visitor parking annually and seeks to increase costs if high levels of demand are placed on visitor parking. Should this occur, however, residents of the borough should be permitted to purchase books of visitor permits (ie permits other than by ring & co or a pay and display machine) at a preferential cost.

If Lewisham does ultimately place a surcharge on residential parking permits due to emissions it should do so for visitor permits purchased via pay & display/ring & co

It is crime that people have to pay to visit friends & family.

It is difficult when friends and relatives visit from outside of Lewisham if they can't park near our house.

It is fundamentally unfair to impose revenue raising policies upon residents already unfairly trapped by CPZs hiding behind a green agenda. It is a national problem and can only fairly be resolved nationally.

It is important for local people to have access to local shops and the high street. Increasing the charges will affect businesses and the local community

It is impossible for visitors to park on my road because of business traffic has difficult/ costly to do.

It is much more important to make it easy for people to pollute less than bar cars altogether. Many families or businesses rely on a personal vehicle, it just has to be a less polluting one. The answer is not to abolish cars.

It is not OK to constantly increase the costs of visitor parking permits. They are expensive enough as it is. My Ringo's site needs simplifying. I have managed it but it's not user friendly. It needs re-designing.

It is not right having family and friends pay to visit.

10 hours free is not enough.

In my road George Lane only need parking restrictions for a limited part of the day - same as the other side of Hither Green Station.

It is not widely known that permit holders are entitled to 10x visitors permits

It is resident cars that clog the roads because houses are being turned into flats - support those who don't have a parking permit not those who already do

It is short sighted to only offer visitor parking to those who drive themselves

It is unfair to start charging per hour for visitors permit I am suggesting a one off purchase as it is at the moment there should not be any changes towards what we have at moment and there is no point limiting the number of hours a visitor can spend

It is very co

Olivares to get visitor permits it needs simplifying. The old Nf infirm or young families should pay reduced parking fees for visitors. Social inclusion is very important for these groups.

It is very important to retain the ability for all residents to obtain paper visitor permits. The electronic system is simply not practical in circumstances where workmen are attending a property when the resident is not at home. Also, the paper system is generally significantly

more efficient. Instead, add a delivery charge to the permits and make it optional for people to have a recorded delivery.

It is wrong to penalise residents who live on a permit street by charging too much for family and friends to visit them. This could increase isolation of the elderly etc.

It seems to me the wrong way round to give free parking permits to those who have cars - this incentivises car ownership and punishes those who have chosen not to own a car - who are more likely to rent a car and who need the parking permits for this.

If those who don't own cars were given free parking permits instead, this would encourage these people to rent cars and use car clubs. This could reduce the number of vehicles on our streets, parked and in use.

It should be 10 hours per month free parking and not per year
It should be easier to get hard copy visitor permits

The Ringgo website is dreadfully designed and a nightmare to use

It should be free parking zone

It should be made easy and straight forward for residents to provide visitor parking to their guests. This should be done electronically with permit provided immediately.

10 hours of free parking should be granted to every resident.
It should be more free hours.

25b. Car park? Why use emotive language like that? Where is the basis of fact for that statement?

Also you are implying you are not managing it correctly at the moment.

Stationary cars do not pollute. Get rid of the lorries and black taxis from the main roads.

Nobody on the council is equipped to take on climate change. Do not attempt to take it on, you don't understand it, it is not for amateurs.

It should be possible to purchase two-hour parking permits via the Ringgo system. Having to purchase a five-hour permit or two one-hour permits is a pain.

It should be possible to register a legitimate blue badge visitor and for them to be able to park in a particular street. At this scale any misuse is likely to be identified by residents.

It should be provided for free

it sounds very much like your looking to increase the cost of visitor parking permits to stop people travelling into the borough and parking up and then travelling on in transport to Central London for work the problem I have with this is the fact that I have my family lives a long way away they come and visit at Christmas and holidays and I have to pay for them to come and do so I think it's unfair that we have to foot the bill for abuse of the system carried out by people more locally resident I understand this very hard problem to fix but nonetheless I feel I should be given 10 free days of parking not 10 free hours

It was only a few years ago that you increased the residents parking charge from £60 to £120. Now you are proposing to increase it to £270. THATS CRIMINAL.

It will be difficult for those who need to stay overnight to care for elderly relatives.

It would be a shame if people living within the borough who are on low incomes should have to ask their visitors to pay more? How would this affect careers or district nurses? That charge would have to be picked up by the employer? The council which then is passed back onto residents? Some people in the borough need visitors as lifeline to their physical and mental well being. This increase could jeopardise this

It would be great if Lewisham actually managed the parking permit areas. There are cars parked in the controlled areas who are not from the area or do not have permits but never seem to be ticketed. ALSO it seems that many cars just ignore the fines when issued, more often the parking fine is thrown onto the pavement.

It would be great if timings of CPZs were reviewed.

At the moment, my road is controlled through 9-6 Monday-Friday. This is not the times it needs controlling.

On the weekend, I cannot move my car as when I return there is no where to park due to it then not being controlled by the CPZ.

Also, I don't think I have seen a traffic warden for about 2 years. People park on red routes, not in the parking bays, across accesses. It's a bit of a mess

It would be nice if workmen etc had free or reduced visitor parking. Most are only around for an hour or so

It's all good and well but if they can't get a parking space, what is the point?

It's hugely expensive and inconvenient to have to buy permits for visitors such as contractors, repairs, nurses, carers family etc. These are essential needs for most people, and I feel it's exploitative of the council to charge people to be able to receive services.

It's not our friends visiting that's the problem, it's people in other outer zones who clog the roads. Don't punish residents who pay for all the services!!!

It's really expensive to have visitor permits

And times offered are restrictive

It's all well and good putting pressure on road side parking however, this should not negatively impact residents.

The resident permits should have a much lower cost and visitors to residents should have a lower charge too.

Currently anybody who wants to can park outside just by putting a simple Lewisham post code can do so. This continues making it a car park for those who can afford it and pushes the rest of us out. As previously mentioned commercial vehicles park on our street and they seemed to get abandoned there after 6pm when it becomes free. Hardly fair.

It's already bad enough that residents can't have daytime visits from guests on weekdays or Saturdays as a majority of areas are solely for residential parking. Introduce more mixed pay and display and permit holder parking throughout the borough.

It's already expensive enough to park a car in Lewisham, doesn't need to be increased.

It's not really a consultation rather an order being forced upon residents in the borough by TFL and Sadiq Khan through your LIP3. Lewisham Council are using this to simply make more money and telling us "it's ok to pollute as long as you pay more money" how is that morally correct? It will not reduce pollution nor will it stop owners of cars or vans!

It's unfair that those who have irregular visits from people who live out London are penalised
Just another way to get money

Just away of getting more money from people

Just hope you take note of resident comments and voting

Just reviewed my new permit charges if I agreed to go ahead with the new parking permit CO2 restrictions.

My charge would increase almost 100% from what it is now.

Just because I am not able to afford a new car.

Seems to me Lewisham is taxing the less well off residents.

Keep prices low for residents buying visitors permits but increase prices for non residents

Keep the option for the paper visitor parking permits.

Please do not rely simply on on-line options.

Let people park their cars near friends, give homes more visitor permits. Encourage community

Lewisham become a car park?

What kind of juvenile comment is that.

This survey is FAKE

LEWISHAM SHOULD ISSUE AT LEAST 20 FREE 1 HOUR PARKING TICKETS PER YEAR TO ALL COUNCIL TAX PAYERS REGARDLESS OF WHETHER THEY HAVE A

PARKING PERMIT.

THESE TICKETS ARE REQUIRED BY HOUSEHOLDERS TO ISSUE TO THEIR VISITORS, WORKMEN, BOILERMEN, ELECTRICIANS ETC

WHEN (NOT IF) LEWISHAM COUNCIL REVIEWS VISITOR PARKING CHARGES THEY WILL NEARLY ALWAYS RAISE THE PRICE NOT TO REDUCE PRESSURE ON ROADSIDE PARKING BUT TO PUT EXTRA MONEY IN THEIR COFFERS/ PURSE

Lewisham already give out 10 hours of visitor's parking to each household purchasing a permit - so this is not new. However, I notice that Lewisham kept this v quiet and made the application difficult. This has been noted on Facebook and spread around all the local communities. Very bad publicity for Lewisham Council.

Introduction of CPZ in our area - we were promised EV points. Our area has had planning refused for these due to being a Conservation area.

If CPZ is to be introduced in Lewisham it should be everywhere. Not just in specific areas. But picking on certain areas, it is discriminatory.

In St John's Deptford, we feel very agrieved. No EV charge points. CPZ imposed with timings not agreed by the majority of residents. Mature trees being chopped down based on flimsy evidence for need of 'development'.

Conclusion reached: Lewisham using this survey, pretending to be 'green' but actually it is an excuse to make more money - not necessarily for the general needs of Lewisham residents.

Lewisham cannot become a "car park" when you have to pay to park!

Lewisham council don't enforce new buildings zero car permit policy. I've shown proof personally to Damien Egan and he was caught out and stuck for words. Residents in buildings not eligible for parking permits can still acquire them due to lax procedure on behalf of the council.

Lewisham Council have not made it easy for residents to claim the visitor permits they are entitled to for an annual permit. This is quite deceitful and many residents have lost out on claiming these because they are unaware of them. The new proposal should automatically be sent out when an annual permit is purchased or reimbursements should be made.

Lewisham Council is using car owners parking charges to boost income. We already pay for road tax and we shouldn't be made to pay twice.

Lewisham council needs to take into consideration residents that live in the borough already paying council tax and other taxes and take into consideration affordability in line with unemployment and low incomes.

Lewisham council should review the existing Controlled Parking Zones ... maybe a consultation with residents who have experience Controlled Parking Zones would be useful for future decisions

Lewisham fails to address parking concerns. Full streets and empty car parks.

Some controlled streets in Lewisham are only monitored for parking once a month

Lewisham free visitor pass should be extended to 20 hours.

Lewisham is already a car park, at least the Brockley conservation area already is (cars, busses, trucks and many that stay for several nights)

Lewisham is attempting to bribe the car owners by offering free parking permits to them, but not to the rest of its residents who do not own or operate a car.

Many people have chosen not to own a car for economic or ecology reasons. Yet their households still require family and friends or tradespeople to visit them.

The offer of free visitor parking should be available to all residents.

Lewisham is becoming a dead zone. People paying parking permits should have unlimited visitor permits. There are the elderly that needs carers daily not 10 times yearly. Why should a carer have to pay to do her work looking after the elderly.

Lewisham is not a car park make the Borough just a permit zone standardise the times 0 10 -12. that is why it was brought in. not about parking at all. station parking was the reason Lewisham is the main route to A21 and the city building a ring road via Blackheath would ease the traffic in Lewisham significantly

Lewisham isn't a car park. On what basis is this claim being made. Some residents require their cars to commute to work others rely on their cars to use for family commuting. I think this whole idea has been badly conceived with just one intention to make more money from Drivers. Very disgusting, disguising money grabbing from poor residents as an environmental protection is really bad.

Lewisham needs business to flourish if it is to be economically sustainable, this means it needs to sell its services to people from all around London and the wider catchment. It should not take actions that discourage people from coming to the Borough to do business otherwise we will become an area with only residential activity and will suffer as a consequence. the Council needs to have policies which better reflect the need for business as well as protecting the health of residents. I'm not sure that at present there is a coherence within all the policy domains that reflect that balance.

Lewisham needs to enforce red route parking at weekends...

Lewisham permits have helped reduce the parking nightmare that used to exist around Murillo Road. However, these parking permit areas need to stay in place as without them people use these roads to park their cars and walk to Lewisham to get a train.

Lewisham residents living in CPZs shouldn't have the council dictating to them how many visitors they can have in a year.

Lewisham roads ARE a carpark in the evenings and specifically on weekends already, so increasing charges won't help. Our CPZ runs from 9-7pm Mon-Fri so making us pay extra from a visitor coming for a week isn't useful at all, it's just adding to your income for the year.

Lewisham shithole lining its pockets

Lewisham should allow residents to buy 2 hour parking for visitors . My road has a two hour parking restriction so 5 hour ones are a waste of money

Lewisham should collect money from all parking in order to fund essential air quality work
Lewisham should consider a policy like that in Waltham Forrest that greater more permeability for walkers and cyclists as well as reducing cut through, pedestrianised streets and mini parks and tree planting.

These measures have shown to improve air quality. Cllr Clyde Oaks has lead this work and I'd be happy to connect you.

Lewisham should give 10 days parking to residents that have a parking permit as well as the 10 hours a month

Lewisham should no nothing - punitive fees within the extended ULEZ will drive away (pun intended) most or all the worst polluting vehicles by Autumn 2021.

Lower fees for low(er) emission vehicles will only encourage that road traffic congestion you are meant to be fighting.

This proposal seems to be using green credentials to cloak cynical revenue raising by a financially incontinent council unwilling to live within its means .

Lewisham should provide sufficient passes for all district nurses to park free of charge. They should not risk penalty notices or need to get visitor passes from patients (the most seriously ill of whom probably have no residents' pass and are therefore ineligible for the free passes. Lewisham should start removing and impounding vehicles that are persistent parking offenders.

Lewisham/catford parking not good anyway as no where to park when shopping in catford except a very poor carpark other places - westminster you can park free on Saturdays on single yellow line to shop.

Limit zip cars instead of penalising residents who pay council tax. How much do you get from zip car per annum for allowing these cars to be on the streets? I would like you to respond. Limit these to private car parks.

Loaded questions to push Ringo fee rises. Who is really benefiting from this? Residents should pay less for visitor permits.

London is a car park, one car per residence is the answer.

Look into 'visitor parking' in more detail and more sympathetically to tradesmen. The major problem for everyone is workmen and those carrying out repairs needing parking - and as close as possible to the premises. That's why a 10 hour allocation per household is a good idea. And scratch cards in different lengths of time are great for this. However, it should be easier to buy more for those eg renovating or doing work which benefits everyone. There needs to be a greater number of places for them to be purchased and therefore greater penalties when these visitors have no permit. Could the Council designate certain parking spots on roads for these tradesmen visitors only? And could there be a system where those with parking facilities declare them 'for hire' during the day - but only to people doing necessary work in the area, not commuters looking for parking?

Make Culverley Green a controlled parking zone so that Lewisham Council employees stop parking there.

Launch a campaign encouraging council employees to use public transport.

Make Lewisham parking free for electric vehicles, for a year for example, and the uptake of EV's will increase rapidly and our air quality will follow. We will, of course, require more charging stations to cope with the vehicles no longer polluting the air and, with that in mind, force businesses to become more responsible for their decisions regarding vehicles and waste.

Make parking bays

Make parking for electric or hybrid cars free.

Make parking more expensive. Make car ownership so costly that it is out of reach of 90% of Lewisham households. Increase business rates for petrol stations in the borough (is that possible? - make it so!)

Make public transport better

Stop penalising drivers

Make sure Permits are for cars registered at the address

Make sure this isn't just a revenue raising exercise

Make the offer of free visitor permits clearer by issuing them automatically without having to write a letter individually each permit payment period.

Make visitor parking permits simple to buy and to use

Make visitor permits electronic too rather than paper scratch cards

Make your website easier to use, it is not in the least intuitive. Paying for visitor permits is a nightmare!

Making all parking and non-electric car ownership prohibitively expensive if we are to solve Lewisham's pollution crisis.

Manage parking near Millwall football ground better. On match days the surrounding roads in a large radius are impossible to park.

Many CPZs in the borough are now 2 hour windows, as such a book of 10 visitor permits should reflect this arrangement, i.e. be a book of 10 2 hour permits.

Maybe extend visitors parking to 6:30pm in the evening

Maybe that's already happening but I'm not aware.

Why don't you introduce an app where a resident or a visitor can easily purchase parking time in any CPZ? Sometimes we might get visitors from mainland Europe or from elsewhere in the UK. They have no idea where to park and how the system works.

Adding information to the CPZ signs how they can purchase a parking permit for hours, days etc and purchase them via an app would be great.

The owner of the car should be able to choose the vehicle on a drop down list so the car model (hybrid diesel etc) will actually affect the price.

I know there is an app for public parking spaces but this could be extended to all parking. Even residential parking areas.

Money making from residents again!!!!!!

Monitoring on curbside parking should be increased. With fines for residents that block the footpath with their cars. This is an issue in Downham.

more areas need a CPZ. Culverly Green area is a conservation area and badly needs one.

More areas should be a CPZ

More check by the parking enforcement company

More Electric vehicle chargers are needed . The Source chargers are the most expensive, which is odd considering they're the government ones, prob due to the conservatives. Maybe install more Polar and Pod Point chargers, they are much cheaper. Parking needs to be enforced on the chargers, are regular diesel and petrol cars keep parking on them, meaning electric cars cannot charge their car, which means we then break down.

More free hours for those who have visitors and live in those zones. Also 30 min slots should be available.

More hours should be free to residents with visitors.

More parking inspectors needed

More parking permits over lewisham - particularly revelon road!!

more than 10 free visitor parking hours would be nice

More than 10 hours per year required. Nearly all residents have guests from outside the borough more often.

Must not discourage people from visiting the high street by charging huge amounts for parking in or around town. Otherwise the highstreet could suffer further.

Make a 1 - 2hour stay relatively cheap, but charge a lot for extended stays of 3 or 4hours.

Must of my views have been set out above in that I feel the policy if being applied in a simplistic manner discriminates against the less affluent residents! The wealthy residents who own flash possibly company cars could well afford to pay more. Fundamentally car ownership should be discouraged so new large cars should pay more! I think it would be a more equitable system if allowance was made for actual frequency of use and miles travelled.

Must take into account the needs of those who a) require regular visitors e.g. elderly/disabled. Also for residents who are having work done by tradespeople who need to park.

My friend does not drive or have a parking permit - would the 10 hour free parking still be allocated to her visitors?

My parents are elderly and have no choice but to use their car when visiting and I don't believe I should have to pay to see them.

My road doesn't require parking permits on a weekend so it is impossible to park near my home then

My road has recently had an influx of new cars parking along it. Adding to congestion.

My road is now being used as 'a car park' on weekdays. This is very annoying and might encourage me to move out of London if possible. It is ridiculous that people go to a 'fitness class' by car!

My use of visitor permits is mainly for tradesmen and women working in my home.

My visitors are usually family and are not using it as a car park but coming to see me. This at the moment as cost me £10 for 10 hours. After I have used up my free 10 hours it will then cost me £16. So you are not really giving me anything.

Need residents parking for Girton Road Sydenham. Since you introduced parking charges in the Girton Road car park, we get constant flow of people driving up and down the road looking for free parking on the street.

Needs to not penalise the poor

New developments need to consider parking

nice idea to give residents free parking .but why .

what you give away you have to earn back some how

lets guess oh that right lets put the parking up yipeee

lewisham residents suffer again

question 2 whats the point as all you do is drive cars into the next road .

The council want us to use public transport .so give us some where to park so we can use the train or the bus most people live to far away so have to drive to lewisham to get the train to work

No comments. happy with recommendations

No cpz

No free guest permits. Although it will probably be popular, it is not a good idea. I use guest permits for my visitors, and I would like free ones, but that doesn't make my selfishness good for lewisham residents and businesses. There are not enough resident parking spaces already. Giving away free visitor parking permits will only encourage people to invite more 'guest traffic' into Lewisham. What other effect could it have? Residents who do not use them will sell them to commuters and businesses. Anything that encourages fuel burning vehicles (and therefore pollution) to come into Lewisham needs to be avoided. Do not introduce free permits of any sort. If you pollute, you must pay the price - not make others pay with their health.

No more penalties and money making schemes

No need for free visitor permits. Paid ones are fine.

no permit charge increase!

No thank you

None because it won't make any difference. Because LEE High Road is so busy with Trucks So many cars and Vanes .

North Deptford is already a car park.

Not all about cars

Not all road should have permits

Not at all convinced that parking zones as currently established work at all. There are always problems at the boundary and inside the zone neighbours still struggle to park outside their house, despite paying what may now be as much as their Council Tax...

Not at the moment

Not much point in this

Not only people with a parking permits should be given the 10 hours of visitor parking free. I don't have a car but I do have visitors and workmen that I have to buy permits for. If anything people who don't have permits should be given the free visitor parking as an incentive for giving up or not having a car and being greener! People that own a car and have visitors on top actually equals more emissions so is counter productive!

not really the ringo go app is easy to use as are the other apps available

I had a query yesterday about changing the vehicle on my permit and one of the council workers phoned me promptly this morning to help with my query

Not sure visitor permit pricing needs to be reviewed annually. I think the current arrangements work pretty well, and, unlike resident permits, the pricing remains reasonable,

Not very easy to pay to park on ashmead road via ringo

Offer more free parking for electric vehicles and more charging points

Offering all residents, not just those who own a car and pay for a parking permit, the free visitor parking would be more equitable.

older people on low income can't afford these increases and it would be humiliating for them to have to ask their visitors to pay to see them. This would increase isolation in old age, with a knock on increase in health and social care costs. Self defeating .

On reading the initial proposed policy, it initially stated that there would be 10 hours free parking per annum for a Lewisham Resident who owned one car permit. I see by the question above that you are asking if all Lewisham Residents should have 10 hours of free parking. I believe that as a Lewisham resident who does not have a car and walks or uses public transport that I should have the 10 hours of free parking otherwise your are discriminating against those of us who do not own a car and rewarding those that do own one(or two!) vehicles.

On roads around the train the stations like Hither Green, introduce tighter restrictions on non-resident parking. It becomes challenging for us who live here to find spots!

On your bike

Once again it's not a good move .

It hits the people who are already low income. Who says only the rich can drive.

Once again penalising residents. We pay an extortionate amount in council tax, permits etc for a poorly run infrastructure.

Once again, you have blatantly framed the second part of question 25 to elicit the answer you want. This is utterly unacceptable. You are also raising visitor permit charges without pointing out that you are doing so. Instead of this, why don't you offer more flexibility for visitor parking. Currently you can book for only 1 hour or 5 hours - how about a 2 or a 3 hour rate. In addition, how about a monthly rate. I'm currently having work done on my property and can't see the logic of having to pay a fortune in charges when I have builders here for the next three months.

One house near me have up to five cars per home filling the street at one time - one reason we got rid of our car. Couldn't get near the house! Many with no permits park on our residents only side also and never get parking tickets. That right there is daily revenue to be made. Rarely see wardens.

Online parking permit system could be much better. Consider an app or other simplified user experience. It would be very helpful to be able to buy paper permits from manor house garden library.

Only apply restrictions between 9 and 5. Your current policy penalises residents and their friends, families and business visitors. 10 hours free is insignificant compared to the actual cost.

Only offering 10 hours free visitors parking to residents for a while year seems too little. If we want to reduce the cars being parked and prevent Lewisham from becoming "a car park" then commuters who park on Lewisham streets and then travel into the city need to be tackled; restrictions such as "resident parking 11am-1pm" help with this issue as it prevents commuters from being able to park all day

Only park when visiting my son or picking up my granddaughters but agree that all should be done to help residents health .

Only permit holders should benefit from this. After all, we're paying nearly 10% more council tax effectively to park outside our own houses!

Only those who can demonstrate a lack of means and a necessity for free visitor parking permits should be given them. Everyone else should just pay

Other local authorities, parking permits cost less annual and all households receive a visitor permit for 2 hours free parking per day.

10 hours credit is rediculously low. This should be at least 24 hours minimum.

Our parking permit shot up from £60 to £120 a few years ago which was outrageous!
If it goes up to £220 this will be a ridiculously large increase once again. We shouldn't have to pay so much to park our car outside our house

Our street took part in a CPZ consultation with apathy. I don't own a car but voted in favour of CPZ with visitor car permits. Getting very fed up of people using our road as a car park for the station. If people use the station they will have to use a Zone 3 travel card to get into London anyway.

Our street was a car park for 3 years what about giving us compensation for the stress and inconvenience caused by you?

Parked cars are often a danger to people crossing roads, particularly children, and need to be controlled

Parking

Parking charges should go up year on year

parking congestion is not a function of price per hour visitor permit.

You know perfectly well that price sensitivity is low as people have to be able to park and 50p more an hour is not going to make any difference to parking congestion but it will to your bottom line. You will just use that as another cash cow. Double bubble.

You already get money from the parking permits. Supposedly you say the money thus collected is to run the scheme. You are now overtly saying that you will be shaking down visitors and residents (who don't have a permit) as a punitive 'congestion tax'.

you are posing these questions to justify what you want to do anyway.

if you really wanted to control parking congestion you would just reduce the max length of time non-permit holders can stay thereby excluding commuters for instance. It would not cost anything but obviously the council would not make money.

Parking enforcement should be more visible to act as a deterrent.

Parking fees should not be over the top

Parking for station use is an issue across the borough and impacts locals ability to access local business and services

Parking in areas without access to shops, hospitals, schools or public transport should be much more flexible and should not extend until 7pm on Saturdays.

Parking just isn't an issue before or after the introduction of permits. It has zero effect other than to charge people.

Parking needs to be made more expensive and parking bays need to be removed. Lewisham already is a car park!

Parking permit charges shouldn't be used as a way to extract extra money from residents to cover financial shortfalls in council tax or funding from central government. It should exclusively be there to ensure only residents and their guests are parking in the area.

Charges should only really cover the cost of its administration.

Permits for resident second or third cars are a different matter and should be charged at increasing rates.

Parking permits already expensive and people generally drive and park when public transport / health mean there aren't good alternative options rather than through choice. Feel that this is a way for Lewisham to make itself more money rather than a genuine strategy. Where are the positive steps the borough are making to reduce emissions and make alternatives viable rather than the price penalising measures on their residents? Pressure for more / better public transport? Cycle routes safe to take kids on??

Parking Permits are already very expensive. I think the amount of Permits per Household should be limited to 1 car only and that would itself lead to less cars.

Parking permits could apply to any zone, rather than just my own

Parking permits granted should be reviewed from time to time, to prevent people who have moved out of the borough parking in or near the station and using the permits when local residents cannot get parking space.

Parking permits should be free to exchange among residents

Parking restrictions in a cpz should be limited to 2 hours per day. Any more is not necessary. This is sufficient to stop nuisance all day parking.

Lewisham just seem to want a new revenue fund here ! There are so many cpzs that dont get used where visitors should be able to park.

Buying a visitors permit on the internet is just too complicated. Needs to be simplified.

Parking restrictions on all streets.

Parking restrictions only occur in our area for two hours a day - but you can't buy a two hour visitor permit - only a five hours one . Why?

Parking schemes should not become an income generator but should seek to cover costs of the schemes only

Parking should be considered when housing development is permitted. Houses are being turned into multiple residency blocks, and existing parking is under stress

Parking should be free for everyone. People should have people be able to visit without stress and worry.

I feel this is a government way of isolating people.

We pay road tax. We pay council, why the hell should be we paying to park outside our homes and chrage friends and families who visit.

The parking problem, is the way people park. Taking up too much space. Bloody parking sensors going off when metres away from the next car.

Have parking bays outlined and if you want money, fine those who don't lark withing the markings.

Parking should not be subsidized by LBL. Visitors should be paying for permits as supposed to residents being given free visitor permits.

Parking zones should extend into the weekend - I pay for a parking permit, but work during the week, so the only time when I tend to use my car is at the weekend. When returning home, I often struggle to find anywhere near my house to park, though all of the cars miraculously disappear by Monday morning.

pay and display would help and clearly marked parked zones (car size) to avoid wild parking and people taking 2 spaces rather than 1.

Penalise business permit holders who park in resident bays.

Allow trade vehicles to use visitor permits in car parks not on the residential streets for more than loading as this causes residents to have wait long periods for parking sometimes with engine running

People are living in isolation 10 hours free parking for a whole year is nothing. Even if you visited a resident 1 day a week it's would be used before the ending the month

People are struggling with becoming isolated in a world of social media.

So by penalizing visitors you are making situations worse.

You need free parking for local shops of 30mins to 1hour.

& no parking zones to stop people parking all day for stations but not a 24hr system

People buy visitor parking permits so that friends can visit or tradesmen can park. People in coz zones should not be penalised for having people visit the. £1 an hour is more than enough to pay for a permit.

People have visitors and a high charge of parking could isolate people if they can't afford to pay the parking charge

People have visitors. If visitors are unable to legally park this makes Lewisham a less attractive place to live. People have reason to hire contractors to work on their property and need a space for a work van to park. Again you seem to be discouraging normal social and business interactions for those unfortunate enough to live in a CPZ. Maybe allow Lewisham registered businesses free/reduced rate permits to park in any CPZ to encourage Lewisham residents to hire local tradesmen.

People Parking outside ones house should not have to pay

People should be encouraged to park off the pavement and not to have a car if doing low mileage. Some people need cars for jobs/daily commutes but lots of cars are most of the time "decorating" the pavement.

There needs to be more cycling safer roads around.

People should have a right to park their car somewhere and enable visitors to freely come and go. Parking charges also severely damages local businesses.

People should not have to pay to park outside their home

People who don't own cars also have visitors who own cars.

People who need to park in Lewisham borough to visit family, friends, go to local businesses etc will still park there. Putting more charges in place will not stop people parking, just make life loads more stressful for lots of people. We do not need any more residents parking only. 10 hours visitor parking a year is hardly anything! Also people who need to park for their work should have a badge to be exempt, so they can park in current resident parking areas. My husband needs to park outside customers homes many times every day (he is a roofer) and it is already difficult, if the whole borough was resident only I have no idea how he will manage to continue as he has to drive, no option there, to carry this ladders etc. There must be many people in this position and their needs should be considered.

People who own cars should not be rewarded for doing so with free visitor permits. People who don't own cars have a greater need for such support. Either give everyone ten free permits or nobody.

People with parking permits already get 10 free visitor permits. It says so on your website.

This question seems like a total waste of time

perhaps have a limit on visitor parking at one cost then charge extra if it goes over that limit.

Perhaps the number of cars per household could be factored

Permits

Permits are not needed in every area/ on every road

Permits for visitors should easy to obtain, possibly using a mobile app or telephone purchase.

Permits for small contractors working in the borough should be more easily obtained.

The process of visiting the library with cash is ridiculous.

Remember every increase in cost for parking is passed on to your local residents.

The old process of allowing free parking except for one hour mid-morning, to prevent commuter parking, should be reintroduced.

The practice of allowing residents to park in pay and display bays near Blackheath station should be stopped as they park there all day thereby preventing shoppers using the local facilities.

Permits should only be free for electric cars

Please ban visitors permits to discourage car use

Please can we get rid of needing a book of permits and move to RingGo across the borough or have a system to text for a visitor permit.

Please can you help stop people using the roads where I live as a car park for the station as when I have visitors or deliveries there is nowhere to park!

Please consider volunteers to Lewisham and acknowledge their contribution to the community.

This consultation may impact on volunteers and has not been considered.

Please continue system of selling parking permits at Lewisham library. Not everyone has easy access to a computer and the website is not always easy to use (although it has improved recently).

Please do not raise the cost. we already pay for many other council costs with the money from parking

Please don't make any further CPZs.

Please ensure there is an alternative to the current ringgo system for visitor permits. I understand that you want to reduce/remove the need for paper permits but the current system is not fit for purpose. You can spend 15 minutes trying to buy an hour's permit - sometimes

that is longer than your visitor is even here. Please invest in a simple app that works or retain the paper permits.

Please implement permits in Tannsfeld Road (SE26) as this road is becoming a nightmare to park in.

Please introduce a CPZ between 241 and 259 Hither Green Lane, it is a car park and primarily non residents.

Please introduce CPZ in culverly green area

Please introduce parking restrictions on Sunday in central Lewisham - people park irresponsibly and block roads and drives (ie in Gilmore Road)

Please keep paper parking permits.

Introduction of high charges will encourage people to park across the border of a cpz. Making parking in areas next to cpzs which dont have their own cpz much worse.

Please review car parking on council estates, eg, off Lawn Terrace where cars park on pavements

Please review parking arrangements on Elmira Street at the weekends. It is frequently blocked especially on Sundays due to the amount of cars parked on both sides all the way down to Glass Mill Leisure Centre. This could be helpful in reducing emissions in a particularly polluted part of the borough as it borders the A20.

Please see previous comments regarding the lack of controlled parking on certain streets.

Please try and work out if there is a way to stop people who don't live on a road parking on a road. I live near Crofton park station and we have a lot of non residents using our road as a station car park. Would strongly support resident permits backed by heavy fines.

Please enforce tickets for permit holders parking bays up til 10pm in the summer. Its becoming impossible to find parking places as they are used by cab drivers, local businesses, people using clubs on Lee High Road who often litter the roads as well.

Plenty of other boroughs give there residents their first permit for free plus they are give way more free visitors parking (in all day) I thin to increase my permit by £55 is a lot and I don't think 10 hours of visitors parking is a lot. I understand the need to try and reduce pollution but I'm not sure I agree with at the cost to your residence. I should be able to park my car outside my house and have guest visit me freely! I am also sure there are families who simply can't afford to buy new cars or change there car and I don't think that is fair! This should have been thought about a long time ago by the government and the car Manufacture producing the cars that we have paid for!

Pls.put parking permits on alll.roads within a 0.5 mile radius of forest hill.station as non borough residents park here and go station block.our roads and locals cant park

Polluting commercial and public service vehicles in the borough should be controlled, not only residents.

Pressure on parking is not evident on all roads. CPZs contribute to parking pressure across a wide area - are we to only park in our own zones unless visiting areas with a car park? How about when visiting other areas in the borough, shops without car parks etc?Increased number of CPZs make parking worse and do not contribute to reducing pollution as it takes longer to find a place to stop.

Prices are high enough as it is. There is plenty of parking spaces available so no need to increase prices. Just looks like an opportunity for profit sadly.

Provide enough parking spaces for residents i.e. Czar Street in Deptford has 24 flats and enough room for 17 cars, if parked sensibly. Non residents park freely to go shopping or reside in nearby estates that require a parking-permit, despite a 'Parking for Residents Only' sign in plain sight.

Provide more free visitor permits. To all homes, not everyone with driving visitors can drive themselves.

Provision should be made for people who need regular visits from carers. It would not be fair if they were penalised financially due to their care needs.

Public transport and cycling need to be encouraged

Put in electric charge points to encourage visitors with electric cars.

Put in more CPZs around the Borough.

Putting prices up for visitor parking would do more to discourage car use. Putting up prices for resident permits just gives Lewisham more money. People need to have cars for certain journeys, so the only way to avoid paying the resident charge is to get rid of your car
Putting up parking fees is purely a money making exercise being disguised as a policy to help air quality.

Q25 is a very leading question. To say you are making sure Lewisham doesn't become a car park by increasing charges for parking is not balanced. The parking in Lee Green has been poorly run and it took ages for residents to get you to change the hours you operate
Q25 part 2 is an incredibly leading question and poorly worded if you want a true response (feels like the Council already knows what answer it wants to receive and is tailoring the question accordingly).

Question 25 is badly worded and is too leading.

Question 25-2

I don't agree with the wording of this question. Of course, residents do not want Lewisham to become a car park, but the way the question is worded, if I were to agree, would give Lewisham Council carte blanche to annually raise the charges. It seems a manipulatively worded question.

Raising the Residents permit price for a low emission hybrid smacks of greed when you penalise BOTH a high polluting diesel and a low polluting hybrid too? Shame on you.
rates proposed are a significant increase in costs compared to standard inflation rates and affect both personal visitors and any workmen attending to do for instance maintenance work. As a pensioner these are already significant costs I have to bear from an inflation linked pension.

re the last part of question 25 I don't strongly disagree in principle but this is opinion disguised as a question. You might as well just have asked to you agree with us. Leading questions result in misleading feedback. Very poor survey design. You possibly need consultation on this first!.....or in line with service user involvement possibly co-created consultation processes developed with your residents who vote you in.

Really need to extend CPZ and monitor free supermarket car parks which commuters use
Really the Council should be reducing the hours of operation of the CPZs so that:

Less permits are required,

The spread of CPZs would not be needed as day long parking would be discouraged but visiting shops, the old/disabled etc. wouldn't be discouraged,

More efficient parking would result (e.g. I can't park in front of my own drive).

The Council should also consider that in the next five years electric cars will become the norm, so that if the reduction of air quality is the overarching policy requirement, CPZ income will be greatly reduced.

Really, it's all about money not health.

Parking is about making money. And is a good way to do it.

Reduce price of permits

Reduce prices.

Regarding visitor permits I usually need them for trades people coming to do jobs and if visitor parking is severely restricted how will the plumber, electrician ... be able to park?

Relatives shouldn't have to pay to visit family

Remove all cycle lane and discourage people to cycle as these are dangerous to road users.
Offer discount only on parking for most cleanest vehicle, ie. 50g/km CO2 emission or lower or full electric. Extra Surcharge on all parking for all diesel vehicle new or old.

Remove visitors permits and allow car clubs.

Rentals like ZipCar are particularly annoying as cars are abandoned anywhere, including driveways and resident parking areas, with no regard.

Resident bays should be marked. Not just parking areas as sometimes you only fit 2/3 cars in bays that should fit 5+ because of selfish and careless parking placement
Resident Cars in band 1, with under 100 Co2 should not be charged more!!!

Also

Right now older motorcycles are not tested for emissions and therefore automatically are not ULEZ exempt. This should be changed as this data is available from the manufacturers. For example I own 1999 Suzuki SV650 which is known as a vehicle with low enough emissions to be ULEZ exempt but due to its age this data is not registered on the V5 and therefore is bound to be charged. Which is absolutely unfair to those that like older yet efficient motorcycles. Resident parking permits are a tax used by the council to raise money. Many of the areas they are enforced in don't have any pressure on roadside parking. I appreciate if you live near a station or high street parking can be a nuisance, however I think it's already gone too far.

Resident permit is far too expensive as it is! The first year it was £60, then doubled the following year! Now it's going to be £160... For people on a low income this is simply unaffordable, also most people that are struggling financially have cheap cars that could have high emissions... people with diesel Van's are mostly used by hard working low income people trying to make a living.

Resident should get 10 DAYS worth of permits not 10 hours

Residential roads become a car park in the hours when the controlled parking zones are not active which means that as a resident who pays for a permit it is hard to find a parking space at weekends. Please extend the controlled parking to twenty-four hours seven days a week. Residents can not choose where to park, beyond a mile from their home. If there is a CPZ, this should be enough to ensure residents' needs are being looked after.

With free visitor permits, it should be for all those residents that have purchased visitor permits, not just those with a resident permit. Not everyone owns a car that has visitors. I myself cycle or use public transport for nearly all my journeys. I use car share or rental cars, or friends give me lifts for the remaining 5%. But those time visitor permits are often used. Residents in some areas do not require permits. Yet they are still polluting free of charge, whilst we pay through the nose. This is not fair!!!

Residents know all too well that the roads are full. The visitor permits should be lower cost than the pay and display. Otherwise what's the point? Residents aren't going to have more visitors because you charge more or less visitors because you charge less. It's just another money spinner.

Residents need visitor parking permits - this is key to our everyday enjoyment of our property
Residents of Lewisham borough shouldn't be charged to park outside their homes, if they can provide proof of residence. Residents should be provided with free residents' parking permits. Visitors and workers commuting into the borough can be charged.

Residents parking zone required in Winterstoke road

Residents should be better informed of their parking rights

I get the same council tax letter at least 3 times a year asking me to register.

Waste of paper. That could be used to inform residents of the services available to them

Residents should get a bigger discount for their visitor passes.

Residents should get free permits for guests

Residents should have access to day permits at a discount to parking charges

Residents should have easier facilities and lengthier free facilities for those that visit them.

expensive visitor permits may lead to reducing visitor and isolating some families or individuals from their friends and relatives.

10 hours free visitor parking is not enough. More free hours/day should be granted to residents for visitors.

Residents should have more time to give visitors.

Essential visitors (carers) should be given even more free time.

Health and social care professionals should also have free parking when visiting patients
Residents should have unlimited visitor parking. In order to avoid friends using it as a commuter car park it could be unlimited after 10am. But residents really shouldn't be penalised in order to see friends and family. To be honest I'd actually prefer it if either it was unlimited or visitor permits didn't exist at all. As then friends and family would just pay at the parking metre before they arrive and we wouldn't have to worry about the fuff of sorting out payments for them as soon as they've walked in the door and then actually end up paying for all the people who ever come to visit us by car!

Residents should have many more free visitor permits than that. 10 a year is ridiculous. Many old, housebound people etc rely on visitors. Buying passes is too expensive and they're only for an hour. Should be day passes for residents.

Residents should pay NOTHING to park where they live and visitors should. I have very few visitors to my home due to the fees imposed on me for living in the borough

Residents shouldn't pay for permits

Residents that have driveways should be encouraged to use them.

Residents that live on roads that have parking bays, pay enough already for parking permits for their visitors.

Residents that purchase 3-monthly permits should also be entitled to an amount of free visitor parking.

Residents visitor permits should be slightly cheaper than Pay & Display

Residents without an annual parking permit should be rewarded for not owning a car (which is the best way to reduce air pollution), by giving them a certain amount of free visitor parking permits. This may persuade people to replace car ownership with a car club membership (such as zip car)

Reviewing every year puts too much pressure on budget variation and planning for costs.

Reviewing it every 2-3 years would be more appropriate.

Reviewing parking charges annually probably means putting them up each year so I disagree with that as its normally the resident who ends up paying the parking for their guests anyway so its just another charge for the privilege of guests being able to come and park near our home, again which others not far from my house don't have to pay.

Reviewing visitor permits annually is a good idea but shouldn't automatically mean prices go up or be a means of making extra money.

Reviews always lead to higher parking costs why not make them biannual.

Ringo system is very difficult to use, unreliable would like the council to manage it themselves

Ringo is an excellent way to outsource. Very efficient app.

Rising prices for parking will not discourage people from parking in Lewisham. Like every increase we have to deal with be it TFL, cost of living we suck it up and carry on.

Road tax takes account of using a car on the public highway

Roadside parking and garden hedges often obstructing wheelchair and pram users, and damaging pavements. The former is a real problem near stations, such as Brockley

Same as above. these needs to be done carefully if it's not to further disadvantage the disadvantaged. much better to give people real transport choices than just price them out of their cars.

Saying that Lewisham would 'become a carpark' and linking that to reviewing visitor parking charges - please provide the evidence that supports this.

Scrapping visitor permits can have an adverse effect on the elderly and vulnerable people

See earlier comment about people without cars should also get free visitor parking. There should be no discrimination between car owners and non car owners

See other sections. Introduce 2 hours permits to the whole of the borough. We live 8 minutes from the station with no permits and the street has become a car park. All our neighbours want permits but we have not been offered the chance to consult.

See previous answer

see previous comments. LB Lewisham needs to manage the parking bays as a first exercise. I'm specifically talking about Ivorydown (as that is the area i live) that have way too many illegal driveways within permit bays which limits the parking actually available. The residents of these households think they own the road and LB Lewisham do nothing about it despite complaints

See previous responses

Seems like you are just increasing cost of them to generate revenue

Seriously - that final clause in the second question (copied below) makes this the most shockingly leading question I have ever read in a public consultation. Lewisham must do better than this.

"Do you agree that Lewisham council should review visitor parking permit charges annually to ensure pressure on roadside parking is managed and Lewisham doesn't become a car park?" Seriously? That's 11 mins free parking a week. What a silly idea. No one would appreciate such a miserly gesture.

Should be based on number of permits purchased per household. The more you buy the higher the price.

Should be given more than 10 free permits

Should be more than 10 hours a year.

Should be more than 10hrs for visitor permits. Isolation is root of lots of issues within households in Lewisham in addition to poverty reaching an all time high. There should be at least 40hrs of visitors permits.

Should be offering much more than just 10 hours of free parking per year

Should have included Ringo Parking facility.

Should the council not make Visitor Permits available to people without their own permit as well? I don't own a car, but this doesn't mean I might not get visitors who do. It seems really odd to me that only people who are already contributing to pressure on parking spaces with their own car are entitled to a Visitor Permit.

Should you increase visitors parking permits. I feel this would cause more problems. People who need to visit family members regularly and feel that the price increase is too much each year. They would not visit as frequent, which is not fair. It's impossible to prevent roadside parking on residential areas because the roads are full of residents who own more than one car that parks on the road. Near by restaurants, shops and stations that people use the roads to park and pay as well. So it can be difficult to find a solution.

Shouldn't have to pay that much for visitor parking - seems like this is more about what money you can make from residents.

Sick and elderly receive visitors not out of choice but NEED them as the relatives and professionals HAVE to check on them.

We are one of the poorest boroughs and laying such extra charges on us when we do not earn much is ridiculous. Additional charges to an already low income is not a way of helping to reduce the pollution but additional stress to an already stressful situation.

There is going to be many people suffering from stress related issues because of these proposals. It will affect house prices for consumers.

You are opening another can of worms

Sick of hearing about options re giving more money out to you guys.
Signing up to apply for a parking permit and subsequently pay for visitor permit is extremely frustrating. To start with, just finding your way through the Lewisham website to do so is like going on the hunt for the dodo trail. In a household with 2 responsible adults, it is even more excruciating as only one person has access to the parking permit and therefore only one person can book it.

Since our CPZ was put in the Road is quieter and cleaner

Some elderly or disabled people really depend on family visiting them regularly to help with shopping, personal care or other essential things. If they're only given 10 hours a year this may mean that some disabled residents won't be visited as regularly as they need to by their friends/family. Please consider the needs of disabled/elderly residents. You should give residents that need care support more than 10 hours visitor permits.

Some homes have several cars, this is difficult when street parking as some cars never move! Many houses have been turned into flats - more homes more cars more difficulty parking, I worked a night and had to park far away in a different street at 4:30am it was scary as there were drunks asleep near the shops, I would suggest a maximum of one car per household and if this is agreed it shouldn't be by fee's as the affluent wouldn't care

Some parking for local residents is essential as people use cars for shopping, which is good for local businesses and transporting those who need assistance. In some areas parking restrictions seem to be biased against local residents, for example resident parking could be used for local people between the hours of 10-3 when residents use their cars for work.

Sometimes there are loads of spaces empty as residents are at work causing shoppers to drive round for ages looking for somewhere to park, causing more pollution. Parking restrictions could be reviewed to be more logical and sensible.

Some people work very hard so should be able to drive any car we want. If we all drive small engine cars then the car industry will collapse. Are you going to pay the bills of all those people who then lose their job?

Some residents have to have daily visitors eg carers. I hope their parking permits are free.

Some roads in Lewisham appear to be used as car parks for commuters living in further out who drive part of the way and then catch the bus. This can cause issues for residents trying to park near their homes.

Some things have to be done in a vehicle but others could use public transport. Therefore have different parking rates for business vehicles, eg the plumber, from domestic, eg social visitors.

Some visitor permits should be free.

These should include district nurses, doctors, carers, blue badge holders, etc.

Something needs to be done. Lewisham is really very well served with Public Transport yet I still see cars with a single person in them. It's insane.

The street I live on is empty on a Saturday morning. But it is full again by Monday morning. People are obviously driving from further out and parking so they can get on the train at New Cross Gate. How does this help anyone in the local area? Is the local coffee shop benefitting for instance? I somehow doubt it.

Sort out your website so that it works properly and doesn't default to the following day if purchasing more than one permit per day. Ridiculous.

sounds like a money making scheme for the council rather than an effort to be green still don't understand how residents without cars are expected to cope with their visitors (who may be blue badge holders from outside the Borough) and tradespeople coming to eg make emergency repairs etc. The policy seems to be predicated on the basis that everything people do is planned in advance, but that is not always possible, and certainly not realistic. 10 hours of free parking is not sufficient to resolve these issues, a higher number of hours is required and ALL residents, not just car owners, need to be included.

Stop charging people and make it more affordable to change car with more money and not scamming them always, we bought a car to move the economy not for just fun, now you want

us to change our car out of the blue immediately but do you ask your self we maybe the people's don't have any extra money to spare??? We are already at the limit. Stop this now make better choose help the car owner not dry them from the last drop of blood.

Stop charging residents and their families to park in their own street and charge more for those who aren't a resident or a visitor of a resident.

Stop charging visitors expensive charges to park but make it more affordable.

Stop fly tipping name and shame

Stop cars on Sydenham high street parking on the pavements most weekends and evenings

Stop focusing on cars, you are doing it wrong. Focus on the alternatives (I have a Masters in Transport Design).

Stop increasing charges which hurt the poorest and most vulnerable in our borough

Stop letting zip cars take up parking spaces the spaces available for residents is few and far between.

Residents on low incomes should be given a reduced rate for parking permits.

Each resident should be allowed 1 permit for 1 car free each year

Stop profiting off the people

Stop the amount of extortionate parking costs for residents and visitors. You're making enough money already with parking fines.

Stop the weekend influx - make cox parking 24/7

Stop using CPZ and Parking Permits as a Council Revenue Source and simply Ban Cars.

Stop using motorists as a cash cow and come up with some real innovative ideas, people like me who are given a car by their company have no choice as to what type of vehicles we drive. My employer is still issuing diesel vehicles despite political opinion therefore I (and others like me) will be penalised with a surcharge for something that we can do nothing about, whilst I appreciate the motivation behind this idea it is inherently unfair.

Stop using residents as a way to make money - you charge for everything

Stop zip cars filling up residents bays! Theres not enough bays as it is without these zipcars blocking them up all and not moving for ages.

Stopping people visiting does not help social exclusion and loneliness - a major problem.

Lewisham has some of the worst public transport in London.

Streets in Lewisham are already car parks!

Surely Lewisham needed a car park at the station well before it needed the tower blocks that have been built? If the selling proposal is that there are unrivalled train connections, then how are out of towners to get to them? Parking at the Lewisham Centre and crossing six lanes of traffic is hardly an attractive prospect. Again, to the ordinary resident, town- planners seem hopeless.

Surely Lewisham should be ensuring with all the flat la built that there is adequate parking.

Most the issues witnessed are from new development blocks being built of flats and no allocated parking so pressure is put on all roads nearby causing stress for all.

Surprised that visitor permit prices will increase for buying in bulk

ten hours free parking permits could be used in two days. this is a drop in the ocean and a pathetic offering by the council

thank you

That last question is obviously worded in a way that will get the answer you want. If Lewisham is becoming a "car park" then it's not because of people using visitor permits, who will be visiting someone in the borough. For this reason, visitor permits and pay and display prices should be kept separate and not brought in line - then the council is free to increase pay and display prices in targeted areas without affecting visitor permits.

That second question is phrased in a biased manor and is pushing for an agreement

That was one of the most loaded questions I have ever seen in a consultation:
"to ensure Lewisham doesn't become a car park?"

Disgraceful question and I hope you ignore any responses.

The 'book' of 10 x 1hour parking should remain at £10

The 'gift' of 10 hourly visitor permits is a joke. What evidence is this based on? So Lewisham is saying that most visits by Friends and Family only last an hour? Which is rubbish.

It's important that people are able to receive guests without financial burden or penalty. If an average visit by a friend etc is 2/4 hours then these 10 free tickets will be used in a matter of weeks! Crap policy based, giving away little and the visitor permit system is a tax on maintaining important relationships.

The 10 free hours is already a policy! This is disingenous to get support for the only positive thing in your new proposals.

The 10 hours free visitor permits are only for those who have the cash to pay annually. This is a horrible policy. Why should I hand you my money for the whole year? In my bank account it can be earning interest if I pay quarterly. Why do you exclude me from the free permits scheme for being prudent with money?

The 10 hours of free visitor parking should be provided to all households in CPZs, not just those having their own permits.

The 10 hours should be increased to 12 hours. At least one hour free per month.

the 1st question in 25 is again skewed to deliver the answer you want . I am against any limit on parking that residents need to live their lives and interact with others.

The parking wardens check should only be to ensure residents are not selling permits to commuters.i.e regular parkers.

I do not agree with regular reviews of the charging level as this is not necessary. Once parking is defined as residents only then Lewisham cannot become a carpark. Increased charges can only be the Council exploiting the situation to make money out of the residents. i.e. a further tax on the residents.

The 3rd question here seems to imply the raising of the permit price yearly. If so it should only go up in line with inflation

The 60% increase is disappointing, I have to use visitor permits often when family or friends or workmen visit as we only have room on our driveway for a small car. This is going to be a big additional cost for me. Whilst I agree public transport should always be preferable, sometimes its not an option.

The above question is badly written - very biased and short sighted. Many people provide important services and drive to work; carers for example. Or on a more personal level for example my mum drives up twice a week to care for my daughter so I can work, which makes me a higher income tax payer and I contribute to the country in that way.

Yes the council should continue to revise and evolve policy and and permits, but not because 'Lewisham doesn't become a car park'. Cars aren't left for no reason. It's people living their lives. Charging more money may not reduce the number of cars. Or it might but it might contribute more to other issues, isolated elderly people or new mums because it's impossible or expensive to park nearby etc. I just ask that you consider the wider picture that it's not just about cars, but people needing to get around to live their lives.

I think Uber pool was a great idea. The government should be the one innovating schemes like this to get people car sharing and moving around.

Do you agree that Lewisham council should review visitor parking permit charges annually to ensure pressure on roadside parking is managed and Lewisham doesn't become a car park?

The above question is not balanced - the link between the various points is not linear.

Lewisham will not become a car park as there is a limit to the parking in the borough. This is a

badly worded and loaded question - designed to get people to agree to annual fee increases by creating fear.

the above question is so typically misleading but that is what we can expect from you. you only want to review to boost the charge. you will ignore this consultation anyway.

Thinks about 10hr per year can you accumulate it. If someone comes to repair your fridge that your years parking done and you have to pay for the repairman. if it were 1 hr per week accumulating then that maybe helpful but you won't make any money which defeats your objective.

The app used to book in parking is rubbish. It's also crap that you can't book an exact time for a permit - why does it jump from 5 hours to a day permit? What if you want something in between - why should you have to pay the full day cost?

The book of 10 one hour permits at £16.00 is steep and it should be reduced. Residents should pay less than people using a meter

The booking system used to buy visitor permits is terrible

You need to introduce a simpler system

The borough creates the problem in many ways and then charges the population for the experience.

The business owner is forgotten in all this

The charge is too high you started off on £25 a year now it's £125 a year to get to park on my road.

The Charges are far too high for the right to park outside your own house.

I pay Road Tax and that should entitle me to park my car on any roads

The comment made earlier re blue-badge holders applies here ...

The cost for additional hours after the ten free hours is too high.

Will the ten free hours be able to be split into 30 minute segments? Otherwise there will be wastage within in the ten free hours.

The cost of a parking permit in Lewisham is high and I'm really concerned that you will just keep putting it up and that this will not reduce the number of cars in residential roads except for a few of the poorer residents who maybe close to not being able to afford one already.

When the permit zones were first brought in at a reasonable price and with a view to giving residents the ability to finally park outside their home, this was great news and welcomed. A couple of years later it doubled in price and I doubt reflects the running cost of the scheme, it seems more about making money from residents because they dare to own a car.

Most people who already own a car and have a permit for this will likely continue to do so, I presume most people reading this will also own a car and increasing prices will not change this. Lewisham are doing just fine in limiting the 'car park' scenario with lots of no parking areas and limited parking spaces on very busy roads.

If you plan to continue to make residents pay so much for the privilege of owning a car would you be kind enough to pop a leaflet through my door letting me know how much you made from us and where exactly you're spending all that extra money.

Thanks for asking, I really do hope that my comments will be considered.

The Council (& Ringo) should offer 1 hr, 2hr or 5 hr options for Visitor parking permits. If I have a visitor for more than 1hr, I have to pay parking for 5hrs, when the parking restrictions only last for 2 hours.

The council has to be mindful that some isolated people may need frequent visits from relatives or friends in order to reduce their reliance on social care, and the temptation of making an easy buck from parking charges should be mitigated against this, or access to shopping.

The council needs to understand that people need to be able to park to carry out their business. Policies should not set out to make to make daily life difficult for people

The Council should reduce the resident parking charges and also give each resident a free visitor permit to be used by visitors!

The council should work with the hospital to negotiate a quicker and easier way to register a large number of permits.

There should also be consideration to a reduced cost for large numbers of permits.

The CPZ annual charge was arbitrarily doubled a couple of years after it was introduced even though the hours of operation are now significantly reduced.

My prospective saving of £5 per year is rather disappointing.

The number of free visitor permits is pitiful. In any case they should be sent by default, not only after request.

The CPZ has been a road cancer that just pushes parking problems to the next closest non-CPZ road. The different hours, prices, visitor pay meters in some roads and not in others is a complete nightmare. There should be a 15-30min 'grace period' EVERYWHERE for drivers just dropping off or collecting before a ticket can be issued. If you stop in a loading zone to collect or deliver something for 5min and DON'T have a van, you get a ticket. Being pollution conscious is good. But the draconian HATE of cars and drivers by local and national government is not helping. You want to reduce pollution by 30-50%? Make parking easier, cheaper or free.

The current policy of only providing 10 free passes if requested is very poor and no doubt results in many people being unaware and not taking it up. It is positive though overdue that this is changing.

The current policy seems fairly sensible. At least I have no issues with parking on my road (which requires permits), and there is space for a few visitors - but not excessive space. This therefore seems the right balance at the moment.

The current process to purchase visitor parking permits which we need to use for occasional family, friends and tradesperson visits is difficult, confusing and time consuming. The website is a real struggle to order scratchcards and I am not sure your Ringo process is fit for purpose. It also seems to be open to some abuse. It also seems somewhat open to abuse.

Please recognise there is an accessibility issue for those of a generation who are less likely to manage all transactions from a mobile phone! Residents should expect to maintain easy access to street parking for friends and visitors, and I am not sure the Council should aim to make this more difficult while realising an appropriate balance to avoid some areas being a "car park"

The current way of purchasing visitor permits - via Lewisham library at only certain times - is very inconvenient and archaic. An online system is long overdue.

The difficulty of parking puts me off coming to Lewisham for shopping, leisure and other activities.

The dingo app needs to be improved and simplified. Cash payment options alternatives need to always be present. What happens when phone batteries die. Internet is not available?

The free 10 hour pass should include all residents not just those already with resident parking permits and actually should give more allowance to those without a permit already to disincentivize car ownership and therefore usage

The important point is to monitor pressure on our specific roads / zone. The new zone has worked well - no more pressure on parking spaces. A price hike is not required. Keep the rates at £1.40 for Ladywell West.

The increased cost per hour has not been adequately justified.

The introduction of CPZ 's has greatly improved the parking situation for residents and their visitors. However, to review the parking charges annually would be seen as a money making ruse by the council rather than a method of improving parking facilities for Lewisham residents. If parking charges continue to increase on a yearly basis it will disadvantage residents who cannot afford to buy a newer, less polluting car at that time. If the real reason is to generate income then increase charges for non Lewisham residents, who may be using Lewisham streets as a car park to facilitate travel elsewhere rather than those of us who live here and pay our council tax to Lewisham.

Also I prefer to buy scratch cards for my visitors which is easier for me than trying to do it online. Please keep this option.

The issue clearly isn't with the 'parkers' and putting charges into them seems ridiculous, surely it's more about the people driving through the borough given it's a direct path into London?

The language used in questions is very emotive and obviously shows what response you want people to give.

The main pressure on street parking comes from the council allowing houses which were once single family homes being divided into flats. Some councils allow this only if one off street parking place is provided for each flat

The more CPZ there are the more cars just get moved around the borough. Our road was quiet until CPZ came in on surrounding roads, then we were full of mainly work vans. As a result we were 'forced' to become a CPZ road.

The more difficult you make for family and friends to visit the less family friendly our homes become

The new prices are very expensive to pay for builders and repair engineers who will park to do work in my house. I have to pay for this!

The offer of 10 hours of free visitor parking each year should be made to households that don't have a car for their visitors.

the online process for buying visitor permits is a pain in the hoop: sort it

The online system for buying visitor permits is slow, elaborate and inconvenient. The old paper scratch card version was much simpler and easier.

The parking charges are already high and you want to raise them? This is unbelievable you already receive a crazy amount of money from people over fines now you want to charge more when people try and park correctly

The parking for residents is definitely getting worse. I often struggle to find a space on my own road

The parking permit fees should absolutely not be increased annually. There is no need for this. The only reason to keep increasing the price is a money generator for the council.

Residents who live in a CPZ have no choice but to buy the permit if they own a car or pay for visitors to park. They shouldn't also face price gouging. There appears to be no correlation between increasing prices of the permits and "preventing Lewisham from becoming a car park". The parking permits are limited by the number of households within the CPZ. If these households want to park their cars they have a right to a permit. Either the council is suggesting that they can limit the numbers of cars by pricing the permits out of the reach of some people (disproportionately disadvantaging the poorer households) or they are being misleading.

The parking system is very confusing and hasn't been improved to help residents, the signing up process is difficult and results in residents not being able to park or book residents in.

the parking zones need to be changed in line with where you live

The permits used to be checked several times a day but for last couple of years the permit checker only visits possibly once a week if that. So there maybe many cars parked without permits. without a visual permit its impossible to tell if the have or not.

It would be useful to have a permit checker on your website, to check other vehicles.

The phase of the second part of question 25 is incredibly leading - 'ensure Lewisham doesn't become a car park?'

Are you able to provide any evidence that proves the cost of visitor permits puts people off visiting friends/family in a car and reduces pressure on roadside parking? I don't think an increase of 60p an hour will change peoples habits. Again it feels like a way of providing more revenue to the council and passing it off as in aid of reducing pollution. I'm happy for pay and display charges to be increased but when you've got people visiting you it doesn't feel right they should have to pay so much.

The pressure on roads in Lewisham is increased by the amount of traffic associated with construction projects. This does not appear to be appropriately addressed in planning. It

causes roads to have seriously high numbers of vehicles idling within meters of residential property. This combined with the council's seemingly blatant disregard for existing green spaces in the borough means that I am extremely concerned about the impact of environmental factors on the health and well-being of my family but with no ability to control or influence those factors. The council has a duty to its residents to do more to maintain and increase green spaces to offset the damage vehicles and other pollutants are causing and consulting on vehicle permits is just a distraction.

The price of longer term permits for visitors is already prohibitive and I do not agree with increasing it. It adds a ridiculous amount to the cost of having a builder or gardener or similar working on your house. When our elderly relatives visit we pay a fortune for parking. We are in a 9am-7pm permit zone, so we can't even schedule visits around. If the CPZ areas were reduced in length, to deter commuters, an increase might be tolerable.

The prices above don't seem too bad but they cannot keep rising.

Maybe stop free parking in council car parks on Sundays and around Christmas

The prices for visitor permits should stay at current rates

The pricing review should be on the 3+ month permits rather than the hourly/daily ones.

Otherwise you are penalising residents for living in the borough.

The proposal to restrict visitor parking to 1 hour (no return in 2 hours) in order to prevent commuters using remote payment to cover the 2 hour charged period will unjustly affect genuine visitor that need to park for more than 1 hour outside of the 2 hour charged period.

You will need to find another solution.

Or you will need some form of accreditation for genuine visitors to park for more than 1 hour for free outside of the 2 hour charged period.

The question above is badly phrased and highly biased. The price of a visitor permit charge is a separate question to pressure on parking. Having "become a car park" in the question is emotive language and unprofessional. They would be better as two questions. Should Lewisham review annual visitors parking charges annually, yes. Should they also review their use, also yes. Currently we are encouraged not to park on our front gardens to ensure the maintenance of green spaces but if the price of on-street parking becomes high more people will pave over their gardens to park. Visitors permits are for occasional use, parents visiting or children visiting old parents who are too ill and old to drive themselves. Neighbours in their 80s' don't drive and are too ill to go out. They have a beautiful front garden and so no off street parking. They used lots and lots of visitors permits for their hairdresser, podiatrist, and friends all come to the house. Please find carefully about putting the price up on visitors permits. Everyone in a controlled parking zone should get 10 FREE permits a year whether or not they buy a permit. These neighbours don't contribute to car parking problems themselves being non-car owners but they do rely on lots of visitors. From the bias in this questionnaire Lewisham is obviously an anti-car borough but for some people cars are a necessary evil.

the questions above are pointless.

Your review will only end up increasing charges as you have done in the last few years. Your 300% increase is proof of that. You of course will not deny that.

10 hr per year is pathetic. especially for old people who have family member not visiting as it is so expensive.

The questions are clearly biased. All residents - whether they have their own vehicle or now-should be supplied with visitor permits. 10 hours per year? That is inadequate. The parking permit system is a huge barrier to contact with relatives. Really prevents family from popping by to check up on elderly relatives. Try more joined up thinking.

The questions are no designed to allow people to ask for permanent visitor parking permits... It is a directed survey to get the answers you want. Not good.

The questions are not worded in a neutral manner; “and Lewisham doesn’t become a car park”. If the opinion of the Council is so biased from the outset, I’m not sure of the purpose of this survey other than to seek confirmation of those views. This should be impartially positioned and evidently it isn’t.

The questions are phrased in a very biased way, they should be neutral in a survey. People need visitor passes so that friends and family can visit them, not because they want to create a 'car park'. Many of these people are on low incomes and increasing charges for them to have visitors is not fair.

The questions you have provided are very bias towards the proposal there was no need to put “to ensure Lewisham does not become a car park” within the question. This is a leading and very bias question to get people to agree with the statement which I’m sure the council would like

The real focus should be on the needs of people who are just occasionally need visitor permits.

the revenue should largely be used to provide better cycle paths and pedestrian ways away from traffic

The Ringgo app continues to be terrible

The Ringgo app works well if you're a visitor paying for parking (enter the location number and you're away) but it is impossible to use as a resident to buy a visitor permit in the app. So then have to go on their website (not optimised for mobile) and pretty painful to figure it out. Needs to be added to mobile.

The Ringgo online permit system needs to be streamlined - it's hard to navigate, even though I use this type of system a lot - not at all user friendly

The Ringgo system is great, we use it all the time and we are happy with how it all works.

The ringo parking system is particularly poor. It is not user friendly and should be replaced.

Residents should be able to have trades people or other people visiting without worrying about the cost of this or that they will be fined if they make a mistake with the parking.

The second part of question 25 has been designed to lead participants to a specific answer. As such, this undermines the validity of this entire consultation.

The second question in Q25 is a leading one. I'm sure no-one would like Lewisham to become a car park, but this doesn't necessarily mean charges need to be review annually.

The street where I live has, in effect, already become a car park. I’m not confident that what’s proposed will have any positive effect on the issues being felt. Also, I don’t see why 10 free tickets should be given to those annual permit holders over those who don’t have a need for an annual permit.

The system for buying visitor parking tickets is a bit of a difficult one.

The system is adequate as it is...it does not need changing. The ulez already fixes this problem

The system of using the app to book/pay for visitor permits is helpful and easy

The system of visitor permits through RingGo works very well.

The ten hour free parking permits should be available in single permit form, delivered to the householder for their visitors as accessing the website to arrange permits is, at present such a difficult task.

Will your system for accessing parking be improved to cover all eventualities. It is truly dreadful at the moment.

The ten hours free parking is not changing. It’s just being called something else?!

The thing I worry about is people with significant health needs that might need a lot of visitors with a car to help them do normal things like shopping and attending health appointments. We need to be sure that we're not increasing health and socioeconomic differences in this group by making them pay lots more for having these extra needs. There's a bit of me that thinks that perhaps those with the most polluting (i.e. bigger and flashier) cars don't get any free parking permits (so I've just changed my answer to that question!) If they can afford a big 4 wheel drive car then they can pay for their mates' no doubt big polluting cars to be parked in

our deprived and polluted borough! There will be a few people that might lose out so you'd need to carefully select the band but the more I think about this the more I think it's a good idea. Maybe just give them 3 free hours a year (or be brave and give none!) I suppose you could band it but that then gets more complicated to administer and understand. Yes, use this money to subsidise people with complex health needs please! I've just answered the section on disabled parking and had to look up the blue badge scheme, and that perhaps could be your criteria for extra free visitor parking permits, including more for blue badge holders without a car who will rely more on visitors to help them. What about also incorporating council tax band so that those in the highest bands also don't get free visitor parking as their likely to be better off. If you sell it as being able to prove more support for people with complex needs then surely people will understand.

The time of CPZs should be reviewed. To 'prevent the area being used as a car park', if that's a concern, limited time frames each day could be used, say 10-12 or 2-4. All day restricted parking makes it very difficult to arrange visits for vulnerable people, or to have brief assistance in the home eg from workmen.

The times the controlled parking is in operations is far too long - you need the controlled parking times to be a couple of hours in the middle of the day so that commuters don't park in residential roads all day, and having the hours of operation from 10-2pm would stop this. Instead my road in zone H is from 9am - 7pm which means visitors to our house, or contactors or other people can't come without paying for a visitors permit until 7pm which is way incredibly frustrating. Please shorten your controlled parking times so that people, for example the elderly, can have visitors and don't need to pay.

The use and cost of parking permits, and visitors permits isolates individuals, there should be no cost.

The use of car pool cars should be reviewed and only certain spaces allocated for their parking. I say this as they get abandoned in our road for a long time after one use and the normal flow of residents being able to park and move their cars easily is really disrupted. I also really don't appreciate having to look at one of these cars outside my house with all the advertising on.

The visitor parking charges are already high and parking is controlled. I'm not sure what more needs to be done here unless your aim is simply to increase revenue.

The visitor parking permit should be charged at a cheaper rate than pay & display.

Sometimes you get out of pocket as if you are inviting a visitor round then you have to pay for the permit as it does not seem right to ask the visitor to pay out.

The visitor parking scheme review should include looking at how best to help those who rely on friends and relative such as the elderly or those with special needs to receive more free visitor permits.

The visitor permit should only be used for vehicles less than 3 Years old. vehicles old visiting the borough would Need to park in the a pay and display bay and a surcharge would be levied in accordance to the age of the vehicle. Instead of £1.60 per hour. £3.00 for a car more the 3 years old. Newer cars are less polluting. Those who can't afford a new car, could consider using a zip car when visiting a relative in Lewisham.

The website to buy visitor permits is ridiculously complicated and needs to be improved

The whole point of parking zones as originally spelled out to residents was to make it easier for residents to park where they live. Previously there were excessive numbers of commuter cars parking.

When consulted we agreed to the notion of having less problems finding parking spaces NOT to the local authority using our streets as an income generation scheme. It is ludicrous that we have to pay to park outside our houses. I understand totally that there are cost implications attached to ensuring no parking zones are properly policed, but this should be done at the lowest possible cost to residents. As far as emission controls are concerned TFL has this already under consideration. It doesn't need LBC to add to motorists costs by charging again for higher emission vehicles.

The wording of "Lewisham doesn't become a car park" is completely inappropriate for a survey and will drive biased responses. This is embarrassing.

There already are too many people illegally parking in the borough. Extend the restriction hours, increase the wardens and limit car permits in households.

There are also a number of rental cars across the borough. Companies operating should be encouraged to provide more electric and low emissions vehicles. Additional electric charging points would be required.

There are far too many permit roads in Lewisham which make it impossible to go to some places. The car parking fees in the borough are extortionate compared to say Bromley for example. This puts me off going to Lewisham, Catford etc shopping etc and so it would appear that you are shooting yourself in the foot and driving business away from the borough. There are insufficient parking spaces in the borough.

There are insufficient safe car parks too much is now CCTV this reminds me of the sketch by Hancock when the Police tell him 'if you are murdered in the street we will have good picture of it'.

There are those within the borough who are visited by friends/family so they are not isolated. High parking costs could seriously affect these people.

There has been no mention of those drivers that luckily still live on roads within the borough that have not yet succumbed or been pulled into Permit Parking roads.

What do these car owners do?

There have been some issues with purchasing resident visitor parking permits online. If I want to buy a day parking permit for a visitor and then buy a permit for them for the next day in preparation for the duration of their stay the system won't let me do it straight away.

There is a fine balance between discouraging car usage and maintaining commercial areas in the borough. Sometimes, people need to use their cars: if they need to transport large items or large quantities of shopping; when they have to travel longer distances and public transport is not accessible. Increasing parking charges will not deter car use in these circumstances. People will simply drive to other boroughs where regulations are less restrictive, taking their business with them. I do not think that parking charges will achieve any significant reduction in car use. The introduction of more and more controlled zones just penalises Lewisham residents for owning a car. It does very little to improve air quality or reduce parked cars on our roads. There are other more effective strategies to consider.

There is a high pressure on roadsides around Arklow Road / Edward Street sometimes it is really dangerous to cycle here as there is not enough space on the road and the drivers often force their way.

There is no published information or evidence that visitors to the Borough are turning the Lewisham into a car park.

The pricing for visitor parking is already far too expensive.

There is no evidence provided as to the contribution made by visitors to residents of the borough. From my personal experience most park and visit family and friends.

The significant number of vehicles using the Borough to commute to the centre of London are a much more significant issue.

There is unlikely to be any less pressure on parking in Lewisham until the population stabilises. As long as more people come to live here more parking spaces will be required. There must be something more you can do than tax parking...please will just park along the roads and it will become a problem for residents. Tax the roads heavily instead and make it illegal to drive old vans/lorries and busses.

There need to be enough bays for visitors- also pay and display spaces near doctors surgeries.

There needs to be a balance between managing the legitimate needs of visitors with the money making opportunities this creates

There should be a more streamlined, easier way to buy visitor permits online
There should be a separate permit for people who are currently termed visitors, but are actually required by the resident within the parking zone. For example, family/friends who assist with childcare regularly (eg daily or several times a week), or people who are looking after family but are not termed 'carers' in the legal definition Lewisham Council use for parking permits.

There should be an allowance for diesel can that belong to workers otherwise it will become prohibitively expensive to carry out any maintenance on houses which will reduce the desirability of the council to live in if residents have to pay £50 extra to fix their plumbing etc.

There should be concessions for the elderly and infirm who require more frequent visitors
There should be more pay bays on roads or it should be easier to put a visitor permit on your own account. The website that Lewisham use to pay for visitor permits is appalling and really hard to use. When you try to speak to someone at Lewisham you get the "it's been outsourced, nothing to do with us" line which is no help at all.

There should be more space for resident permits

There should be more time options for parking - we can currently buy 1 hour, 5hours or a full day but that means either constantly paying for 1 hour at a time, or spending on a full day when you don't need to. It might also encourage people to only stay for the time they need instead of parking for 5hrs when it's not needed.

There should be more visitor parking concessions for people who need medical or social care. Childcare permits should be available at a similar rate to resident permits. "Park and stride" permits should be available at a very low cost for underused parking spaces a short walk from schools to reduce dangerous parking and emissions near Lewisham schools. E.g. rarely used spaces on the heath could be used to serve John Ball and All Saints schools in Blackheath. The parking situation is so bad around John Ball that I'm worried that there will be a serious accident.

There should be more visitor permits. 10 hours per house per year is ridiculous

There should be no limit or charge for visitors residents.

There should be options for residents with care needs to have a greater number of visitor books for free as it is effectively a charge for being disabled

There should be reusable permits for tradesmen who only attend for short periods.

There will need to be a system to manage the purchase of permits on behalf of commuters
These are all just money making exercises , squeezing the motorist more and more ! Parking prices already cost enough if you need to park for more than one hour , pushing up the costs to do some shopping or stop for a coffee or lunch or even socialise with friends . Parking prices do not need to rise any more . Resident visitor permits are £1 per hour if I'm not mistaken and to put them up to £1.60 is squeezing the less well off even more as with the other parking charges . Also if you have to have work done on your property the parking charges soon mount up . Not all house owners are well off . Lots of other bills including council tax have gone up so we don't need any more rises. I'm sure most people's poultry wage/pension rises don't cover all the other increases . Please don't try and justify all these increases with phrases like managing parking , that's already being done and if you already have/need a car you are not going to get rid of it .

These changes will only be effective if they are enforced. The council must increase the number of enforcement officers, and ensure their interventions are effective (e.g. varied times of day/week). In 5 years living in Catford South I have never seen a parking attendant issuing tickets!

These charges are far too high. They should be reduced and only increased if and when the number of spaces available in a CPZ falls below a certain level.

These costs quoted above are ridiculous. The costs are far too high. I currently pay £3 for a page of 24 hour lines. The difference is huge. My visiting family would find these costs too much and could effect the amount of times they visit me. I dont want to be isolated.

Who will benefit from this increase? Where will all this money go? Seems like a good excuse to get more money out of us. This looks like money making scheme not a deterrent scheme. These permits are way too cheap to use valuable public space for machines that are literally killing us and polluting the air we breath.

These questions are disturbingly slanted towards getting the answer you want. If the second part of question 25 had been phrased, "Do you agree that Lewisham council should attempt to keep parking charges as low as possible without compromising their function to keep parking levels manageable?" you would have got a different answer.

Really poor job here.

These questions are ethically misleading and favour scaremongering Lewisham is no more at risk of becoming a car park than any other town with a train station. Stop trying to pull the wool over people's eyes as if it's not hard enough to get on the property ladder and even when you get there you may only own a quarter of your home but then they council want you to pay to park outside your own property or however much of it legally belongs to you. Ludicrous! But my response is just a drop in the ocean because many would have been brainwashed at Q25b. This questionnaire should not have passed an ethics board. Brexit all over again.

Think 10hrs is too low if it is per year. If it is per month, that is fine.

Think of something else constructive tondo other money/revenue generating schemes at the expense of your residents.

Think you should make the 10 hrs free available to all, bit unfair to reward those who already have a car

This 10 hours visitors permit has not been issued to me in the last few years. I received it only ones since the start of the parking permit was introduced in the borough. I have been struggling with this issue. Nobody can visit me due 2 the parking problem. If they have 2 visit they have 2 come @ night which is not ideal. I also do not understand that people can come & park in front of my house/my space which I have paid 4 & I cannot park in it when I come back from work because someone has already parked there. So I have 2 drive around 2 other streets looking 4 a parking place. I think this is not fair & needs 2 be reviewed. My suggestion is that the council should build a parking place 4 people who come from far 2 do their shopping or want 2 park their cars & go on holiday, this will prevent these people from blocking the residence space

This does not appear to be a change - only replacing paper booklets with 10 hours of parking credit.

I don't like the emotive language used in these questions ('Lewisham doesn't become a car park')

This element of the proposal DISADVANTAGES anyone without a car!!!

I do not have a car and so do not have a parking permit. That makes it harder for me to enable visitors and workmen to visit than if I owned a car. This doesn't make sense.

Make it easier for people with NO parking permit to get visitor permits. In fact, GIVE people with no cars FREE visitor permits to encourage people to get rid of their cars.

This increase in price for visitors permits is unfair. Workmen such as plumbers have to visit by car and I consider the system a heavy burden. It will not reduce traffic congestion. I park off road so I do not have a parking permit. Your new system would also penalise those who do not have a car but still need workmen to visit or care workers for the elderly.

Maybe all residents should have a certain number of free parking permits.

Commuters can be stopped from parking all day by short periods when they are not allowed to park.

If permits can only be bought online, what about the elderly or poor who do not use computers?

YOU ARE DISCRIMINATING AGAINST THE WRONG PEOPLE

This is a bit of a gimmick, suggesting that increasing parking charges for residents will have any impact on pollution.

This is a difficult problem to solve, but restricting visitor permits isn't a good solution. If we're trying to stop people selling permits to people who want to park close to a station that's one thing, but using visitor permits to cut down on air pollution isn't sensible.

If I need a tradesman/woman to visit (plumber/electrician etc.), then I'll need to provide a visitor permit. It's not feasible for plumbers/electricians etc. to come on the bus as they need to bring their tools in their van.

If friends from outside London come to visit (particularly with children), it's again not feasible for them to travel by public transport, but again I need to obtain a visitor permit.

What about people who need carers to visit them frequently?

What about elderly visitors who, again, can't feasibly travel a long distance by public transport (and who may not qualify for a disabled permit) but who may need to park close to my house?

This is a good incentive.

This is a massive increase in charges for visitor permits. I don't see any logic in making them the same as pay-and-display - we have to pay for visitor permits in advance. The pay-and-display charges are already too high and discourage doing business, shopping etc. in Lewisham. 'Review Annually' simply means imposing increases greatly above the rate of inflation.

This is a money making scheme and serves no purpose in air pollution

This is a scam consultation trying to justify parking rate hikes.

This is a significant increase in price per hour and limits the ability to have visitors or trades visiting without extra expense. This may target the older and more vulnerable unfairly.

This is a very significant increase in the hourly parking rate for visitor permits. Particularly as the current 'book of 10' cost £1. This policy damages the community spirit by discouraging people to drop in when passing friends

This is a way for the council to increase its revenue. There is already hardly anywhere in Lewisham where a driver can park without having to pay anyways (at least during the day). And parking permits do not apply after hours.

This is an additional tax which is unnecessary for the vast majority of residents. The rates quoted will increase. I don't understand how charging people to park will help with air pollution. This is a money making scheme for the council which is unwanted by the vast majority.

This is an issue which causes major inconvenience to me and many other people. I live in a CPZ and do not own a car. At present, you can purchase (from the library) 10 temporary permits for £10 on production of your Council Tax bill - I notice that this is increasing to £ 16. It is particularly aggravating in the case of emergency trade call outs (e.g. plumber, electrician) who arrive at short notice and do not know in advance how long a job will take. I don't see why residents should have to pay any tax on visitors - people lucky enough to live outside a CPZ do not have to do this. From what I can make of these proposals, this issue will become worse.

This is another assumptive and leading set of questions.

This is another scheme to extort money from hardworking people who have little disposable income. Lewisham council should wait until London adopts the lower emission zone which comes into effect September 2021. This move by Lewisham council can be classified as fraud.

This is another way to impose stealth charging. So I disagree with increasing charges.

This is just fleecing poor struggling residents and making more money for your executives to pay themselves.

this is just wickedness

This is working well in my area.

I'd be happier if LBC owned it all do that there is no distinction from estate parking.

I'd also like for the agreement with the CPZ business to give LBC more control. It can feel like the council have no control at all.

This questionnaire is severely biased to get the answers you want. The wording of the question above is LOADED

This should be about Lewisham stopping so many cars and encouraging other forms of transport. ALL money it makes from parking permits should be made public and that money spent on sustainable transport (cycling and walking mainly and some public transport).

This should only apply to those who DO NOT live permit area. This should NOT apply to Residents visitors who come to visit or stay.

This sounds like a good way to encourage more people to use alternatives to driving when visiting people in the borough. It makes you more aware of the time, however the costs aren't too high where they are unaffordable, which I highly agree with.

This survey is one of the most biased I have ever seen. I have worked in survey research and this would have been spiked by any reputable agency or researcher.

this will be abused by those living further out, and using certain areas as a free car park
This will make it very difficult and costly for grandparents who live outside of London to come and visit their grandchildren.

This would suggest an elderly resident without a valid parking permit must pay for all people visiting to look after them, check their welfare & help with household chores. Absolutely disgusting & unacceptable making the elderly & the poor even worse off. How is that helping people's health.

Those of us who live in zones where the restrictions are from 9am to 7pm inevitably have to have visitor permits to cover trades people and friends/family visiting during the week. This hardly constitutes Lewisham becoming a car park. Perhaps more consistent parking restrictions ie the 10am to midday model would be more helpful.

Those with parking permits should get 20 hours a year. Upping the charges will not make Lewisham a car park. It must not be a money making exercise by the council.

To get a visitor parking permit you need to apply or buy them at the library so you buy them because you have visitors no to make Lewisham a giant car park. We need to think of the people that live far and don't want to spend 2 hours on the train each way to pay us a visit. to reduce congestion from visitors you could help fund more small hopper bus routes in the borough to incentivise leaving your car at home.

To use the wording "[ensure] Lewisham doesn't become a car park" is too leading to use in a question in this context. It is fear inducing and sways a reader to agree.

Too many people sell their visitor permits so people can park while going to work etc
Ultimately, residents end up paying the visitor parking permit charges, which can add up quite a bit if you have tradesmen, engineers calling out to fix issues at your property. So not really in favour of visitor permit fees going up by that much. they are already quite high.

Unless a journey has to be done by car e.g. severally disabled, driving should be strongly discouraged.

Unless public transport costs are reduced significantly and public attitudes change, I cannot see how you are going to reduce roadside parking.

Parking is already very difficult for many outside their own homes.

There are no allowances for people wishing to park for a matter of minutes perhaps when making deliveries etc in resident only areas.

The use of technology (smart phones/ apps etc) is making it very difficult for the older generation

Use the money you make to improve even more public transport links, invest in buses powered with clean energy and also the below:

- Install covered bike rides in residents streets.
- Build safe cycling lanes
- Existing cycle lanes need to be well kept (some of them are in a dreadful state and therefore very dangerous)
- Pedestrianise as many streets as possible (make it more difficult for us to drive and therefore easier for us to take the streets by walking/cycling!)
- Plant LOTS of trees - get citizens engaged, most people will be willing to contribute towards greening their streets

Visitor parking should be accommodated as much as possible where possible.

Visitor parking charges should also reflect / penalise the level of emissions.

Visitor parking encourages small trips by car rather than foot

Visitor parking is a problem for residents having building work done.

Visitor parking is as it says visitors to my home and should not be treated as a Pay and display machines. Charge should be less.

parking hours should be the same for all street i.e 9-5pm, not varied across the borough.

My street will be paying same yearly charge for 10-noon as someone paying for 9-5pm.

9-5 residents have a clear street during the day and other street have to fight the non residents taking up all free spaces to commute to work.

This policy needs to be reviewed.

Visitor parking is essential. Provided it can be managed easily by residents on line.

Often people need to park for a couple of hours for a visit to a friend in need, a worker doing maintenance etc.

Visitor parking permits are essential when getting work done to your home. My gardener, builders, plumbers, etc. all need equipment to do their work so cannot realistically operate without a vehicle to haul it around. Consequently they need to be able to park in the permit areas, the cost of which is paid by me. I do not see any way around that. Frankly it is so difficult to get tradespeople, if they couldn't park I don't know what would happen to our homes

Visitor parking permits are ridiculously expensive. Another way of taxing the people that live here.

Visitor parking permits should be free to residents. 10 hours of free parking each year is inadequate. You'll be penalising carers, vulnerable and those people who do home visits doing the day,

Visitor parking permits should have a higher charge.

Visitor parking purchased by residents should be much cheaper. Should not have to pay for family to visit

Visitor parking rates should vary. To make it simpler to implement, it could be e.g.: one 'token' is required for low-emission cars, two tokens for higher emission cars, three tokens for vans, four for vehicles larger than a van.

Visitor parking should be cheaper. It often penalises the home owner for having visitors, which might be problematic, for example, for older people who are isolated from relatives and friends.

Visitor parking should be easily accessible and reasonably priced

Visitor parking should be free and should be 10 hours free a week.

Parking was taken by commuters to park close to stations and this has now gone since it was in place.

Annual checks do not need to be done as this we mean staff will need to work more days and increase their salaries from 1million a year to 5 billion pounds a year per person.

Stop making up bullshit to raise the price.

Parking is parking and has fuck all to do with the pollution

Visitor parking should be free especially for builders. who work during off peak times when parking space is 70% available.

Visitor parking should be free for tradesmen, deliveries and at weekends and evenings. Even better only charge between 7.00am and 10.00am - outside this time it should be free. We LIVE here and should not be taxed for living daily life

Visitor parking should either be provided for free to all residents or to none. There is no reason for visitor parking to be bundled with resident parking.

Visitor parking should not be charge, it's not something they use often. It's supposed to be free

Visitor parking should not be restricted to 10 hours. Also care workers should have free parking within the borough

visitor parking should not increase. there should be a discount for buying a book of 10

Visitor parking system is fair

Visitor permits (which residents need to use for essential visits to their home, and are restricted to residents' visitors only) should be charged at a lower price than pay and display parking that is available to anyone.

A 2 hour permit should be available at a lower price than a 5 hour permit.

Visitor permits are by and large for workmen coming to mend something. They can't use public transport. Maybe they could have a reduced rate.

Visitor permits are essential. We have to be able to have deliveries, visitors and maintenance people.

Visitor permits are fairly priced and should not be more

Visitor permits are not just used for guests to be honest rarely in my household however they are used for maintenance and remedial works in which I believe should not be penalised for parking

Visitor permits are vital to long-term residents like my household.

Visitor permits bought by residents should be cheaper than regular pay and display charges

Visitor permits ought to be substantially more expensive to discourage the practice of commuters from out of the area getting hold of daily permits and using them to park near stations. This happens in my local streets all the time.

Visitor permits should be cheaper and households should have 5 free a week

Visitor permits should be cheaper for everyone

Visitor permits should be free as should permits for builders working on peoples houses.

Visitor permits should be given to all residents. I do not have a car myself, and so do not have my own car parking permit. I would however expect to benefit from the free visitor parking allocation that others get

Visitor permits should be priced lower than pay and display. Otherwise what is the point?

visitor permits should be reduced in price but use limited

Visitor permits should be tailored to their CPZ. We only have a 2 hour zone. We should be able to buy day passes at reasonable prices

Visitor permits should be wide areas

Need buses between honor oak/forest hill and east dulwich

Need more electric cycles and child bike carts

Visitor permits shouldn't be restricted. It's not a permanent thing and could affect elderly people having visitors etc.

Visitors are part of making life better. It is a absolute pain trying to get work people to come round also. There needs to a liberalisation. There is a balance between pollution and other factors in the quality of life

Visitors bring in money to local business and you want to charge them. More ways to tax residents

Visitors cars if diesel should pay more for parking... Not sure how one could enforce this
Or electric cars are free?

Visitors come and go. It shouldn't be rigid.

Visitors for me usually are for workmen so it is important that I am still able to arrange this with ease and not cause any undue delay or cost when they need to come and work on my home.

Visitors parking permit is too expensive:

In Hackney, it's around £3.30 for a book of 10 of 24-hour permits each for Visitors Estate Permit

Visitors parking permit should start from about 10pm to 8am and not 24hrs

Visitors permit should be limited. I got old van on my street outside my window. Just updating visitors tickets. Didn't move for weeks from here

Visitors permits should not be limited per registration no.

Visitors should be able to apply for a one day permit themselves. Using an online app

Visitors should be allowed free parking at all times

Visitors should be encouraged to visit borough & visitors can also be family members etc.

Who may need to visit someone or is essential visit, who is resident in Lewisham so should not be deterred by higher visitor charges.

Visitors should not be penalised for visiting residents. It segregates the community

Visitors should not be subject to charging. Deterring people from visiting the borough is self-harming and wrong.

Find a way to never charge visitors while avoiding abuse of the visitor system. 10 hours a year is a total joke as visitors will need FAR more than that. Maybe 10 days a year might be better.

Visitors to the borough bring in money. They support local residents. Yet again you see them as nothing more than a way of lining your pockets.

Was not aware that I could get free tickets have never received any.

Have a garage in my zone takes all spaces for their business worst on Friday as no restrictions to parking on sat, can't park can't have visitors, has reported it wardens arrive do nothing as friends of garage...

Make parking restriction 6 days

Was not aware that permit holders are already allowed 10 hours of free visitor parking. Is not clearly publicised

We all have to help maintain our environment

We already pay taxes including Road tax. All this appears to be just another money making exercise.

We are all aware of budget cuts but do you think that making motorists pay more and more is the solution?

We are already entitled to 10 free visitor passes a year!!!!

I think the charges are outrageous. Unfortunately I hardly have visitors as I can't afford the costs of them. My parking restrictions run from Monday-Saturday. 10 free 1 hour vouchers doesn't touch the sides.

We are Lewisham and not an inner London Borough and our parking should reflect this, our rates are the same as some inner London boroughs

We don't want parking permits or visitor parking permits at all. Not fair.

We won't see our family and friend

We have a 2 hour long parking restriction. It is annoying having to pay for 5 hours if our guest is staying more than 1 hour.

We have to drive to the Lewisham area from a village south of Maidstone to look after our toddler grandson while his parents work. At the moment the road Further Green Road is not a parking permit road. If parking permits were introduced we would need free parking as pensioners for it to be possible for us to look after our grandson. Our son & daughter in law who live in FurtherGreen Road do not drive or have a car.

We have two 12 - 14 year old petrol cars that each average under 3000 miles per year and without which we would be waiting at bus stops and struggle to get about and collect grandchildren from school etc. We cannot afford a new electric (so called green car) car but value our freedom.

we have two repair garages on shorndean street, and only one pay meter available. The space is always occupied with cars awaiting to be repaired rather than paying customers and I have never seen a parking warden issue them with a ticket.

We need more electric vehicle charging points. Lewisham should be leading the charge here.

We need to reduce road traffic every where especially for our children

We only use these permits when we have to - when we have visiting tradesmen etc. It seems unfair to put the price up.

We pay enough already and the system is totally inflexible, especially when you have tradesmen parking over an extended period and have to faff around with 2 x 1 hour permits every day - I think you need a new system for tradesmen permits

We pay enough taxes and bills

We pay enough taxes as motorists. Why do you imagine people opt for shopping malls with plenty of (often free) parking? Why do "new builds" often have no parking space or only one space per unit? That is not the real world. I know you won't agree.

We should be aiming for an overall reduction in visitor parking, not giving away free visitor permits (at residents' expense) to encourage it.

We should get free visitors permits more than 10 hours a year we should get say 3 hours a week free for family and friends especially as I have young kids

We should get more than 10 hours of visitors permits per year. Personally my relatives spend more than 10 hours a year visiting me and I fail to see why they should have to pay when visiting their family members. Each household should be given an additional permit that can be used by families at anytime. To avoid fraud being committed, this should be used by having vehicle registrations of the visitors registered against the permanent residents address for reference.

We should get more than 10 hours, that's not even 2 full days of parking

We should have had the free visitor parking permits without having to apply anyway. If you live in a CPZ how are people supposed to park when visiting family from afar?

Also, people should be able to have essential work done in their homes without having to pay for visiting tradesmen.

We should stop people parking for commuting.

We should allow those local to use their cars for local trips and business.

We shouldn't have to pay for people visiting. Absolutely ridiculous. This is just another way Lewisham steals from the poor to give to the rich. Have you seen the state of the roads in this borough?!! Potholes everywhere!

We shouldn't have to pay extra to park in our own street.

I will vote against all standing members

We visit parents and grandparents who live in Lewisham. We don't just visit for an hour or two, we visit for several hours or a weekend. Introducing a parking permit will not change our behaviour - it is really just introducing an hourly parking charge to visit our family; that is very annoying.

Also I suspect this will lead to more gardens being paved, as has been the case in other permit zones, with the resultant environmental loss.

Whether we like it or not, cars are still very much needed by the majority of people/families. What about pollution from other boroughs? This is a central government policy issue and the Government is already planning to charge car drivers... a local policy is a double whammy and really just designed for you to earn an additional income from car owners.

It is unfair to impose this charge on existing car owners.

What about the areas that are not CPZs that are currently car parks, often used by those out of the borough? This includes large coaches parking overnight and during the day on Wickham Road. What are you doing about that?

CPZs only work if there is a joined up strategy to help all residents. The current approach is disjointed and shows no strategic leadership by the Council.

Visitor permits should be free to those who don't have cars, not those who have paid for a permit. We get away with not having cars because we rely on the help of friends on the occasion there is no way we can walk or use public transport. Why should we pay for these permits when others who have their own cars get them for free? This is discriminatory against the poor and looks like you're trying to keep the middle class car owners happy.

What about the drivers who park in their gardens? Often they have concreted over grass with a negative effect on wildlife, water run off etc. They cause just as much pollution as cars which have to park on the street. Why not charge them a fee for driving in the Lewisham area?

I have a hybrid car which I use sparingly and have left my garden green but I seem to be penalised for doing so.

What evidence do you have of visitor contributions to air pollution? Most of the visitor permits that we purchase are for trades people who have no option but to use a vehicle for their equipment etc

What happens with Zip cars? I have seen these in increasing numbers parked throughout Lewisham? Ok in principle as car sharing is a good thing.

What is the objective to be achieved by increasing prices to residents ?

What is the reasoning behind issuing the free 10 hours to only residents with a resident's permit? What is the feasibility of issuing free permits to all residents, regardless of whether they own a car and have a permit or not? (Given that non-car-owning residents might surely have visitors too)

What is the use of this is not implemented.

What provision will be provided for carers, District Nurses, voluntary visitors to the elderly that take them shopping & to doctors appointments etc!

What sort of bullshit loaded question ends with 'and lewisham doesn't turn into a car park' ? This whole questionnaire is biased.

What's the point in having a visitors parking permit, when most of the time the parking spaces are taken UP by ZIP cars parked in them.

When resident permits were first introduced we all received a set of free visitor 1 hour permits, this was removed when RingGo took over, so this is not new!

The ringgo app should allow residents to purchase discounted visitor permits, why should we be made to visit the library

When residential permits were introduced on my street, there was no notification sent to residents to make them aware, it was badly managed, it was only talking to a traffic warden one day who made me aware that the CPZ was enforced, I then had to search Lewisham website for indication of this and then proceed to apply for my permit, all residents at the time should have received a quantity of free visitor parking which was not the case.

when the permits first started they were 30 pounds it is now one hundred and twenty, and you can get ten tickets free for each house, not for each permit, i pay for two cars and we only get one lot of free tickets, is this fair. i live in zone P this zone covers many roads and some that

are not near the station use our road to part their cars to use the station for work, i think zones should be looked at to see if their residents are happy with the procedure, i can tell you our road, leahurst road is not happy, and as we only have 2 hours restriction when we go out and then come back we can not always park in our road as many commuters to go up town etc park in our road so we are paying money for not even able to park in the road where we live. and many of the residents do not understand how our parking went from all day to 2 hours i have not spoken to one resident that voted for that s i would like to know how this came about.

When the restrictions first came in, we never actually received our 10 "free" tickets. That's by the by but I have since then purchased visitor tickets for guests and myself.

How would the ticketless free period work as no permit would be on display?

When you pay a resident parking permit you should have a parking guaranteed in your street.

I live opposite the Hospital and on weekends I cannot find a parking in my street

WHERE are these vehicles to go may one ask. Chuck them off the streets and they will park, willynilly , in people's front gardens, driveways . Wake up. This will mask the first problem - WOW look, no cars parked but what will be happening. We pay towards the upkeep of our roads etc and the problems can be managed, surely, in a better way without taking away all the street parking.

Where will the money go?

Whereas I understand the need to regulate parking, I am very concerned as to the perception of permit charges being nothing other than a revenue stream for the council. There are very few areas of free parking left in the borough, and any that are still available are some distance from any useful areas. I would be happy to pay for permit parking if the council used the revenue to subsidise car park rates in the city centre or centrally located car parks to encourage people to use them rather than the streets. There should be more investment in large scale parking, and technology to filter air pollution on site.

Whether charges are reviewed and increased, visitors will still come to Lewisham. It's not going to stop the borough becoming a "car park". I believe that each year you'll just end up increasing the charges which is quite inconsiderate. Not everyone is rich to pay ulez, congestion, residential permits andddd visitors permit. and I don't think we should be trying to people away from Lewisham just because they drive. That's not fair

While ten hours is welcome, this does seem rather low for a year especially in areas where parking restrictions are in place almost all of the time. Perhaps the allocation should vary depending on the the zone and the length of restrictions in that zone.

Reviewing parking charges each year does not seem to be a good use of the councils tome given the many and varied issues facing the borough. Also the questions in this section relate to resident visitor permits. This seems unlikely to turn the borough into a car park (not least because it is limited by the number of residents in the borough). In my view the council should instead focus on the congestion and pollution caused by people driving through the borough (often on the way to London) rather than those visiting local residents.

Whilst suggesting that residents pay twice the amount for their residential parking permit, you are then offering 10 free permits. Whilst not adverse to having something for free, this is something that the local authority are tempting residents with. What about those cars that use the visitors parking permits? Will they have to be low emmissions? Will people visiting from other boroughs/counties have to be low emmissions to park in Lewisham?

Visitor's parking is managed very well at the moment and Lewisham is not a car park. How could it be when you have to pay for the majority of your parking with time restrictions anyway. People do not travel into Lewisham just to park!

Whole borough, not just bits of it, should have controlled parking. My area is used as a car park as no CPZ, making roads busier, more polluted and dangerous

Why are free permits only given to those with registered vehicles? Arguably, those without vehicles have an even greater need for visitor permits

Why are residents with cars getting the free permits? People make a sacrifice to not have a car and are being penalised despite contributing to lower emissions and yet also having visitors!

Why are there no CPZs in the streets around Honor Oak Park station?

Why are we paying to park outside our homes that we have already paid for .

Why are you charging for permits and visitor permits. They should be free. It not fair to penalize someone for having a car especially when public transport is utter rubbish and extremely costly. The residents should have free permits especially as we are already paying council tax and car tax etc. To charge someones mum for example to visit is just plain ridiculous.. why not have park and ride like they do in the countryside for people needing the train to work.

Why aren't visitor permits free of charge for people who have paid for a resident permit?

Why can't Friendly Street be made one way going up towards Brockley and down traffic using St John's Vale, therefore reducing the volume of traffic on one street alone.

Why do visitors need to drive into London ? I would prefer a park+ride scheme where visitors from rural areas (and it's only those that require a car) leave their car outside the M25 and then use public transport.

Why do you charge for 5 hours of parking when most of the restrictions are only 2 hours. Doesn't make sense.

Why do you only give residents who already have a parking permit, 10 free visitor permits?

Are you assuming that anyone who does not have a car and thus does not have a permit, also does not have any visitors who might want to come by car? Surely it should be the other way around: those residents who do NOT have a permit and thus most likely do NOT have a car and thus are already contributing to cleaner air, should be rewarded by having 10 free visitor permits. The way you have organised it now strikes me as the same as a supermarkets Buy One Get One Free offer. You are now discriminating against your own residents based on who has a car.

Why does it cost even more to pay for permits via phone apps "Ringo" etc.. ?

Why does the visitors parking have to be all day. In some boroughs the parking times are staggered?

Why don't you give more free passes?

Why give free visitor permits to those who already have a permit? That's rewarding those who already drive????!! Are those who already help the environment by not having a car less deserving of visitors?!

Why give out free parking? It makes no sense.

Why give permits to visitors? I thought you were trying to discourage driving

Why increasing the rate of visitor parking permits? Unless you raise the rate for visitors with more polluting cars it does nothing to improve air quality.

The aim of such a change seems to me to only be about making more money...

Why is it not possible to have visitor permits for businesses? I am the Headteacher of an independent school and have no options for visitors.

Why is the 10 hours free for guests limited to those with parking permits? This should be an incentive/reward for those of us not driving a vehicle around the borough and not taking up a parking space on our street 99% of the time. Some free guest parking would be massively helpful to us.

Why is there only 1 hour or 5 hour permits???

Why not 2 or 3 hour permits ??

This feels like an obvious profit making exercise.

Why is this limited only to those who have a resident parking permit? It seems to me that the scheme should reward those who do not have a vehicle as an incentive to reduce car ownership. I would prefer that those who have an annual parking permit do not have any free visitor permits and those who do not have a vehicle but live in the borough are rewarded with 10 hours free visitor permits. This would then allow non-car owners to temporarily park pool

hire cars or have deliveries more easily making it easier for example, to get by without owning a vehicle.

why not free guest parking for residents who do not have a permit rather than those that do - i.e. those who are trying to benefit the environment by not polluting but may need occasional use of a car/visitors by car.

why not give every resident the right to have 10 hours of free parking - even if they don't have a car themselves. Surely they should also be encouraged to have visitors to reduce loneliness in the borrow

Why offer free parking? Make people pay. Don't provide incentives to use cars.

Why provide 10 hours free only to those households with a parking permit? You should be rewarding households who do not own a car at all.

Why should a neighbour who has a parking permit get free hours when I, as a non-driver, but who sometimes needs eg workmen, get nothing?

If you are giving 10 hours free, give 10 hours free to ALL residents irrespective of whether they have a permit or not.

Lewisham isn't a car-park, in either CPZ or non-CPZ areas.

CPZ is just a mechanism for getting money into the council when you can't put up council tax and government subsidies are being cut. Whilst money is still being wasted on vanity projects such as people's day and blackheath fireworks, this is not the best way to manage a limited budget. you are penaising the poorest in the borough.

Why should only drivers get a discount? I think those that do not have a car (that maybe cannot afford to run and maintain a vehicle?) Should those that are helping not benefit? Why should only residents who already pollute the borough by owning a car be given 10 hours free visitor parking each year? Residents who walk, use public transport and cycle should be given free visitors permits!!

Why should those with a car automatically get the right to have free parking visitors. All visitors should have permits. Need to discourage car use full stop.

Why should we have to pay for our permits to park outside our own homes? It's not fare and it's so expensive too

Why should we pay to park outside our abode. We already pay car tax.

Why should you have to pay for a visitor to park outside your home?

We pay council tax we pay dvla tax and we pay to allow a visitor to drive to your house. It's a farce!!! Particularly when roads with larger houses don't seem to be subject to permits and can therefore have visitors park for free ! Increasing the charge - how will help emissions? The homeowner has no control over the vehicle their visitor drives. It's just another money making scam for the council.

Why the 10 hours If free visiting parking permit only applies to residents with a car and car permit??

I don't have a car but I would love to have some free hours of parking permit for the trade people who need to fix things in my flat.

It seems that being environmentally friendly and not owing a car does have an impact on the free parking permits! What about if I would rent a car just for the few days I need, the free parking permits would be useful and this will definitely be environmentally friendly will it be possible to purchase multiples of day rate parking, for example 4 or 5 days.

Will the Council make provision for charging electric cars of visitors to Lewisham?

With new new development in catford green . It is coming very difficult to park on my road (bournville road) at the weekend. As a lot of people the live in the flats park there cars on our road at weekend as there is no parking restrictions.

With regard to visitor parking I think people living in a CPZ should not have to buy any permits for their guests or visitors e.g. repair people etc, a payment has already been made by the resident so why should they pay again if they have friends, relatives or trades people visiting. 10 hours is a very small number!

With the ULEZ, the Lewisham Landlord's Licensing Scheme and now the charge on residential parking for vehicles with high emissions, appears to be more about wealth than health!!!!!!

With visitor permits in general, there should be more disabled bays.

Would it be possible to get more than 10 hours?

Would Lewisham add additional pollution charges to visitors using diesel and high polluting vehicles, If the answer is no, then this would place another unfair burden on home owners that only have an option to park in the street.

Would like to the 10 free permits to be issued automatically instead of the current system where only those in the know have to apply for the free permits.

Would rather time is spent by council officers fast tracking the south circular modifications in Catford than spending time on changing charges annually.

Also looking at traffic flow and efficiency of traffic lights to reduce emissions from idling

Yes but you won't read them anyway. You've already made up your minds what is going to happen, this is just an empty exercise.

Why don't you give residents a free permit for the first car and charge for second, third, etc vehicle?

What about family parking permits?

Yes I live in Ivorydown and I purchase a permit every year. However residents are turning their front gardens into a parking space therefore I find it harder and harder to find a parking space that is not obscuring somebody's drive way even though some of these are across bays and yellow lines??

Yes make sure warden patrols regular to stop non residents parking on side of parking areas making it difficult to get out of area

Yes the Council should employ more wardens, I pay £ 127 per year and come Friday Saturday and Sunday i cannot park near my house

Yes the present blanket restriction on visitor parking penalises visits by family and friends including informal carers. Why not control parking by a

One hour parking policy as in Greenwich which stops commuter parking but encourages family life

Yes, money making machine for the council - disgraceful

Yes. I live in BHA zone. I understand your charges for visitors Monday to Friday. But on a Saturday it's blatant profiteering. Justify why we have to pay this.

Yes.

I think that all residents should be given 10 hours of free visitor parking, including residents who don't have a car. Everybody has workmen from time to time and I also use a car club car periodically and park this outside my house. Under your proposal I am not actually polluting the borough as much as someone who has a car all year round.

Yet again, a pre-set agenda about 'Lewisham becoming a car park'. How patronising!

Yet another increase.

You already give 10 free hourly tickets to permit holders but you barely advertise this fact.

This should be more transparent and publicised

You already give 10 hours free parking tickets!!!!!!

Unfair for many elderly folk though - my elderly neighbours struggle enough as it is.

Also unfair and expensive for anyone dependent on help from outside the borough...Grandma's coming to help new mums etc. Such a shame that those kinds of support services must be penalised financially.

You already give away 10 hours free parking. Why are you pretending this is new?

You already offer 10 hours free visitor parking. This is not new. The increase for day and week visitor parking is an extraordinary increase, a 14 and 28% increase! I need visitor parking for tradesmen often for a week or more. This is unfair.

You are asking loaded questions. One may review charges, but would you reduce them?

We am unfavour of guving all tesudents with CPZ paid parking 24 plus parking permits a

year for free. 10 is very meagre. Not even one a month.

I am appalled that if you cannot pay for your parking in one go, you do not give any free parking permits.

You make it more expensive for some..ie poorer residents.

I think your parking is expensive in resident areas. You could find roads not by housing where you have 2 hours free parking or just 1 hour no parking in day to stop commuters. But rather maliciously your approach has been to put double yellows there.

You do not even have 20 minute drop off for delivery if ill children ..or others to medical centres.

You are cutting off the community of elderly people who need to have family and friends visit them regularly.

You are not doing this protect health or the environment. You are only doing this to generate funds because LCC consistently wastes tax payers money and has no value for money strategy with indicators, baselines and targets which encourage cost savings.

You could reduce the number of free permits by shortening the number of hours covered by residents only. Restricting to 9am - midday for example would prevent people who wish to leave their car while at work.

you do not need to do this, parked cars do not emit

you don't seem clear about what you are trying to achieve. This has little to do with emissions and everything to do with money making.

You have a questionnaire that seems bias.

Parking confusion means we curtail a lot of activities.

When you talk about pressure on roadside parking, where we live the roads are pretty empty in the day. It is very frustrating that you don't have areas with 2 hours free parking and like to penalise your residents.

Life might mean lots of stopping, dropping off and picking up people...doing favours. This is tricky now with your charges.

You need a more inclusive scheme.

You are all stick and no carrot.

Cycling is poor in borough unless you are on a few routes.

Accessing and crossing routes can be tricky.

What about the low car users..is it good to have an electric car and use it every day, making roads congested.

walking 1 mile to school As I got close to the school, 400m You'd see families get in their car, parked on drive...so again, Have a drive the penalty won't effect them!

Parents walk on road to avoid cars parked on footpath...idling engines. The tiny piece of cycle route always had a van or car parked in it...now fully parked cycle path due to cpz in neighbouring area.

So you need to be more inspiring.

Lewisham has lots of ugly cluttered streets more signs for cpz and the rest, poor quality paving, terrible centre that is new and bad for cycling and confusing for cars for that matter.

Remember how hard it is for prams to get on buses..and to rush with small children.

Lots of routes are 'diagonal' routes with no buses and steep hills which are extra tricky for children. Again crossing central Lewisham very difficult. New plan is diabolical.

You must provide more 30 minute free bays where ever you have parking restrictions.

You need to differentiate between irregular visitors, builders, tradesmen etc doing work for residents and those running a business - car hire, storage in vans, mini buses, pizza vans etc.

You need to make the website more user-friendly as it is actually quite difficult to order parking permits for visitors and it seems ridiculous that you have to register the visitor rather than simply allow residents to buy parking permits for their visitor.

You need to reduce the restrictions where roads are parked in with usage below 80%.

Swathes of empty streets shows you have the price set too high.

You need to review with Ringo how their app links in with the parking permit process because adding a second vehicle on the app doesn't link with the permit process and ends up getting a resident a parking fine when clearly you have paid for your yearly permit!!

You need to talk to local

Groups like forest hill society about impact of ULEZ and how a cpz would help.

You propose giving free visitor passes only to those with a parking permit -why do this and not extend to all households? I think a pensioner who has to have tradespeople visit might appreciate this more than someone who has the capacity to afford a car and a permit already!

You should be able to get a refund on parking permits that you have not used.

You should be able to visit a friend or family without paying a massive fee

You should consider adopting tiered pricing for visitor permits so that more heavily polluting visitor vehicles pay more than clean visiting vehicles.

You should deal with problem

Areas not blanket charge everyone. Our street is fine.

You should just give a book of 10 permits for an entire day to visitors. This is to allow families to visit each other and especially the old who can see their family more often during the week.

You should make people aware of the free permits I only found out and that was to on a network site for local people. Bad

You should review parking prices annually anyway not just to ensure pressure on roadside parking.

You should say more about visitor permits at renewal time instead to fleecing residents you also the 10 hours should be extended to 20

You shouldnt have to pay to have visitors if you already have an annual/monthly permit

You still need to be able to purchase paper visitor parking permits, as not all phones support the app & not everyone has access to the internet.

YOU TAX RESIDENT BUT DON'T DELIVER THE SERVICE PAID FOR.

I HAVE HARDLY SEEN A TRAFFIC WARDEN IN MY STREET AND PEOPLES IS TAKING THE CHANCE TO PARK ANYWAY AS THEY HARDLY GET A FINE FOR DOING SO.

Your previous question is not neutral and is leading / biasing the consultation. I say this as a researcher by profession.

Your assumption that Lewisham will become a car park visitors parking is not controlled is pure nonsense. If family and friends want to visit, they will visit regardless. The council just want to be bill them for it.

Your proposed pricing structure makes no distinction between parking in the borough for convenience (eg commuter parking) and parking when specifically visiting someone/something in the borough (eg someone visiting me at home). The former should be eliminated completely whereas the latter should be only be discouraged. A differential pricing structure would be part of the solution.

Your questions are loaded, but you knew that when you wrote them. This is wrong and not British.

Your second question under section 25 is written in a biased way, so I have deliberately given the opposite answer to the one you are trying to elicit. Of course no one wants Lewisham to 'become a car park' but that isn't going to happen whether you review parking permit charges or not - it's an emotive statement that directs the responder to answer in a certain way. You

could just as easily write 'so that your relatives can't afford to visit.' which is an equally (in)valid (il)logical outcome. The question should end after 'is managed'.
Your strategy only look at the money side of parking...not trusting this approach that seems benign at the beginning and then will surely end up pressuring low income family.

Propose a cap for the annual review so that we know you won't rise the costs too high.
Your view is based on appearing to look to solve the problem but proposing introducing additional charging as the only solution and hence generating more revenue by the 'back door'. There are many other ways to discourage repeat commuter parking (which is what you're referring to) which don't involve the additional levy's.
Your visitor permit questions reveal quite a hefty bias and incorporate a number of ideas on one response - therefore not worth answering

Zip cars should not be allowed to park in residential bays.

Zip Cars

These vehicles occupy resident parking bays and pay per hour bays. Sometimes there will be three or four vehicles in a small proximity.

They also sit in bays that have a 2hr limit sometimes for days.

There needs to be a review and restriction as to the number of these vehicles that are allowed in the Lewisham borough!

Section 6 - Blue badge proposals proposal response (verbatim comments)

LB Lewisham public consultation
Blue Badge questions - verbatim comments
248 comments out of 3,767 surveys – 6.6%

Q: Do you have any other comments that you would like to add about this consultation?

10x fine for parking in a disabled bay if able-bodied

A lot of people are intermittently disabled, eg if they break a leg, or otherwise need crutches. At the moment, it is incredibly hard to board a bus if your leg's in plaster. You could help reduce vehicle dependence, by making it easier to get on an off buses if you have an injury.

Likewise the trains are incredibly hard to board or disembark when you're on crutches.

A lot of people park on Elverson road, and use a disabled badge, and run off down the road and I know they are not disabled, they are taking the mick, and getting away without paying anything for years.

A mark parking area bay for parents with children and blue badge holders on Lewisham hill

A misleading question !

A positive way of improving air quality would be to plant and replace street trees. Trees are a huge factor in reducing pollution as they absorb the pollutants and actively improve the air quality, as well as improving the general environment of an area and calming traffic speeds (another problem on our road).

Three more street trees on our main road died and were removed this year leaving only 2 along the whole road.

If you are going to raise parking charges then you should use the funds to actually improve the air quality in the borough instead of just using it as an excuse to increase revenue.

Again 10 hours is not enough for a year!

Allow disabled people to have bays accessible only to them at all times outside their houses.

Allow residents with disabled spaces outside their house to park there all day/night regardless of whether the disabled child/adult is in the property.

As a parent of 3 disabled children, I understand that you will fine parents who park in disabled bays outside their home when their child is still at school, this is ridiculous

As mentioned above it would be a disaster to only allow those who qualify for higher DLA/PIP/Attendance Allowance to be granted blue badges. This would prevent so many from not being able to get out and about if they have to contemplate not being able to park nearby their destination! You've already mentioned in your report that Lewisham does not have a high number of car owners so what would the ratio for those who have the lower DLA/PIP/Attendance Allowance be? Would it really be that much of a saving? The cost if impact would surely be higher!!

Ask TFL for available funding for better public transport. Move cars away from streets and pavements so its easier for wheelchair users to get around. Repair pavements in timely fashion. More walking friendly infrastructure.

Assessment of those issued with disabled badges needs to be strongly reviewed.

Ban pavement parking. Not only is it dangerous from a safety and a toxic air perspective for young children, I have observed people in motorised wheelchairs having to go out into the road to get around cars parked up on the pavement near Torridon Primary School.

Better access

Blue badge abuse should be policed.

blue badge holders can park anywhere they like so long as it's not causing an obstruction, so I don't see why they need special bays

Blue badge holders should pay to park, being disabled should mean you get a guaranteed space not a free space

Blue badge parking answers should only be used if submitted by a blue badge holder.

Blue badge parking seems poorly managed in the borough.

Blue badge system is widely abused. Users who are not disabled use blue badged cars.

Enforcement is difficult, but i would encourage a focus on that.

Blue badges are invaluable (my mother qualifies for one) and I would hate to see it made more difficult to obtain one.

Blue Badges are very helpful when they are really required by disabled people.

Care must be taken not to indirectly discriminate against those with disabilities

Cars should be registered to the disabled person and therefore easily checked through DVLA

A person using a Blue badge and not being the disabled person should be fined or imprisoned.

Charge points for disabled people in buggies and electric wheelchairs

Check for abuse of disabled badge.

Clamp down on people claiming to be disabled and getting parking/cars free when in fact there is nothing wrong with them and they are just milking the system

Commercial concerns, such as supermarkets etc, which provide disabled parking bays, need to be much more stringent in enforcing the rules

Consider allowing Blue badge holders to park in residents bays for up to 3 hours

CPZ for the Evelyn Ward

Disabled badges should be more regulated. More money should be used to target the unscrupulous people who aren't disabled but use the badges rather than paying marketing companies to perform questionnaires.

disabled bays need to be comprehensively policed.

Disabled bays should also be available for use as bays for parents with children. The way this could work is with restricted hours e.g.

8.30AM - 12.30PM - can be used as disabled and child bays

12.30PM - 3.30PM - disabled use bays only

3.30PM - 6.30PM - can be used as disabled and child bays

I am not sure how it is reasonable that some supermarkets have rows of disabled bays which, from my observations, are not as heavily used as the child bays. The disabled bays should be dual purpose.

Disabled bays should be assessed regularly against usage. There are two bays near me that are rarely used. Can they not be made general parking spaces if usage is less than a certain percentage?

Disabled drivers deserve more they should not be limited in the number of visitor permits they get. I can imagine that disability can be quite isolating and there should be no hindrance to the number of visitors they can have.

Disabled drivers need their cars but the rest of us don't!

disabled drivers who have special cars adapted that may be deisal will not be able to afford your ideas.

Disabled parking should be accessible to all disabled people and their carers all the time. If the household has a disabled child and the child is at school the family car should still be eligible for the disabled bay- just think of the loading and unloading of equipment.

Disabled people should be made to pay

Disabled people should not be charged to park in hospitals and the charge for parking should be subsidised as public transport access for disabled people is appalling so they have no choice but to drive

Elderly parking.

District nursing parking

Carers parking

encourage the use of motorbikes and scooters as they produce the smallest Nox. They prevent congestion and if only 10% of motorists switched to PTWs pollution be lowered to 40% overnight. Why are the council not embracing PTWs?

Enforce existing parking restrictions e.g. Catford Broadway

Ensure all previous comments are taken account of.

Ensure that blue badges are not being abused as we frequently witness cars with blue badges in being badly parked & the single occupant gets out & walks away with no apparent disability. Set up a hotline/online facility where the public can report possible misuse.

Even disabled people need exercise, so don't assume all those with disabilities want to park right outside

Even handedness

Fairness would always ensure compliances

Far too many disabled bays

Find people who have not got a disability badge

Fine anyone in a disabled bay that shouldn't be in there- fine them a large amount. I had a disabled father and time after time I would have to move someone parked in a disabled bay for a second while they collect something etc

Fine heavily for parking in blue badge spaces.

Fine people during normal working ours but after a certain time (after 6pm) others can use them for a short period say 1hr. There are always people with ailments that can use the space when not in use , the majority of blue badge holder will not be shopping after 7pm at supermarkets or be out on the road..

Free bus service for all =less need for cars

Free cars free residents parking and free parking. It's a joke

Free parking

Genuine blue badge holders should be eligible for more free visitor permits than other residents.

Get on with it

Government commitment to subsidy vehicles trade in.

Have a warning system. If someone is caught parking in a bay on more than one occasion they are issued a ticket

Here in Boyne Road the disabled bay near my house is well respected and we don't seem to get rogue vehicles abusing it. However, I appreciate that this may not be the case everywhere else in the Borough.

How about parents and children parking? A mother /father/family with new baby or small children should be given 'temporary' similar treatment to disabled drivers.

E. G. Mother with baby or toddler needs wider parking space to get child in or out.

I think the cost free parking on Sundays should be maintained and should start a bit earlier on Saturday.

I agree with disabled parking bays, but it is really important that they are left solely for the use of disabled drivers, not for drivers who happen to have their grandmother's blue badge

I am a mum of a disabled child and while she is at school I park in the none mandatory space outside our house, since I know she won't be needing it. Not to use it would be very silly on my crowded street and would mean that I (her very frazzled carer) would have to walk / carry shopping from far away. I also let neighbours know they can use it when we are on holiday etc. Parking tickets for such bays would make life difficult for carers... and mean many were empty and taking up unnessesary space. This is a very bad idea. It would be a stupid idea that u can't park outside my house while my daughter us in school!

I am lucky to have a disabled parking bay outside of my home. However, when people visiting other people in the street use the bay I am forced to stay in doors due not being able to walk more than 20 metres. This is a big problem especially when they stay for a few days this has happened before and I have had to call cabs to take me to the dentist, hospital and GP appointments.

I can't think of anything.

I don't think the proposal that blue badge holders get free visitor parking entitlements while those who do not have a parking permit (e.g. because they cannot or choose not to drive) is fair. This entitlement should either be given to all disabled residents or else only to those who pay for a parking permit.

Whether a bay should be mandatory needs to be assessed on a case by case basis. If it is the case that the advisory nature of the bay means that disabled people often cannot park where they need to then there is likely a good case for doing so. Conversely, if this change would lead to a bay being unoccupied for much of the time (the consequence of which is that it would not be available to others who may need it but who are not blue badge holders e.g. pregnant women or those with small children) as well as drivers more generally then this would not be a good use of the space.

I feel it is very unfair to charge residents more for their permits and visitor permits. It is currently very expensive. As a resident in Fernbrook road the commuters & lorries/buses going up & down the road cause more air pollution than us. Charge them.

I find wheeling a wheelchair almost impossible as the pavements are uneven and in a bad state of repair, drop curbs are not flat and they have to be negotiated by reversing and pulling the wheelchair. I would ask that Lewisham Council staff who maintain the borough try pushing a wheelchair with an adult in it. Wheeling my mother from the end of Mayow Road to Mayow Park for example, feeling like an assault course.

It's a real shame as not going out to do something simple like a walk to the park to "catch some rays" in the summer should be a simple pleasure but sadly it means more time in isolation at home.

I have concerns about the removal of disabled bays, even if unenforceable. I also think that the impact assessment should have pregnancy marked as L not N/A, and possibly that disability should be M not low, as some disabled people are very dependent on their cars and how close they are to where they live.

I have recently had a hip replacement and temporarily need disabled parking. If all parking is blue badge then I would struggle. Would be helpful for GPs to have short term parking permits that they can provide to patients for maybe a week or 10 days.

I know disabled people who didn't qualify for a disabled badge. They should be easier to get, or a doctor's note should be enough proof of need.

I need a disabled bay outside my house.

I strongly feel that there is an excess of disabled bays, especially in shopping malls & supermarkets whilst at the same time there is a distinct lack of child/family parking bays. Far too often I have witnessed a sea of empty disabled only parking bays whilst those with young children &/or buggies are forced to park far away in a tight regular bay. Why not make the bays dual purpose i.e. disabled/family with rigid enforcement, at the moment the disabled bays are well enforced (quite rightly) but there is little or no enforcement for the child/family bays.

I think attitudes to the disabled are particularly poor in Lewisham in general. So parking needs to be seen as zero tolerance for people who break the rules and park in disabled bays.

I think it's more than enough written above.

I think the documents about Advisory and Mandatory parking spaces are not at all easy to understand. I think they should be set out far more clearly. .

Disabled people deserve clarity and transparency.

I think there are still flaws in the proposal, and it would have been beneficial to ask for feedback from some of the current blue badge holders within the borough to have an idea of what it's like to be disabled and the need for adequate disabled parking. This could be done by random selection.

I was not aware of advisory bays - only mandatory bays.

If people park in them they should be ticketed.

I was told by a local councillor in a very unsupportive way that I could not request a disabled bay near my home without a formal consultation because of pressures on parking in my road. I felt too humiliated to approach people

about this for fear of problems with neighbours but then I saw that many local residents were putting skips on parking bays for long periods of time, sometimes when they were barely using them without any requirement to consult locally. This can not be right. People should not be able to use parking permit bays for skips for more than a limited time. It is a constant problem in areas around Manor Gardens, Lee where parking is already limited and people leave skips (which are always poorly lit) in parking bays.

I've had more parking tickets since having a blue badge than all the rest of my driving life (since 1978) because although I'm careful, the regulations are clearly not, er, clear.

I'd like to see that this group of residents is properly consulted and that there is openness to their needs.

If a driver stays in a car and is just "standing" in a disabled bay otherwise not being used, I think that is a good use of a parking spot if they move on when a disabled driver requires the spot. There seems to be a lot of unused disabled bays most of the time which I believe is an incentive for encouraging drivers to get an illegitimate disabled badge. Timely checks on temporary disabled badges to be applied. And also who is actually driving the car with the badge.

If adding more disabled bays, add them to the current bays, do not take spaces that are already at a premium. Extend the current restrictions to include Sundays.

If all non-disabled spaces in a car park are in use, there should be no penalty for using the disabled spaces.

If residential bays are changed to be mandatory, and the disabled person is away, e.g. at school using school transport, then the disabled person's car should still be allowed to be parked in the residential disabled bay.

If they make all bays mandatory & enforceable then parents / carers / family members would not be able to park a car in the bay outside their house when our child / dependant is in school or hospital etc.

In fact we could only park there when our child / dependant was at home. So for instance if our disabled child was taken to school on transport we would need to move our car immediately out of the space.

In most cases the bay would be left empty as the bay is assigned to the house so unless another Blue badge is visiting you or a neighbour then it would be empty.

At the moment bays on residential streets are requested by the person living in the house and are not mandatory / enforceable; so when my disabled daughter is not in the house I can still park there.

Currently it does mean that anyone can park in the bay without consequences. So some disabled adult drivers might welcome the move if they have a problem with neighbours using their space. However, for the most part non mandatory bays aren't in prime use areas or shopping locations and none disabled people only recognise that disabled bays of any sort shouldn't be used, so they don't normally use them (it's actually other BB that do and this proposal wouldn't stop that)

It will not affect disabled people who drive themselves but it will affect parents and carers /

spouses who live in the same house, as we will not be able to park outside our homes. I for instance would relinquish my daughter much needed bay rather than not be able to park near my home while she's in school or hospital.

If you have relatives, that are visiting you, and they are registered blue badge disabled, they should be able to park in the resident's parking bays without incurring any charges. To avoid fraud, the registration of the person's vehicle could be referenced against the permanent resident's address.

Im concerned about the price rises

Council tax is extremely high and I wonder what the proposal is for the use of this extra cash to Lewisham???

Perhaps caring and educating children and adults with special needs and disabilities and caring for the elderly

?

I'm glad there is going to be a review of disabled spaces, as there are several on my road that have been there since previous residents lived at addresses, many of whom have died or moved away. The space becomes a 'private' space for the new owner of the house until the paint fades away.

I'm not sure how well publicised this consultation has been with disabled drivers and what disability organisations in the borough or nationally have been consulted or advised.

Important for information

Impose frequent checks on disabled badge holders to ensure that the badges are being use by the holders. also give enforcement officers the authority to remove permits where necessary. e.g. (Builders using carer permits).

Improve road markings and review regularly.

Improve the service

Improve your cycling policy! You do pretty much nothing for cyclists. When you redo roads, you don't add cycling lanes. The Lewisham centre redevelopment is an absolute shame. It's all very well and good to 'punish' diesel, but what do you do to encourage cycling? Nothing. There is no cycling policy. We don't need different types of cars, we need less cars.

Improving green spaces and encouraging residents to now buy into cleaner and greener technologies

In order to improve parking for disabled drivers Lewisham council should provide more disabled parking spaces and not remove advisory bays from outside residents' homes. I am the mother of a severely disabled child and we have an advisory bay outside our house. We have never had a problem with non blue-badge holders parking in the bay, however if the current bay is replaced with a mandatory bay I will not be able to park my daughter's Motability car outside our house if she is not at home, for example if she is at school or if she is taken to the local shops in her wheelchair. This will make life extremely difficult for us as I will have to find alternative parking whenever she leaves the house and will frequently be unable to park in the bay outside our house when my daughter returns. I think it is a bad idea to replace the advisory bays with mandatory bays.

Increase availability of parking close to GP surgeries and relevant medical centres. For disabled and temporarily incapacitated members of the borough.

increase the number of trains going to London between 7.15 and 8am

improve the station, make it greener and improve the safety around it - more and more drunk people and people having had drugs are around now - extremely worrying, especially for our children

Is just another hidden tax

Is this going to be sent in the post so it includes everyone? Sent out with council tax bill or something would be a good idea. It's not a rounded view without more options and promotion of the consultation.

Issue badges to those with invisible disabilities like mental issues - anxiety and depression. It is a question also of how many and if they are 24 hours or not. I do not believe they should be.

It is important the the council review disabled spaces outside specific houses. We had 4 in our road but the relevant disabled people had died/ moved for ages before the spaces were removed

It is unclear to me whether a blue badge holder can have an enforceable mandatory Disabled parking bay outside their home?

It is way too long for most to bother completing

It should only be for disabled people

It's frustrating when 1. disabled parking appears to be being used by not very disabled people, 2. is empty but the space cannot be used

It's still unclear what you are planning.

its a scam simply to make money for the council with no added benefits to public health

Its about time the council did something positive about the illegal use of some of these Ive seen a lot of people with the disabled badges where i live that i dont think need them as they walk very well

Keeping disabled persons parking available is important as those people can't use public transportation with ease. Active transportation is often not an option. Some heavily dependent on cars and unfortunately very little can be made to help them accessing to better public transportation. TFL is the ones that got to get back to the drawing board to propose better public transportation solution for peoples living with disabilities.

Leave parking alone, you only want to earn money from this

Lewisham centre has many disabled parking bays but not many for families. Increase the family parking bays in the centre and enforce they are used correctly

Life is extremely difficult for disabled people. Perhaps it could be made clearer that on buses people in wheelchairs take priority over child buggies. Perhaps signs at bus stops, rather than just leave it to the bus driver. And fines perhaps.

Like I said earlier, you appear to be duplicating what ULEZ itself will achieve. £12.50 a day to use a car from 2021 will soon stop all non-compliant car drivers driving and you will have your aim without spending my rates.

Lower parking charges near shops

Make disabled bays a different colour and mark them clearly on the ground (ensuring the paint is maintained) and also have a post clearly marking them as disabled on the pavement.

Make it easy for careers to park for short visits.

Make more baby and children

Make sure bays are regularly assessed for need. Often bays remain and the need is no longer there.

Make sure the number of disabled bays is in line with demand.

Also enforce the mother and baby bays with a parking fine.

Make the parking fine higher if an able bodied driver parks in a disabled parking bay with clear signage that this will be enforced.

Make the signs clearer

Make train stations such as hither green more accessible to disabled users. It's disgrace not all platforms can be accessed

Many people appear to be able to get a blue badge when they don't need it.

Many people using the disable badges illegally (no disable person in the vehicle). This should be enforced with big fine or in extreme cases, remove the badges

Many times I see many disabled car parking spaces empty while a car park is full - is it therefore proportionate to the amount of spaces in the car park?

Marked disabled bays should be enforced by the police, with fines and points issued. Traffic "wardens" make enough commission as it is!

Maybe but I need more time to think about it

More disabled parking is needed

More parent and child spaces would be useful.

More parent and child spaces please

More should be done to take back blue badges that are being abused on a daily basis.

Cameras should be installed in car parks at local shopping centres and supermarkets.

People are illegally parking at Lidl, Tesco and Aldi on a daily basis with no badge or a badge that is clearly not owned by themselves. And as a person with a non visible disability myself I understand that some may be entitled to one but not all.

More spaces

My adult daughter is disabled and not fully mobile. She does not live with me and I am not her carer. However, I am her first recourse if she needs to be driven anywhere. (She is unable to drive herself.) I am not clear how the Council's proposals would affect my position. Would I still be able to use her Blue badge to park in a disabled bay when driving her to, e.g the shops or to hospital?

My answer to 31 is based on the proportion of disabled spaces being appropriate

My neighbours daughter had permanently parked in my bay and may move her car once a week if her dad cannot take her where she wants to go in his car. There are three vehicles in this household.

My reading of the proposed changes to permission for disabled bays is that it will encourage digging up of front gardens to create parking spaces. As these are generally done without soakaways on non-permeable surfaces, they will have a negative environmental effect

My wife has a disabled badge but as the car is not in her name she doesn't get a free permit

Need a disabled bay at beginning of Whitefoot lane just pass red route and have it monitored. There was one outside 6 Whitefoot lane but it has been removed when road works where done.

Not all disabled bays are in constant use.

Not allow blue badge holders to park illegally i.e on yellow lines because they have a blue badge

not enough bays and the council are too rigid in finding solutions where there are clear possibilities.

flexibility is the key

not enough bays for disabled anyway. much more needed to cope with the newer allowance of applicants.

Not much good if one is not online.

Back in the 40s / 50s we had electric buses and electric trams not much progress since then!

Once disabled bays have been made mandatory it should still be possible for registered carers of a blue badge holder to still park in the space even when the blue badge holder may, for example, be in hospital or be at school.

Only efforts by inspectors etc to ensure Blue Badges only used when a disabled person involved in the travelling.

Notices to remind people displaying Blue Badges that these be used ONLY when the person they were issued to is in the car.

Our neighbours lost their disabled parking bay outside of their house when the CPZ got introduced. Unfortunately having a CPZ does not mean that a disabled person can park near their house.

Please consider using some common sense when making these kind of decisions.

Parking bays should be fitted with charging stations to encourage the uptake of EV's.

Penalise blue badge holders who park in pay and display spaces, preventing others from using them.

penalty charge for non disabled using bay

Please also consider stronger enforcement against parking on pavements (where it is not allowed) as this can impede disabled people, people with prams etc.

Please avoid overuse. There seem to be more disabled bays than potential users at certain places

Please consider the impact of your proposals on all sectors of the community. Those not registered disabled for a badge may still need to travel by car or have people visit them to support their health and wellbeing. I'm thinking of people who struggle on public transport (social and generalised anxiety and other mental health difficulties as well as lower level mobility issues), and someone helping with shopping and stopping for 20 mins for a chat etc. Please do not go ahead with any increases . It is unnecessary and unfair . The motorist pay out way too much already .

Please do not use this as a means of reducing or making it more difficult for disabled people to obtain a parking bay.

Please listen to the results.

Please listen to us - don't let it be an Insultation.

Please make sure you enforce any violations - I don't park in disabled bays and it annoys me when people who aren't disabled use them.

Police the disabled bays properly

Prevent the abuse of the badges by making sure they are being used by the designated person.

Prosecute those who abuse the use of blue disabled badges.

Provide disabled bays for drivers where the disabled person cannot drive

Put the bays back out side our houses that was removed

Raising the Residents permit price for a low emission hybrid (£30 to £70pa) smacks of greed when you penalise BOTH a high polluting diesel (+50) and a low polluting hybrid (+£40) too? Shame on you.

Rather than sending out those idiots on scooters putting parking tickets on vehicles who have parked up for a few mins to find a working ticket machine, focus on the vehicles that have been dumped and left at the roadside without Road Tax, MOT or insurance. How hard can it be to target illegal road users?!

Realistically when parking spaces are short supplied to majority, reserved disabled parking bays are just not properly portioned.

Refer to previous question.

Regular checks of permits to deter imposters

Regular review of blue badges to ensure only those with an absolute requirement continue to have them.

Remove all cycle lane and discourage people to cycle as these are dangerous to road users.

Offer discount only on parking for most cleanest vehicle, ie. 50g/km CO2 emission or lower or full electric. Extra Surcharge on all parking for all diesel vehicle new or old.

REMOVE SOME OF THE BAYS IN SAINSBURYS - THEY'RE NEVER USED!!

Remove the markings for the obsolete discretionary parking bays, or at least introduce new markings for the new bays.

There are an awful lot of difficult and obstructive bays around which were put there for people who have long passed on.

Review existing non mandatory disabled parking bays before making them enforceable. We have one next to our house that is not used by disabled drivers and would be useful to residents.

Seems like you are penalising the poorer older cars rather than the amount you use them!

Set up monitoring equipment across the borough & ensure blue badges are digital, this easily tracked when in use.

Several bays on my street seem to be specific to a house even though the owner may not be disabled (e.g. due to change of owner)

Snsnkskensnsb

Some people have restricted mobility due to age or temporary illness, bays for these people should be provided as no every restricted mobility is entitled to a blue badge.

sometimes there's nowhere to park and disabled bays are free you should be able to use, and disabled badges are abused.

Sometimes you see many parking bays for the disabled empty while struggling to find a parking place. It can be infuriating.

Start by making it so only users with a badge can use it.

Stop abuse of blue badges

stop being generous to motorists and make public transport better-why are you asking about making parking easier not how to make more people walk and cycle-sows you still care about motorists ahead of others which is wrong headed

Stop penalising residents, who already pay council tax.

Stop people using disabled badges that are not carrying disabled people and who are abusing the badge to do their own shopping

STOP PUNISHING CAR OWNERS!!

Stop using motorists as a cash cow and come up with some real innovative ideas, people like me who are given a car by their company have no choice as to what type of vehicles we drive. My employer is still issuing diesel vehicles despite political opinion therefore I (and others like me) will be penalised with a surcharge for something that we can do nothing about, whilst I appreciate the motivation behind this idea it is inherently unfair.

Thank you for the opportunity to engage with your consultation on parking proposals within the borough of Lewisham. The document contains a few good suggestions which should help coordinate responses to the challenges of rising pollution and climate change.

However, I wish to respond to two specific proposals within the document (NB de to the formatting limitations of the response portal, and in order to be clear about the different parts of the consultation document I am responding to, I have capitalised all the text lifted from your documents):

- PROPOSAL 4 TO PROVIDE ONLY MANDATORY, ENFORCEABLE DISABLED PARKING BAYS (AND NO LONGER PROVIDE ADVISORY DISABLED PARKING BAYS)
- PROPOSAL 5 TO AMEND THE APPLICATION CRITERIA TO NEW CRITERIA BASED ON ASSESSMENT OF MEDICAL NEED BY A QUALIFIED PERSON AND ALSO HIGHWAY CRITERIA UNDER WHICH A LOCATION WOULD BE ASSESSED TO DETERMINE IF A DISABLED BAY MAY BE INSTALLED.

My response sets out how these proposals

- i. will disproportionately reduce quality of life for disabled people and their families
- ii. conflict with other stated strategic priorities for Lewisham Borough
- iii. conflict with other stated objectives for Lewisham's parking policy
- iv. have been incorrectly assessed so that their impact on equality has been scored as "low", when it is actually "high"
- v. run counter to the provisions set out in the 2010 Equality Act
- vi. present poor value for money for individuals and the wider community

i. The proposals disproportionately reduce the quality of life for disabled people and their families

I am the proud father of a child who with severe physical and learning disabilities. This presents many difficulties to us as a family but the blue badge scheme is one of the few areas where I feel that society understands some of these challenges and has taken positive action to help.

Specifically, having an advisory parking bay at the front of our house removes much of the inconvenience we would face as a family if days out, school runs and regular health appointments were presaged by worry about where the car had been left and how I can transfer my daughter and her equipment to it. If we were parked in a mandatory bay, I would need to consider whether we need to move the car back and forth should my daughter have been collected for school, for instance, or risk a fine and loss of the blue badge and the other benefits it brings. Ahead of collecting her from school, holiday club or other locations, loading up her disability pushchair and walking frame would be much more difficult if I were unable to park the car in the discretionary bay outside our house

Therefore, by changing current provision Lewisham will introduce extra inconvenience into the lives of people who already face many challenges to which the general population are oblivious (ever tried getting a disability push chair onto the underground in central London? Or needed to rely on the awareness - and goodwill - of fellow passengers that disabled buggies should take priority over standard ones on buses?)

Having suggested this change, which the document acknowledges will reduce the availability of disabled bays and that they will be more difficult to use, I note the document sets out no proposed benefits for disabled people and their families.

ii. The proposals conflict with other stated strategic priorities for Lewisham Borough, and the principles on which these are built.

The document refers to four of the priorities 2018-2022 community strategy, but replacing advisory with mandatory disabled bays runs counter to at least two of these:

- 4 BUILDING AN INCLUSIVE ECONOMY. As noted above these proposals will have a disproportionate impact on disabled people and their families. Therefore they are far from inclusive
- 5 DELIVERING AND DEFENDING: HEALTH, Social care and Support. Disabled people and their families have a greater reliance on health and social services than the general population. By making it more difficult for disabled people and their families to park their cars (as outlined above), these proposals will make it more difficult for disabled people and their families to get to and from their appointments.

For the same reasons, the proposals conflict with the principles set out in the community strategy, namely:

- REDUCING INEQUALITY – NARROWING THE GAP IN OUTCOME FOR CITIZENS
How will removing a good thing for a disadvantaged group reduce inequality? The effect will be, in fact, to widen the gap.
- DELIVERING TOGETHER, EFFICIENTLY, EFFECTIVELY AND EQUITABLY – ENSURING THAT ALL CITIZENS HAVE APPROPRIATE ACCESS TO AND CHOICE OF HIGH QUALITY LOCAL SERVICES.

As noted above, these proposals will go some way to reducing accessibility.

iii. The proposals conflict with other stated objectives for Lewisham's parking policy

5.3 AIR QUALITY IMPACT

By forcing the families of disabled people to move their cars and search for other parking spaces nearby when their children have been picked up for school, this policy will add extra car journeys to our already congested streets, when engines are cold and therefore at their most inefficient and likely to cause pollution.

5.4.5 "CHARGING ENSURES THAT THE BOROUGH DOES NOT BECOME A 'CAR PARK' FOR THOSE TRAVELLING INTO LONDON FROM THE SOUTH EAST, AND THAT THE

COUNCIL'S OTHER POLICY AIMS SET OUT IN ITS TRANSPORT STRATEGY AND LOCAL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN ARE FULFILLED."

In the two and half years for which we have had an advisory space, I have encountered other people using it on less than half a dozen occasions. Forcing the families of disabled people to move their cars from current the mandatory spaces which will replace advisory spaces (when there is no demand from other blue badge users for these spaces) will increase parking pressure on residential streets and contribute to neighbour's' perceptions that the street outside their home is being used as a car park by those who do not live there.

5.4.3 SECTION 122 OF THE ACT IMPOSES A DUTY ON THE COUNCIL TO EXERCISE THE FUNCTIONS CONFERRED ON THEM BY THE RTRA AS (SO FAR AS PRACTICABLE HAVING REGARD TO THE MATTERS SPECIFIED IN S122 (2) TO 'SECURE THE EXPEDITIOUS, CONVENIENT AND SAFE MOVEMENT OF VEHICULAR AND OTHER TRAFFIC INCLUDING PEDESTRIANS AND THE PROVISION OF SUITABLE AND ADEQUATE PARKING FACILITIES ON AND OFF THE HIGHWAY'.

These proposals run counter to these responsibilities, as they will

- Increase traffic movement (as families of disabled people are forced to move their cars for no reason other than to comply with regulations which change depending on who is in the property)
- Require disabled pedestrians to more frequently move a distance to their car
- Reduce the adequacy of parking currently provided

5.4.4 THE MATTERS SET OUT IN S122(2) ARE

(A) THE DESIRABILITY OF SECURING AND MAINTAINING REASONABLE ACCESS TO PREMISES;

For the reasons set out above, these proposals will ensure access to homes will become more inconvenient for disabled people and their families

iv. The proposals have been incorrectly assessed so that their impact on equality has been scored as "low", when it is actually "high"

"EXPECTED IMPACT ON SERVICE EQUALITIES FOR USERS – HIGH / MEDIUM / LOW, NEUTRAL

OR N/A

DISABILITY: L" "

As highlighted above, the proposals will make life significantly more inconvenient for disabled people and their families as a currently satisfactory service provision is replaced by something much worse. Therefore, the impact should be recorded as "High" and be subjected to the appropriate level of scrutiny.

v. The proposals run counter to the provisions set out in the 2010 Equality Act,

11.3 SECTION 149 OF THE EQUALITY ACT 2010 ("THE ACT") IMPOSES A DUTY THAT THE COUNCIL

MUST, IN THE EXERCISE OF ITS FUNCTIONS, HAVE DUE REGARD TO:-

- ELIMINATE DISCRIMINATION, HARASSMENT, VICTIMISATION AND ANY OTHER CONDUCT THAT IS PROHIBITED BY OR UNDER THE ACT;
- ADVANCE EQUALITY OF OPPORTUNITY BETWEEN PERSONS WHO SHARE A RELEVANT PROTECTED CHARACTERISTIC AND THOSE WHO DO NOT;
- FOSTER GOOD RELATIONS BETWEEN PERSONS WHO SHARE A RELEVANT PROTECTED CHARACTERISTIC AND PERSONS WHO DO NOT SHARE IT.

As I have described, there is every reason to assume the proposals will run counter to these principles by changing provision for a specific group of the population with a protected

characteristic, to their detriment.

Meanwhile your proposal document acknowledges that:

11.8 IN REGARD TO THE PROPOSED CRITERIA FOR ASSESSMENT OF DISABLED BAY APPLICATIONS, OFFICERS HAVE HAD DUE REGARD TO PERSONS WITH PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS. PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES WOULD BE ASSESSED AGAINST THE PROPOSED CRITERIA BASED ON AN ASSESSMENT OF MEDICAL NEED INSTEAD OF A REQUIREMENT THAT THE APPLICANT BE BOTH A DRIVER AND A BLUE BADGE HOLDER.

However, the proposals fail to acknowledge that the application process for advisory bays already considers the medical need for non drivers and their families, so this is not an extra benefit.

This assessment also ignores the huge inconvenience the replacement of advisory spaces with mandatory spaces will impose on disabled users and their families (whose rights are also protected under the 2010 Equality Act)

In stating that these proposals will reduce the availability of parking for disabled people it is clear that those people and their families are a group with a protected characteristic who are being disproportionately affected by these proposals.

In light of this, and viewed through the lens of the Equality Act 2010 (which, as well as many other provisions, provides protection from discrimination if one is connected with someone who has a disability such as a family member or friend) these proposals should not proceed.

vi. The proposals present poor value for money for individuals and the wider community. APPROVED DISABLED PARKING BAYS WOULD BE REQUIRE A TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT ORDER INSTALLED IN BATCHES IN ORDER TO REDUCE THE COST BORNE BY THE COUNCIL AND THE APPLICANT, SO IT MAY TAKE SEVERAL MONTHS FOR A DISABLED PARKING BAY TO BE INSTALLED.

So, as if the targeting of unnecessarily harsh regulations on a specific group of people with protected characteristics (for no benefit) were not discriminatory enough, the document suggests that applicants will

- a) be forced to bear some cost for the for the process
- b) may be faced with a delay, without it being clear what will happen to their parking arrangements in the meantime.

Furthermore, it would appear that any proposals which incur costs for any party (including users and/or local taxpayers through the activities of the local authority) without delivering any benefits represent very poor value for money on a personal and community level.

Finally, I am very concerned that about the way the consultation presents question 31. If I were a general member of the public, without experience of using disabled parking bays, I would assume that making all bays mandatory (and enforcing their use for only blue badge holders) would be a good thing and agree with this statement.

However the way the questions is formed I would have no idea of the multiple ways in which this proposal will actually run to the detriment of current advisory bay users. A more honest and accurate way to phrase the question would be to ask:

DO YOU AGREE THAT ALL MARKED DISABLED BAYS SHOULD BE ENFORCED THROUGH PARKING TICKETS TO ENSURE ONLY BLUE BADGE VEHICLES CAN USE THEM, EVEN IF THIS RESULTS IN A SIGNIFICANT LEVEL OF INCONVENIENCE AND COST TO THOSE WHO CURRENTLY USE MANY OF THEM?

Therefore I would expect any reporting of the answer to this question to be split between those with sufficient knowledge and experience of what these changes really mean for blue badge holders and who can make an informed decision (i.e. the holders themselves), and those who do not (the general public).

Any consultation which fails to offer this level of granularity in responses will be next to useless at best.

Conclusion

For the reasons set out above the proposals set out in the council's consultation on parking:

- i. will disproportionately reduce quality of life for disabled people and their families
- ii. conflict with other stated strategic priorities for Lewisham Borough
- iii. conflict with other stated objectives for Lewisham's parking policy
- iv. have been incorrectly assessed so that their impact on equality has been scored as "low", when it is actually "high"
- v. run counter to the provisions set out in the 2010 Equality Act
- vi. present poor value for money for individuals and the wider community

I am at a loss as to why Lewisham Council would design proposals which make life more difficult for a specific group within society. And then put them out to consultation via a misleading question (question 31).

Therefore, I look forward to hearing that the points I have offered have been reflected upon and a much better, more inclusive and aligned strategy developed: an approach which retains the current approach to Blue Badge parking spaces.

Yours faithfully

that not all cars are driven just because a lot of people need their cars to get about otherwise they would be house bound and for some it is a necessity as using other transport is not always an option.

The bays in residential areas should not be mandatory as they are for the person living in that house and their carers / visitors need to be able to use them too. Especially carers who live at the same address need to use them while the person is in school / work.

The car parks should be highly maintained to reflect the cost of parking.

The councils should fight to make more conditions have access to blue badges. Hidden conditions.

Also introduce parent and child parking.

The disable bar should only use portion of theirs but hadn't say that all normal bay should also have slash (/) disable bay so that even if all disable bay is occupied they can also use the normal one.

The disabled bays should only be operated during daylight hours

The disabled parking bay in my area is not enough with the hotel by the side at times I don't even have a space to park most of the times.

The disabled parking space are used most of the time by normal fit peoples if I can see them yo should be able to spot that too.

The focus on improving parking for disabled drivers needs to recognise the other barriers disabled people face in getting around. Improving access to public transport, improving navigability of pavements and improving air quality are all issues which will impact on disabled people probably more than the general population, so it all has to be thought about holistically.

The NSL team you have employed do not rocket disabled vehicles, even though they park on double yellow lines which they are NOT allowed to do, and this causes havoc with anyone trying to come out of junctions or crossing the road.

Start ticketing cars as you should!!!

The proposals are horrendous.

The purview is too narrow. The big problem is car reliance and personal ownership (increased through the brilliant marketing of PCPs). Make private ownership rare, more use of zip-car type arrangements and leave more space for people who need cars, service vehicles, delivery vehicles and trades people's vans.

The views of residents with disabilities should be given higher priority than people like me who do not (currently) have a disability.

There always seems to be loads of disabled spaces as a ratio to regular spaces. I definitely think those who are not entitled to park in the disabled bays should be penalised when breaking the rules.

There are a great number of disabled parking bays near our local shops that are rarely used. I think they should be monitored if they are going to be enforced and removed if their use is minimal because at times they are the only space available.

There are a number of unused disabled spaces on my road currently. It is a particularly hard to park on my road as it is and if the spaces became enforceable - they'd remain empty taking up space for no reason as they have no frequent user on the road who lives there

There are dedicated parking spaces for people with chn but nothing for the elderly

There are disabled bays near my road, which residents have moved out from the house and the bay not changed back for normal parking.

There are often far far too many disabled bays in 1 place or at supermarkets, so the majority of shoppers or residents can't park at all at some hours.

Why not have peak time boundaries for disabled bays to make some available for others at certain times at least.

There are far too many disabled bays as it is. Usually these are the ones that have available parking. Why so many!?

There are more disabled parking spaces in the borough than are required, particularly in supermarkets. There need to be more baby/child and parent spaces and non compliance parking in these spaces i.e. people who park in these spaces are fined in the same way as car owners that abuse disabled parking spaces.

there are much too many Disable parking bays, most are usually vacant, whereas residents and business bays are frequently full.

There are times when disable person cannot accompany a family member there should be some help towards those who are supporting a disable family member to be able to park not in disable bay, but use badge as most will be shopping on behalf of the disable family member.

There has been no mention of family parking bays. I am amazed that this has not been touched on. As a mother with young children accessible and safe parking is very important. Why has this been overlooked. I appreciate that it may be more difficult to monitor but perhaps there could be special bays in places that are frequented by families and are perhaps less safe in terms of the proximity to moving traffic etc.... Families with children under a certain age should be able to apply for a 'family badge' that enables them to use these spaces. ?

There is currently no easy method for reporting suspected abuse of blue badges please provide within the website a very clear means of reporting disabled parking abuse
There seems to be an excessive number of disabled bays compared to the number of disabled people

There should be allowances for non disabled people to park in disabled parking bays. Such as 20 minutes grace for emergencies.

There should be no enforcement in disabled parking because sometimes if you attend an appointment it can be delayed and the driver will be under stress / panic of being issued a ticket, kindly abolish the whole ideas. Also ensure disabled parking are use by only those meant for it and ensure the blue badges are display on sight otherwise a ticket can be given for any unauthorised car found parked on disabled parking space. It is frustrating that people that are not supposed to park will take the advantage leaving a blue badge holders frustrating
They are often empty - especially in areas with no disabled residents.

Think more about parents and carers of disabled people.

Think the disabled parking is already great

This change should be implemented in such a way as to not disadvantage blue badge holders who do not meet the current criteria for a mandatory disabled bay, but who would qualify for an advisory bay.

This consultation does not clearly define 'mandatory' bays vs 'advisory' bays. I had to find out what this means elsewhere and the implications of Lewisham's proposals . Therefore I do not find this consultation to be transparent. Also, given the nature of this part of the consultation it should be available in EasyRead so people with learning disability are fairly consulted.

This is as much an issue for our local MPs as the Council - pollution cannot be controlled via local parking controls, it's a national issue. It also necessitates working with neighbouring councils to find common approaches, share ideas and solutions. If visitors consider themselves penalised for coming to Lewisham, they will go elsewhere.

This is ridiculously long!

This should have happened years ago

This survey is FAKE and nothing will done.

Timed bays for shopping is a better use of disabled bays

To help the disabled use more public transport ALL train stations should have step free access (eg St Johns). This also helps parents with buggies/small children.

Parents with buggies on public transport should always give precedence to wheel chair users.
To many healthy people are using this Blue Badge to do their shopping and stop their cars anywhere...

To scrap this consultation, to think you could justify including this in , by addressing the blue badge parking abuse that takes place. Is a insult to disabled drivers

Traffic restrictions should be enforced. 20 mile hour zones are monitored by cameras that don't work, and everyone knows it so ignores the restriction. My Road (Upper brockley road) has recently had double yellow lines painted - although not complete, as a dumped van hasn't been moved to allow the full stretch of the lines to be painted. People ignore the yellow lines and park there anyway, which they can do because there are no enforcement officers. This should be remedied.

Various relatives & friends of the disabled blue badge holders may not all live at the address of the blue badge holder

What are advisory bays?

What evidence is there that disabled drivers are not getting the access to the borough that they need?

What is the feasibility of running the parking infrastructure directly? Having it run by a private, commercial third party such as NSL is not ideal, especially given the information about the 20p surcharge for app payments (i.e. if the system is expected to continue to produce

revenue for NSL, why can't they absorb some of that 12p cost rather than it falling on Lewisham council?).

Where cars are currently allowed to park half on pavement eg Cranston road wheel chair users often can't get past on pavement. Install dedicated parking bays on road which would have welcome effect of narrowing road and reducing speed.

While I accept that priority should be given to these drivers parking arrangements, I believe that the location of these bays should be reviewed. Regularly, we have a blue badge holder that parks in the entrance to our small street (on the other side of the road to the parking bays) that often causes an obstruction to access for other drivers.

Why are you discriminating against disabled residents who do not have a resident Parking permit? They still need visitors.

You are attempting to penalise those that are unable to update their current vehicle due to funds and those that need a vehicle for work who are unable to afford to update their vehicle.

Whilst I agree with improving air quality poverty is already a massive issue in Lewisham, DO NOT penalise the people that are already struggling PLEASE.

You could also separate double bays. So that a double bay isn't taken up by one vehicle. Also issue a parking fine for people that have taken up two bays through thoughtless parking.

You have bias questions and also sometimes it isn't a yes/no question.

You need some child friendly bays too

You need to take into account the situation of parents with disabled children. They may need to park when their child is not immediately physically with them, but for the benefit of their child. For example, when picking the child up, or outside their house so the car is easier accessible to the child later.

There are many things that can and should be done to reduce car use among people who don't need it and can easily travel through other means. Consult properly with parents of disabled children so you do not inadvertently penalise them.

You say that "Lewisham are also proposing to provide 10 hours of visitor parking free of charge to all Blue Badge holders with a valid Resident Parking Permit."

Currently all resident permit holders are entitled to ten hours free visitor parking. This should continue.

Section 7 - Blue badge proposals overall consultation ideas (verbatim comments)

LB Lewisham public consultation
Blue Badge questions - verbatim comments
774 comments out of 3,767 surveys – 20.6%

Q: What else could the council do to improve parking for disabled drivers in the borough?

* Allow Blue Badge holders to park in normal parking bays free of charge.

* To put more disabled bays around the borough, especially near the hospital and by GP surgeries.

* Remove any time restrictions on disabled parking bays.

1. Allow blue badge holders to park in any CPZ in Lewisham (not pay and display).
 2. All "pay and display" areas in Lewisham must have at least 1 enforceable mandatory Disabled parking bay.
- a Couple of things:

1. I am of the opinion that blue badges and blue badge usage is one of the most abused systems on UK roads.

2. Why are these spaces free?

A few more as numbers of Blue Badge holders are on the increase.

Fewer parking bays may also drive some drivers to use the bus or walk instead

A vetting of blue badge holders. Sometimes disabilities are not visible but I suspect a number of resident use the system inappropriately.

Absolutely

Actually enforce across the whole borough

actually enforce it

Actually enforce the regulation. Stop walking past violators like they aren't there.

actually enforce this!

Actually find out if bays are needed. A one was recently put in down baizdon road and hardly anyone uses it during restricted parking hours.. taking apart a car and a half space was not a good idea!

Add electric charging points to disabled bays, and neighbouring ones.

add more

Add more bays and stop taking away the ones that had been applied for and approved then removing them with out notifying the person

Add some actual consistency behind them

Advertise availability of disabled parking facilities.

Consistent enforcement.

Advisory bays should remain advisory, mandatory bays should get parking tickets. Question 31 is NOT clear on what you are proposing (ie advisory bays to be ticketed)

Again, a lot of older drivers have mobility problems and need to park near shops etc, but do not qualify for a Blue Badge. There should be some sort of provision for them!

I disagree with parent and child spaces being close to entrances and they are usually young and very mobile!!!!

All bays should be covered by ANPR cameras and disabled residents enter their valid blue badge number together with their vehicle details to be added onto the exempt list so they can park in any disabled bay in the borough. Those that are not registered and park in a disabled bay are then heavily fined.

Allocate bays correctly and number them so that they can be specifically allocated to residents often if they are other badge holders on a street where there is enough space for individual bays people then claim on other residents bay who has made a request. If the application for bays will require an assessment then they should be specifically allocated to individuals.

Allow disabled bays outside disabled people's homes in areas with residents' parking.
Allow an hour parking on Yellow Lines when parking bays are not available or are not close enough to the shops

Allow bays outside houses with disabled residents

Allow better drop off areas, I have given elderly people lifts and find that particularly with red routes and bus lane cameras it can be hard to sort them out. Also very difficult near some stations knowing how to drop off...and indeed helping to pick up less mobile, eg elderly relatives. They don't necessarily have a disabled badge.

Allow blue badge holders to park beyond 10 - 4pm on red route bays that make provision for disabled blue badge holders - it is so difficult to keep within these times.

Allow blue badge holders to park in any of the bays

Allow disabled drivers similar parking freedom as Borough wide permit holders.
but restrict them to shared-use and resident only bays.

Allow disabled drivers to park for free in car parks

Allow disabled parking in any designated parking space.

Allow disabled people to have bays accessible only to them at all times outside their houses.

Allow disabled residents to have a disabled bay outside their house, even if they live in a residents paid parking zone.

Allow easier renewal process - the arrangements at Laurence House are virtually impossible for disabled people to do on their own. What can't this be done without having to visit in person?

Also, bays need better and clearer signage. In many instances there is just a faded dashed line with an even more faded 'DISABLED' label.

Allow for sufficient parking such that disabled parking is not the only empty space. Encourage other forms of transport use such that the demand for spaces is reduced.

Allow free parking for disabled card holders in all CPZs

allow people to park in resident only areas with their blue badge

Allow people with a disabled child to have a parking bay outside their home which is available to them to use whether or not their child is with them at that point in time.

Allow residents to register legitimate visitors. Allow them to park on a particular street (IE not free reign to park across the CPZ).

allow the continuation of the recommend bays.

Again, there is no viable justification for the removal of them.

Allow them free/discounted parking in car parks and pay and display

Allow them to park in all streets with single yellow lines

Allow them to park on single yellow lines where appropriate which would negate having to create more disabled bays and leave more parking if this is an issue already.

Allow to park in all parking bays. Work closely with policing teams to tackle abuse of permits.

Allow visitors with a Blue Badge in residents parking bays, not just in metered bays which are often at a distance.

An audit and review of residents who hold Blue Badges to ensure they are assigned correctly and circumstances haven't changed.

Anpr

Any car that don't display their badges should be fine

Any disabled person should receive free visitor parking permits, not just disabled drivers.

areas that are easy to park in on main roads (bus lanes) sometimes

As I am somewhat disabled and have no services to help me I do not know why more should be done for those already being helped.

As the current practice of Advisory Bays for disabled drivers or passengers seems to work well why is Lewisham proposing to get rid of it? This proposed change would only seem to complicate life for the disabled who have enough difficulties to cope with.

Assure disabled users the opportunity to choose where is more appropriate for them so that they get the benefit of the application that they made and not have to be inconvenienced by others taking the bay over .

At the moment NSL will only register a motability vehicle with a free residents permit if the motability car is in the same name as the person receiving the PIP payment. However, my son lacks mental capacity, so I have been made his official representative by DWP and manage his benefit payments, which means the motability paperwork has been put in my name. I drive on his behalf, but the car BELONGS TO HIM. NSL claim it is a carer's vehicle and so are unable to provide him with a free residents pass, which he is entitled to as a blue badge holder and receiving full PIP. I intend to pursue this under the DDA.

Awareness campaigns so people use reason and empathy when finding a place to park.
Encourage the use of bicycles and motorcycles.

Ban other drivers

Bays should be properly enforced and there should be a review of methods used to control fraudulent use of blue badges.

I am very wary that cutting the bays to mandatory only will severely restrict the ability of disabled drivers to move around the borough and that this is not an advisable way of pursuing air pollution targets- other methods such as diesel taxing etc more appropriate and can be used if necessary on disabled vehicles.

Will the council institute "council named" mandatory bays- ie the bays are mandatory but as opposed to being held in an individual's name they are linked to the need for the council to provide access.

Be merciless on the fraudulent use of Blue Badges

be more aware where disabled people use places regularly and adjust places up or down to make it fair for all. you could allow a disabled visitor badge option so that someone with a disabled person with them could park near for them so they are not isolated if they don't have a permanent car.

Be more proactive in dealing with blue badge fraud. Introduce a substitute permit for BB holders so they don't have to leave their badge on display whilst parked in Lewisham.

Be tougher on those drivers who shouldn't park there.

Be very strict about disabled parking spaces only be for Blue Badge holders only, fine heavily if this is not complied with.

Better checks on authenticity of badges and users.

Better disabled access to public transport and increase number of advisory spaces

Better enforcement of the rules (e.g. checking that people are not just using their relatives' blue badges to avoid charges).

Better monitoring of blue badge bay use at public amenities (e.g. sports centres).

Better policing of disabled drivers as I see people who are not disabled use disabled parking bays.

Better public transport so no need to drive

better signalling

bigger fines

Bigger parking spaces

Bigger spaces to allow for proper wheelchair access.

Bigger spaces.

Target areas where there is known high use for disabled users.

Blue Badge criteria seems to have been well thought out already.

Blue badge holders should also pay parking fees

Blue badge holders should be allowed to park for free in any parking space in the borough, at any time. Living in Lewisham is hard enough as it is for disabled people without the additional burden of parking fees.

Blue badge holders should have at least double free visitor parking (or you could limit it to those who don't have their own car and so need to travel as a passenger and need visitors to help them do things like shopping and attend health appointments). Subsidised by stopping or reducing free visitor parking for most polluting cars/more affluent households as measured by council tax band (crude I know) as I've previously mentioned. Could they also get a 25-50% discount of their parking permit outside their house (or do they already get that free, I'm not familiar with how it works)? Maybe just up to a certain level of banding, so not for the highest bands?

Blue badge holders should pay the same parking fees as other users.

Blue badge holders without a parking permit should receive free visitors' permits.

There should be more than 10 free permits per year. 50 would be better.

Blue badge scheme for disabled parking bay should be clearer

The badge should be non-transferable and used the vehicle issued to the disabled user by name of person and their own vehicle.

This should be regularly reviewed checking the user still needs the badge. And is living in the borough.

Blue badge visitors to homes should be able to park in residential areas for free. I think it is disgusting that they get charged for parking close to the property they are visiting especially if they have mobility issues.

Many disabled people receive benefits and have limited income

Blue badge, parking bays, free parking everywhere with the blue badge?

Bud more car parks to alleviate the parking problem in general.

Camera surveillance to ensure the bays are used by the disabled only.

Can you stop blue badges being used when the disabled person is not in the car

Cannot comment as not disabled or aware of specific issues for disabled people

Cant really say.

card card holders more

Cars carrying disabled people do not use only the disabled bays and that needs to be recognised by free parking for blue badge holders. New road development does not take account of the real needs of disabled people: the big new roundabout between Lewisham Station and the big Tesco removed the area used both by disabled people and deliveries to the locality, and did not replace it with any alternative facility. Now, everyone parks on the the roundabout since their needs have not changed. This feels like a striking example of the town-planning committee making things worse for everyone.

CCTV to catch those abusing disabled parking bays.

Change more regular parking bays to disabled only

Charge the same fees as any other resident

I see no reason why they get free anything

Charge the same for them as other bays. Why should they be free?

Check all parking signs for damage and also keep them upto date.

Check fake badges, penalise people who park in disabled bays

Check more oftern

Check more thoroughly , with cameras, to detect non disabled drivers who park in these bays

Check that the blue badge is only being used when a disabled person is in the vehicle

Check that the person parking has the permit holder with them. I am astonished by the number of people who park and use the blue badge, imaterial if the disabled person is with them or not. Disgrassful behaviour.

For those like me who can walk unaided, I am grateful that I can park and walk and push the wheelchair.

check that the persons car is logged to them and make sure that the right person is driving it, and that they have a unique number to that driver as i think many of these disabled spaces are misused.

Check the person using the blue badge is doing so within the rules

Check their validity more regularly. Its more than obvious there is a very high proportion of illegal use in the borough and nothing seems to be done about it

Clamp down harder on blue badge fraudsters and people who are not disabled using such spaces

clamp down more on fraudulent use stop wasting money paying a little guy sleeping in a smart car with a camera

Clamp down on blue badge fraud. Think twice about providing disabled parking bays. Maybe assess what the demand really is. Remove cars with blue badges that park in a way that endangers wheelchair users from crossing roads - i.e. consider the needs of all disabled people not just disabled drivers with blue badges.

Clamp down on fraudulent use of blue badges.

Clamp down on the fraudulent use of blue badges

Clear markings on the road would be a big help. Often they are worn off

Clear signage and road markings and tough enforcement to stop able bodied drivers from parking in disabled bays

Clearer markings on bays, especially those on residential streets

Clearly marked bays. Also a review of where disabled badge holders can park - sometimes the vehicles are parked in places that create unsafe crossings for pedestrians, especially when accompanied by children.

Clearly signed. Areas where are significant for disabled drivers.

Closer monitoring as there is abuse of blue badge users, is there a register to traffic wardens?

Colour the ground blue

Confiscate and crush all cars that display fake Blue Cards or the abuse of Blue Cards, and there is more than you think I see them every day.

Consider whether there are dropped curb's nearby for wheelchair users to get to and from vehicle.

Consult directly with disabled group

Consult disabled people

Consult widely with disabled drivers - I think there may not be enough spaces for them?

Continue to make thorough checks that these individuals are entitled

Control more often

convert parking spaces into disabled only and the disabled parking spaces into more widely available.

Could you have an app to make it easier for disabled drivers to know where there are vacant bays near their destination?

CPZ in Evelyn so disabled people can park

CPZ replace disabled bays which have been removed, my neighbor had a bay prior to the CPZ they now have no guarantee of a space close to their home which is not reasonable or practicable as a disabled person.

Crack down on the illegal use of blue badges by non-disabled people.

Create a bit more disabled parking bays as there is a real shortage of these spaces, my street in Lewisham do not have disabled bays like other London Boroughs

Create more disable parking bays both in the area and in the parking at Lewisham Hosptial

Create more disabled bays

Create more spaces

create more spaces for disabled parking

d/k

Dedicated bays outside homes with disabled occupants

Dedicated bays rather than being available to all blue badge holders

Designate more parking spaces for blue badge holders.

Allow residents with disabled spaces outside their house to park there all day/night regardless of whether the disabled child/adult is in the property.

Difficult to answer - I've no idea of the proportion of Blue Badges compared to non-Blue Badge.

Disability is not only wheelchair bound

Disabled bays have been removed when CPZs were put in place. replace these bays.

Disabled bays in residential roads are confusing and not enforced

Disabled bays need to be larger than regular bays (if not already).

Disabled bays should be in front of the users property or for easy access to mobility spots only not in random areas

Disabled box outside a property should only be for that address

disabled class vehicles shouldn't need to display permits and risk having their cars broken into for them

Disabled drivers should be medically assessed so that only those with real need are using cars and it should be easy for them to park near where they need to get to. I personally know of two people in my street who use their parents blue badge to get better parking. Blue badges should only be used by people who need them by much tighter controls on this.

Disabled only car parks, in certain more accessible areas.

Disabled parking bays need to be expanded by replacing standard parking bays with disabled parking bays.

Disabled parking bays should be monitored day and night. As people with disabilities don't just go out between 9am and 6 pm

Disabled parking should still be assigned a place they're visiting or a residents home

Disabled parking spots should clearly be accessible from local amenities.

Disabled people already have the power to park in most places so don't need extra bays

Disabled people also need as many bays as everyone else, council should provide them with more bays to use.

Disabled people holding a blue badge who are visiting a permit holder should be able to park in CPZs for free. Not in a pay an display area or marked disabled bay.

Disabled people should be the only ones "allowed" to have cars as moving around by transport or even just on pavements (with all the bins and rubbish littering our pavements) seem very near to impossible.

Disabled status is heavily abused so it should be no differentiation.

Discount for disabled parkers

Discourage car ownership/use generat

Discourage non badge holders from using disabled bays.

Display only.

Display two forms of ID

Do not make more red tape for people with disabled spaces outside their house.

Don't slap on tickets when a disabled driver has to park in a regular residents' bay. This is particularly bad near the Library. I got a ticket while inside trying to buy passes inside the library. Disabled drivers in residents' parking areas should be allowed a specified disabled bay. Your wonderful parking partners have suspended my bay 5 times since October for works that we're not begun till May.

Don't know as I have never used this facility anywhere

Don't know enough about this to comment.

Don't know. Consult blue badge holders to find out

don't make the disabled drivers represent majority population of drivers;

Doublecheck use of Blue Badges as these are extremely easy to obtain fraudulently.

Drop curbside

Not making blue badge holders park in the road as this only blocks the road and takes up a bay as well.

Dropping the kerb by the bays making it easier for wheelchair users.

Easier access

Educating public on usage of disabled parking bays its abused I agree than simply issue them a day charge ticket rather than fine and all that paper work with it.

Enable a bay as near to the disabled persons property as possible

Enable people who need them to be able to have one outside their own house.

Enforce abuse/fraud of blue badge holders

Enforce action against those who use disabled bays without a permit.

Enforce all disabled parking bays properly. Too many people park in them because many bays are courtesy bays. This should not be allowed.

Enforce disabled parking bays in private car parks too.

Enforce fines for misuse. I see so many abuses of this in car parks with able car users taking up the spaces, especially in larger car parks. This is similarly the case with parent and child bays.

Enforce incorrect usage to ensure they're accessible to those who they were placed there for.

Enforce it with zero tolerance

Enforce rigorously.

enforce same rule at supermarkets, etc.

Enforce the bays that are currently enforceable

Enforce the blue badge parking permits, without having to get a ticket.

Enforce the charge for people not displaying a badge.

Enforce the disabled bays.

Enforce the disabled only policy for disabled bays, but review the number. \There are currently too many bays in operation.

Enforce the extant parking restrictions.

Enforce the law!

Enforce the rules stated in question 31!

Enforce the spaces

Enforce the use of bays and not just be allowed to park any where and stick a badge on the dash board.

Vehicles are sometimes seen left anywhere, putting pedestrians and other drivers at risk of accident due to obstruction.

Enforce the use of disabled parking bays in car parks!

Enforce them everywhere with heavy misuse fines.

enforce them more rigorously - people blatantly abuse the spaces

Enforce those bays

Enforce

People use the disabled bays at Hither Green Station for drop off and pick ups

Should have number recognition cameras to enforce

Enforcement in cars parking without a blue badge

Enforcement of the bays and ensuring the vehicle is genuinely being used by those registered. I think private car parks should also monitor their parking bays. Car owners are some of the most selfish and ignore restrictions.

Enough

Ensure all public and private parking areas apply the same polices or, supermarkets etc. This can be done by finding the owners for not apply the polices via Council Tax and Rates.

Ensure badge holders are actually disabled

Ensure clear signage is stating blue badge and fine penalty clearly displayed

Ensure correct use of blue badges

Ensure disabled bays are usefully placed by amenities.

Reinstate the shopmobility scheme in the shopping centre.

Ensure disabled parking restrictions enforced including in private car parks

Ensure dropped pavement kerb by parallel bays!

Ensure more Mandatory bays are made available, where possible.

Ensure more regular checking and penalising of non disabled drivers who use those bays

Ensure no road has parking on both sides. This makes it inaccessible and also causes traffic.

Ensure pay machines are near the bays

Ensure road markings are kept visible so disabled bays can easily be seen.

Ensure sufficient numbers of bays provided and enforced

Ensure that adequate disabled bays are in the borough

Ensure that bays are monitored - cant get a parkinf space ofetn full up with non disabled vehicles

Ensure that blue badge misuse is penalised

Ensure that drivers with disabled badges can continue to park on single yellow lines as disabled bays are often full.

Ensure that public spaces (shopping areas, parks and parking areas etc.) have adequate disabled parking spaces.

Ensure that refuse collectors are careful with their collections and put bins back as they found them rather than throw them around the street.

Reduce the aMOUNT of speed humps and little roundabouts that do very little except cause confusion and jams.

Police the restrictions better to ensure disabled bays used appropriately.

Ensure that the blue badge privilage is not penalised as I regularly see that it is. I know that to be disabled enough or rather the criteria to attain a blue badge is open to abuse and it is hard for the government to control/clamp down on the abuse but I think that a disabled driver should be able to have their badge and picture scrutinised. As a disabled driver of over 10 years I have never been stopped and questioned nor have I ever seen the over 100 drivers in the time whom get out of cars with no visible disability be questioned or requested to show that they are the owners of the disabled badge that they are using.

As with most disabled drivers, I am aware of fact that without me being present then my badge cannot be used but too many people are flouting these rules and not open to the risk of being caught, due to the ridged rules which restrict the inspection of ones Disabled Parking Permit. Of course some people with Badges might not like these inspections but if personnel used their intelligence in requesting/asking to see badges then I am sure there would be minimal issues.

Ensure that the people who receive disabled parking permits are thoroughly vetted and deserving of these permits - I have seem some people with these permits trot from their cars like new-born lambs! There are some elderly residents who have as much difficulty in parking as those who have blue badges but aren't given the same help.

Ensure that the permits are easily renewed for disabled

Ensure that the type of disability warrants a permit.

Ensure that there is a disabled parking is allocated close to the disabled person house

Ensure that they are at useful locations.

Ensure that they have sensible places to park with plenty of room to get in or out of the vehicle.

Ensure the bays are large enough and positioned for easy access for disabled residents

Ensure the bays are monitored.

Ensure the data base of blue badge users is up to date, so where a blue badge has not been displayed, information as to whether the car is registered or not can be easily checked.

Ensure there are enough situated within the the borough. Within controlled parking zones and residential areas

Ensure there are enough spaces near hospitals. schools, shops and essential services.

Ensure there are sufficient spaces near public services.

Ensure there is enough space in the bay for ease of wheelchair access

Ensure they have easy access to local amenities

Ensure they're clearly marked and ensure that those people who are genuinely in need of such badges get them and are the only ones to use those badges. They should be checked to ensure they're not being used by other drivers/cars.

Ensuring people do not abuse the blue badge system. These badges are solely for the use of those who need them and not for those that can access them through friends/ relatives.

Clearer labelling of parking areas

Ensuring that those with 'invisible' disabilities are not discriminated against.

Envcoarge enforcement of disabled bays in private car parks - eg at stores

Every car in the disabled bay must display disabled badge not just Park there, and they should put back the polls which says blue badge only.

Every parking road bay or public parking should have a dedicated parking for disabled drivers.

If a non disabled driver is parking on a disabled parking spot I would Increase the penalty.

Everyone house hold for a Lewisham resident with a blue badge should have a accessible parking area a long there property ie flat or house there isn't any in Lewisham hill

Everyone knows that the use of disabled bays is frowned upon.

What's the point of wasting money having mandatory bays

Extend the parking times available.

Fewer bays for families with children and more disabled bays

Fine anyone caught using them who shouldn't.

fine people who park in them without a badge

Fine people who use disabled bays at shopping malls & places like Tesco

I know it's private property but a deal should be done to enforce

It would go down well with the public

Fine the drivers that part in the illegally.

Fine who uses disabled parking spots with no permission.

Make sure you include on the disability also invisible disability like chronic fatigue, etc...be aware of different kind of disability!

Fines that hurt the pocket for those who use them with out a badge

Firstly you should be more strict on who gets a badge as I always see people using them who are clearly not disabled and able to walk freely and carry heavy bags. I feel it is abused in this way and then denies a parking space for someone who genuinely needs it.

Focus should not be solely on improving parking, but improving access for disabled people more generally. Many disabled residents will not have access to a private vehicle, and it is

likely that the poorest disabled residents are over-represented in terms of lack of vehicle access. Therefore policies should be designed to improve access for all disabled people. This might include:

- allowing buses to stop somewhere safe which is not a bus stop when they have a disabled passenger who wants to alight somewhere specific.
- allowing taxis to stop to drop off/pick up disabled passengers from disabled bays (with some form of proof that they are picking up disabled passenger)
- improving pavement access for disabled people (no point in being able to park and then, for instance, not be able to get passed other parked cars in a wheelchair where the pavement is too narrow).

Free parking

free parking anywhere if you have a blue badge

Free parking in non-disabled parking areas if disabled evidence shown on dashboard. generally a level of enforcement, but it can be so difficult to drop off elderly or help them places that seems harsh to be so heavily penalised if only place to help them out of a car is disabled bay. The criteria for a blue badge can be high and I found elderly people refusing to apply.

Generally discourage car ownership in the Borough.

Get rid of resident only parking areas. More disabled parking areas. Longer parking time for disabled drivers.

Give a disabled parking bay outside the house

give out larger fines to people parking in them when they should not. that's in shopping centers as well

Give them to those who really need them and bit to fake one, that pretend non walkers.

When walking disabled child is at school their parents can not use badge to run own affairs and use badge to bit pay resident permits. Neither those carers/family members that have bound to be disabled person use them for own pleasure.

Give visitor parking permits regardless of them having a resident permit

giving 10 hours is not good enough if I come for a week or weekend. Why don't you offer a Disabled permit to applicants so that they can park for longer when visiting Lewisham and their relatives

govern there use better

Greater clarity regarding blue badge parking in resident parking areas

Greater fines for misuse of blue badges and unauthorised parking in disabled bays.

Greater number of bays in busy areas

Have a segregated floor in the multi storey car park for the disabled drivers.

Have clearly labelled bays

Have disabled parking bays in convenient places

have drop down curbs, paint markings on the road / paths fade away so have clear & bright signage

Have dropped curbs where there are disabled bays and assess the accessibility of the surrounding area.

Have more bays

have more bays and discourage loading bays only which have taken over many of the disabled bays.

Have more bays near hospitals

Have more disabled bays

Have more disabled bays.

Disabled bays outside residents' homes should be enforceable if a car parks there without a blue badge.

Blue badge holders who do not own a car, should still have a disabled bay outside their home, to enable visitors to their home to use it for ease of access for the disabled person.

have more disabled parking bays

Have more disabled places especially near railway or bus stations.
Have more honest parking wardens out on the streets. Issuing greater fines to those persistent offenders.

Cameras by disabled bays.

Have more of them more conveniently placed for those that rely on them

Have more of them, and Fine anybody who parks in them not displaying a green card

Have more officers controlling the parking for disabled drivers

Have more parking bays for disabled people.

Have more spaces for disabled residents

Have more wardens visible and ensure those with no blue badge parked in a blue badge bay are fine instant £100

Have the power to have the photo of the disabled be displayed at all times.

Have up to date electronic registers of authorised users of disabled passes so that the wardens can check if they are being used fairly

Having a blue badge should mean they get a space near shops or other services it shouldn't mean its a free space

Heavy fines for non disabled - none blue badge holders using them

Heavy fines for non-disabled parking in disabled spaces

Help visitors of disabled residents.

My neighbour across the road is disabled. He mentioned that his nurse was struggling to park for a daily visit on the road. It would be useful to have spots restricted for say an hour each day for those visitors.

Higher fines for non blue badge holders

Higher fines for people breaking the law

Higher fines for those that park in them illegally

How about giving them more than 10 hours free visitor permits - their needs are usually more extensive than the able-bodied - for the disabled and the elderly to be able to facilitate any home care they need, I'd be happy to give up my 10 free hours.

I am not affected so unable to answer

I am not aware of existing issues so unable to comment

I am not really sure

I am stunned to discover that this is not already in place.

Have Lewisham been negligent?

I believe disabled people should receive more than 10h of visitor parking a month to make sure potential assistants/helpers/friends are not deterred from visiting

I believe enough is being done by having bays in the first place and supporting disabled driving costs.

I believe that now the criteria for use of disabled bays etc have been broadened it will lead to people getting blue badges when the need is not there

I believe there is enough disabled parking as there are often spaces in these dedicated bays already - from my limited over sight of this.

I can't believe it wasn't enforced anyway

I do not agree with free parking for blue badge holders, why can't they pay like everyone else.

They already get a free car, free congestion zone and now free parking also. Wouldn't mind if DW&P controlled who gets blue badges but they issue them out and then scum who aren't disabled gets every for free when they are perfectly able, like my neighbour

I do not know enough about disabled parking bays. I do know that if you have a person with a blue badge that has been assessed and it is evident that they have great difficulty in getting around, then a parking bay at their residence should not be so difficult to obtain.

There are many disabled bays around Lewisham and I think most strongly that they should only be used by Blue Badge holders so any parking enforcement that is taken against those using them illegally is only a good thing.

I do not know enough about disabled parking to contribute.

I do not know the concerns of disabled drivers in the borough. However the issue of parking for them should be minimised wherever possible to ensure fair usage for them. As long as measure put into place account for their disability.

I don't have any experience of disabled parking in the borough thank goodness, but from what I can see around the area I live in there appears to be plenty of parking spaces in the supermarkets which is good.

I don't know much about it but they need it.

I don't think fines will be the answer

I don't have sufficient information except to say they must always be available, in lit areas with all physical access carried out to the highest level

I don't know enough about it to comment

I don't know enough about your policy to answer this question.

I have no experience

I know disabled spots in supermarket car parks are on private land, but I have seen non-disabled (I accept some may have a non-visible disability) parking in the disabled spots at Tescos in Lewisham time after time. The only time I challenged this I got a mouthful of abuse. So liaise with the supermarkets to have someone on hand to check these parking spaces regularly.

I know its not council property but supper markets do not deal with people parking in blue badge bays who do not have a blue badge.

I notice that residents no longer have a bay outside their house. I think they should be reintroduced.

I think a lot is currently done for disabled drivers. As a blue badge holder myself living in Lewisham, I'm happy with the support I receive through this scheme. I would find it helpful if there was a better way to find places I could park in the borough though, for example, through an app.

I think advisory bays are a great idea. I didn't know they existed or what they look like. Many disabled people do not qualify for the blue badge scheme but still have significant needs. I think it would be a retrograde step to lose them.

I think all car owners should pay the same parking fee disabled or not as a lot of blue badge owners are relative fit to own and drive the car therefore should pay their share as they also pollute the air and environment.

I think anyone over 80 should automatically get a disabled badge.

They are too proud to apply.

My parents are a prime example of this.

Mother is housebound. Dad & family care for her. She has limited mobility but says she is not disabled, despite only managing a few steps & wont use a wheelchair! The Doctors surgery has been red routed & the roads surrounding it are permit parking! Doctors wont come to the house as shes not registered as immobile!!!

I think for disable should use like big car van

I think it is good the way it is at the moment where a disabled driver can park anywhere on a sirtion side of the road and put their badge up so they can park near to the house they are going to visit and there is room for them not just one bay here and there.

I think that the assessment for a blue badge should take into account as well people with 'hidden' problems, e.g. chronic pain. In addition, I think that the assessors should bring a bit of compassion into the process. Perhaps it's not a good idea to hire an agency for the assessment.

I think that they should ONLY be able to park in the designated areas and also busses accommodate most "disabled drivers" needs and there seem to be a lot of able to catch a bus people abusing this system.

I think the council already does a lot for disabled badge owners. I often feel there is more space dedicated to them than those with children/family

I think the council does the most for disabled parking!

I think there are sufficient bays, the normal bays are becoming smaller and that is a disgrace.

I find it difficult to fit into a bay in some of the Lewisham car parks.

I think there ought to be sample testing performed on disabled blue ticket holders, there have been nationwide scams, I don't think Lewisham will be unique and have 0 offenders. I support valid applications from doctors, but the borough should ensure there isn't people taking advantage of the schemes.

I think there's enough help.

I think these bays should be charged at the same rate as all other parking bays. The idea of a blue badge bay is to allow parking closer to the amenities to allow for easier access.

I think they do enough

I think things are probably about right judging from useage of these bays.

I think what there is ...is ok

I would give all blue badge holders in Lewisham a borough wide residents pass that enables them to park anywhere in the borough - so for them the whole borough is their resident zone. This would give them access to the whole borough and remove any difficulty caused by the lack of blue bays in some areas or if the blue bays are all taken.

I would say, allowing for parking in Residential bays (if No Disabled bay is available) but, for less time than the normal unless at a Medical appointment.

I. think they are quite well served already

If a blue-badge disabled driver wishes to visit a resident who has a residents' parking permit, they should be able to park as close as possible free of charge in residents' parking bays, not just in normal parking bays.

If a resident has applied for a disabled parking bay, outside their home, their house number should be painted within that bay. As it will still cause problems having a blue badge holders only bay, for people visiting who have blue badges using the bay. As the person who has applied for the bay may not be able to use it and possibly not finding a safe area to park, off load wheelchairs safely get out their vehicle. I myself have had to park streets away and call a cab to get me home, as I have limited walking ability, and have come home to find the bay I applied for taken up for days with no consideration of my need to have a bay as close as possible to my home. If a neighbour has a visitor staying for a week/month and uses the bay displaying the blue badge, what happens to the resident who has applied for it and needs it. What are they then supposed to do.

If by mandatory you mean only blue badge holders can use them, great. But people should be able to park in a disabled bay outside their house when the badge holder is not present as this is sometimes necessary. Possession of the badge should be sufficient (I am considering the needs of a neighbour with a disabled child in this context)

If they are in carparks/near stores then regularly review if there is a need for more spaces to be provided. Often there is a shortage of spaces. If people park in disabled spots in carparks - including multistorey - without having a blue badge they should be get a ticket and this must be enforced.

If they forgot to display their blue badge they should not be charged

I'm not sure about this but disabled people should have an interview + doctor back up to get a badge.

I'm sorry, I don't know.

Improve access to disabled facilities as well as parking - eg having disabled access toilets that are near the car parks.

Improve pavements and other access.

Improve the amount of disabled parking bays to represent the amount of blue badge holders in the borough in places where they are needed most (ie near the shopping centre/hospital/gp)

Improve the service i have been waiting for nearly a year for a disabled bay , excuses paper work changes staff changes was promised it would be installed in july neighbours or signs proposing it still have not been contacted or put up , email them yesterday to find out whats going on and left voice messages no response.

Improve your cycling policy! Less car = more room for disabled parking.

improved 'policing' of the use of disabled bays including whether people really need them whilst also remembering that some disability is unseen. eg medical or social care approval needed

Improved signage.

In force the blue badge policy ie the badge holder must be in the car when badge is used to many people abuse this privilege people going to work and using badge to park while badge holder is at home

In order to improve parking for disabled drivers Lewisham council should provide more disabled parking spaces Generally across the borough.

In our street it seems to take a long time to get a disabled parking space approved and forever to get one taken out of use. Gives the appearance that council don't take issue seriously.

In proportion More disabled bays than normal bays

In residential areas it should only be the registered person who should use a bay that they have applied for.

In this case, it's even more important to give more than 10 hrs of visitor parking per annum. I would assume that someone that requires a disabled parking bay is more likely to require visitors. Again, I would prefer to see them getting 10 hrs of visitor parking per month.

Include autism as eligible to gain a permit.

My son is not a driver, he's 13, and is autistic and has extreme anxieties around bells, buskers and certain musical instruments, making travelling around some areas very challenging.

Include information on parking within the borough for disabled drivers regularly in all council publications, whether online or hard copy.

Increase the number of disabled bays.

Increase disabled bays and allow blue badge holders to park in resident parking bays

Increase disabled bays or regularly consult with those who use them to check sufficiency

Increase disabled parking

Increase enforcement activities!

Increase enforcement against those who park in bays without a blue badge. Improve detection of those falsely or fraudulently using blue badges.

Increase fines

Increase fines on drivers who misuse the spaces.

increase number in appropriate locations.

Increase parking inspectors to ensure no misuse

Increase parking spaces

Increase the availability of parking spaces for ALL drivers

Increase the frequency of disabled bays

Increase the number for disabled parking slot.

Higher up the fine for using this with no permit.

Increase the number of bays

Increase the number of disabled bays in new developments.

Increase the number parking space for disabled drivers

Increase their visibility and maintain the road markings

Install cameras close to the parking bays. That way those with blue badges are less likely to worry about their blue badges being stolen, and are more likely to put them on display.

Install CCTV cameras in order to identify if that person is allowed to park in a disabled bay and if not give them a initial fine of £50:00 pounds.

Install rising barrier to each bay and have digital electronic sensor on blue badge holder's car the operates it.

Instead of giving the blue badge holder a few resident's permit, give them a free visitor permit for use by carers or other professionals.

Introduce fraud prevention officers to catch any fake blue badge users please.

Introduce more disabled bays and in areas where there are not, parking enforcers should be much more understanding if a vehicle has a valid disability badge displayed.

Is there any way of being a bit more strategic with the distribution and number of disabled bays? One example is beside the Co-op on Hither green lane. There are about 3 or 4 bays there dedicated for disabled parking. I have never seen anyone with a blue badge use the bays (obviously not to say they don't) but see plenty of able-bodied people use the bay when there are no other options outside the shop.

Issue badges to those with invisible disabilities like mental issues - anxiety and depression.

Issue them with Lewisham D permits to ensure the blue badge are actually genuine, there are so many clear fakes around.

Issue tickets

It really is about enforcement.

I wonder if clamping rather than parking tickets might be the incentive needed to change behaviours here.

It seems like some sort of flexible approach should be included.

It seems the system is still abused

It should be strictly monitored.

It's fine

It's good as it is.

Just do what is necessary for these ones

Just keep enforcing.

Just the point, I used to give elderly pensioner lift to Lewisham when heading off elsewhere, and finding somewhere legal to drop her off was very difficult, same for GP surgery.

Possibly do think you can police disabled bays but really weary of trying to help and idea of getting fined.

Leave parking alone, you only want to earn money from this

let them park anywhere even on double yellow for 30minutes.

Obviously not on double red.

Let them park where they like and display their badge.

Level access and wider paths not all disabled people use cars, most elderly or disabled want to stay as independent as they can and have dignity- safe places to sit and rest.

What about better access at Catford and Hither green stations both have stairs difficult for disabled people or those with pushchairs

Lewisham need to provide additional carers parking permits to those with full time carers.

Limit them as well

limit times they can stay and allow others out of those hours

look at people with autism with light sensitives and add these to the list of people who can get badges these are stuck inside during the summer months

Look into drop off bays so people can be driven in dropped off then picked up

Maintain good quality of the road markup of the bays.

Maintenance, ensure the markings are always visible

make markings much clearer

Make more spaces available and police them effectively.

MAKE ALL DISABLED BAYS ON ESTATES ALLOCATED TO THE DISABLED PERSONS ADDRESS WITH FINES FOR ANYONE ELSE PARKING IN THEM (KINGSTON DO IT) ENFORCED BY MOBILE ATTENDANTS CONTACTABLE 24/7 WITH POWER TO HAVE OFFENDERS VEHICLES REMOVED AND DRIVERS FINED.

SYDENHAM ROAD AND OTHER AREAS DISABLED BAYS ARE CONSTANTLY ABUSED AND NO ONE IS ATTENDING TO ENFORCE THE LAW

Make all parking bays wider, as not everyone who needs a disabled bay and badge holders.

Like when i broke my foot.

Make any vehicle who's not blue badge removed within 10 minutes of parking there

Make available in all CPZs at least a few disabled bays

Make car parks like the multi-storey ones easier to use for disabled people as the ones in Lewisham are rarely maned

Make closest parks to stores etc available for disabled

Make enough disabled spaces & make sure they are enforced by cameras

Make it easy to find disabled parking bays

Make it more obvious with a sign indicating where the disabled bays are in a car park.

Make it simpler for disabled drivers to obtain a Blue Badge, I have severe Asthma and Emphysema (COPD) and have been refused a Blue Badge 4 times!

Make less

Make locations of site known.

Make more accessible in areas of high use like Lewisham market, catford central etc.

Understand that disabled relates to areas like dementia and not just physical health needs

Make more available

make more available and with suitable ramp area to improve accessibility

Make more disabled spaces around the borough and police them regularly

Make more of them

Make more of them bigger fines for abuse

Make more of them in strategic places where needed

Make more spaces

Make more spaces available

Make more spaces available near shops

Make parking 24/7 across the borough.

Make public transport more appealing so that fewer people drive and use up parking spaces.

Also improve access for disabled people by creating more step free access to train stations and public space in general.

Make signage clearer. It's not 100% clear where you can park with a disabled badge.

Make signs clearer and take action against non disabled individuals that park in disabled bays.

make spaces more accessible and more in shopping areas. Are there disabled bays in residential streets to use, as I am disabled and visit my son frequently

Make sure anyone with a disabled parking bay knows that anyone with a blue badge may park there. My elderly grandmother was yelled at by a resident stating it was "her" bay. Even though no one had parked in it for a week.

Make sure Blue Badge bays are only allocated in the case of severe need and are removed again when the original need changes.

Once painted onto a road, the Blue Badge bays are unlikely to be removed; ever! If nobody in the neighbourhood actually needs a bay, then the bays are a source of resentment.

Make sure blue badge holders are genuine somehow

Make sure fraudulent or stolen Blue Badges are not used!

Make sure only Blue Badge people use disabled parking enforce the law and charge people who park in Blue Badge Bay and don't have a permit.

Make sure only disabled people use them

make sure only those who merit the concession receive it

Make sure only valid blue badge holders park in them and not someone's friend who has borrowed the badge

Make sure payment systems are either accessible or not required at all for disabled users.

Remember that not all disability is classified with a blue badge. Also think about learning disability or those who find reading difficult.

Make sure that bays are only used when a disabled person is present. Currently one observes relative, friend etc using the bays.

Make sure that cars with no disabled parking permit are towed.

Make sure that disabled badges are not abused by people who do not require them.

Make sure that disabled spaces are only used by blue badge owners.

Make sure that the blue badges are being used properly. All too often I see perfectly fit people getting out of sports cars and other vehicles which couldn't possibly be used to transport the disabled and park with impunity.

Make sure that the blue badges are valid and the disabled person is in the vehicle

Make sure that those who have a blue badge are legitimate

Make sure the badges are valid

Make sure the boxes are really clearly marked.

Make sure those outside people's houses are removed promptly, when people move away.

Make the bays oversized, so users can get wheelchairs on and out of their cars.

Make sure the disabled parking bays are highly visible for legit users to be able to find them and for the others to be impossible to miss.

Make sure the driver IS the Blue badge holder or are actually driving the badge holder and stay with the holder during the trip. Too many people are borrowing 'mum's badge' to abuse this system.

Make sure the permits are legit not permits for the loyal shithole Lewisham lovers

Make sure the system is not abused by facilitating reporting of suspected abuse. I'm aware this is not easy but it's galling to see people park a car and run into a shop (or a gym!!!), thus depriving genuinely disabled people from what is rightfully their entitlement.

make sure there are enough spaces.

Make sure there are sufficient disabled parking spaces in streets and car parks, and that they are conveniently located and clearly marked. Ensure private car parks are included in this requirement. If this is not achieved, visiting blue badge holders have a problem.

Make sure they are clearly marked

Make sure they are given to the right person

Make sure they only park sensibly, they park on all corners etc makes it dangerous for all

Make sure traffic wardens are actually doing their jobs properly.

Make the criteria for having a badge clear, I don't know if I am eligible, having already said I have problems with arthritis and breathing and am under the hospital for consultation on both. I feel that I continue to need a car but would change to hybrid or electric if financial support were made available

Make the process of getting one easier.

Make the signs clearer

Make them closer to the shops etc and be strict on people who park in their spaces.

Make them easier to apply for when required

Make them easy to access

Make them longer for easier access of wheelchairs.

Make them pay a minimum fee to use them.

Bring in restrictions to the times that they can park and enforce the maximum times they can park.

Make them pay like everyone else for parking. If they have a car then they should pay for parking, it is not fair on the other drivers who have to pay for permits. Disabled drivers should contribute as well after all they are using the roads and parking the same as everyone else.

making applications for blue badges more transparent and visible

Mandatory disabled bays are an excellent start. Also, clear signage, that ALL drivers can see.

No interpretation need. Clear and concise. More traffic Wardens to enforce the observance of disabled bays.

Non disabled drivers park, drop off than wait in the car, for whomever that's dropped off, in disabled bays on Albion Way in Lewisham. We are then forced to park on single and double yellow lines. Whilst this permissible, I can testify as a disabled driver, that my shopping trip can be longer than the time allotted, due to my disability. A visible CCTV camera, pointed at the bays.

More disabled bays on Albacore Crescent and both ends of Lewisham Park, with visible CCTV cameras. The hospital car park, fills up fast.

The disability parking bay outside Albion Way Methodist Church should not be one long bay, but marked into three equal bays. Most times, because there is no clearly marked boundaries, drivers don't park properly, so only two cars can fit.

We're also contented with the new "pick-up" point for shoppers waiting for a mini car. What happens now is, shopping trolleys from Sainsbury's and M&S, left on the pavement, blocking the foot path. On two occasions, I've had to remove a trolley that's rolled into the road. One was in front of my car.

There are no marked disabled bays on Rushey Green. There is a bay outside Admiral Casino, that allows three hour parking for disabled drivers, but other drivers can mark there too. Wildfell Road has become cluttered with residential black bins on the pavement which should be a Health & safety concern for the borough (as should be, the shopping trolleys on Albion Way).

The borough's disabled parking bays should be clear enough for us to find and clear enough to deter other drivers from parking. £100 fine (no 50% discount) should be mandatory and featured on all disabled bays.

Many disabled people do not or cannot drive themselves and have to have a partner or relative drive them about - this should not stop them from obtaining or applying for a disabled access space in their street.

Maybe do not prosecute parents and carers who use a blue badge space to run an errand for the disabled person without them. Maybe reserve the harshest penalties for shops / delivery drivers who load in disabled bays.

Maybe larger bays specifically for wheelchair users

Monitor their incorrect use more often

Monitor them with cameras

More access to disabled parking for people with temporary or intermittent health problems.

Disabled bays should be properly policed but also kept up to date.

visitor provision proposed is inadequate - especially for the house bound or those with carers who come to them by car. Care workers are notoriously often not paid for travel time and we shouldn't be making their lives harder.

More bays

More bays

More bays

More bays and regulation of who has or uses the blue badge

More bays nearer the shops.

More bays please. I have friends and family who need a dedicated disabled bay in high density areas and it can be difficult to find.

more bays, closer to the amenities the car parks serve

more blue badge spaces and enforcement

More clear marking or signage of areas for disabled only parking

More dedicated parking spaces

More dedicated parking throughout the borough.

More designated spaces

More disable bays are required

More disable bays nearer shops

More disable parking space

More disabled bays

More disabled bays near shops health centres etc, allow blue badge holders to park in residents bays when no disabled parking available

More disabled bays and free parking for disabled.

More Disabled Bays around Public buildings, shopping areas etc. Remove Taxi ranks to accommodate these.

More disabled bays on residential streets

More disabled bays, less yellow kurbs marks (that indicate no parking by blue badge users)

More disabled bays.

More disabled bays?

More disabled parking bays please.

More disabled parking spaces.

More disabled parking spaces. Disabled not only live in a place they drive around too.

more disabled parking, more level access, more crossings. lewisham is currently almost entirely inaccessible to chair users and other disabled people.

More disabled spaces

More disabled spaces. Blue badge holders should be able to park in resident bays

More disabled spaces. Help for disabled people to access electric vehicles. We generally need a larger vehicle (for wheelchair or scooter etc) and these are out of reach financially for most disabled people. Perhaps a trade in allowance for disabled people?

More disabled spaces. Only issue to children and adults with mobility issues.

More disabled space, in better locations for accessing services.
More enforcement
More enforcement officers as no changes will work unless drivers know that parking restrictions is not policed.
More equal parking for everyone. And at an affordable price. Also. Use patrols to vet those using disabled badges when they are not being used for..
More fines for unauthorised parking.
More hours.
More marked areas
More of them
More of them
More of them
More of them to encourage people to get out
More parking bays
More parking bays especially in busy areas or resident parking also everyone with a badge should be given a bay close to home
More parking bays!
More parking on the road nearer to the shops for Disabled drivers . Sometimes the bays are full. Business owners park there and I have to park in yellow or double yellow lines and walk a good distance to the shops. I have mobility issues.
More parking spaces near stations for disabled people.
More people policing these bays.
More places for Blue Badge parkings
More residential disabled bays where there are none.
More rigid checks. The council repainted a bay at my neighbour's house, despite the fact that it was there for the previous owner who was disabled, and that the new neighbour told the council he wasn't disabled!
More rigorous enforcing disabled parking bays. Prosecute offenders.
More signage, higher fines for people who use disabled parking bays during peak usage hours.
More signs that not all disabilities are visible, as are on Southeastern rail throughout Kent. I have a non visible disability as do many others and council must adopt a more visible non visible disability policy as people get abuse
More space
more spaces
more spaces
More spaces
More spaces
More spaces and better policing of them
More spaces and more accessible public transport
More spaces in key locations - drs, pharmacists, banks & post offices
More spaces should be allocated
More spaces that are very noticeable
More specified parking bays for limited mobility.

Elderly and those with limited mobility are not necessarily blue badge holders. My mother is in a wheelchair through age and frailty not illness.

Mother and baby bays are available in car parks, they are usually young fit people, they only

need a larger space for manoeuvrability but there is never a space for people with limited mobility.

More vigilant on who parks in disabled bays.

More vigilant policing of disabled spaces.

Most advisory bays particularly in retail areas are unused, creating frustration for abled bodied drivers. I think some of the disabled bays need to be reclassified to mixed use. Others specifically for disabled and enforced

My husband is disabled but lost his driving license due to his disability. Because he is not the registers keeper, he can not get. Free parking permit , even though the car was bought for him and we only use it because he needs it. This is really bad and the policy should be changed.

We do not have one disabled parking bay on our street, Lewisham hill. Which we badly need.

My mother is entitled to a blue badge but how will she access the car if there are no spaces outside her home on Bradgate Road??

Name and shame offenders

Needs to be improved but not sure how. Maybe a different type of card with chip in it that they can tap on a machine

No advisory bays should be removed. All advisory bays should automatically become mandatory bays and those who had already applied and have them outside their homes should not have to reapply or be tested!

The 'medical' person you would use is probably not qualified in all types of debilitating illnesses. Also your criteria is outdated! I was tested once for a Blue Badge and did not get one as the person doing the test had no idea about the type of illness I have and how not being able to park nearby affects me. The criteria needed by Lewisham Council was completely outdated and does not help all in need only those very severely affected. I have one now as I qualify for PIP but the change to deny those with less an 10 points for a blue badge is another travesty! It should be 8 points and remain there! You have no idea how much pain and suffering this will cause!

No cpz

No further comments

No need to create more disabled parking bays bcos they can park in yellow lines anyway.

No other comments

No particular suggestions.

Non blue badge holders continually use disabled bays, FINE THEM!!!!

Not a lot because I think you are doing a good job with disabled parking.

Not all disabilities are physical, some of us need acceptance into the scheme who have mental health issues

Not all disability's are visible and not all that are disabled have a blue badge, therefore it should be fir the less abled too.

Not everyone who is disabled can drive. Free visitor parking permits for the elderly who don't drive and are housebound would help. They rely on others for help getting to hospital appointments and or have services come to them, hairdresser, podiatrist. They should not be penalised.

Not everyone with limited ability to move around has a blue badge. There should be more wider bays to make it easier for people with limited mobility

Not give blue badges for people that do not need them.

not letting non disabled cars park in the spaces

not make bays near pillars in car parks

Not only do blue badge holders need disabled parking . I was in a wheel chair due to bad health and could not walk . While I waited for surgery I had to struggle to get in the hospital and where I could not get parking close enough often missed vital appointment as my mobility was limited

Not putting so many in public car parks considering the ratio of disabled is lower than the non disabled.

Not rocket science but make it blatantly obvious that the bay is for disabled drivers only. Ensure that the floor paintwork and signs clearly show it is for disabled use only and a fine will be enforced if the bay is inappropriately used. Bright fluorescent paint and very large signs might help!

Not sure as not an issue that currently relates to me

Not sure at moment

Not the council's responsibility but people using child spaces in Sainsburys makes me very angry!!!

Nothing there's loads of disabled parking areas already.

Nothing to add as observed plenty of disabled bays provided.

Offer free parking for blue badge holders the way Greenwich does.

Offer more disabled spaces

One of the greatest problems for some disabled people is the increase of mobilisation - cars/scooters and wheelchairs when in fact better benches, hand rails and well kept pavements would allow many disabled people more actual walking which is better for their health.

Only give them to people who are actually disabled

Only proper enforcement

Only those on high rate mobility benefits and well off will be able to get a motobilty car or low emission one.

It is harder to get high rate disability benefits.

Parents and carers who park in disabled bays in front of their home with child's blue badge should NOT be penalised for parking while the child is not home. Where do you expect them to park the car when child is not home. Parents and carers of disabled children have not been factored into this by Lewisham.

PArents of autistic children should be aloud to have blue badge

Parking for disabled badge holders should be free even with them being visitors to residents living in Lewisham. Plus I don't think its fair to charge people to park in the street where they live....we all pay our Taxes and council rates so it should all be included in that.

Parking spaces outside properties that are permit parking need to be for people on that street only as disabled residents with badges can not always park on there street and have to park streets away then walk to their home due to people living on others roads using same permit number take the spaces

Particularly double check the cars are genuine users. There are low life that do not care.

Penalise those abusing the system more heavily. This includes family members illegally using the passes and those who park or pull over in the bays.

penalties for those that they use that parking

People should be assessed regularly

Perform an audit of all blue badge holders to confirm entitlement compliance.

Perhaps it's unfair to limit free visitor permits to 10 hours for blue badge holders. I presume disabled users are more likely to be less mobile or more housebound and so may be more reliant on visitors for their day to day interaction?

I'm not a blue badge holder.

Personal experience with the current blue badge policy has left a sour taste in my mouth: we wanted to buy a disabled resident's permit as my wife has a blue badge, however NSL refused to issue it as the car was registered in my name. They insisted we change ownership of the car to my wife. This seems unfair, as it would devalue our car (based on increased number of "previous owners"), and especially so given that, e.g. there are allowances for company cars where the blue badge holder does not own the car.

The policy for these permits was poorly worded (in some cases self-contradictory), yet NSL would still not budge. Moreover, they were not courteous throughout. They did, however, say they were just enforcing policies as dictated by you, Lewisham council.

I'm sure you have loopholes and abusers to consider, but I would implore you to write policies and instruct NSL to consider applications with a more understanding nature towards helping families — it's not like we were trying to abuse the system.

Petrol them more frequently, not just during restricted zone times.

Place signs on the pavements stating disabled parking only, with details of fines imposed if others parked in that area.

Please consider temporary bays for those with health conditions which mean they need urgent access to toilet facilities

Please talk to disabled drivers and ask what they need!

police blue badge users more closely

Police the parking bays to ensure the user is the person on the blue badge

Police the streets to make them safer, and cut down on wayward drivers driving on the wrong side of the road, speeding up our road (Chudleigh Rd) at really fast speeds, and generally drive however they feel like it, with no consequences

Police the use of Blue Badges. Far too often people are abusing the Blue Badges.

probably more spaces in busy areas.

Proper enforcement of access to disabled parking pays.

Proper signage, and a review of the need for the disabled space each year.

Prosecute fully those who use blue badges illegally!

Provide 15% more Disabled Parking Bays

Provide a lot more disabled parking bays.

Provide additional bays

Provide better support for drivers who support those with disabilities

Provide disabled bays for drivers of vehicles where the disabled person cannot drive

Provide electric charging points

Provide more accessible bays around the Borough especially in Central Lewisham, Catford and Blackheath. Also improve the process for applying for a Mandatory Disabled Bay. I had to wait 3 years which is unacceptable.

Provide more and roomier spaces.

Provide more bays

provide more bays and facilities

Provide more bays?

Provide more blue badge bays

Provide more Dial-a-ride services. This would enable Blue badge holders to leave their vehicles at home and still be able to get around easily

Provide more disabled parking bay. Make sure enforcement team are monitoring the vehicles to ensure the genuineness of the blue badge.

Provide more disabled bays in the borough for residents and non residents. Mark clearly which are disabled residents bays only on residential streets and signs showing any disabled parking

Provide more disabled parking spaces

Provide more disabled parking. Enforce disabled parking in places like supermarket car parks

Provide more inspectors to police illegally used disabled parking bays. I have been unable to park in a bay while having a valid badge as it was being used by someone able-bodied who had stopped there to 'pick-up' someone, also able-bodied just to avoid paying for a car-park ticket. Perhaps some 'naming and shaming' with a picture in the Lewisham Life magazine might help.

Provide more parking spaces and enforce the parking, too many people use these bays when no entitled to do so.

Provide more spaces

Provide more spaces not just for people with blue badges but for the elderly who may not appear to be disabled.

Provide more spaces. There seem to be plenty for child bearing cars but very few disabled bays.

provide more spaces?

Provide more. It's a nightmare trying to find one.

Provide priority parking spaces

provide specialist publicly available transport

Provide the same benefits to their carers.

Provide where safe to do so near shops especially for those who cannot walk far. I cannot shop for my self but the cater that accompanies me could pop into the shop quickly for me. Going out in the car is an outing for me otherwise I would be socially isolated. I still drive but cannot walk any distance such as arriving in my friends street in East ham. I have to alert her I am on my way give approx the of arrival for her husband to have her scooter ready for me as I cannot walk due to no disabled parking near her house this is a massive problem for people like me. I

Providing better support to Blue Badge Holders who do not drive & thus do not need to hold a residents' parking permit - including free visitors' hours to allow visits from, eg, carers/physios/OTs/HENS Team/District Nurses, during the hours restricted by the various CPZs.

Public education

Put cameras to catch the offenders. So often see people that clearly are not disabled or who have a blue badge parking in disabled bays. Review of who actually gets a blue badge too should be done on a yearly basis!

Put large signs up about not all disabilities being visible

Put more

Put more on the roads. I live on Whitefoot lane in astral house and I have to drive around a lot until I can get a space nearer to the block. Also the parents collect there kids from the school opposite and take up a lot of the parking. Need a warden at school times as people park on the pavement.

Put more visible signs up next to the bays so people realise they are fit disabled people

Put pressure on Sainsburys Lee Green to enforce their car park disabled bay policy

put residential parking for disabled resident at randlesdown road near the shops

Rather than sending out those idiots on scooters putting parking tickets on vehicles who have parked up for a few mins to find a working ticket machine, focus on the vehicles that have been dumped and left at the roadside without Road Tax, MOT or insurance. How hard can it be to target illegal road users?!

Reduce cars overall.

reduce parking for other motorists and increase its price-discourage others' journeys for everyones' benefit

Reduce the amount of disabled parking

Reduce ticket issue for blue badge holders who mistakenly park incorrectly.

Reduce time restrictions so that disabled drivers can park at any time especially along the bottom of downham way and Bromley Road. I often shop there and have received tickets because I parked without checking the time restrictions. I completely forgot I also find it very confusing.

Reduced parking on pavements in narrow roads, e.g. sandhurst Road, where a mixture of on-pavement parking and large cars extending beyond driveways make pavements too marrow to pass.

Regular checks on blue badge holders

Regular checks to ensure parking is not be abused. More consideration to elderly residents who apply for a blue badge. Someone being assessed may be having a good day when assessed, so if resources are available they should be tested on more than one occasion. Regular review of blue badges to ensure only those with an absolute requirement continue to have them.

Remind those without such disability, that they can walk, cycle and take public transport with an ease denied to others.

Remove all cycle lane and discourage people to cycle as these are dangerous to road users. All blue bay should be charged the same as normal bay. Offer discount only on parking for most cleanest vehicle, ie. 50g/km CO2 emission or lower or full electric. Extra Surcharge on all parking for all diesel vehicle new or old.

Remove the ones which are no longer relevant.

Remove the policy of mandatory parking in residents home if the disabled children are in school or hospital this will put strain on the Career's and will result in difficulty finding parking as a result. Create more disabled parking in resident areas.

Remove vehicles if parked in disabled parking bays

Remove/ paint over ones no longer valid

Repaint and proper signage

Re-paint the bays

repaint worn out bay markings

Respect them and the same

Review all residential parking areas and extend the current bays where possible.

Review location. I often see disabled bays, especially in residential areas that are never used, irrespective of time of day or day of week. It may be that a previous disabled user has moved away but the disabled bay remained.

Review parking bays, there are places where there are constant empty disabled parking bays. Review policy and reviews , many people entitled to a blue badge don't know they are entitled , however lots of households also take advantage of the system.

Review provision as part of access commission

Review pavements also under commission. Not all bays work well because of broader access issues on pavements and with street furniture.

Review the needs

Review usage of disabled bays and poll blue badge users on where they would prefer bays.

Routine checks

Scrap parents with children bays. Totally not needed.

Seen plenty blue badge holders overusing it -

Shared ride programmes

Should be free

signage that is clear

Simply make parking less onerous for everyone and people would not need to transgress.

Simply uphold the practice of penalising non blue badge holders for parking in the bays

So much of the borough is resident parking it is often difficult to find somewhere to park with a blue badge.

Some kind of ANPR/CCTV at the bays to catch people out. It's so inconsiderate to use these bays when not entitled.

Some London boroughs do not accept Lewisham blue badges. Residents from these boroughs should be fined for using Lewisham's disabled bays until other councils change their policies.

Speak directly to disabled drivers. Not just through this type of survey.

They know best what is currently working for them or not.

They know best what would help to meet their parking needs.

Specify for resident use only.

stop advisory disabled bays in CPZs. Make disabled spaces available to all blue badge holders, regardless of whether they live in the CPZ or not.

Stop handing out disabled permits to people who don't need them .these permits were originally created for people who couldn't move far as a result to disability

Stope this abuse, stop handing them out to people who don't need them

stop letting them park anywhere

Stop mobility drivers using the parking when the disabled person is not present

Disqualify and remove the privealage for offenders

Stop people without disabilities using for convenience

stop the abuse of Bute blue badges i.e. if the person that is disabled is driving that's fine if the person that is disabled is in the vehicle that is fine if the person that is disabled is not present in the vehicle that vehicle is not a disabled vehicle and should be treated accordingly

Strict penalties for abuse of the system

Strict criteria for blue badges, too many have been issued to people who are not severely disabled in terms of limited walking only. Where I live there are at least or three people that should not have a blue badge in my opinion as they are mobile, they have exaggerated their needs or their children's behavioural needs.

Strict enforcement of those parking is the key. Some might be added depending on the actual usage of the existing spots.

Strict enforcement, ANPR.

stricter control on the use of blue badges

Stricter criteria to get a permit

Stringer enforcement of illegal parking in disabled areas.

Strong enforcement of fines. Ensure bays are well located and generously spaced.

Strongly enforce these bays with heavy fines as many people are just taking advantage, and this is evident in shop car parks like Aldi too.

Swap disable bays with other parking places. Car use should be for people who need them, not for vast SUVs to carry one person round the corner. Make parking easier for those who need it and not for those who don't.

Take advice from disabled drivers

Take the badge to them as opposed to ensuring they visit the town hall on your terms when it's near on impossible for some to do so

The ability for disabled residents to gain a parking space outside their house when they apply for a blue badge

The advisory bays are widely respected and should not be withdrawn without replacement.

Progressively replacing with mandatory bays is to be encouraged but leave the existing bays as they are in the meantime.

The borough should regularly review blue badge holders ensuring entitlement.

The council should make sure the parking for disabled is genuine especially in resident areas where people a disable bay but not quite sure why the bay is there in the first place.

The main thing is to ensure that only genuinely disabled people have them. I that elsewhere many are misused.

The need to drive should be 'challenged' as much as non-disabled vehicles - free parking should not be a 'pass' to continue driving a lot / avoid using public transport. Help could be provided re. low polluting vehicles.

This can be a very sensitive subject as people's needs vary...

The plan is flawed in that a large proportion of disabled car drivers/passengers, don't qualify for a blue badge. Do you care about that?

The present system means that people with poor or temporary immobility but no badges are unable to access facilities

The rules around disabled badges vary considerably. Some car parks will let holders have two hours, some have three hours, some will let holders park anywhere in a car park so long as they display their badge, but some car parks will only let a holder park in a designated disabled bay. This inconsistency is confusing and risks holders receiving unintended penalty notices. I appreciate the council can't control car parks that don't belong to them, but where you do own disabled bays, please ensure the guidance is clear. Otherwise, I think it is important to ensure there is strong enforcement of abuse of the blue badge scheme.

The staff should have training to be flexible where there has been use of a disabled bay, by a non holder of a blue badge, if it is a temporary or sudden reason.

The way people parking in the borough is just unbelievable.....double red line being ignored,there is always someone outside of my drive way making parking in and leaving my house impossible,,I dont what we could do about this,seriously the council need to patrol the area more frequently with more warrants on the roads and they have to toe away the cars it is just ridiculous how often I see this in the area..like there is no rules at all.on Rushey green going from Catford towards Lewisham people parking on the pavement and nobody cars,there is a new built development next Kaspas and the cars frequently blocking the pavement and nobody cares.I wish there was a website where you can upload pictures and fine people afterwards.Since when people are allowed to drive up on the pavement and park?? Also damaging the pavement and I almost broke my leg the other day because the concrete slabs sticking out due heavily overloaded by the cars.

There are 2 issues - provision and enforcement. There should be adequate provision of disabled-only parking (I don't know what 'adequate' looks like, but I'm sure it can be ascertained). On the enforcement side, tickets are not an effective way of deterring, changing or penalising bad behaviours. By the same token, using wheel clamps is counterproductive. I would offer the suggestion that parking in disabled spaces should result in the parking attendant/CCTV operator immediately called a tow away service (contracted to the Council) to remove it. This will not only swiftly clear the obstruction (the primary objective) but also change behaviours, as owners can't avoid the consequences of their actions in the same way as they can with tickets.

there are far too many disabled bays in the car parks in central lewisham

why not make them disabled or baby bays so its more family friendly to shop with kids

There are more disabled bays at Sainsbury's in Lee Green than at all the Lewisham train stations combined.

There are too many disabled spaces for the number of disabled drivers, they are always empty.

There is a lot of confusion about informal and formal disabled parking bays.

There needs to be high visibility traffic wardens checking disabled bays or cameras trained on the general area to enforce the rules.

There needs to be more disabled bays

There should be a correct number of bays, it is very often that disabled bays are empty.

There should be a penalty charge when park at disable parking

There should be disabled parking bays close to essential services.

There should be more disabled parking spaces and anyone using disabled parking bays without a permit should be towed away.

There should be more effective parking enforcement in the south of the borough e.g grove park and downham. Parking on double yellow lines is frequent round here and it is dangerous.

There should be simple-to-get temporary disabled parking for those that have a temporary condition...e.g. recovery from operations etc.

There should be specific time and days that the disabled bays should be restricted.

There's enough

There's plenty of disabled parking

There's not enough parking wardens to give parking tickets to those who park in disabled parking spaces.

If there were more it would act as a deterrent.

these are still exploited with drivers of cars with blue badges still parking in disabled bays even when they do not contain the passenger who has the benefit of the blue badge. I have first hand experience of this occurring. It is not sufficient to say that people can be disabled without seeming to be disabled. this is just exploited.

They already park on yellow lines near amenities, so can access facilities when necessary. More bays simply means more tickets for those who squeeze into a spot without thoroughly investigating road markings and a possibly obscured sign.

They need to be better enforced and there needs to be more

They should allow all disabled drivers to be able to park whether they are a resident or not.

They should also be allowed 2 park anywhere within the borough

They should try to create enough disabled parking bay to make easier for disabled people.

This is a system that is regularly misused. People with no sign of disablement parking Las day as they go to work?

This is something that only blue badge holders can answer.

This needs to be a two way relationship. Blue badge spaces should be protected, however, blue badge holders should be punished for dangerous parking / breaking blue badge parking rules (e.g. 10m from a junction)

This question is confusing and misleading and I expect many will not understand the implications

I have a neighbour who has a disabled child, if I understand this correctly this means she can only park outside her home in the bay when her child is at home, not when her child is at school

This seems not only ludicrous but incredibly spiteful and unsupportive - I am appalled this is even out for consultation!

This should apply to supermarket (etc.) car parks

To be honest parking in the borough is at a premium anyway. Parking bays should be much better structured and planned to utilise space more efficiently.

Bad parking should be penalised - such as parking across two bays. Not fair to everyone else.

Should be encouraging consideration of other people also needing to use these facilities.

TO CHALLENGE & ENFORCE PENALTIES TO UNAUTHORISED USERS.

TO MAKE MANDATORY DISABLED BAYS OUTSIDE A REGISTERED DISABLED USER RESIDENCE SOLELY FOR THE USE OF THAT PERSON.

ANY UNAUTHORISED USED BY OTHERS TO BE SERVED A PENALTY

TO STOP NON DISABLED PERONS SITTING IN CARS WITHOUT THE REGISTERED BLUE BADGES HOLDER PRESENT I.E TAKING UP DISABLED BAYS

To make it fare for all

To make sure there are lots of disabled bays around every where you go

To make sure they have the actual person who is registered as disabled in the car once driving cause some diffently don't

To provide marked bays for those who own the car.
 To state more clearly where disabled badge holders can park where marked bays are not available
 too many badge holders not enough spaces. limit time a car can park there
 Too many disabled parking
 Too many disabled parking spaces have been allocated that are not required
 Touch up on the road paving so people can see clearly that's a disabled space.
 Tow away any car in a disabled bay as soon as found. Tickets are not a good enough deterrent in these instances.
 Try and stop blue badge fraud
 Try to make sure that disabled parking facilities on private land are similarly enforced
 Try to persuade supermarkets to enforce the disabled parking bays in their car parks.
 This is often abused.
 And blue badges are frequently fraudulently used.
 Unsure. If non disabled residents are made to pay extortionate increases under your proposal why should disabled residents pay nothing. It is not equality.
 Urgently get rid of old disabled bays in resident only areas where the disabled person has moved/passed away. The existence of so many defunct bays makes people less likely to respect the bays that are actually required for use.
 visible spaces
 We need more on residential roads in cpz
 What happens when people move and leave behind their disabled parking bay?
 What is the point of having Blue Badge parking when it is not enforced and anyone with the wit to do so can obtain a Blue Badge. Such an abused system!
 What? why would they exist at all then if not enforced.
 When a disabled person no longer needs a designated parking space outside, i.e. they may not drive anymore or they have died it appears that the box is not removed quickly enough.
 Where it is near the hospital they should allow blue badge holders to park in residential bays as well as there are hardly any disabled bays
 Why not let blue badge holders use residents' parking bays?
 Why parking should be free for disabled people ?????
 Provide them with more accessible bays preferred guaranteed bays and other facilities but providing them free parking is discriminatory to other people.

Disable or able all should have the same treatment.
 Widen the definition of disabled to also include temporary badges, e.g those with an injury.
 Wider parking spaces
 Will you continue to let disabled drivers use their blue badges in normal bays free of charge if a designated bay is not available?
 You actually need to consider those with hidden disabilities who struggle but are not recognised
 You already have too many especially in the supermarket.
 I have not seen any traffic warden checking if the car is actually used by a disabled person, while I have seen many that have a badge but are not disabled at all.
 YOU have a typo in this question.

I often drive my old granny. she does not have a car nor a blue badge. She cannot walk very far. sometimes I pull up and escort her to her appointment. need to have a bay that I will not be arrested for using when helping her out in this way.
 You have got to enforce the blue badge system. I know that not all disabilities are visible but the number of young, fit people using disabled bays is large. there must be large penalties for abusing this system

Section 8 - Pay & Display proposal response (verbatim comments)

LB Lewisham public consultation
Pay & Display machines verbatim comments
1,095 comments out of 3,767 surveys – 29.1%

Q: Do you have any other comments about pay and display machines?

- The replacement of existing pay and display machines will cost a lot to the community and the funds would be better used improving the public transportation system that has become overcrowded

"Hourly parking charges should be the same, no matter the payment method?" Isn't it the law that you're not actually allowed to charge a fee for someone who wants to use a card to purchase a service?

Maybe this doesn't apply to paying for parking, but that's clearly a technicality. You shouldn't disincentivise people from using their card to pay for parking.

.35 per unit time is a little bit of a con and this should either be done online via ringo

£1.60 an hour is ludicrous!

1. Not everyone has access to a debit or credit card. Better to have machine that offer options than exclude people even further just to make your lives easier

2. I agree that everyone should pay the same at the machine. The best way to do this is to eliminate the extra 20p altogether and charge everyone £1.40. Again, your answer is to increase charges to feed your coffers.

Do the right thing for once, and treat people fairly.

1. Payment with coin of the realm should always be an option on all machines.

2. Council to ensure they are fully maintained and in working order.

A lot of older people do not do smart phones!

A lot of people still use cash for these machines. To prevent them using cash would, I think, cause problems for older people and those who are less comfortable with card technology.

Having said that tapping your card would be so much easier for the rest of us.

A lot of them are faulty

A move to a cash free environment makes sense to me as it reduces the need for cash to be collected from machines and the risk of caladium/theft.

A switch to card or app only machines may prove too problematic to elderly and less tech savvy people, and I don't believe the systems/instructions are clear and well communicated.

Above all, pay and display machines should be simple to use and accessible. They should not penalise people who do not have smart phones or credit cards.

Absolutely hate the parking app. Have given up on trying to park in a carpark once (when mum visited) as could not get it to work and then phone battery ran out. Not everyone has a mobile!

Add more card payment machines. Don't get rid of cash

Add that idling motors are illegal?

Again assume you will consider equality impact - not everyone owns/ can afford to use a smart phone

Again penalising older residents who cannot use apps etc. You need to make things user friendly across the board.

Again, this could affect the poor and elderly. Lots of elderly people would not know how to pay by card or app - setting it up is a challenge in itself. They might not have mobile phones and if they do, they often don't remember to take them out and about with them. Although I understand that it's a positive development, it does alienate the more vulnerable.

Again, this disadvantages the poor & elderly.

Again, while it would be perfectly convenient for me to pay for parking by using the Ringo app or credit card, not everyone is technologically savvy or comfortable using cards in this way, e.g. the elderly.

Ain't broke don't fix it. Having different options of payment is more beneficial for drivers.

All can be changed to card or app only.

All car parks in a Lewisham should have multiple electric charge points, secure bicycle parking and free parking for low emission vehicles.

All cashless- safer and more efficient.

Residents of the borough should be able to park for free for a certain amount of time in pay and display areas.

All cash-only machines should be replaced ASAP.

All charges should be the same, yes. But please allow us to have the choice of payment method. Some people just prefer cash.

All Machine should accept contactless payments.

I would encourage the phase out of cash only.

All machines as standard should have the parking app aswell, sometimes change isn't available but small change in bank accounts will help

All machines should be able to use cash as not everyone has cards or mobiles to use apps especially the elderly

All machines should have three payment methods: cash, card and app. Please provide more options, not fewer.

All machines should still have the ability to take cash payments as well as cards

All machines should take all kinds of payment as to not disadvantage anyone.

All machines should take cash, card or app payments. Not everyone has the app facility or card option available to them so to give equal access to parking for everyone this is the only way it can be done otherwise it will be discriminatory.

all methods of payment should be available for all machines, what is the problem?

Not everyone has a card or phone.

All methods of payments should be accessible including app/card and cash...as it not all of the time the app or card payment system works so cash comes in handy and via verse for cash sometimes you do not have change on you so using your card is easier and the app to extend your parking time.

All money from parking meters should be invested into better public and cycling transport.

All of them should be solar powered

All options should be available. Card use would probably increase where it is made available without causing difficulties for those who are using cash e.g. to manage their finances effectively.

All parking machines should provide the widest number of payment options for customers.

All payment methods should be available.

all should allow all types of payment

All should allow use of app

All should also accept cash

All the machines should charge the same regardless of how you pay for it

All this money being squeezed out of residents/business owners is just making people stay away from the borough. There isn't exactly much to come to Lewisham for as it is without having to pay through the nose every time you do decide to visit.

How you expect an elderly person with poor vision/hearing and limited understanding of technology to be able to use these means is an outrage. Again, another system to bleed

people of their money and not give a damn if it causes stress to people who do not understand it.

All too complicated

Already very expensive especially being pensioners

Although I always use Ringo to park- you have to account for people not using technology and for times when your phone battery has died etc. It would be excluding a lot of elderly and non tech literate people to remove all cash facilities.

Although I currently pay by card and an app, many people (especially the elderly and vulnerable individuals) still do not use or trust these newer methods. They should not be pushed out and penalised for this.

Although I prefer to use an app to pay for all of my parking Zipcar imagine there are a lot of people who would not be able to pay for parking in this way. Also occasionally there is a problem either with the phone network, phone, app etc where it is not possible to pay by app so an alternative is necessary.

Although many machines are technically cash only, I don't think they need replacing as there is always the option of using ringo instead

Although many places operate card or app parking methods of payments, this is a big disadvantage to many who do not know how to use apps and do not use card for payment only cash. There are many older people that do not possess mobile phones and if they do, they do not use apps and only use it for their basic needs. This is the same with cards, many do not use cards and use cash only. This places them at a big disadvantage.

An app would be easier

Any changes to pay-and-display machines should ensure that those who prefer to pay by cash or don't have a card, or app aren't punished.

Any free-for-half-an-hour spaces should offer pay-to-stay longer as an option

Any new machines should be simple to use and have very clear instructions in large print for older drivers. Some new machines I have come across are very confusing.

Any reason you are charging 1.60 everywhere and not variable amounts in different locations?

App and card machines are frequently franchised out to external companies. `When issues arise - which they often do - these businesses just charge and offer minimal customer service or redress for their errors. ~There is also significant fraud and other smart practices deployed in card payment meters. Greenwich Park is played with it at moment. The council I believe will just outsource as they have done so many services and end up with no real service and paying over the odds for delivering it.

App and text payments are currently more expensive due to service charges applied by your supplier. This must be rectified before removing the cash option.

App based parking payments is a pain in the bum and I assume this technology will be privately owned and operated as opposed to publicly by Lewisham council.

App charges are excessive and unfair ... charging 75p for 15mins compared to 35p when paying by cash .. it is. RIP off and automatically discriminates against people who do not have smart phones by choice. NO unless those payments via apps are reduced to cash prices I personally see no value only a money making cash scheme much like many of the current council policies .much like the hiked up parking penalty charges ...

App is future

App only can be difficult to manage if you're not computer literate or have a smart phone, credit on the phone etc,

App only machines very rarely work and are very complicated. Cash are far better

App only should not be allowed as many people do not have smartphones. Card payment is potentially acceptable.

app or card based machines are not easy to use for some groups.

App parking allows commuters to top up parking remotely and thus defeats the object of pay bays - see use of bays outside stations. So payment needs to be in person to stop this.

App payments are convenient, but some sections of the borough are not technologically competent (the elderly, the poorer etc). The more available methods of payment, the easier, and the better.

App, card and cash payment options should be available for all parking machines app/ smart phone payment systems used in other boroughs can be slow and frustrating. Ensure the technology utilised includes a simple card payment system.

Apps and telephone numbers can be quite difficult to use - card is easier!

Apps are a bit of a pain in general (and the council's website for buying residents/visitors' permits is particularly awful) and phones can run out of battery. It should remain possible to pay for parking everywhere without using the Internet, ie with either cash or a physical credit/debit card.

Apps are OK if you have a mobile phone. Many elderly people don't have this facility to pay for their parking. It is often very time consuming to pay by phone. What do you do if your battery runs out and you haven't paid??? Some people don't like using cards to pay for parking either. Leave the machines for cash as before.

Apps can be hard to use and take ages to set up. Card machines on pay and display machines themselves are great.

Apps may be tricky if they are different across boroughs.

Parking for electric or hybrid cars should be free.

Apps require registration and adding card payment. Not everyone wants to pay this way, Are app/card machines lower cost to implement and operate? Not clear from information provided.

Are you increasing the hourly fee?

Are you trying to exclude elderly people from the Borough?

As a resident it should be free for 2 hours

As a transport professional, including duties to submit Community and Equalities Impact Assessments on transport-related schemes as well as public consultation responses as a LGA key stakeholder to DfT, HE etc., I can categorically state that changing ANY machines to credit card & app only will fall foul of Equalities Law.

Anyone who does not have a bank account or own/know how to use a smartphone will be negatively impacted by this proposal.

These impacted groups include the most disadvantaged members of our society and community: the elderly, people living in poverty, people with cognitive impairments, travellers, migrant workers, recent immigrants etc. These are all *legally* Protected Groups.

Lewisham will make itself liable to significant and multiple legal challenges / class-action lawsuits — at local taxpayer expense — if it pursues and implements either, or both, of these two proposals (2nd and 3rd) above. I personally would take it upon myself to lead these legal challenges. Please consider the entire community you have been employed to serve — all community members, not just those like yourselves (and like me) i.e. Council officers with secure, steady employment including the luxuries of pension rights, paid time off, travel-to-work and salary sacrifice schemes, health & wellness offers, etc. etc. We are not the majority, we are not representative of our average community member, and we benefit from huge privilege, which needs to be acknowledged explicitly and owned. Check your privilege.

Thank you for your consideration of my (professional expert) comments.

As mentioned before, the cost of visitor permits for those who live in a controlled area should be cheaper in comparison to the pay and display price.

As part of CPZ being introduced, we were promised parking meters. (St Johns)

Along with EV points, this failed to materialise.

Again, Lewisham is misleading us.

As the amounts are usually relatively small, i think cash should still be an option, at least in areas where cash use is higher. You may not get phone signal/battery to use an app or have a card on you or it is rejected. Also there tends to be less security at parking meters than say a cash machine and so security might be a consideration

Auto location recognition for phone payments instead of using location codes

Ban pay and display to discourage car use

Because of the small amount, i believed people prefer to pay by card. Consider putting in place advance annual car parking payment by given discount or this.

People buying a year parking in advance could enjoy maybe 5 to 10% discount. This would save the council time in administration and reduce the use of cash n less card transactions thus reducing the fees paid

Better maintenance needed. The only I regularly user is often broken

Both cash and card options should be available

By reducing parking people will be encouraged to make other arrangements do get to their destination.

By wanting to remove pay and display machine which do not take cash would be prejudicial to those who may have physical or mental issues in accessing card payment methods. It would be wholly unjust to prevent or remove a viable payment method for no just cause.

In addition, card payment should be the same as cash payment £1.40

The reasons provided in the consultation for increasing to £1.60 are baseless and clear doubletalk.

It states that Lewisham will no longer take the their 0.12p so what increase to £1.60?

Lewisham has not provided any sound justification for this except wanting to make more revenue.

Nowhere in the consultation document does it detail how this generated revenue is going to be or has been used to improve the lives of the residents and visitors to Lewisham that they are so keen to penalise financially all in the name of air pollution.

Car parking machines in Lewisham borough are poorly maintained. I rarely, one a year used a pay and display machine but I needed to pick up a prescription quickly for my very ill child (hence I'd used the car and not walked. So I parked and tried to pay the machine on Springbank Road. It "ate" my 70p and didn't supply me with a ticket. For people in the borough who have little money it doesn't help. It will save on the need to have change in the car "just in case". If I could have paid by card I would have done so but I so rarely park at pay and display I don't have a ringo app. Paying by card on the machine would have helped.

Car parks and hourly parking charges should be the same

Card and app are good but I don't think it's fair if people don't have cards or phones to pay

Card and app only could be an equity issue for the elderly etc

Card and app payments are convenient and easy for most people. But the method disproportionately affects people without smart phones or digital skills. I would wager there are a lot of such people in our borough.

Card and app payments should be cheaper, to account for the fact that they are cheaper to collect.

Card and app should be significantly cheaper as the cost of security, collection and banking will be removed

Card is preferable over apps.

Card only or app may prove difficult.

Bromley council already have card or cash payment on some of their machines.

Card or App only machines would unfairly discriminate against people, often older or disadvantaged, who do not have a bank account or who find it difficult to use the App

Card or app only-machines could be a concern for those that do not hold a card or smart phone. Coins/notes payments should still be available.

Some boroughs such as Bexley offer machines with different types of payment, cash, card, touch, app.

Card or app payment only significantly disadvantages residents on low incomes and the elderly. Given other recent things not related to this going on in Lewisham, not sure the council cares but it has to be said.

Card or app payments are time consuming, and difficult to impossible for many residents. There should always be a cash option, without raising the price for everyone.

Card pay and display machines sometimes don't work.

Card payment is better than app as if you haven't set yourself up with the provider a poor mobile signal can mean that it is impossible to register and therefore you can't park.

Card payments should be a choice.

Card security by using these machines is a concern. The Dart charge is managed by creating an account which can then be debited each time it is used. Is it not possible to have a similar system. Link it to the Oyster card?

Card/app only is unfair for older residents who may rely on cash

Cars in band 1, with under 100 Co2 should not be charged more!!!

Also

Right now older motorcycles are not tested for emissions and therefore automatically are not ulez exempt. This should be changed as this data is available from the manufacturers. For example I own 1999 suzuki sv650 which is known as a vehicle with low enough emissions to be ulez exempt but due to its age this data is not registered on the v5 and therefore is bound to be charged. Which is absolutely unfair to those that like older yet efficient motorcycles.

Cash and card and app options on all machines. All at the same price

Cash is easy

Cash is still a currency. Not everyone has contactless cards, phones, etc. Options for cash should still be valid

Cash machine should be more expensive than online

Cash machines often don't work, usually due to being unable to enter the registration number of the car. Cashless systems are good. However, in some car-parks where you can have 2 hours free parking and just need to display a ticket, I do object to paying 20p for cashless due to the machine being broken!

Cash machines should remain in situ throughout the borough.

Cash only machines out of order sometimes make it difficult to pay for parking taking away the commodity of parking nearby your destination you are actually paying for as you have to walk around to find a working machine to avoid penalty charges

I personally prefer pay by phone or app

Cash option should always be available. Not everyone has a smart phone or contactless payment card

Cash option should always remain. Not everyone who uses pay and display is as proficient with tech.

Cash parking meters are essential for older people &/or those who do not trust the technologies that underpin online payments with regard to the risks of data 'hacking', consequent compromise of card details and other possible identity problems. An 'electronic wallet' similar, say to TfL's Oyster system could be a solution to this: 'wallets' (creditcard-sized cards) could be charged at, say, libraries, newsagents &/or other outlets and paid for by cash or ... credit card.

cash payments are expensive to collect; money should be spent on air quality work

Cash payments available

Cash remains an important method of payment, especially for disadvantaged sections of society (see recent "Access to Cash" report) so removing cash as a payment method from all machines would seem to disadvantage most those who rely on cash today and who, for various reasons, may not always be able to or want to pay by card or app.

Cash should always be an option

Cash should always be an option

Cash should always be an option, as well as credit card and app.

Cash should always be an option, not everyone can work an app or has the means to pay by card.

The price should be the same for everyone.

Cash should continue to be supported.

Many people don't have mobiles/ apps.

Some are outside the banking system.

People are forgetful but can keep emergency parking money in the car.

Cash should remain an option for accessibility but should be a higher costs.

Cash should still be expected

Cash should still be offered as an option, even though i know this is logistically favourable (you need to empty them, also moving parts so more maintenance etc).

Cashless machines only if a system for charging cars of more than 3 years old is implemented e.g £3.00 per hour for a car older than 3 years and £1.60 for a newer vehicle.

The app or machine should be able to calculate the relevant charge according to the input registration number of the vehicle

Cashless payments are fine when technology is working, but should not be only choice

Cashless should be cheaper, due to not having to securely collect coins and process them to a bank. There should never have been a surcharge for cashless payment. The proposal here is clearly to increase the cash rate to match the cashless, so the wording of proposal to 'remove the surcharge' from cashless payments is disingenuous.

Certainly the availability of card payments should be standard across all parking facilities - even where the Council decides to maintain cash payment as an option. App based payment is less ideal. Downloading the apps is often a pain in the a**, takes up unnecessary space on your phone and then entails unwanted tracking and ads into your phone or email address.

Charge a lower rate for Lewisham residents.

Charges are already higher than Bromley, so I'd have thought that any further increase could be detrimental to Lewisham's retail sector.

Charges should not be same for different payment methods. Should reflect costs or reflect behaviours lewisham wants to encourage. in particular cash has other costs: physical maintenance of machines, staff to collect cash etc. So costs of processing cash payments likely higher than the 12pence per hour lewisham pays ringgo

Cheapest method should be used

Citizens Advice recently released a report about the risks to vulnerable people when moving to a 'cashless' society. I don't think it is right to move to card/app only machines, as this would exclude people who rely on cash from using the council's parking services.

The better solution would be to provide machines that use both cash, card and app.

Coins should still be used to make them accessible to older people but younger people should have the option of app or sms or phone.

Commuters who park on Fernbrook road after 12 noon should be charged.

Today a car parked outside a residents house at 4pm, guy on his commuter, car engine on.

Make him pay for polluting the air. Registration no.

Con to put money into Lewisham shithole coffers

Concerned that some people might not have a card or smartphone.

Consider the elderly.

Consider the user

COST OF PARKING SHOULD BE REDUCED

Costs saved by Lewisham council by going cashless should be reflected in revised (ie lowered) parking charges

Costs should be higher for cash payment to encourage people to use card/app, so that pay and display is more cost effective to run and money made can be used for air pollution reduction initiatives across the borough. The point about making payments fair and equal seems to suggest those paying with cash might be more disadvantaged, but this does not account for two important things: 1) it is virtually impossible not to have a bank card or phone nowadays, so the vast majority of people will have this access - it's just that some people prefer to pay with cash 2) more importantly, anyone who is able to afford to drive a car and pay for parking will not represent the poorest people in Lewisham; those people will be represented within the 47% of people who don't own a vehicle and whose health is impacted by other people driving. Therefore policies should always take account of the need to protect the health of the most vulnerable overall, and having a charging policy which makes having pay and display easier to run and make money from should overall have a more positive impact on those who need the council to focus on reducing air pollution.

Could parking be lower priced for electric cars?

Council should understand that we are living in time when cash is no longer used. Stop wasting money on the machine upgrade. If the person driving a car they have a phone with an app to pay for parking.

Create a bit more of Pay & Display parking

Create an exclusion zone around schools and pick up and drop off, This will improve their quality around schools for primary school children.

Current cash ones are really not consumer friendly

Current machines are too timely to use and often do not work

Currently the machines are not well maintained. If cash machines are retained they must be properly maintained.

Cus not everybody has money in their account and not every body understands how to use the internet

Definitely agree that they should all have a card/contactless payment option

definitely fix the broken machines

Didn't quite understand questions 3: I strongly disagree full stop that machines should be car or app only whether that is on the street or in areas / car parks where use of cash payments remains high.

Differing people have differing levels of technological savvy, you need to be mindful of diversity of access to the internet here too. Not everyone will want to use their cards considering the high level of identity fraud reported in the press.

Difficult to use and some are broken e.g. By Glass Mill

Direct money into charging infrastructure rather than money making schemes for parking. App only potentially penalises older people. Parking charges deter residents from supporting local businesses. Yes, if the business is very close, most people will walk. But we've many great businesses throughout the borough, meaning sometimes it's necessary to drive.

Disagree with hourly payments only. Very expensive to be charged for 2 hours when you have only been 1 hour and 15 minutes etc.

Discontinuing cash payments would discriminate against people who don't use smart phones or who find using the technology difficult or too challenging. This would be very unfair.

Although cash payments only accounted for 37% of payments last year, that still represents a large number of people who prefer to pay that way and their wishes shouldn't be ignored.

Diversity / age ranges in the Borough need to be taken into consideration and their ability to pay + pay methods.

Do not have those Ringo things (apps ?) they are a real pain !
Do not increase pay and display charges - it will have an effect on us using local services and shops. In times of austerity they are high enough

Do not raise parking charges. People already strapped for cash. Wages not risen for years. People already struggling.

Do you want Lewisham to completely crumble?? The centre is full of shops closing. It costs less to park in MANY nicer boroughs and nicer towns in the UK where there are genuinely nice places to visit, decent shops etc. If you want to bring people into Lewisham then you should give them free parking , certainly NOT increase the costs.

Does making all methods of payment the same cost mean that the cost of parking overall increases? Whilst some may want to pay for the convenience of using card payment, some may not. However, if there is a cost to collecting the cash then perhaps the cost of revenue collection should be the same across all methods of collection.

Don't charge hospitals

Don't discourage visitors for local shops and businesses

Don't penalise the older generation who may not be able to use apps on phones or may not have contactless cards

Don't assume everyone relies on technology, you may be putting barriers in way for older people that could isolate them or stop them getting out and about

Don't change the App provider. Ringo is much better than the apps used in some other boroughs. However encourage them to add functionality to charge for the amount of time you actually parked rather than guessing in advance how much you will need. Same with cards. It should be tap in tap out like Oyster

Don't go cash free! That's a way to exclude low income families and people. Some communities (not the white British that are likely the proposer of this bullshit might be!) don't go around paying everything with card, apps (what about old people? You are serving an intergenerational, multicultural community assholes!)

Don't like machines,

Don't penalise the elderly and disabled and others who either can't use a mobile phone or choose not to have a mobile phone or don't have data use for whatever reason.

Don't raise the parking cost as an excuse to bring in the roll out of new card only machines.

Don't use them

Driving should be a luxury, especially in a borough with so good transport.

Having said that, the borough is poorly connected. More frequent buses may encourage people to not take cars.

The bus stops outside the train station are chaotic

Elderly don't use apps why punish them?

Elderly may not have these facilities.

Elderly people and those without smart phones cannot pay by app/phone. Machines which use cash are important in areas where they are used highly, in order for people to still access shops etc in areas they need to and pay by cash.

Elderly people are struggling to keep up with technology so making it cash free will result in fines for them

Elderly people who may rely on their cars to get about often are not technologically clued up so there must be an alternative for them otherwise this is discriminatory and they end up penalised.

Elderly residents do not use mobile phones to pay for parking and they need to be able to access parking easier than the rest of us.

encourage card and app payment

Ensure parking meters are working. Especially on Elverson road near the DLR.

Some elderly don't have card or apps so still require cash meters.

Ensure that parking across the borough is enforced 24/7 . Sunday parking is the most polluting.

Ensure that they are working.

Ensure the app used is the same across Lewisham. I review patients across Lewisham. Using the same app is helpful. An e mail/text receipt would allow me to claim my money back.

Private parking areas are a real problem and can lead to a long walk with equipment to see a patient.

Ensure the machines are working and the app works

Ensuring that the machines are working and finding a reliable partner should be priorities.

RingGo manage to charge for free parking.

Equality Act

I do not believe you cannot fulfill your public sector equality duty by removing cash

Evelyn ward should also have pay parking and a CPZ

Even though it's declining, I think there should always be an option to pay cash. Sometimes you don't have your cards with you or some people may be using an old mobile phone.

Every Lewisham resident should be able to park in any residents bay whatever zone

Everybody has a phone these days, payment machines should change to simple display the parking zone number, so payments can be made via apps or by text/call. Having sophisticated machines that support any payments is a waste of public money.

Everything should be card/app operated. It is a nonsense for the council to invest in cash-orientated technology given the inevitable and rapid redundancy of cash.

Extend pay and display to discourage free commuters

Extortionate charges in and around Lewisham hospital!!!!

Extortionate fees compared to other boroughs

Elderly people don't all use cards and mobile phones so paying by cash should always be a option

Fees are too high for Lewisham. People should be encouraged to shop in the area, high parking charges discourages me as I'd rather go to Bromley where there are more shops

Find your machines generally in a state of disrepair.

Fix machines which are not working. Been many issues when only had coins on me and around Hither Green 6 machines in the same road were not accepting cash.

Fix the machines around Lewisham park. And not confuse visitors by having half one side of the Rd pay and display and zone L permit.

Fix your machines ! I've lost countless pound coins in non functioning pay and display meters . Pretty impossible to get that money back .

For an occasional user like me, it's difficult if I cannot park without paying for it in cash. I don't want to download an app and my last experience with Ringo wasn't entirely successful.

For disabled people and older people, it would not be appropriate to reduce the ways in which payment can be made.

For goodness sake! Just make it easy for people to comply with your charges. Cash AND card option throughout the borough. Many people are not comfortable using online services. Be flexible.

For some people cards and apps are an effective option. These people are likely to be on low income and or be vulnerable. Why target them. Why did anyone think this was a good idea?

For those who refrain from using card payments, I believe that it would be discriminatory to force people into a position where they cannot use cash.

Forcing everyone to use an app or mobile would unfairly penalise the poor who may not own a mobile phone. It also assumes that the driver has his phone with him at the time.

Free for electric cars..

Free parking for 30 minutes would be fair as driving around in circles to attend and repair my customers washing machine pumps unnecessary diesel fumes
get rid - just use the app. The machines are unsightly, need maintaining and are often out of service.

Get rid of the cash machine.

Get rid of the machines and make everyone do it by phone or text. Then spend the savings on machine maintenance on environmental improvements.

Get rid of the ones that are there to simply raise revenue and not to stop congestion. Nothing worse than having to pay for parking when there's acres of empty pay-to-pay space, but no free parking

Getting rid of cash options usually affects the poorest people

Give option of cash, card and app. Older residents are not accustomed to using card and apps. Keep cash and incorporate other methods

Give options of how to pay and have charges proportionate to costs of receiving payment in the different form.

Give people the choice of different forms of payment options to be fairer, such as cash, cards and app.

Give the poor old people a chance

If we with smart phones want to make something more convenient then great but you don't get to pay less or more offer both, that's way people who don't want to pay or think they can get away with it are the ones getting charged not people who can't get the app to work or don't have a smart phone or may have lost it or had it stolen

Go AppyParking . Where people can pay by the minute and not fix rate by the hour. Other borrows in England have collaborated with this company and they are very successful.

Great when they work. The phone method is always a nightmare - cash, card and app only.

Greenwich has parking much cheaper but not sure what this does to driving in the area.

Half of the time it doesn't work

Hard of hearing and people without smartphones (mostly elderly) will find it increasingly difficult to use non cash machines

Hardly anybody uses cash and the numbers that do does not justify the huge cost of maintaining P&D machines. Scrap them totally and used the money saved to sweep the streets a bit more frequently!

Have both cash and card machines available. Some people use cash only and will be excluded from parking if card only machines

Have more machines visible not everyone can speak clearly or could be deaf and id you change car the phone thing isnt user friendly - have more machine method of payment cash or card -

Have the option of cash, card or app.

Have tried using my debit card, only for the phone to not register the correct numbers. Total disaster

Have you calculated the percentage of older residents who do not use cards or apps for payment? Pay and display machines should be mainly money only.

Also, if you are going to increase the rate, then, in order to encourage shoppers you need to have certain times that are FREE eg Thursday morning until 11.00am or fri evening from 4.30pm.

Parking charges are yet another way of reducing the activity in the high street!

Haven't used them ever since Ringo app became available. Much much more convenient.

Having the flexibility to use cash or an app is important, given the risk of batteries running out or signal blackspots.

Here's the thing. I'm a younger generation and I love paying by app or cards. I don't need cash and I think we should get rid of all the cash flow. Look at Scandinavia. They hardly

accept cash.

BUT here's the problem. Changing to app and card won't help older people. Some don't even know what an app is. Or hardly using cards.

But this could be a chance for Lewisham to have a dedicated team who helps people (older age) how to get use to those new methods.

High streets are dying because of parking charges. Reduce them. And make hospital parking free for the visiting hours.

Higher charges in areas where parking pressure is particularly acute?

How are older people mentally to use a smart phone to pay I don't know any that could

How does an 80 year old use an "app" or "on-line" ?? Costs increase with apps !

How well are they policed? Is there enough parking in Catford, where a lot of the parking problems are acute?

How would you work out which areas to use the cash payment machines??

I think the machines should be open to all 3: cash, card and app as not everyone uses card, not all are technology smart and not all like to carry cash so it can adhere to all needs and preferences.

I think that it should not be a different price when you pay by card for parking on a machine cause lots of your parking machine are out of order eg opposite Lewisham hospital the one way street opposite the hospital never has them working so you have to pay by phone through a card which costs more money once again how convenient for the council when they are not working gets extra money which it not fair again

I absolutely hate using the card and app. Please let the cash ones as well as card and app. I have had problems with the card payment and spent 10mins sorting out my payment and made late for Drs appointment. It also penalised older people or poverished people who don't use smart phones

I agree that cash users of pay and display machines should support the additional costs of card/app transactions. Please consider people that are unable to use credit cards or apps on a smart phone. Maybe you could offer a Lewisham card or better yet integrate with some other cash card like Oyster. Lewisham is not the most affluent London Borough and we must be considerate of those that don't have the ability to use credit cards or apps.

I agree that the convenience charge (for using cashless payment) should be removed.

However your proposal does not address this. The convenience charge is not being removed. Your are in fact proposing to add the charge to all payments - even though there is no "convenience" to the person paying by cash.

Cashless payers have long been paying a 14% surcharge which has been unfair. But you now propose to charge cash payers the same surcharge. This does not address the unfairness.

This argument about "equality" is disingenuous and is merely a mechanism for increasing charges. The 20p convenience charge should be removed, thereby bringing the cost per hour to £1.40 for everyone.

If you wish then to generally increase parking charges, you should deal with this separately.

I always use my card to pay and think most people with a car will have a bank card.

I am almost certainly in a minority in having a mobile phone which is not a smart phone. The phone I have cannot manage apps and neither can I, so, for me, using my phone to pay for parking is not an option. Card payments I could cope with.

I am an older resident and am not comfortable using contactless cards and do not use a smart phone, it sounds like you are going to discriminate the older resident again.

I am not sure going completely cashless would work for senior drivers.

I could not imagine my mum being able to manage this, so this would be discrimination by age group.

I am only in favour of switching to cards or apps if this in the long term saves money to the Council so would need to see a study showing that it is worth it and that it is not just a fancy investment in technology!

I am very put off parking where I have to use my mobile phone. I would prefer cash machines. I appreciate the cashless /app option might save money but I worry it excludes the elderly who may not be able to use technology efficiently. Could there be a smart card option where a card could be pre-loaded with credit to pay?

I appreciate that pay-and-display is an income generator for the Council. However, if the Council is truly serious about air quality it would reduce the number of pay-and-display bays. I believe electronic payments are the way forward. Machines that take physically card payments are great and I would be happy with that, but the Apps are a huge pain and if the suggestion is to remove cash options and replace them with only an App, which requires a phone to use, then I cannot support that.

I believe that switching to a card only system will be a massive disadvantage to visitors and people who may not understand the systems. A cash system like currently is best

I believe the charge is too expensive

I can only pay in cash.

I cannot understand why you want to change the Pay and display. What is wrong with it? Many people do not use Apps or find it difficult to navigate the Ringgo system. What if you are visiting from out of borough, you do not have ready access to Ringgo without a big performance. Some people prefer using cash.

I currently use the app to park in Lewisham and have experienced the app not working correctly and had to leave my car without payment. Will there be a number available to call should there be a problem paying for parking via the parking app.

I definitely agree that all payments should be the same regardless of the payment method. Why should they method of payment determine the price you pay?? It simply does not make sense.

100% up to 1 hour parking should be free, because there are times where the whole duration of an hour is not required but a £1.20 payment (or more in some places) is required, which is a total waste!

I disagree I think there should be an option to pay cash not everyone can manage payments with a phone and some don't like putting their payment cards into the machine this can be difficult for some older people

I do not agree with emissions surcharges on pay and display machines

I do not own a car. I do think that you should have two forms of payment. I notice that many areas only allow for payment by phone. There should be also be the option to pay by machine by card.

Signage for payment should be more visible and especially indicating to machine paying.

I do think that cash payment is an option which should be always on the table. Though Lewisham Council says that the online and app systems for parking are easy to navigate, the reality is that there are not. In addition, I have security concerns with any app. And please think of the elderly who don't have apps etc and still want to go to the shops or visit friends. I do think that they should switch to card- who carries cash, especially coins?! Bound to have a higher rate of pay, but i think there should be a reduced rate as surely this reduces maintenance fees, and would encourage more people to pay.

I do use your parking app, but also cash. There's always the time the phone is forgotten.

I don't feel equipped to answer this one - I think it would depend on what your research on users of the system reveals about need (e.g. Those who need to pay by cash)

I don't know what proportion of vehicle drivers are able to pay by card. Might some people simply not be able to pay by card? I don't know.

I don't think parking prices should be the same in all locations. You need to encourage more people to use Lewisham shops by reducing parking fees

I don't think people should be forced to have an app. I think it's much better that they should be able to use debit or credit cards.

I don't think the cost should increase

I don't use P&D bays, but think consideration needs to be given to the equalities impact and also people would need to have confidence that the payment will work and it needs to be clear what happens if the card / app payment fails.

I don't use them in this borough, but once again, switching to app or card only will penalise the poorest who still use cash the most.

I don't want the parking fees to be high as it means my family and friends who live out of the borough are unable to visit me easily and freely which leads to social isolation

I don't want to have to sign up to an app or use a mobile phone in order to park somewhere. I think if one pays for residents parking in Lewisham one should be able to park in the municipal car parks for free.

I feel it's important to remain inclusive and allow access to pay and display for those who do not have access to smartphone/app based payment systems.

More choice, with effective control through pricing and enforcement.

Perhaps make clear how revenues from on street parking are used by Lewisham for the benefit of all residents.

I feel that again you are using drivers as a cash cow - £1.60 for an hour is excessive in my opinion. Even the current prices are too high

I feel that the same options should be provided for the whole borough. I regularly use the app and card purchases but there have been occasions when I cannot access these so therefore use cash.

I FEEL THAT YOU ARE TAKING AWAY peoples options if you make them card and app only. many elderly members of the community do not use smart phones and prefer to pay with cash. Its is nice to have the option

I feel this is a very leading survey. Of course we all have an invested interest in improving the air quality around us. I fully support this and where ever possible walk or use public transport. But I feel the way the survey is worded is very (mis)leading (and what looks like your intention of rising costs) is only taking advantage of the situation. On the website is quotes: 'low emission vehicle owners will see charges reduce, while those who own the most polluting vehicles will pay the most.' But I think what you mean is that you are raising the cost and those that have low emission vehicles will pay the same.

If we pay £280 for annual parking – what service are you providing for this rise? Please don't say that it will ensure that there will be space for us to park. Quote from Lewisham Borough website: '47% of households don't have a vehicle at all' so it can't be the case that local residence will be fighting for car parking spaces.

So, where does this (extra) money go? What extra service do I get? How are you investing this extra money to help improve the air quality? Please can you provide me with an estimate as to the extra funding/money you expect to receive in the first year and how this money will be spent. I feel this should be transparent to Lewisham residents otherwise it feels that you are riding on 'Air quality & Emissions' wagon and just taking the opportunity to exploit local residents.

Quote from the Lewisham Borough Website: 'We now have the opportunity to introduce measures that will help to improve our air quality.' – what are these measures that will help to improve the air quality?

In many areas of my life I have a choice. We are a family of five and both my husband and I work fulltime. We carefully choose the bank we use, the utilities companies that provide us with gas and electric, schools that our children attend, shops that we buy food/clothes from,

insurance companies, internet providers, (all to fit with our own morals and financial budget – (that just gets squeezed and squeezed every year!)). With regard to parking, I don't get a choice. You have a monopoly over that. Either use your service or don't park. My husband works very hard for his living and needs a van in order to do this. We have chosen not to purchase two cars so manage to use the van as a family vehicle also – which at times proves difficult. We feel we are being forced to purchase a new van. A vehicle that fits the emission requirements and our family needs equates to £1000's of pounds. I feel that by you raising the cost of parking hinders this. We are being forced to get rid of our very purposeful and reliable vehicle for a more compliant vehicle for emission zones - which we know will help with the environment and are happy to start to save to make this new investment for the environment - but our hopes of saving for this isn't being helped by you penalising us with higher parking costs. It only hinders us. Please advise.

On another note, I don't understand your Amazon voucher offer of £50. Surely it goes against your apparent intentions to help improve the environmental air quality. You are encouraging people to order online (a lot of products come from overseas/China) and as a result encourages vans\lorries to drive and deliver to people's houses equating to more pollution. It also baffles me that you would support this type of business that have a reputation of not paying full UK taxes. Would it not be better to offer vouchers for local businesses? Would it not make more sense to support your 'greener system' by offering vouchers for public transport – ie Oyster Card vouchers. I would happily pay £280 (double cost from last year) for residential parking if this extra costs were invested in Oyster vouchers. So £140 of this money to be awarded to free (Oyster) travel per application. Surely this would encourage people to use public transport and the required result of lowering air pollution. And this would encourage me to believe that this isn't just a money making excuse on your behalf. I find app/card payments to be very easy and am pleased with the current way pay and display works.

I find older people complaining about the app/card system. There still need to be some machines for those who can't use the new system

I imagine there will be many users who can't access apps & who don't have cards so it seems unfair to phase out cash

I know of older drivers who find the app and card only payment type challenging to deal with.

Whilst personally the convenience is high, it does not cater for all.

I know other boroughs charge more for app parking - I think in this day of contactless payments that is ridiculous. Stronger than "Strongly" agree on this one - will save the council a fortune in cash collection

I know quite a few older people without phones so how are they supposed to pay for parking.

I like card payments - apps are ok but cash payments should be retained for those who wish or can only pay in this fashion.

I like the range of options. If you have no cash options you mustnt just have app only payments, card useage would be ok for me.

I love using Ringo and other apps to make parking charge payments but I know that this is not being inclusive, as a lot of elderly people cannot use the machines. A lot of the elderly people are low incomes and to charge them more, if they can only use the machines seems unfair.

Is there not a way that the Council can sell daily/hourly permits in Laurence House which can be used in different locations. So the permits are pre-paid. You can put a cut-off usage/expiry date (say 6 months) on each permit.

I n longer visit Lewisham shops because all the parking metres around about are mobile phone or app only payment. I am 75 I do not have an expensive mobile phone and certainly

will not be buying one to park in Lewisham! So I do suggest that coin operated machines are made available to us oldies as you must be aware of the increasingly large numbers of elderly people who still expect to be out and about for some years yet.

I object to giving my details to a third party app.

I am in favour of cashless machines providing you can use a credit card to pay.

I often pay for pay and display on the Ringo app but sometimes I may want to pay at the machine using money

I personally use the app and it is very helpful but older people would struggle so I think the options are necessary.

I personally would be happy to use app or card payment but this excludes some residents who are elderly or vulnerable as they may not be able to use these machines and will therefore be excluded which is unfair.

Could parking tokens be bought from shops to reduce the cash elements and they could be displayed in the car?

One simple rate for all is a brilliant idea.

I propose to get rid of them and payment should be automated by calling a number as not everyone can use apps.

I rarely use these but do have concerns around safety using or having card details in public I recently witnessed an attempted break in of a pay & display machine in my street (reported to police) if these were cash exempt no break in's would occur

I strongly believe that authorities, whether banking, government, local government, should stop pushing people towards a cashless system. By doing that you are also enabling banks to close their branches, which disables many people, particularly those who do not have internet banking or do not want to have internet banking.

I strongly disagree with price rise as online apps require much less maintenance as machines. Machines should stay . You can't make mandatory for people to have phone and with internet access on it. Or you should introduce machines with internet access.

I struggle with paying by phone and find they have charged me when it goes wrong and I've started but card has problem. My elderly parents would never work out and not sure phones would work with app.

I think all metres should have the facility of paying by cash, card and/or app.

I think all the machines should have the option for cash , not just in car parks

I think cash should always be an option.

I think even in areas where cash payments remain high Lewisham Council should still switch to app/online payment for parking. I appreciate that not everyone is adept to using this method but it is safer and more convenient!

i think it is best to have a variety of payment options

I think it is reasonable that visitor permits charges should be less than pay and display. It is unfair that you have to fork out lots the same money as someone doing business or shopping to have a friend or family member visit your house, or have a tradesmen visit. It is ripping off residents.

I think it should be optional to pay via cash / card / app

As the app is sometimes unpredictable and I cant access it sometimes, so more than one option to pay would be better.

I think it's important to still offer cash payments. Not everyone has a smartphone.

Unbelievable I know but it's true!

I think local shops would benefit from a review of where the pay and display areas are. There are a few places within the borough where there are only a few P&D display spots near shops with the rest quite a way away. Mount Pleasant road and all the way along there into Catford.

I think more free 30min parking should be allocated close to retail outlets and NHS services.

I think not having a cash option is funnelling people to phone only methods and limits a lot of older people and poorer people from being able to park. Some people don't own phones or have bank accounts for example.

I think older people who are not tech savvy would struggle if they can't pay by cash.

I have ringo and love it

I think parking should take card & cash or as we all do at times forget our cards or cash so it's good to have both options.

I think that card payment or app payment is good but should also be cash payment as not everyone is that tech friendly

I think that having a standard rate through out the borough is again simplistic.

To encourage shopping areas the hourly rate near shops should be low; however with only a limited time allowance. In residential areas the hourly rate could be higher to discourage commuters.

I think that variety should be kept so it doesn't make it harder for anyone to pay the charges.

I think the option to pay by cash should be retained. Some people don't have access to cards or credit.

But I think it should be slightly cheaper to pay by card or app, in recognition of the fact that it's less expensive to administer.

I think there are far too many parking restrictions already

I think there should be the option to pay cash.

I think they should respect the fact that some people do not use cards or Apps

I think you keep free parking for first hour or two to encourage use of local businesses. My concern is people will just use supermarkets where parking is free otherwise.

I thinking parking machines should be cash or card, but cash should be a payment option.

I understand cash collection is very expensive to maintain and should not be borne by our Council where the alternatives are so ubiquitous. I do not accept the arguments around equality in this day and age. Everyone has reasonable access to cashless payment methods even if ,for a very small minority, this would require a little more initial effort (eg, obtaining a preloadable card). The Council could provide assistance to those in obtaining preloadable cards etc in the early days.

i use lewisham car park and pay by card and cash, i think all pay and displays should be all options, many elderly people do not like using their cards and should not be commanded to do so, all ways get money in the end, and if there are high charges at some car pay and displays then this should be investigated why their prices are different.

I use only cards however I strongly disagree with card only as it excludes the most vulnerable and negatively affects them

I use Ringgo all the time. It's OK when you have a good connection. There are 3G zones (that should be 4G) where Ringgo hangs - cannot be used. Until that's sorted, you need both options to pay. Also, some of us (accidentally) leave home to run an errand without a phone. No phone, no Ringgo. Unless you've got a Ringgo 'card' coming that you could just tap on a pay & display machine for parking credit...

I use Ringo but not everyone has phones

I use the app but you get charged more per hour for using it and that doesn't seem fair. if you want people to use the app make the prices the same, as if everyone pays electronically surely your overheads are reduced? Give that saving back to residents

I use the Ringo App in many local car parks and I think the cost should be the same for all parking, I think it is about £1.00 per hour at the moment. I would be prepared to pay £1.20 per hour. I dont think cash machines should disappear as not all drivers are used to the Apps and if they come from a long way away to visit London, it can be confusing if there is no possibility to either pay actual cash or cash over the phone. Apps tend to be specific to the area.

I usually only need to park for 15mins. Ringo app makes you pay for 1hr.

I don't have a smart phone. How am I meant to park.

I worry that question 3 is at odds with question 2. Surely if someone has answered they strongly disagree with q2, that then makes q3 superfluous.

I would be against a solution where only app payments are possible - people shouldn't be forced to use a smart phone for paying parking. Paying by card seems more generally accessible for people if one must choose a solution, with regards to continuing cash payment as an option I would base that on social consideration, i.e. whether card only would likely disadvantage people with lower incomes who may not always have cards.

I would like to know why the parking is so expensive.

Is this the main revenue for Councils?

Ideally no machines would be needed as payment can be made online or via an app. If machines are needed then they should take card and not cash.

Residential area parking should be different from that on high streets and near train stations. If a business case suggests changing methods of payments to digital only proves to be a more sustainable business model and helps to reduce costs to residents parking then I would support the change.

If anything there should be a premium for paying with cash, because of the extra work and risk associated with handling cash.

If cash machine usage is high does this reflect a need to use cash or the lack of an alternative. In principle cash is on the way out but Bromley has machines that accept both.

Why not Lewisham

If cash machines cost the council additional money or energy sources than card only machines then I agree that the switch should take place, but I don't believe you should replace them for the sake of it as this is a waste of materials.

If cash transactions are more expensive for LBC to administer, I am in favour of switching to card or app only machines.

If existing cash machines are still functional then I fail to see why the expense of replacement should be incurred, however when no longer viable it makes sense to replace them with new technology

If it's not broken, don't fix it.

If it's card only you disadvantage older people who don't use this type of payment

If machine is not working, then parking should be free. Or more machines installed as it can waste time for ppl trying to find another machine. It's really annoying

If my Greenwich experience is repeated I would be very unhappy. The Dash system is hugely frustrating to use for the first time. It all feels very haphazard. For short term parking the meters are so old they often suck up coins without giving you parking time. I want machines to give us as many payment options as possible. Horrible to stand in the rain trying to access internet to register your car...or hang on the phone waiting for assistance.

If people have to use them, payment methods should be as easy as possible

If possible have PAD machines take all forms of payment to make them available to all users

If the cash machines still work there is no need to upgrade them as people will still have to pay or risk a fine. Use the investment money elsewhere

If the cost of updating the machines is prohibitive then we should not spend that money, however the cost of parking should be consistent no matter the payment method.

If the online / app was easier to use then I would be happy for it to swap however I have encountered too many issues trying to pay online to believe the system is capable of being fit for purpose at the current time

If the use of cash is low the cost of parking should go down not up. I will never Park in Lewisham even though I drive and live in the borough, I can't afford it and therefore seldom do any shopping in Lewisham centre

If there's one thing that needs to be changed regarding pay and display issues in Lewisham, it is the need to enforce this on Sundays as well as the rest of the week. Otherwise the whole point of trying to improve the air quality in the borough is wasted, as Lewisham streets literally do become a car park on that day.

If u make it only cards or online what if i dont have my card with me but i have cash or i dont have enough money in my card to pay?so i think the machine should be card or cash acceptable.

If we are going to have them, they need to be maintained properly. If we had fewer we could have more trees.

If you are asking these questions you are out of touch with many people.

You are just looking at ways to make life for the tech poor to be even harsher.

If you are elderly then using an app would be difficult so there should be one machine that is still cash for the next 5 years then phase out with cash

If you can afford to run a car you should have a bank account with a card. The costs of maintaining pay and display machines are not worth the money.

If you charge too much for parking the people will find cheaper parking elsewhere and Lewisham will lose customers, I've seen this happen in other areas and all the local shops are shutting down because of parking restrictions.

If you go cashless how do you pay when you cannot get internet access which we have seen on numerous occasions.

If you have machines, it is important to have every way of payment available. From cash to Apple Pay.

Also, they should be in working order and really visible! Some sign should be put up for the driver to find the machine if you only want to put a machine every 100metres

I think, the machine should apply a discount for the borough resident no matter if they live in this street or the street in the other side of the borough

This is only to encourage residents to stay around the borough to do their shopping.

If you live in a 2 hour parking zone then people can still park all day to go to work as they can just phone and book their parking. Well thought out Lewisham council, your ideas just get better and better

If you maintained the machines in the first place residents wouldn't be being penalized.

If you make these changes this will be the death of Lewisham, people including residents will travel to other areas for shopping

If you need to pay via cash. Insure that the machines are working at all times and clearly marked the hours of parking inclusive of stating free parking on public bank holidays.

If you pay by app or card it should only charge for the time used. Rather than me having to guess how long i will need to park which costs more

If you switch to app and/or card only, you're discriminating against people who can't or don't want to use smart phones. E. G. People with a disability, those who may not have credit on their phones, or tourists who don't want to use their phone in a different country

If you want the money use all forms of payment.

If you wish the borough's high streets to survive, I think you should be looking at reducing the cost of parking, there is the law of diminishing returns. Bromley is nearby, better shops, better environment and cheaper parking. Lewisham and Catford are grim.

I'm always concerned that non-cash payments may cause problems for the elderly or those who are not so tech-savvy (who may not have a mobile which they know how to use in this way). Cash machines are often faulty and can cost to maintain. Why not extend the scratch card system, allowing people to buy parking tickets in many more places throughout the borough to use for both visitor and pay and display? Even better, don't have a scratch off but a simple stamp machine, so people could insert a 'one hour' card and have it stamped with the day and time before displaying it in the car?

I'm not a strong cash user (I barely use it at all), but I think choice is the essence of a liberal society.

Improve the ringgo app. It doesn't work well on android.

Improve your cycling policy! You do pretty much nothing for cyclists. When you redo roads, you don't add cycling lanes. The Lewisham centre redevelopment is an absolute shame. It's

all very well and good to 'punish' diesel, but what do you do to encourage cycling? Nothing. There is no cycling policy. We don't need different types of cars, we need less cars.

In favour of card or app payments but not the phone line parking services that take up to 5 minutes to make a payment

In general, we need to encourage the use of cashless payment methods by charging less for cashless payments. My concern about eliminating cash altogether is that some people might be excluded from using local parking altogether. If a method were found of enabling all people to pay using cashless transactions (eg prepaid parking card), then we could go completely cashless.

In high public use areas there should always be an option for cash or charge.

In my view the council should pursue the option which represents the best value to council tax payers. Even if use of cash remains high in some areas, that does not mean that people would not switch to another option if given no choice.

In raising car parking charges will discourage those using local shops. All charges should be equal but match the lower of the charges, not the higher.

In relation to the last question card payments should still be an option as the use of coins has decreased as noted by your stats

In some areas, they are difficult to find. Better signage and more meters

In terms of accessibility, still allowing cash would allow more people to be able to park. Not everyone has a smartphone or likes using card payment

In the same way as national government agreed continued access to free cash machines, it is important that local access to paid for parking is guaranteed by continuing the provision and so the choice of paying with cash as well as credit or debit card.

If you have no credit or cash cards... Or charged phones when you need to park, it is essential that residents can still choose to pay with cash. There are many people who, for one reason or another cannot always depend upon or use a card to pay to park. The fines for not paying are very high, and it is unfair to expect anyone to risk a huge fine by not paying if they find they are short of the right kind of coin, card or mobile phone when they need to drive /and park somewhere in Lewisham.

Increase charges. It's cheaper to drive than take public transport for many journeys. No wonder everyone drives...

Increasing costs to park directly affects traders & is a big part of why our high streets are dying

It's the councils fault driving business out of Lewisham

Charges should be reduced near shops to help them

Install machines that are reliable.

The charges for parking near shops should be affordable to all users otherwise traders will suffer.

Instrument machines with air quality sensors and dynamically scale parking prices with levels of pollution

Is it not possible to have machines that accept any payment type: cash, app or card? I imagine that any cash machine needs emptying, and therefore someone needs to be employed to do that. I can only see the value in card / app only machines if whatever labour savings there are will result in lower parking fees.

Is it possible to have dual cash and card machines?

Is not a good ideal to switch paying parking by card or app only because not everyone are good using those method, like old people, technology is always confusing. I think is a good idea to keep same prime and pay every methods.

Is the council going to buy me a smartphone ? I have a 2g nokia so no apps, so does my 80 year old mother in law who drives still. Not everyone has them, so no app only please must include cards.

Isn't it healthier for the environment when no paper tickets for parking are used? In my opinion it's better for the environment to pay by app or bank card and to encourage this it should be cheaper than paying cash.

It is important to keep the cash facility on parking machines. Once again when this is removed it disproportionately affects the poor and those who are not computer literate.

It feels a rip off when the minimum time unit purchaseable is an hour.

It is best to retain a cash option as not everyone has a card they can use.

It is discriminatory to require a person to have a debit/ credit card and a mobile phone to park their car therefore it must not be made mandatory.

Also it means giving personal sensitive information obligatory which I am not willing to do.

37% is almost 4 out of 10 people who prefer cash - that's a huge number of council taxpayers who deserve a real say.

It also makes the process much more difficult and time consuming.

A bad idea all round plus the cost to council tax payers of altering the machines which have recently been updated for the new £1 coin!!!

I do hope you will LISTEN.....

It is discriminatory to segments of residents to require app based parking. Maintenance of machines needs improvement. Pricing for short periods of time needs to be considered in the context of supporting local shopping and maintenance of an attractive and convenient retail environment on the high street and local shopping. Independent retailers with a physical presence are at considerable risk at present, and there needs to be appropriate available short term parking options at reasonable prices.

It is ESSENTIAL that cash payments remain possible. I do not wish to pay by card or app, and there are also many people who are NOT ABLE to use this method at all.

It is exclusionary to make facilities card only. Some people do not have cards. Is the plan to do away with money?

It is important to retain the ability to pay by cash

It is inappropriate not to permit visitors to pay with cash, infrequent visitors or those without access to card or apps would not be able to park legally.

It is not fair to force people to switch to paying via an app and then charging more for the 'privilege'

In the Catford car park you have to use Ringgo and it is more expensive than parking on a meter on the street because of the 'admin fee' charged by Ringgo.

If you are not going to give people the choice of using cash or an app the prices should be the same and that does not mean increasing the machine price to match the app price.

Furthermore not everyone has a smart phone or wants to use an app!

It is rare to find one that works!

It is sometime easier and quicker to using coins that are readily available in the pocket

It is very difficult to get your money back from the machine when it is faulty.

It is vital to have both systems- older people do not have cards they can use and no bank accounts either, so they use cash. You would therefore be ageist and be discriminating against a large section of your community in Lewisham. We would fight this and we would win.

It is wrong to assume all drivers will have access to a mobile or bank cards when parking

If an app is used or should cost the same. After all it is more convenient for the council for drivers to use this method. We should not be charged for making your life easier

It seems unfair that people who pay cash should find it difficult to be able to pay cash. Having had numerous experiences with internet access and not being able to remember password etc, it would be good to have an option to pay cash. I appreciate that the machines need to be emptied but this surely is a very quick and simple task

It should be 24/7

It should be abolish

It should be card, cash app think of the elderly and technology

It should be card/app only as this is cheaper to operate and the council should be saving money by going cash free so it can protect other services.

By doing it this way (with cars/vans registering registration numbers) you could also consider emission based charging on pay and display to make sure non-lewisham residents are reconsidering driving polluting cars into our town centres.

It should be easy to Pay for all sections of society

It should be free to park in Lewisham.

it should remain an option

It would be good to have as many payment options as possible. And please note: the pay and display machines are often out of order - e.g. the ones behind Forest Hill Sainsbury's seem out of use 50% of the times I go there.

It would be nice if they generally worked.

It would be wrong to exclude the elderly or disabled off the road through a digital only payment policy. You better make sure you have carried out an equality impact assessment before moving cash free.

It would help if they were maintained as machines are often out of order

It would help if they worked. .

It would help if the attendants weren't on a bonus for ticket issuing

It would help if they worked. Some people don't have smart phones.

It's frustrating that the next area uses a different app for paying. Our post office for collecting parcels is in Eltham and when I go there to collect a parcel it seems I can't use RingGo that I use around here.

It's important people can use different modes of payment whether it be cash / card / app to cater to all

It's not fair on older people who aren't tech savvy or are adverse to change to remove the option for them to use cash. Cash is still a valid form of currency / payment in this country and suits some people better.

It's ok as not all can go online so I think it's only fair to still have machines

It's concerning when machines are out of order - leaves you feeling vulnerable to possible fine.

It's fair for the higher cost of processing cash to be reflected in the price charged for parking.

It's not fair on those who use cash to do away with the cash payment option.

Its great to have the functionality of all available payment options. Still including cash

It's not impossible that someone would have neither a phone nor a credit card

it's time to get digital

Just make all machines able to take all payment methods - this suits everyone 8n the borough who may have different financial situations, needs or just simply face different circumstances on different days. The vast majority of shops and businesses accept multiple payment methods - at least card and cash - so why seek to restrict with inflexible P&D machines?

Just make sure they work and PCNs are not issued when I am at the machine to pay.

Please eliminate paper tickets for app/card based machines.

If electronic each bay should have details of how to pay so no need to go to a machine.

Just make the machines both cashless and cash and be done with it.

Just that some people don't have smart phones so it mkes it difficult for them to pay

Keep cash machines

Keep coin operated parking ticket machines in case people do not have a mobile phone credit card or app like I have it on some occasions

Keep it at the rate it is because we pay for enough as it is! There's no valid reason to increase these charges at all

keep it how it is, all you seem to do is bring in new technology which will apparently reduce the price. I have yet to see even a penny dropped from charges despite installing solar panels to these meters absolute joke.

Keep it simple for all age and ability groups

Keep machines as pay by cash or if they choose, pay by card. People prefer to have a choice and not every one has bank cards.

Keep them cheap, it's really important for local restaurants and business to have these customers

Keep them serviced

Keep weekends and evenings free!

Keeping the cost of parking down helps the high street, too high and it will affect small businesses adversely

Leave the machines and spend the money on something useful. Fix potholes

Leave them be.

lewisham parking machines should except all payments not every one has a phone.

some people forget there phones but do have loose change in the car some

older people dont understand how to do this on the phone

Lewisham Council are really not considering the elderly when making such changes. Lots of old people do not get the use of cards and apps.

Lewisham council urgently need to update the payment machines for pay and display parking.

People should be able to pay by card or cash, not just coins and telephone.

Lewisham council's methods appear punitive and discriminatory. The use of card machines and app parking machines restricts who can pay for parking such as people who dont have access to an android phone, those who dont understand how to use the phone/app service, those who may not have access to their bank cards.

lewisham has to understand that many people do not have smart app friendly phones. and must not discriminate against these, or non mobile owners who are car owners

Lewisham is dearer than Bromley

Lewisham is dearer than Bromley and Croydon

Lewisham needs to be really clear between which bays are pay and display and which are resident parking only.. It's not always clear.

I think the cost should reflect the transaction cost. If its cheaper for the council to have cards then make the parking cheaper.

Lewisham residents who already own a permit should be able to

1: not pay to park in other areas of Lewisham

2: should receive a discount on fee to park in other areas.

Again a policy which does not help benefit Lewisham residents but sees them as a means to extract more cash. Disappointing and unimaginative policy.

Lewisham should not discriminate against those who neither have, nor use, modern technology.

They should be able to pay by card, app or cash for the same length of time.

Lewisham should provided roadside electric charging points

Lot of them is out of order

Lots of older people are not as tuned into using smart phones & online systems.

Going cashless is going to isolate them more.

Plus many may not have money in bank account towards end of month.

Cash should always be an option.

If machines loose data link how can you pay?

Lots of older people find card and app payments difficult. They prefer cash.

Lots of sections of society don't have card / technology - keep cash an option otherwise parts of society (elderly, certain communities) will be disadvantaged

Lower the costs

Machines need to work

Machines should accept cash payment as that is our currency. Machines should also be pro rata. Not 1.60 per hour....we should pay for what we use. If I park for 1.1 minutes I shouldn't be paying 2 hours. You are double charging the same parking space.

Machines should all have the option to pay in a number of ways.

Machines should all take cards. There should be no app only machines.

Machines should be able to be used via an app, credit or debit card and cash. Basics!!!!

Machines should be able to take cash to enable everyone to make a payment. Some people are not tech savvy and therefore prefer to use cash only.

Machines should be clever enough to not taking the money outside the parking hours. I have seen people putting money in the machine outside the hours and the machine would not return the money when they try to cancel.

Other areas outside Lewisham with newer pay and display machines, the machine automatically refuse to accept payment outside the hours (e.g. Sunday) or over payment (beyond the enforcement hours).

Machines should be updated to allow card & app payments, but should also be able to accept cash payments

Machines should be updated to take both cards/apps plus cash for parking, as well as giving correct change for drivers who don't have the correct amount.

Machines should enable all payment options at the same price

Machines should have all options to ensure people who are willing to pay can pay. It is a discrimination to not provide all methods. If you don't want people parking then don't provide the parking spaces, don't use a payment method as a means of 'catching people out'!

Machines that are necessary should have a card payment option.

Make all machines card payments only.

Make all machines charge only for the hours used. Although this would reduce your income from ticketing overstayers

Make all pay and display machines card and app-only.

Make charges greater to reduce driving to parks etc -

Make machines charge less for less polluting cars.

Make more card machines available in Lewisham

Make paying by app easier, align all boroughs to a single app, make paying by app cheaper than cash payments!

Make sure people who park for 5-10 minutes actually use them.

make sure the machine work, this is a major problem within Deptford

Make sure the third party you select had an app that is user friendly!!

Make sure card payments can be taken using Apple Pay and similar "tap" payment methods

Make sure they all work.

Make sure they all take cash, card or app.

They should be the same cost for cash, card or app with no additional fees for using app/RingGo etc.

Make sure they are maintained.

Make sure they are working

Make sure they work if you are going to keep them and that people feel safe getting their wallets out to pay, and they have change!

Make the machines to be able to insert money, use card such as contactless as it's quick, easy and on the go. Also, on the app.

Make the screen/machines more disabled friendly

Make them as simple as possible.

Ideal if they can take cash as well but must accept card via tapping system.

make them easier to read - print is too small.

Make them easier to use for card holders.

Make them easy to use. Phone payments are a pain

Make them more reliable

Make them more secure, limit access to cash collectors to daylight hours as some are being emptied at 3am, CCTV coverage at each machine to stop muggings for cash and cards.

Making machines card / app only will exclude people without smart phones or who are vulnerable to card fraud.

Making machines card or app only excludes those who do not have easy access to these payment methods. Also, if people do not have phones with them for any reason this also excludes being able to use other forms of payment.

Making payments only accessible by card / app will discriminate against certain sections of the community such as elderly people. Also, such systems notoriously fail with reasonable regularity.

Making people pay by phone or online only for parking excludes those that don't have access to a phone or the internet. I think that is fundamentally wrong and shouldn't be allowed. Some people don't have easy access to credit cards. Cash is a vital method of payment for those on lower income levels and should always be an option.

making sure they are looked after regularly

Many aren't working or the road covered isn't on My Ringo, ie Hare And Billet Road, where the only machine is at one end of what is a fairly long road

Many of Lewisham's pay and display machines are very poorly maintained., especially in Deptford. There should ALWAYS be an option to pay for parking with cash, as situations do arise where using a phone or the card is not practical and as a business, those running your car parking have an obligation to accept legal tender.

Many of the older generation and disabled struggle with the use of technology and they need to have a simple way of paying.

Many of the Older generation would find this really difficult potentially. Be better to have range of methods that actually work. Understand why this cuts costs for the borough but not how it has a big impact on environmental issues.

Many older people do not use apps for payment and instructions for card payments need to be clearer.

Many older residents may struggle with app/card payments only. If more than a third of payments are still made by cash, that is the preferred option of a significant proportion of users.

Many people are unable to use and confused about apps and card payments. Unfair once again.

Many people have difficulty accessing banking services, so continued support for cash payments is a must, to ensure that these already marginalised people do not get further marginalised.

Many people still use coins. You are relying on the technology functioning perfectly all the time - technology often fails.

Many residents are elderly and do not have a smart phone. They are the very people who should not be penalised by having fewer machines that they are able to use. Cash machines are essential.

May require to keep them when older people find them easier to use than apps

Minimum wage doesn't reflect your cost

Mobile app payments should be reduced.

£1.60 via mobile app

£1.80 via cash or card

Money making machine for the council

More expensive to pay via app than at the machine. Some don't work either

More machines as so many times the machines do not work.

More pay and display machines should be installed in residential areas to enable people to regularly visit friends and family for short visits. The elderly need visitors to help with their mental health.

most elderly people do not want to pay for parking with a card.

Most of the cash machines are old and when they break are not fixed. Forcing people you use the online method when they don't want to. That is probably why the use of cash is down.

most of the machines are too complicated to use

the website is awful needs to be made simpler

no way of getting redress if a mistake is made no one answers the phone

Most of them are in terrible state - e.g. in Blackheath they are rusting hulks in many cases with the bases rotting through with rust and at least one with its former cashbox area used as a rubbish receptacle

Most of them are unreliable and don't work.

Should be made to be simpler and less time consuming.

Most of them don't work. Get them fixed!

Most of us elderly do not have card and telephone for charge is it possible to navigate

Most people today a smart device which means not many have coins in their pocket therefore it would be a good idea for young generation only to take advantage of current technology but coins machines should also be available as it will be needed.

Move to an app

My only concern if they go card/app only is that the elderly who are used to having cash will struggle to use them

My parents when in their 70s could not work out how to operate a parking machine except with cash, and had could not use mobile phones. But the car was an important aid to independence. 37% is still a lot of people using cash.

My personal preference is for card/app payments being available at all machines in the borough however replacement of the existing machines should be done in a cost-efficient manner and not just for the sake of switching to card/app payments.

Presumably the number of pay&display machines can be reduced in areas where app payment is prevalent.

My problem with pay and display is that I am not happy using either an app or a card as I worry about how safe they are. With all the online fraud or if you lose your phone/gets stolen. Having said that, charges are so high I would never have enough cash to pay that way.

Maybe the answer is contactless. As long as I don't have to give my credit card details to some third party company; so contactless would get around that problem.

My wife uses my computer to pay for parking which means that I can't pay to park by land line if I am using the car because the ridiculous system won't let two people operate from the same internet address. I don't have a mobile phone on principle and it is a nightmare trying to park using machines which force you into having one.

need to consider for all age groups not just young generations who know how to use new technology

Need duality on payment at parking machines, due to not everyone having smartphones or cards.

The payment using cards - often not working on many machines.

The phone lines to pay - I find that overall I have had negative experiences almost every time. Laboriously long winded, often cut off - terrible in general.

need more original cash payment machines, which is monitored daily.

Need to be able to use alternatives to app/phone parking as it takes an inordinately long time to buy parking currently if you are a visitor and not much better if not. Sometimes I only want to park for 15 mins and I don't want to spend 5 of them buying parking. Card payments at a machine would be fine.

Need to be accessible to those who may not have access to card and phone payments.

Need to be more aware of the impacts of a phone/app only policy on the elderly, vulnerable and poor. Cash remains an important payment means for them (in general), and so the Councils policy should not be just driven by economics but should reflect residents needs and means! Too little focus is given to this!

Need to ensure that everyone can pay for parking if they need to - including elderly people who may not have a credit card or may find it difficult to use an app

Need to ensure they are properly maintained and repaired

Need to make these accessible to all. I know older people who use pay and display because they can't work the app for permits so you need to keep a cash option

Needs to be brought in line with other boroughs. Lewisham is way behind.

Needs to be digitised but accessible to all

needs updating , change the paint colour from black to another making it more user friendly

Never used in my area as uncontrolled parking

never used them

Nightmare to topup online

No - but I never know when it is ok / 'safe' to park on a single yellow line, so some guidance on that would be appreciated (eg, guidance on this included when you provide parking do's and don'ts.

NO additional charges for app or card payments!

No additional spending should be done to upgrade the parking facilities in order to discourage car usage

No all drivers will want to by card or app what about visitors!

No cash as encourage crime

no everyone has a card or smartphone yo download the app

.So no only cash..

No need for so many P&D machines. Please help clean up our streets of any unnecessary signage and machines.

None of the carparks I use in catford are cash. I have to use Ringo!

No-one should be discriminated against for not having a card or app that enables them to park. Parking charges should be the same no matter how they are paid and cash should be kept.

Not accepting cash is likely to disadvantage marginalised and less tech savvy populations.

Not all car users use card or apps, we need to consider our older drivers.

Not all have Smart phones so you need a cash function too.

Not all motorists use a phone for everything - especially older people. Keep cash payments for parking.

Not all people, especially older people have mobile phones or are familiar enough with one to use for paying for parking.

Not app payments I'm never installing an app to pay for parking. Just use contactless. No need for an app. In fact it's just more cost and maintenance.

Not every has or wants a phone that supports apps.

Not every Lewisham resident has access to cards and apps to enable payment. The option of cash payments must be retained otherwise it will be exclusive.

Not every one has a smart phone or a credit card. Also half the time these machines are too complicated for older people to understand even if they do have a smart phone or credit card. Also they don't work half the time.

Not every one is up with technology this is unfair to impose card or app only i believe those cash machines in Lewisham that are not working should be checked regularly to ensure they are working properly

Not every one needing to park will own a bankcard or have it with them. What are they then to do?

Not everybody has a card or a smart phone especially older people. It is imperative that they can still pay in cash.

Not everybody owns a phone or card to make payments. Cash payments must remain an option as you will be discriminating if this is enforced.

Not everyone can work out the telephone apps, especially a lot of elderly people that may not even have mobile phones. Do not assume that everyone is technology minded

Not everyone especially the older generation use cards or apps so I think they will struggle with that change

Not everyone had a credit card or has a smartphone to be able to use apps

Not everyone had a mobile phone or bank card. This would do discriminate against these people

not everyone has a mobile phone and apps to hard to use ie no wifi best have choice oc credit card or cash

Not everyone has a card or a smart phone to be able to pay for their parking, some elderly people only have cash.

Not everyone has a credit card or mobile phone. Arguably, going "app only" shows a bias against the poorer end of the local population who may not have access to credit cards and mobile phones (admittedly, not many!)

Not everyone has a credit/debit card so cash payments must remain in place. The ringo app is long and time consuming and in the past has had personal data stolen.

Not everyone has a mobile phone or have money in the bank. Consideration should be given that some people still have pay as you go phones. Is calling to pay for a meter going to be a free phone number? What if a low income family as no money in their account and needs to park?

not everyone has a mobile phone or should be forced to buy one

NOT EVERYONE HAS A MOBILE PHONE!

Again, punishing the poorest people in the borough.

Not everyone has a phone or a card

not everyone has a phone or card, or can access the technology for such payments. Cash should be an option.

Not everyone has a smart phone especially older people. If all machines were app or card payments it may exclude them and those that are not so tech savvy.

Not everyone has a smart phone or can easily use apps - it is a generational thing - and many people don't have cards. This measure would be punitive on the elderly and those on low incomes.

Not everyone has a smart phone or card and sometimes even those who have don't always carry them all the time. I object to the stealth strategy of abolishing cash transactions - no one has voted for this and a thorough social and economic analysis has never been presented to support this policy - which I suspect is likely to increase social exclusion.

Not everyone has a smart phone or uses apps.

Not everyone has a smart phone, a lot of older residents still prefer to use cash. **DO NOT IGNORE THEM!**

Not everyone has a smart phones or use cash cards especially the elderly & disabled (who has not got a blue badge) so therefore if you make all of them card or app pay points it will discriminate against those group of people.

Not everyone has a smart.phone!

Not everyone has a smartphone

Not everyone has a smartphone and older and poorer residents would prefer cash

Not everyone has a smartphone, just make all 3 options available (cash, card & app)

Not everyone has access all the time to a phone or a bank card so cash needs to remain an option

Not everyone has access to a mobile phone or have issues with the technology e.g. older residents, those with socio-economic challenges, etc. My elderly neighbours have challenges adapting to these systems.

Not everyone has access to a smart phone. You need to think of the elderly. Lots of which do not use cards or apps. Leave it as cash, card or app. Give people the choice and be more inclusive

Not everyone has access to a smartphone or card payments - this will restrict older, less tech able people. Both options should be used on machine - cash and card.

Not everyone has access to app and like to use cash

Not everyone has access to card payments. Again the council is creating a two tier society that works against low-paid workers

Not everyone has access to phone apps or credit/debit cards. You cannot discriminate against anyone because they can only access parking through cash payment.

Not everyone has access to smart phone or knows how to use one. Switching to card payment or app only will make the life of some people harder.

Not everyone has cards or smart phones i.e. elderly people so how would you expect them to pay if its card or app only?

Not everyone has cards to pay for parking

not everyone has smart phones or cards to pay for these machines or like myself even like paying for parking that way

Not everyone has smart phones or money in a bank account so cash is always handy especially for OAP's

Not everyone has the use of a card or mobile phone. There should always be an option, even if it is one machine that is able to accept all payments types in a car park.

Not everyone is tech savvy. Many older people drive and do not have smart phones. Many older people do not pay with cards.

Not everyone is able to navigate using cashless

Not everyone is always carrying change around. The likely hood of people carrying there card or phone is higher, except for the areas where using cash remains high.

Not everyone is capable of paying by card or app

Not everyone is tech savvy

Not everyone owns a smart phone and not every one wants to stand in the street giving out their card numbers -

Not everyone pays by card!

New machines will be costly

not everyone uses a app . its a lazy ay for councils to collect money. give up a choice. i go to bromley for everything.. its cheaper to park

Not everyone uses a card or an app to pay for parking. Better maintenance of the machines would prevent cash payers risking penalty notices being issued as they do not have access to the app.

Not everyone uses card or apps, and generally speaking those who don't are the most vulnerable. Don't exclude these people.

Not everyone uses cards, what about the elderly people.

Not everyone uses cards. The restriction to two hours parking around Hither Green station means I no longer use that station.

Not everyone uses technology

Not everyone will have access to cards at all times .

Not fair to pay more by phone than cash. Which we do currently. Not enough meters. Very expensive

Not having a cash option is not user friendly to all, I think elderly people are less likely to use an app and need the fredom of being able to use cash too

Not in favour of on line or cashless payments

Not in favour of them. Another tax on the driver.

Not providing a cash option will disadvantage those without a phone or cash nothing wrong with cash.

cash is easy and simple to use.

Offer more free parking for electric vehicles and more charging points and standardise a charging app across all boroughs

Often I either don't have charge on the phone, or am in a hired vehicle which I don't know the reg for. At present the ringo system is slow if you are inputting different details each time, so I often use cash. The machines are a bit unreliable, but I appreciate having both options available.

Old fashioned and dirty. Not always working

old people have difficulty dealing with APPS etc .what is wrong with CASH paying machines??

Old people who use cars most may not be able to use cards and apps. You would be penalising population with mobility problems.

Older generations who do not use apps/have smart phones and who generally carry cash should be able to still pay cash

Older generations, in particular, who may not be tech savvy could rely on being able to pay with cash instead or card/the app. I don't think they should have to pay a higher price for paying in cash either.

Older people do not want to use cards or phones. Keep the, cash

Older people don't like using apps and like paying for things with cash. Don't alienate the older population please.

older people may not be able to use cards or apps

Older people may not be able to use phone apps.

Older people may not have smartphones and apps. Pay by phone app doesn't always work. There should still be an alternative way to pay

Older residents do not always use phones/apps/cards etc and often, for reasons of mobility, are the ones who need to drive the most.

On the occasions I have needed to pop out quickly to pick something up the farce of trying to get parking paid on the phone can often double the amount of time I spend parked there. It's slow and inconvenient and I have to fumble with my wallet in the street to find a card. If I could only just pop a pound coin in the meter it would be so much easier.

Once again, older residents are being discriminated against with the focus on cashless payments and online permits. There are a lot of older residents in Lewisham and they should not be ignored.

Once again, the means of payment should be readily available for all. The charge is what would make a difference to air quality. It's becoming increasingly obvious that this survey is aimed at getting the answers you want to be used to claim another sly taxation rather than genuine concern for the air our children and our children's children will continue to breathe.

Option to pay by cash should be available to all.

Options to pay by cash or phone should be available and they should be the same price

Outrageously expensive!

over-reliance on apps and card payment machines will cause problems in the future there is an extremely large proportion of the population who rely on cash while you say any 37% of payments were made in cash I suspect those 37% are essential to the individuals making them I strongly disagree with relying on card or internet based payments structures.

Oyster card payment system would be good

oystercard use to pay for it should also be introduced

this makes sure everyone will have oystercard or contactless bank card to pay for it, that way the machines can potentially be smaller and not blocking the sidewalk

Parking

Parking anywhere in the borough should be free for vehicles already covered by a valid parking permit. The use of 'zones' is very confusing.

Parking charges in pay & display should remain the same and the machine need updating

Parking charges should be reduced to encourage people to park appropriately.

Parking is a nightmare. Not enough and when you're in your own zone and have to pay as it is a pay and display is outrageous. If you have a permit in that zone, you should be able to park without having to pay extra

Parking is not £1:60 as there's a 50p fee for using the app. The app saves the council money as cash does not need to be collected from machines so fee should be withdrawn, if necessary the council should come up with its own app.

Parking should be free for a limited period e.g. less than 2 hours to encourage local business

Parking should not be so expensive, money making racket.

Parking will become a rich mans pleasure to the detriment of all others.

Pay and display machine are more expensive than cash in some areas where we actually have to use our own internet connection so we are double paying for parking. Also sometime we run out of battery or even internet connection and are stuck as the cash option is not available so please think about those times.

Pay and display machines are old and sometimes they are faulty that they just take money and not issue a ticket so i strongly agree that they should be replaced by card/app only machines

Pay and display machines don't really affect me so I don't have any comments other than that parking costs for residents and their should be lower than commuter parking and shopping or entertainment parking

Pay and display machines need to be able to deal with both cards and cash payments. Rest of the world can do this, so not sure why Lewisham can't.

Pay and Display machines need to be easy to use and in PERFECT working order at ALL times. This is NOT the case at present.

pay and display machines should be cheaper and accept all methods of payment - card, cash, app

Pay and display machines should be kept as they are as not everyone has a smart phone also the parking charge should be the same everywhere as people should not be penalised for having a sick relative in hospital or for going shopping and by doing so investing in the area with local trades people. No matter what you drive and no matter where you park the charge should be the same and the residents should get to see the benefits of all the revenue that is accumulated from this.

Pay and display machines should be left flexible payment methods.

Pay and display machines should offer all means of payment; this includes cash as well as card and App.

Rather than focusing on reducing machine costs, please focus on the Customer and how you can best serve them by offering all options for taking payment.

Pay and display machines should take as many types of payment as possible.

Pay and display machines should take cash or card. It is unfair to make them app only payments. Not everyone has a mobile phone. And even if you do have one they don't always work (battery dies at the wrong time!)

Pay and display machines should use both cash and card

Pay and display machines should work with cash, card and app. It's a mixed age society and all young and old should be able to pay for parking as they wish.

Pay and display should be used more widely in residential parking zones in order to raise revenue and to allow parking for local shopping.

Pay and display should have both cash and other methods of payment.

Sometimes your phone is dead and all you have is cash.

pay and display zones deter trade - u need to think carefully - shopping in Deptford is now much harder because of the residents only bays and the car park - it puts people off

Pay and display... particularly in The hospital car park is extortionate

Paying by app only is not a good idea because smartphone batteries do die on you. Card only, sometimes people go out without them

Paying by card leads to abuse by people parking all day and using their card to pay for 2hour slot thus limiting the space available for other s this applies in Staplehurst road

This is an example of the theory being great put the practise not

Paying by non-cash can take up to 15 minutes, it is completely inefficient. Contactless would be the best way

Payment in cash is going Dow due to how .payments for anything now on the whole has been channelled towards card or an App.

There should always remain a choice for all of the options that are available, even if this may mean a reduction of/in some of the lesser used option.

Payment methods should be consistent so you know how you can pay!

Payment methods should be costed and the most economical option available throughout the borough.

Penalizing people for not having a smart phone is not fair.

People don't carry sufficient cash these days for parking meters.

People need a choice and the phone service is often so awful to these companies and their systems so slow you give up and use cash instead.

People of a certain age don't necessarily use apps.

And not everyone has a card.

People parking should still be able to put coins into a meter

People should be able to pay cash at machines.

People should be allowed to pay however they wish, not everyone uses cards or apps.

People should be allowed to use cash as not everyone has a phone with an app, also Apps don't always work.

People should have options to pay. Some people don't like using apps or cards, cash is king.

People should have the choice. Not everyone uses a mobile phone.

Make sure that the machines work. no use being in a controlled area if you can't pay for parking.

People should have to pay to park wherever they park in the borough. No free parking anywhere - then people will walk or ride

People who pay for parking permits the have to pay £1-60 per hr to shop in the borough forcing people to abandon the shopping centres and shop elsewhere further adding to the decline of high strrets

Perhaps do away with car parks.

So no encouragement to use car.

Save money on parking wardens.

Build more homes on carparks

Encourage use of public transport.

Perhaps the borough should increase the parking charges for areas like shopping districts that are accessible by public transport - not residents that park outside their houses.

Perhaps there could be some sort of enforceable contract or incentive to ensure that Ringgo properly maintain and update their app, to make sure it is in best in class. It's better than some other services I've used, but e.g. does not have in-app notification for parking expiry (instead relying on SMS), does not integrate with Google Pay.

Personally I only ever use the Ringo app for parking in Lewisham, but am conscious this isn't the case for a number of people, particularly elderly drivers

Personally I use and I am happy to pay by phone, however, seniors do not always have a smart phone or use a mobile phone at all.

A move to cashless payment for parking feels discriminatory and cash payments shouldn't cost more. As cash machines need to be emptied and poses a security risk, could payment be contactless by using your card. I can tap when I park in Bromley.

Just a thought.

Phase this in to take effect in 2025. People bought diesel cars in good faith and now the research is indicating that govt policy needs to change. In my case this would be a 257% increase and not acceptable.

Phasing out of cash payments is in effect a discriminatory policy (particularlry age discrimination) against those who do not have a mobile phone.

Please allow people to use whatever method of payment is easier for them.

This method of controlling residents is becoming ridiculous. We are not children and we would like to enjoy what is left of life

Please can you make more cycle lock up spaces available on high streets. Often a cycle lock up is in a dingy, vandalised corner that doesn't feel safe for leaving a bike. Bike supports are often badly designed so it's difficult to get a D lock round them, or the bike easily falls over. I'm totally fed up with what's on offer for cyclists to park bikes safely.

Please consider older generations who still use cash and do not have smart phones.

Please consider volunteers to Lewisham and acknowledge their contribution to the community.

This consultation may impact on volunteers and has not been considered.

Please do not raise the cost, and please allow customers to pay with cash and card

Please don't squeeze the life out of Lewisham , that is what parking charges do

Please ensure that you take full account of the limitations and needs of D/deaf/ened residents when designing parking charge machines for card or App.

It is not acceptable to install machines which give only verbal instructions. These MUST be written out in clear text as well. Otherwise profoundly deafened residents like myself simply can't use these machines and so are discriminated against when needing to use paid parking.

I suggest you also take account of residents who don't have phones which accommodate Apps or don't know how to use them.

Please make sure cards can be used for meters as well as apps. Some residents including myself find the process of downloading this kind of app rather anxiety provoking.

Please make sure they are working properly, THIS means checking them, not relying on the general public to phone in to advise you there out of order, and then take weeks in some cases to fix them, that's ALWAYS surprised me they generate INCOME.

Please reinstate all the pay and display machines opposite Lewisham Hospital in Lewisham Park

Please stick with Ringo

Please stop discriminating against those residents or visitors who prefer to carry on paying by cash. All payment options are equally valid.

Please use a very reliable provider if moving to app or card. Sounds obvious but some are a nightmare to use.

Pls fix the ones in sainsburys car park

Poor and old people cannot always use cards and apps.

Poorer sections of the community still rely on cash and so making machines cashless could discriminate against those groups.

Prefer to pay cash. Older drivers not likely to want to use app.

Price too high

pricing should be uniform across the borough

Private operator charges should not be levied on the road user via telephone/phone app or card machines

Probably it would be acceptable to not have any machines whatsoever and go with website, apps and phones. Especially if this saves the council money that can be put to better purposes.

However, in order to do so the website, app and phone service in question must actually be super easy to use. The current Ringo service is not bad, but could be streamlined in some areas. In other boroughs where I occasionally park the only parking is via app or phone, and I've found the website and phone service to be completely unusable, meaning I need to download an app to park just a single time. This has been completely frustrating and has led to taking 30 minutes to pay for parking for trips that do not warrant it. Lewisham needs to avoid this if it wishes to remove or reduce the maintenance of its meters.

Quite a lot of the residents in LEWISHAM council are on lower incomes than others
Remove all cycle lane and discourage people to cycle as these are dangerous to road users.
Offer discount only on parking for most cleanest vehicle, ie. 50g/km CO2 emission or lower or full electric. Extra Surcharge on all parking for all diesel vehicle new or old.

Remove old machines that don't work. The litter the streets and cause confusion.

Remove the pay & display meter on Bradgate Road! It is too congested and most days parked back to back with cars on BOTH sides! Why??

Remove the service fee charged when paying via an app

Removing cash payments of any type excludes large sections of our society, who for whatever reason, are unable to use web based payments. This is needs to be noted as an inequality risk.

Removing the ability to pay for pay and display parking by cash will penalise older drivers who frequently do not have cards / app enabled phones and struggle to use new technology.

Removing the cash option can exclude the unbanked, and should be discouraged

Removing the option to pay cash altogether will have a serious impact on those unable to use other methods of payment. The elderly, with, perhaps, less facility regarding more technology based methods of payment, but are, nonetheless, reliant on their cars to move around the borough, are just one group who would be impacted if cash was not an option.

Removing the option to pay in cash would be unfair to those who do not wish to pay by card (because they do not have them or their card issuer charges extra for such use) or who do not own smartphones. This is discriminatory against the elderly, those with mental health issues and the most vulnerable.

Repair broken machines. That is the main reason people use Ringo.

Resident visitor parking should be cheaper than non-resident visitor parking.

Residents should be able to park there for free.

Instead of charging consider limiting parking stays for visitors.

Any changes should be revenue neutral otherwise an added burden on hardworking residents.

Residents should get a discount on parking if visiting other area's in the borough

Residents that pay Council Tax and have paid for the land and the building of the car parks should have use of them free -

Ring and go is terrible. If using app based or mobile solutions, they must be user friendly

RingGo is a rip-off and should not be used for Lewisham parking.

RingGo works well.

RingGo is useless! We need a better system

RingGo - my experience is more than disappointing.

I tried on several occasions to use the phone method to pay for parking in the Catford multi-storey and the number was not recognised - there was one pay machine hidden in a corner!

I won't even start on the 3 hour marathon experience to try and re-new my parking permit- it was a nightmare. Poor and confusing operating interface then poor customer service experience trying to sort out the issue. I'll leave it there.

RingGo app is a very good method to collect parking charges. however, there should be no extra costs for admin, reminder texts etc. It should all be included in the initial fee.

Ringo app is very easy to use

ringo app works really well.

Ringo is great but shouldn't have to pay surcharge.

Ringo is highly effective and available in the borough as well as many other neighbouring parts of London and many places in the UK

Ringo is not easy to use. When was the last time this was reviewed?

Ringo works very well and is easy to navigate but you should engage with public more so that they know and trust the system.

See comment on previous page

see previous comments. LB Lewisham needs to manage the parking bays as a first exercise.

Seems like you are increasing costs to generate revenue

Seems to be alack of enforcement

Service cash meters, many don't work.

Encourage the use of cash.

Services such as RingGO should not be able to put a 'surcharge' on electronic payment systems simply to park a car. The fee in total must be the same either by cash, card or app.

Should accept both card and cash or be able to use app

Should accept both cash and card payments there are many times when the online payment system is down and customer service advice is to keep trying 😞

Parking Wardens should be on foot on bicycle not mopeds

Should be a discount for paperless parking tickets

Should be able to use all methods of payment and give change

Should be cash and card available

Should be easy to use. People carry less coinage - fact

Should be maintain more often

Should be one app for London.

Multiple apps makes parking a long task

Should be solar powered if poss

should be able to use both cash or card/app

Shouldn't it be that the pay and display machines exist to enable as many different options as possible to be available. You never can predict that you will have enough change in your pocket or enough in your account when money is tight.

Signs need to more clear as to where someone can park and for how long

Since the practice of charging for the use of cards for payments has been outlawed, why not REDUCE the app cost by 20p. But oh no, this isn't a money raising exercise of course...

so you will make it more difficult for the elderly, vulnerable and disabled to park. trying to do right thing.

Some car parks should be free so cars are not parked in narrow residential streets

Some disabilities mean that users can't use an app or card payment method and need a phone system. Have a good think about disability before implementing anything and speak to focus groups or organisations who can advise, make sure it's accessible.

Some drivers prefer card/app payments and some prefer cash or a combination.

Some elderly people cannot use apps or pay with cards you cannot take away options from people who cannot use digital devices.

Some elderly people do not have a phone that supports a parking app. The option of paying at the machine should still be an option.

Some elderly residents may not know how to use apps or cards in machines so use cash.

Some machines are not easily visible perhaps some sign or plaque above them to make them more visible.

Some of our older residents will not use card/apps - be careful that we do not ostracise them in favour of new technology.

some of us don't have smartphones!

Some old people have no idea how to use an app or by card. The cost should be the same all around

Some people are going to use cash no matter what, you shouldn't get rid of it all together.

Some people are not computer or app literate and it's not fair on them

Some people are not tech savvy. Penalising those people to!

Some people can be put at disadvantage if card / app only machines are available. Not everyone has smartphone or want to use apps.

Some people can find the card or app tricky so cash option should remain available.

Some people do not have smart phones or like to use card in machine or online. This is not giving access to all . This means lewisham will be discriminating cash users.

Some people don't carry cash, some don't have contactless payment or are able to use the app... Accommodate for all

Some people don't have access to card or apps.

Some people like to pay with cash, especially older people and people without access to phones

Some people prefer using cash

Sometimes it is easier to pay with cash so this should always be an option

Sometimes using an app is not possible.

I hate not being able To pay by cash.

Sometimes you don't have a card with money to use cash payment machines are still necessary

Soon the parking bays will earn more than the workers!

Stay on top of there maintenance

Stop cash use in pay and display machines - the unbanked will find themselves unable to park. Car use will drop accordingly.

Stop charging peoples and make more affordable to change car with more money and not scamming them always, we bought car to move the economy not for just fun, now you want us to change our car out of the blue immediately but do you ask your self we maybe the people's don't have any extra money to spare??? We are already at the limit. Stop this now make better choose help the car owner not dry them from the last drop of blood.

Stop excluding older people and those without bank accounts!

Stop focusing on cars, you are doing it wrong. Focus on the alternatives (I have a Masters in Transport Design).

Stop making life difficult

Stop making things hard for people. Especially the elderly

Stop making things hard for people. Especially the elderly

Strongly disagree as not all users have access to cards or apps

Switching to card only is divisive. For example, I work with refugees. They often do not have access to either a card or an app/mobile device.

Switching to card or app only unfairly penalises older drivers as there are generational issues with trust of technology and so on.

Switching to cashless machines only, you make lives of foreign visitors driving to the area miserable - I have experiences these things abroad, and it was awful. This is a right way of killing tourism in Lewisham.

Taking away cash options will hit at the poorest and most deprived - those are the people who the now intellectually corrupted Labour movement was once established in order to represent and help, remember?

Taking pot cash wastes resources. People are used to using cards to pay

Text/online payment should always be an option, even if it's a cash-only machine.

The ability to use contactless payment would be useful. Often people using car parks are in a hurry, so it needs to be swift.

The amount charged should be designed to encourage people to use lower administrative overhead forms of payment. As in, collecting payments with an app or SMS is (or should be) cheaper, therefore it should be cheaper than a cash payment.

The app is a terrible piece of garbage. It often does not work!

The app isn't very good - you need cash machines

The app payment are extremely long, sometimes complicated and frustrating. When people are pushed for time it is so frustrating trying to pay for 10minutes over the phone. What about people without phones? If your phone is out of battery? Cash is still a necessary part of society.

The app should be simple and easy to use. I always seem to have problems with RINGO

The app system in London is an absolute train wreck. The overall design is extremely poor: difficult to use and understand. Signing up is a downright nightmare. There is a different app in different parts of London (omg...).

The apps are really useful especially when no one carries change anymore!

The apps are somewhat complicated and older generations may have trouble

I would advise cash and card options are a good idea also

The apps provide a way to bypass permit regulations. This needs to be looked at.

The bays outside 2-8 Clarendon Rise SE13 should be for Residents and not Pay & Display, as there is a car park next door which more than caters for shoppers.

the borough should use one type of app for every pay and display (except private car parks)

The cash option needs to remain for a bit longer.

Phone parking is to complicated..

Contactless card payments would be good.

The cash option should be available!

The cash option should remain for older residents who struggle with the modern technology

The cost is too high in Lewisham car park which has a negative effect on local shops and businesses

The cost of maintaining pay and display machines is wasted - we need to move forward to a web based system

The cost of parking is not fair, I do not expect to park for free, but the state of some of the car parks, seems to me the revenue is not used to improve the car parks.

As an example the Car Park at the back of Tesco, not the Multi storey, is always flooded when it rains, leaving a huge area that is not fit to use.

I think there should be a blanket permit for the borough, so that since I have a Resident Permit I can park anywhere in Lewisham.

I am sure it would even out the parking demand in many areas.

I also think there should be more of the 10-12 midday Parking Restrictions, in some areas that currently have all day Restrictions.

The cost of parking should be cheaper for people that live in the borough.

The Council is facing funding pressures so should maximise cashless payments to reduce the revenue costs related to the collection of cash from parking machines. It will also aid to reduce emissions as a van will not need to go around collecting from machines.

The council is the responsible of so many business closer, Lewisham centre have become a pound land and many of the big shops are moving away. Many people are going to shop in charlton as they have free parking and all the shop you need. What is the attraction for people to shop in Lewisham if you cannot even park or spend a fortune in parking fines.

The council needs to provide a number of ways to pay in order to suit Lewisham's diverse population.

The council should be mindful not to disenfranchise people who do not have access to electronic means of payment.

The current Ringo app and online system are a nightmare. If it is at all possible to talk with staff on the phone, they are extremely rigid and unhelpful.

The elderly and those with a disability may have difficulty paying for their parking by card or phone only. We can't put them at a disadvantage.

The elderly and those with SEN or learning difficulties may not be able to switch to a cashless economy.

The elderly prefer to use cash

The excess charge for card payments is something which I have felt for a long time is wrong and leads to inequalities of treatment.

The fad to switch everything to app payment discriminates against the elderly who find these technologies harder to use. Both should remain available

The fees are too high

The fees for using cards on apps need to be scrapped.

The few occasions where the machines are working to accept money, make it quicker to pay for parking instead of having to download an app that may not be used often then upload card details to pay. Having to phone up and pay by card can also be a headache.

The future is electronic, but don't squeeze out the elderly.

The High street is dying. Internet shopping and expensive parking are the cause. More favourable parking incentives are needed. Lewisham residents should have a discounted scheme for a day, number of days, week(s) and month(s). Those who work in the borough, should also be eligible.

Enforced PCN fines for illegal parking, also in disabled bays, would go towards funding this.

Catford car park (Milford Towers) is a smelly, dark and dank. It floods when it rains and has dark recesses where anyone can hide in, if they wish to cost anyone harm. The same applies to the car park on Thomas Lane (except it's not covered). The large holes fill up with water and drivers and pedestrians alike, have to be carefully to circumnavigate them.

The car park on Frankham Road in Deptford is very good. Visible CCTV cameras, are well positioned. But you do need disabled bays at the beginning of the road (there are plenty behind Barclays bank)

The hours of parking operation should be uniform across the borough, so 9am to 5.30pm would be the norm.

The instructions are not very clear and the little screen hard to read. I would like to see a 'tap in' and 'tap out' linked to an app so that enforcement officers can also check. If you forget to tap out, a penalty - not a PCN - should be applied.

The low cost of pay and display allows a lot of people to shop locally supporting great local businesses on the way through. Most people won't pay an extra pound so they can buy a loaf of bread or a croissant on their way home.

Card is a good backup

The machines near the hospital seem to have been purposely decommissioned to 'oblige' you to pay by phone.

Many people, not only 'the aged', don't have expensive phones with 'apps' etc. There are many reasons why it is easier to pay with cash. (Poor reception, afraid to make a mistake when tapping in details, shortage of time etc, HEARING problems)

The machines should be able to make any kind of purchase

The need to be made vandal-proof

The number of drivers wishing to park in Lewisham off-street who do not have access to either a contactless debit card or a mobile phone is likely to be negligible. Therefore it is reasonable for the Council to remove cash progressively from the pay and display machines, reducing theft risk and cash collection cost. However, this should be supported by 'boots on

the ground' campaigns at high cash volume locations to proactively encourage app sign up or to explain how to use the cashless service and to reassure motorists worried about data security.

The number of pay and display machine that are out of order or have poor display screens or are just not user-friendly is astounding. Also sometimes there is no parking area number displayed in order to use the Ringgo app

The older generation tend to rely more on cash. Machines should still take cash or you are excluding them.

The one on our street seems to be broken more than it is working.

The ones near Lewisham hospital were in a bad way when we near lived there 2 years ago.

The signage was really confusing too, with the different sides of the road having a different system.

The only time I tried paying by phone, it took ages before it failed...very frustrating.

Also I have old phone and these things don't work easily and discriminate against poor and elderly.

The opportunity to use cash should not be lost. It is important for the elderly. V

The option to pay cash, app or card should be on all machines as some people don't have the means or know how to pay online.

The parking machine at the Catford Tesco is miserable. The app is miserable and another provider should be commissioned as soon as possible.

The people should have the choice to pay by card or cash not not only cash or card.

The prices seem to high in areas where there seems to be no alternative parking solutions the prices should be higher

The process of registering with RingGo via lewisham council could be made more user friendly. It is very long winded and temperamental.

The proposal document rightly states that the machines are out of date and I often observe users having difficulties getting tickets at the machines near where I live. So updating the machines would be a good use of additional revenue.

The reason cash payment is down is because Lewisham stopped this payment. Not the public. the reason I suspect that pay and display payments are mostly card, not cash, is that the cash ones rarely work.

If you propose replacing with machines that allow users to pay by card then that is ok but please don't go for only being able to pay by app. Elderly people do not have the facility often to use apps yet.

The relative cost of parking should reflect the cost to the council to facilitate such payment.

If you have to spend to maintain & out new machines then the cost should be reflected in the machines cost.

Conversely, app or card payments should require little maintenance and therefore should be cheaper and encouraged.

The ring go surcharge should be dropped as this makes parking for a single hour disproportionately expensive

The ring go system has been faulty on a number of occasions so need option to pay at machine. Also some people don't have app or like to use card especially older and low income affected here.

The Ringo app is not always easy to use and could prohibit some people from parking at all The Ringo app is not friendly and it takes a long time to to pay for residents visitors permit .

I prefer a system in which the driver who parks their car does not have to pay in advance for specific amount of hours / minutes . It is not always accurately predictable, and can cause a lot of stress trying to avoid parking fines . There are other systems in which the driver registers the time they start and end their parking, and are charged accordingly . (ie Parkmobile)

The should continue to give a free period near local shops so local business are still encouraged

The system should allow residents to have visitors so offer discount. The area also needs customers to its shops, restaurants and businesses so each area should have a parking facility for this.

The telephone app frequently does not work and takes a lot of time compared to a cash payment.

The telephone system needs to be improved as it sometimes just doesn't work.

The transaction free for using the app should be abolished

The use of cash should gradually be reduced until it has stopped.

The use of cash will likely end across London in the coming years. We should not be afraid of this change.

There are a lot of old people who drive some don't know how to use phone app or cards so rely on cash

There are many for whom the use of a 'smart phone' to access the payment system is not possible. With 'shaky' hands to strike the correct key is difficult and can be exacerbated by rain or poor weather.

If the Council is genuinely looking to be friendly to residents then it should cater for those who do not, or can not, use 'phones and must use cash.

The presumption that everyone has and uses a smart phone or computer for every "service " is a source of constant annoyance and inconvenience. This is compounded when there seems to be no means of speaking to an individual who can resolve the problem.

If the incidence of the use of cash has fallen then, presumably, the pattern for the emptying of parking ticket machines must also have fallen. Thus the collection intervals should be longer. The cost to the Council of emptying may even fall and satisfaction with being able to use 'old fashioned' cash will rise.

There are situations when it is handy to be able to pay cash e.g. phone out of battery. That said, I find the Ringo app very good.

There are still a lot of people who don't own mobile phones and would not be able to park if cash was discontinued!

There are still people more comfortable with cash only so we have to be able to cater to this

There are those that still prefer to pay in cash - the council only want to change these machines so they don't have to employ someone to empty them.

Pay & Display should only be used for car parks within Lewisham, not in residential streets.

There are too many areas where there aren't any and you have to walk several streets to find one and a suitable area to park

There is a machine on the pavement outside my house that is shabby & dilapidated & could do with some TLC.

There is a surcharge on app payments with Ringo, it's more expensive than cash.

Card payments should be an option for older people or people with no smartphones

There is no need for cash machines; most people use cards anyway.

There is no perfect world where you can make everybody use apps. Being able to pay by cash must remain an option for those who need it. It would help a lot if all Lewisham parking machines were exactly the same rather being an odd mix of machines which can make it difficult for some people to work out how each machine works.

There is no reason to permit cash

There may be moves make us a cashless society but you will be discriminating against people who don't have smartphones and don't feel comfortable using apps. This may also apply to a smaller minority of people who don't use cards and prefer cash. Some older residents in particular don't have the technology to cope with this at this time.

There must be more than one way to pay for parking permits. It must not be assumed that all people have phones. Often people do not have their phone with them.

Therefore it is essential that people can pay cash.

Also, please ensure that across Lewisham the cost is the same (in the past, I have noticed

that charges vary).

Also, please ensure that people are able to park and pay for periods shorter than an hour e.g., 15 mins.

There need to be a number of methods that people can pay but it should not disadvantage people that don't have a mobile or a charge card.

There needs to be a way of paying for parking so that non paying periods are credited.

So for instance parking near Lewisham hospital starts at 9am. If I arrive for an appointment or to visit an in-patient at 8.30 I need to be able to pay for parking to commence at 9am but in advance of that time.

This routinely happens in Italy.

There should always be a cash option

There should always be the option of cash payments in all pay and display machines because not all people carry mobile phones or cards.

There should always be the option to use cash. Not everyone uses apps or wants to use their cards (for security and other reasons).

There should be a code / discount for residents visitors

There should be a discount for card and app payments. The companies who collect the money charge us a fee and this should be offset by lower charges for non-cash payments. I presume it saves LBL money if they don't have to empty cash from the ticket machines, so why not share this?

There should be a gradual move (say 5 years?) towards cashless payments to reduce the cost to the Council of dealing with cash. Charging more for cash could assist in achieving this.

There should be a pay & display machine on every road within a controlled parking zone.

Each machine should take cash as well as pay by phone. The charges should be the same & should not increase.

You should be able to pay for 15 or 30 mins parking not just 1 hour within controlled zones.

There should be as many ways to pay as possible. people do not always travel with cards or phones

There should be cash and card payment options on all machines.

There should be cash, card or app machines

There should be different payments methods including cash.

There should be local discount rate for those of us who live in the Lewisham borough.

There should be machines with options to pay cash and card/app.

Personally I use card/app but there are plenty of people who prefer cash. The council should provide all payment options.

There should be more of them

There should be more of them. They are very hard to find

There should be more.

There should be the option to pay with both. Not everyone has a smartphone or a card

There should not be a surcharge for using an app - this saves the council money by not needing to empty cash machines and bank the cash etc.

There should not be pay & display machines on market days etc. to support the local economy/small businesses. I don't believe Pay & display machines are a solution to make people drive less and it affects local business.

There shouldn't be additional charges or fees imposed for paying by phone

These are far too expensive

These are poorly maintain and the alternative assume drivers have apps or mobile phones to charge costs . Keep payment by coin.

These could be replaced with card/app payment machines only when the machine needs to be replaced, but money should not be spent on this unnecessarily.

These do not differentiate between diesel and petrol cars we should have one policy irrespective of where the car is parked or the domicile of the driver.

These electro-mechanical machines are prone to failure. There should be a phone number on every machine where one can leave a message if your attempt to pay has failed, and you can then attempt to pay by other means.

These machines are helpful where there is an unexpected visitor who does not have access to online parking apps or do not have these already set up. They are essential. The elderly are also not able to manage the online systems and apps.

These should be kept to accept cash or card at same cost. It is not always possible to get the Internet connection needed on your phone to pay this way... I have had several frustrating occasions when the app kept saying it couldn't connect me

These should be made user friendly I often watch and assist the elderly who struggle they are a bit of a nightmare. often broken - and then you don't know if you can park or not. especially dislike the ones that don't give change. just feels like another way to take money of Lewisham residents.

They are badly maintained, and often the only way is to use RingGo, so most of us have been paying £1.60 anyway. This means the 20p one-off becomes 20p per hour, so it will affect those parking for longer disproportionately. Parking for a short time becomes cheaper by RingGo now. I appreciate cash collecting costs, but many people in Lewisham have no choice.

They are being abused outside my shop. When the parking warden appears drivers then rush out and put on a ticket, only then.

We have 8 parking spaces for customers all taken up by people either parking there all day and getting the train, you only have to pay the charge between 10am and noon, or people who as I explained earlier rush out to put on a ticket when the warden is about.

I have been highlighting this problem for years. Have the charge there all day to give my shop a chance.

They are complicated and confusing!

They are difficult for disabled people

They are expensive and tend to penalise residents, like the Catford shop car park and by the gym in Dogget Road

They are frequently broken, making it impossible to pay for parking without using the app.

They are in a very poor state of repair. I think they should be properly maintained and cash or contactless payments should always be available. My own experience of using Ringo has been quite negative - I have found it very unreliable, and cash/contactless would always have been more convenient. I have only ever used Ringo due to the machines being out of order.

Also, paying extra for the very questionable 'benefit' of using non-cash payments is quite an insult. The council's proposed solution of increasing the fees for all payment methods is a poor idea.

In addition, the poor state of the machines' repair is very detrimental to the overall perception of the area. It makes the area seem run-down and unattractive. The solution is not to get rid of them but to ensure they are properly maintained.

They are not well kept

They are often out of order

They are poorly maintained and often you have to walk a long way to find a working one

They are too often out of order

They are usually out of order whenever I try to use them.

They can be all, card, app and cash. Why is there an either/or option? Inform yourselves!

They must be able to supply both in order to allow everyone to use both forms of payment

They must be maintained well because I know that most elderly users are unable to pay online/app and they are often not confident enough to pay by card so they need to be able to take coins.

They must not be dependent on owning a mobile phone.

They need to be better

They need to be properly maintained and always in working condition

They need to be properly maintained.

They need to be regularly serviced.

They need to be updated most definitely although I do not think you should take away the option to pay with cash.

This again feels like you are trying to catch a large portion of the population out. You are assuming all people have a phone. You are at risk of penalising people like my Dad who finds Apps and making payments online difficult.

They need to take both cash and card payments

They need to work

They need to work most usually out of order

they seem fine and being to pay via card is good

They should all be cash, card or use the app machines

They should all provide the option to pay by cash, card, app.

they should be able to take card, app or cash payments

THEY SHOULD BE ABOLISHED

They should be better maintained and the option given for cash given the number of elderly residents who are still frequent users of Lewisham's commercial centre and dependent on its accessibility.

They should be card or app only everywhere

They should be checked regularly to ensure full working order at all times.

I remember reporting one as broken (showing incorrect time). This took weeks to be repaired. The space was not able to be used.

They should be easy to use with clear instructions

They should be kept in working order! There should be plenty of free short term places as well at local shops, post office etc.

They should be maintained full, leaving them in disrepair and forcing people to use an app is dishonest. They should be cash or card. Those that want to use app can do so but do not force everyone to do the same.

take the money you are going to make from the different permit bands and fix the machines.

They should be multi payment method machines

They should be used, its easier to take money from your pocket / purse than make a phone call.

They should continue to have facility to accept cash. It is correct that Lewisham raises hourly charges as a method to cutting emission in the borough

They should leave cash alone and also be able to give change

They should not be for complete hours only.

You should get the number of minutes that you pay for.

They should not replace residents bays, or compete with them.

They should remain cash machines

They should take cash and card

They should take cash so residents who maybe have difficulties using apps or have limited credit on their mobile devices can pay for parking.

They should work!

They shouldn't exist on streets which are residential and car parks exist near by
Think about the elderly who cannot cope with new technology and need to pay by cash or
people who are poor and don't have access to a credit card

Think of older people or people that don't have phones or cards with them.

Think this is a bit silly. Pricing can be used to shift parking behaviour. It's not just about
emissions.

This doesn't stop people driving to the borough its just a money making machine for the
council

This has very little to do with emissions, just a cash generator

This is a harsh, elitist policy that assumes everyone can afford a smart phone. Do you want to
alienate older people, who do not know how to use such systems? Do you want to prevent
people on low incomes from being able to use your car parks. This is a hugely punitive move,
which will no doubt create huge profits for your outsourced parking provider.

This is a small step in the right direction.

This is pointless exercise. Waste of council tax payers money.

This needs to be a phased process and can not be of detriment to people with complex
needs.

This will discourage people from shopping within Lewisham as they will have to pay excessive
costs for the privilege. It will encourage people to shop elsewhere.

This would only work for a car park but if a car park was pay on exit then I would have it be 1
hour free.

If you leave after that then you are charged at £1 per hour (at least for the first hour, a
reduction like £2.50 for 3hrs)

This wouldn't work for on street pay and display machines so I would have that as pay upfront
£1 for first hour then following same pricing as a proper car park.

This would steer people more to using a car park and potentially reduce congestion on streets
with pay and display if they only needed 30min a car park would be better.

This would penalise the older generation who may not be comfortable with card / app
payment.

Why can't you have machines that do both!!?

Those machines accepting cash are regularly out of order, is this deliberate?

Older people struggle with apps especially if their eyesight is not great and likewise those with
partial hearing loss struggle with phone contact in busy streets.

Cash machines are necessary in these cases

Those of who are essential car users (employed by the LA) and who visit multiple addresses
during a working day need to be able to pay cash to park or we cannot reclaim the expense
(which we already get taxed on). Removing cash as an option will make it harder for us to visit
vulnerable individuals.

Ticket machines need to be better maintained. Cashless systems discriminate against
vulnerable people who are less likely to have a bank card or smartphone.

To ensure accessibility and, keep all payment methods, please. E.g. older people might not
get on well with apps, etc.

To make pay and display refuse cash would discriminate against people who, for whatever
reason, continue to rely on using cash.

To reduce maintenance costs and security issues cash payment should be taken away totally.

Too expensive

Too expensive ! lewisham will become a ghost town if your parking and permit prices are too
high. people will go to the likes of bluewater to park and shop rather than lewisham if they
have to pay more. Small businesses will suffer also. why would a resident pay £1.60 an hour
to park in lewisham when they can have a nice drive out to the likes of lakeside or bluewater
and pay the same? You will kill businesses in lewisham.

too expensive id rather go to bluewater if youre going to charge me £1.60 an hour
Too expensive.. encourage more park ride.. cheap all day parking to get the trains..

Your current system and proposals will kill the high street even more

Meet me open air meeting Infront Lewisham shopping centre any Saturday morning if you
date face the people of Lewisham

You got on from the lowest votes and only because labour and not because you had any
policy

Too many of the machines are faulty, and the system of paying by phone or card doesn't
function reliably.

Turn them into electric charging booths.

Unclear as to why parking fees are not the same whichever method of payment is used. The
service is provided on behalf of the Council so should already be meeting equal treatment,
should it not and if not why has this not been addressed with the service provider. £1.20 for
one hour is comparable to private parking in neighbouring boroughs - if you want to attract
people to visit, shop and come to Lewisham Borough to spend leisure and social time, higher
charges along with the vehicle charging policy will discourage this, especially when you can
go elsewhere which are more attractive, not only in parking costs but ambiance, environment,
things to do. It needs to be made easier not harder.

Unfortunately card/app methods sometimes fail and having the cash option is very helpful

Use cashless payment systems is difficult for some people, either because of access to
technology or because systems are complex or difficult to understand.

Use of cash is still vitally important.

Use of cash payments may be low in areas where the cost is higher because of that penalty.

You can't use this measure to conclude that cash payments may not be popular in some
areas. Card only payments discriminate against the most disadvantaged in society.

Using app payments is slow and difficult. You need multiple apps for parking in different
council areas.

Contactless or card payment machines in the car park would be preferred.

Vehicle type should also be priced in. I.e. e-vehicles practically free, diesel expensive.

This could easily be implemented with app only machines.

Very much in favour of pricing being the same for card/app as it is physical cash

Visible accessible and well lit areas

Visitors need to be able to pay by what ever means possible cash card app.

Visitors, people not able to use the internet etc and those with no credit card etc would be
excluded from parking. Unacceptable!!

Want to retain the ability to use cash as not everyone has a car

We are being forced to go cashless far too quickly

We can only use cash machines because of profound hearing difficulties.

We do not all have phones with apps a lot of people prefer to use cash. Also these machines
can be unreliable leaving you unable to get your car out. Has happened to me.

Also you had this stupid system in Rushey Green a few years back I had to pay the parking
fee and on top of that a 90p mobile phone cost.

What about giving the local high street shops a break and make it easy for drivers to be able
to get to them. Otherwise it will be yet more empty shops and loss of your business rates and
yet more put of town retail parks.

We dont all have apps or cards - this is discriminating

We have an ageing population who rely on being able to make cash payments.
We have to consider the elderly and unemployed who may not have access to cards or apps.
We should be able to tap a phone to pay, not download an app
We should have a choice of payment.
We should not be forcing a cashless society on anyone choice should be there.
We should not discourage visitors to our borough by requiring an app, which often varies by location.
We should retain some cash machines in areas where use of cash is still high. In time this will in all likelihood phase out, but currently I don't think it is appropriate to assume all residents and visitors to the borough will have access to an app or cashless payment methods.
what a waste of our taxpayers' money!!!
What about elderly people who may not have the app or a card at hand? Do you know how many people would be left out by app/card only?
What about older people who don't use apps?
What about older people who wouldn't understand how to use the machines?
What about people who don't use apps and cards i.e pensioners?
What about people with no access to bank cards?
what about the elderly forcing them to have a card or an app. should be equality irrespective of the payment method.
What are the proceeds used for? Perhaps worth making that clearer for people at the point of payment eg with notices or pictures on the side of machines.
what is the cost benefit of replacing the machines to allow card/app payment only
I use an app for parking, however the ability to pay cash if required should still be available unless the cost of these machines is significantly higher than a card/app only machine
Most people are accustomed to cashless
Whatever machines you replace must have a choice of card or app and not just be phone/app based machines.

The obligation to go through the registration process is time consuming for infrequent visitors, or as recently happened to me, I had changed cars and my credit card needed updating on the app and it took me 11 minutes to achieve this before I could start my parking session and get on with what I needed to do, all this with my baby in tow.
What's the point of pay and display machines if illegal parking on Catford Broadway is just ignored. Potentially a great street with the ability to eat outdoors at the various restaurants ruined by constant illegal and inconsiderate parking. Barrier required for the day time to restrict access given there is a car park a few yards walk from the various businesses.
When I visit the doctor I have to pay and often the bays are full. Simply increasing the charges allows the wealthier residents to park and poorer ones cannot. Parking in areas with health facilities and other social Amenities should be reduced if possible.
When these charges were originally brought in, it was for affordable parking which brought people to shop in the borough. Now the multistorey car park in Lewisham is excessive. There has been a drop in people coming to the borough overall. Catford and Lewisham markets are dying out. Free parking/affordable parking will rejuvenate the borough. Cut the hours you have parking limits.

Lambeth in some places around hospitals doctors etc have no parking except permit parking between 10 am and 12 noon or 10 am and 2.00 pm. You could do that along Doggett Road for a start and free on Saturday/Sunday.
When they are broken get them repaired quickly instead of just covering them up and putting out of use notice.
When trying to pay by telephone the machinery used never recognises my car number. This makes life very difficult and I have to find somewhere that can take money. So please, if you

are going to move to a cashless payment system please ensure that your machinery recognises Z.

When will old machines be removed from the streets

Where does the money go?

Where I live there are not spaces anywhere with the CPZ for pay and display. I know this is a problem for local businesses. There needs to be a few spaces added for pay and display.

Where machines are broken, no fines for failure to pay

where my salon is on Hither Green Lane, so clients park down the side roads often the pay and display machines are not working.

Where there are currently no functioning pay machines, clarification of whether payment is necessary or not

While cash remains in common use (and 37% is still a significant level of use), it should be a permitted method of payment, along with cards and apps. All users will not necessarily have bank cards or mobile phones, not everyone is comfortable with mobile phone apps, and technology is not 100% reliable. If it is uneconomic for every machine to be cash-enabled, there should always be one clearly signposted within a reasonable distance of controlled bays.

While I completely disagree that the machines should be card only (there are a lot of lower income people who still like using cash) every machine should be able to do contactless card transactions.

While non-cash machines are easier, more cost-effective and less likely to be damaged, it surely cannot be right to penalise someone who doesn't have a bank account or an app. It is exclusionary, unfair and would probably result in disadvantaging the elderly and least well-off. Similarly, the rates should be the same for cash and non-cash. In an ideal world, non-cash machines would be the only method, but at present, and in Lewisham, the social cost would be too high.

Whilst I understand the desire to remove cash payments because of cash and maintenance it sometimes makes life easier for some people probably the elderly.

Why are wasting time on this. Leave it as it is. More expense and guess who has to pay for this. The Residents!

Why are we paying an additional 0.20p for using card payments. Surely card payments is cheaper than cash (i.e. travel to the bank to deposit cash and the bank charges a cash handling fee)

Why ask these questions, when the majority of parking machines in the borough when drivers already have to pay by card or app as the coin option has been removed? They also incur an additional .40p charge for using card or app when paying.

When using card or app, you are often only given the option of parking in hours, not minutes. Why can't the machines be both? Card/app AND cash? Many other councils have machines that do both!

Why do they continually break down?

why do we pay more for using an "app"?

Why do you need to increase the prices??

Everything is now done virtually and cheaper to maintain

When will the price go Down

why do you need to put the price up - you always put the price up on everything but never give a good proven case for doing so other than getting us to pay more money

Why does there have to be a blanket rate? Why can't different rates be applied using a dynamic pricing model?

Why is it that in the so called "affluent" areas of the Borough e.g Forest Hill Car Park it's cheaper to park there they get an hour free parking? I suspect this was implemented to This should apply to all areas In the Borough to encourage residents/visitor use of small businesses.

Why not have a machine which issue a ticket and is paid when leaving car park charged against a card.

Why reduce ways to pay?

why should car users get special treatment? bus users cant use cash any more, why should it be allowed if you have the luxury of a car? it cost the council [taxpayers] extra to deal with cash, cash can get stolen from machines, and somebody has to travel to pick up the cash, all unnecessary extra miles and extra CO2 emissions. Stop being extra generous to car users. Why should u pay for pay display machine u already paid for everything else when you have a car.

Why the assumption that a)everyone has a mobile/credit card and b) especially with older people, are confident using mobiles in this way. It is dictatorial

why would you spend £750k to change them waste of money

Will overseas visitors be able to pay using foreign bank cards?

With an ageing population a large proportion of residents would be disadvantaged if machines were card or app only. This would also put those individuals without access to a phone and or the internet at a disadvantage, say for example if they don't have their purse or access to a phone.

With Lewisham having high levels of poverty and the existence of immigrant population, could there be some residents who may not have access to cards/apps? This should be evaluated before the switch to card/app-only machines is made. In larger car parks, retaining at least one machine that takes cash may be desirable.

Would be nice if they all worked on a regular basis!

Would it be possible to have machines/ systems taking cash, card and app payments together?

Wouldn't it be cheaper to remove on-street P&D machine altogether to reduce maintenance costs?

yes - residents park in the pay & display bays but business permit holders cannot park in resident bays - totally unfair. There should be bays that allow "permits only". Zip cars seem to park wherever they want and remain there for days.

Also, bays should be marked out per car space to deter bad parking (over two spaces)

Yes should still have displayed machine. That can take cash/card.

Yes apps does not work for older people and it assumes everybody has a mobile and they don't. Cash option is vital for the older demographic.

yes ban them

Yes should be able to pay using common apps such as Parkright etc.

yes, too many people park in my road that haven't got resident parking permits and most are never fined

Yes.

I don't think the machines should not accept cash from now on. Not everyone has access to the facilities to be able to pay by card or the app and if the system goes down, which I have experienced before and had to use cash, what happens then. Would someone end up with a ticket through no fault of their own, because the payment systems are not working?

Yet again your question is skewed to support your proposed policy.

Yes I am strongly in favour of there being no difference in charging between electronic and cash payment methods but I am strongly against your proposal to increase the charges for both to match the current electronic charge. Again this smacks of the Council taking the opportunity to increase income under the poorly disguised pretense of positive change.

You say you want to reduce charges for electronic parking to match cash parking, and in the next breath you raise the new mutual charge to match the higher, existing electronic value. A cynical income generating proposal.

You are discriminating against people without smart phones. I do not have an app and have had to leave Deptford as I couldnt pay in the car parking area. The charge now is high

enough. There are not enough areas/ car parks to park for free. The public transport is overcrowded, unpunctual and missing many links, eg Brockley to Deptford. As usual you are punishing people who need to drive for many reasons to make more money. Dont believe this is anything to do with being green

You can only introduce App based / cashless service if the tech partners are up to the job and make it quick and easy to pay.

RingGo were terrible when they first took over the paperless system.

It still takes a long time and is overly complicated to pay by phone. Machines are not maintained, so they take your money then you find out it's not working.

Cashless is fine, as long as it's quick and easy and not stressful...

You can place more of them near schools and parks.

You can't totally remove cash it penalises people who might not have card access

You cannot expect everybody who needs to pay for their parking to have either a mobile phone or credit card. To change to this method of payment discriminates against those older residents who perhaps do not have smart mobile phones and/or those who do not have credit cards

You cannot put machines in the residential area as this will be used from visitor that fill up the space you have already paid for.

you charge an admin fee, sometimes the app doesnt work plus if your signal is poor, and there should be an option to take a ticket and pay afterwards, only getting penalty if you dont within 24hours, rather than get ticketed on the day when parking in a car park, like the toll roads do or like you do in multi-storeys. This saves you needing so many traffic wardens and catching people out driving round looking for a meter or different place to park if you are in a hurry.

You have not provided any sound justification for replacing machines with card only machines. All machines should accept cash. Older people do not necessarily use cards or have apps on the phones.

The first hour should be free to residents.

You have to be inclusive. Not everyone has a smartphone and access to apps.

You have to consider older residents who do not have cashless access and are not technology literate.

You must provide alternative ways of paying. Coins and cards a must.

You need to be able to pay by cash in case app not working, card machine not working or no telephone reception.

You need to continue to use cash in the machines. the machines must be maintained and if they aren't working you cannot say you have to pay by phone. Not everyone has a phone and if they do, not everyone always has their phone with them, charged or working. Payment by card is a good idea but again must also be cash available. IF the machines are not working then there has to be no penalty as you can't pay. You cannot fine people if they can't pay. You can't have to rely on people having a phone with them.

You say charges are the same on the app and in the car park but they 're not. Ring charge a usage fee each time so an hour's parking is already £1.60 on the app. Will it be £1.80 on the app? If so that may put some people off using the app.

You should accommodate everyone not everyone is tech savvy the older generation needs to thought about too!

You should allow any type of payment. Perhaps offer a discount for those buying weekly/monthly/annual tickets for certain zones.

You should be able to pay by card as not everyone has cash

Prices should vary depending on times

You should be able to pay by cash

You should be able to pay with cash.

You should introduce them on roads that currently have yellow lines that dont need them such as Lewisham Road

You should not penalise the elderly by going cashless - the village should accept all payments as should areas around community centres churches hospitals go surgeries

You should still be able to pay cash where it is near services that the elderly use. Not sure how practical that is. If not practical just card only

Your solution is to penalize both cash and cashless parkers. Come on Lewisham we are not stupid!

You want to get rid of the guy that's goes around to collect all the money from the machines. Shame on you

Your (Ringo) system is very complicated.

Until it is improved, pay and display should remain.

Your logic here is counter to the argument. If machines are costly to maintain and cashless is easier to manage and has been increasing then why wouldn't you encourage this method of payment? To then say you're removing the convenience fee but increasing the charge across both methods to a level equal to the provider fee plus convenience fee plus parking charge is another revenue generation scheme. Is it actually that you want to increase cost of cashless payments as this method has been increasing but trying to sell this as a good thing you're doing?!

Your phone may be out of battery or not be able to use app, should always be a cash option. Your proposals about the parking machines will discriminate against older residents who may not have smart phones or who tend to use cash. Your policies should always be inclusive.

Section 9 - Pay & Display overall consultation ideas (verbatim comments)

LB Lewisham public consultation
Pay & Display machines verbatim comments
593 comments out of 3,767 surveys – 29.1%

Q: Do you have any other comments that you would like to add about this consultation?

.Free resident parking - with car parks/ shopping centres, high streets set at no more than £1.20 per hour.

£1 flat rate is reasonable because of the difficulty of finding correct amount to slot into the pay-machines

£1 per hour but rising to hit all day parkers

£1.60 is far to much for an hour. Not everybody who owns a car has lots of money

£1.60 pH. Is a disgrace. It should be affordable to all.

£1.60 seems fine generally, but too much for a visitor permit.

£10 should be minimum for parking per hour and more in areas that are busier.

£4.50 minimum payment for the first hour is suggested on the basis that this is what a daily bus pass costs, and we must work towards a system where it doesn't cost more to travel by bus than to drive and park (as is currently the case). This amount would be a 'deterrent' against parking somewhere, and that is a good thing in terms of air pollution. I am a driver, and there is no financial incentive for me to take a bus somewhere given that it is cheaper (as well as quicker, easier and more comfortable) to drive and pay to park. It may be argues that £4.50 is too expensive, but that's where the bus argument is powerful - how is our current set-up fair for half of Lewisham residents who pay more to get somewhere than someone driving? The hourly rate could then be reduced for subsequent hours, indicating that the primary goal is to deter parking in the first place, rather than to make money per se. However, making money could be seen as a positive if the income is used fairly. In other words, the profit made from pay and display should ALL be invested into pollution tackling measures. Especially if it is shown to pay for tangible things, such as planting throughout the borough, people will largely be happy with the council making money.

1 hour free. Or if your a Lewisham resident we need a discount

1 pound per hour is plenty

1.60 is to much per hour

100% electric cars should be able to park for free!!!

1st hour should be free to encourage shoppers to shop & move on & permit carers etc to do their work without car parking charges

24/7 parking restrictions

50p

50p for parking is fair, why do the council need to make it pricey? You are getting this money regardless

Pushing ppl to park where parking is free that's why ppl can't park outside there home

A balance is needed between encouraging custom to the area vs pollution. This feels like a money making scheme

A free hour parking and no return would be very helpful for people.

A higher hourly charge for vehicle over 3 years old and less for newer cars.

A nominal fee should be enough. Lewisham already offer free parking over Christmas. Ask yourselves why that is? You want and need people to visit Lewisham Shopping Centre. So make it easier for them to do so. Free parking is a big draw!

A number of car parks offer multi hour pricing.

A pen pusher idea of a consultation

A related issue - I was pleased to see the introduction of the 20mph speed limits but no one seems to be abiding by them and there seems little or no enforcement in place.

a rise in pay and display costs could impact on small businesses in the borough and dissuade visitors from visiting and spending money in the borough
A single price across the borough would prevent visitors and residents accessing other parts of the borough, especially if the area doesn't have a bus service.

For example, a Deptford resident would find it difficult to visit Nunhead Cemetery or Forest Hill without changing buses several times.

Access needs to be continued.

Again - these changes are only effective if enforced! I endorse the SD committee's recommendation to increase expenditure on parking enforcements, assuming this will also increase revenues.

Again a leading questionnaire! There was no option to opt for cash machines to stay across the board. The use of free text is tactical as most people don't use it.

Again another leading series of questions. Where the lowest price you can choose is 1.20. A truly laughable consultation at this point. Which has clearly been drafted solely to support the councils desired course of action.

Again just another way of getting money

Again we do pay council tax and some roads are uneven, the humps are too high especially the tiny ones.

What improvement are we to expect from these charges to be levied on us.

all collected monies should be used to improved travel

All CPZ should allow 2 hours of parking for visitors

All neighbourhood are complaining about parking expenses.

All pay and display machines should offer all forms of payment (cash, card and App).

Varying the types of payment on offer is confusing to the Customer and may put some Customers at a disadvantage.

All vehicles staying or passing through the borough must be managed to limit the pollution, but this is not being achieved with the current policies.

Allow free parking up to 30 mins anywhere in Lewisham

Allow more quick stop parking camera controlled free but limited to 15 mins then penalty per minute over

Always prices up. Most people don't drive for the fun of it.

Amounts should vary for each road.

Very polluted spots, roads near schools, hospital etc. should have a much higher cost per hour.

An hour for free means people can stop briefly and will encourage efficient stays. Particularly in residential areas.

another barmy and loaded question.

The amount per hour should be the minimum necessary to cover operational costs of the scheme. Without giving the public projections about costs and usage you cannot ask the public to name a figure. That is like asking turkey to vote for christmas and no way to treat your voters. You are taking advantage of your residents.

Another biased and leader survey methodology.

Another money making project - sorry but I don't believe this is for health or well being but to simply fill Lewisham councils coffers

anything above £1.20 is excessive

App or card purchases should be cheaper as they require less infrastructure to run.

As a paying resident parking permit holder I should be able to park anywhere in the borough of Lewisham for free especially after I checked that my fees would go up over 100%

As a resident it should be free for 2 hours as you already pay for parking permit at your residents

As a resident of the borough with a parking permit for the zone where my house is, I believe I should be able to park at other zones in the borough at a lower rate than people from outside the borough without residents permits.

Charge the people polluting the air that are driving into the borough not the residents.
As a resident of the borough, I think I should be allowed to park free anywhere that is legal to park. I pay my road tax, council tax, every other tax so why do I have to pay to park in my own neighborhood?

As a UK citizen and taxpayer it is not right to pay again to park in one's own country.
As above 1st hour free then charge after the first hour - will increase trade to Lewisham and help employment

As I go through this survey I am beginning to think Lewisham are less concerned about pollution and more concerned about raising revenue via more charges on its residents
As residents pay council tax to Lewisham they should pay a lower parking fee than visitors who pay no council tax to Lewisham.

As the high streets are declining and many retailers are going bust why not introduce free parking on the high streets in Lewisham this will encourage people to shop there . I do not use Lewisham high streets if I want to shop as it is cheaper to drive to blue water and park for free !

Asking for opinions on pricing seems a pointless exercise. Surely a great number of factors will dictate the council's decisions on fair pricing for parking. I would prefer not to have to pay anything for parking but I know that this is entirely unrealistic. Prices must take into account the cost of providing safe, accessible parking in numbers which recognise demand for parking but at a price which will not adversely affect commerce in the borough. The cost of policing any parking system would also need to be taken into account along with administration and maintenance costs. I'm sure there are many other factors, of which I am unaware, which would need to be considered.

I would expect the council to have all the available data to make a judgement about what would be a fair borough-wide parking fee. Asking me what I think the cost of an hour's parking should be doesn't make sense when I have no data on which to come to any decision that might be viewed as fair to both residents and the administering body.

Be sure to consult groups who are NOT likely to be at ease with just apps and cards.

Be transparent about where the money made through parking payments is used
Being able to park cheaply encourages people to use the shops. Something that everyone should be encouraging nowadays as the high street is dying.

Better signage for town centre car parks in Forest Hill

Borough permit holder should pay less than non borough residents and those who do not pay for a permit

Bromley borough which Lewisham cuts over - has appalling signage for parking and changes to rules all the time. Weekends parking should be free as bank holidays and public holidays.
Businesses are dying in Lewisham because of parking charges and difficulty in parking.
Businesses produce employment, business rates etc. Surely the council want to encourage people to use businesses in Lewisham or it will have a ghost town

By increasing the fees, people will be discouraged to use their cars.

By making it easy to pay, and therefore to use the dedicated parking areas, cars will end up in the right place, and not on yellow line or residential streets where traffic wardens may not come as often as in busy areas.

the solution is to make it easy for people to abide by the rules, be civil and make sure everyone can enjoy Lewisham

Can you think about vulnerable people who sometimes just need to make a quick trip to the shops or other situations.

Can the motorist be an easy money spinner, but no one knows where the money goes!

Car parking restrictions sometimes increase pollution by causing drivers to continue driving until they can park, or to move their vehicle from where there is a time limit on parking

Car parking should reflect the price of two persons traveling one way by public transport

Car parks in shopping centres should be free and charge reimburse by the supermarkets.

You need to monitor the cars using the car park as some park their all day as it reduce their train fare. That needs to be stopped. The car park is for shoppers and should be treated as such.

Cars are not needed as much as they are used and should be reduced so parking costs should be high.

cars should be restricted from city centres

Cars which already have a residents parking permit could have a discounted rate if they are parked elsewhere in Lewisham.

Cash payment option must always be available

Change the way parking is metered to time used, rather than time pre-paid... sometimes it is difficult to estimate how long a meeting will be... avoiding nasty surprises is probably a good thing?

Charge more the nearer the car park is towards key destinations.

Charge non-residents / visitors more to park in the Borough, in residential areas.

Charge should depend on location

Charge the lorries & vans coming into the area, not the residents.

Fernbrook road - regular car engines idling while drivers await commuters off trains, drink coffee, have a snooze, do some work, have a chat.

Charges are already high for people. Please do not increase them

charges for parking put me off of visiting town centres or high streets and thus prevent me from spending money in local shops quite regularly if I'm in the car

Charges should be higher in areas where demand is higher, otherwise you will just get people cruising around for parking. The policy of free parking in town centres on weekends and bank holidays should be abolished.

Charging more Money is the worst way to try and get people on side.

All you are doing is making people more angry against their council. You are supposed to be on our side.

As you already acknowledged vehicle owners are the majority of residents.

Charging to park a car on the street is wrong. There should be no charge for on-street parking.

Introducing a FREE resident permit holders system so that residents are able to find places to park their cars is a good idea in areas where parking spaces are consumed by commuters or other non-residents.

Making it costly to NOT use a car is madness.

Making it costly to visit the area by car discourages visits and kills off normal activity in neighbourhoods.

Making it costly to visit commercial areas to shop contributes to the death of the high street.

Cheaper parking for easier travel

Cheating people extra money for using the app or card to park is daylight robbery

Clean streets

Commuters do also need to park near public transport hubs in ways that is affordable. Don't demonise people for trying to get to work.

Don't use parking fees as a revenue raiser.

Consideration might be given to lower charges for less prosperous areas.

Consistent cost of parking should also mean consistent parking increments (so that all areas have 15 minutes minimum paid time, rather than some areas being 15 minutes and other areas being 1 hour minimum).

Note that app-based payments are already considerably higher than 1.60 per hour: area 1570 costs £0.75 for 15 minutes which equates to £3.00 per hour; the only way to achieve as low a cost as £1.60 per hour in 1570 is to buy two hours of parking.

Cost depends on where parked - car parks cheaper to encourage their use and access local businesses

Cost should be more than bus fare

Costs should be the same as visitor permits for one hour

CPZ for the Evelyn ward. We are dying and deserve equal treatment

Currently the charges only enhance the borough's revenue little to improve pot holes in the borough.

Cycling! It's the key.

Depending on where the parking is (hospitals, shopping centres, etc.) and the length of time someone might be expecting to stay should also be taken into consideration.

Differential parking charges. Charge more in town centres and more polluted areas, not just a borough wide flat rate.

Discounts for residents who want to park in places within the borough but not outside their house would be worth exploring.

do not charge carers

Do whatever steps necessary to reduce road traffic in the borough - the toxic air is damaging people's health, sometimes to the point of early death.

Does Lewisham council want to improve Lewisham shopping centre and the High st, or does it want to stay with a small town mentality. Reasonable parking charges encourages shoppers to the area. Many can't carry shopping on public transport.

Don't discourage visitors for local businesses

Don't allow to company manage the parking apps to charge a fee

Don't kill business with parking charges

don't like excessive parking charges shops do suffer

Don't make a statement, make a difference.

DRIVERS COULD HAVE A PAY AS YOU USE CARD (LIKE OYSTER) WHICH IS TOPPED UP AND USED AS AND WHEN REQUIRED (0.50P FOR UP TO 30MIN AND £1.00 FOR 30-60 MIN)

Drivers who have made a reasonable effort to pay for parking at a broken "Pay and Display Machine" should not be penalised.

Driving to Lewisham needs to be discouraged, meaning that parking charges need to be increased.

Electric car costs like Westminster should be lower

Eltham has cash machines where you get the first half hour free. This is an encouragement to come and shop and go to the library, etc.

Encourage people to visit Lewisham & not to avoid it or just drive through encourage the use of motorbikes and scooters as they produce the smallest Nox. They prevent congestion and if only 10% of motorists switched to PTWs pollution be lowered to 40% overnight. Why are the council not embracing PTWs?

Encourage visitors to come via public transport

Ensure parking meters are working. Especially on Elverson road near the DLR.

Some elderly don't have card or apps so still require cash meters.

Equate the hourly parking fee with the cost of a single journey on a bus.

Everything is to get more money out of people, we pay enough as it is. Why should we be made to pay more and more, it's ridiculous.

Excessive pay and display charges discourages people from visiting borough & providing an economy in borough. Also some people still rely on cash to pay.

Extend parking permits to every household, including new build properties.

First hour free then 50p per hour thereafter.

First hour should be free then pay for subsequent hours

focus on emissions

For internet users rates should be introduced by minute not hourly. AI has no problem calculating exact amount for exact time parked. Give a freedom for people to do what they are doing without thinking of parking charges.

Free for electric.

free parking for electric and hybrid cars.

Free parking for 30 minutes in residents bays to reduce harmful exhaust fumes while people trying to stop for 30 minutes to buy something from the shop

Free parking for a short period will help local shops and encourage people to move on.

All car parks should have rapid chargers with free parking for 1 hour for electric vehicles.

Free parking for disabled people

Marked free parking for single motorcycles and scooter

Both of these should be published on the internet (they are not).

Free parking for electric cars

Free parking in car parks at weekends to support local businesses

Free parking in residential area for the first hour

Free parking permits for residents and free parking their visitors. We are not at a shopping mall we are visiting someone and could be elderly. Stop trying to rinse us clean and start spending more wisely. Cut the over priced wages to council members and put the money to proper use like a lolly pop lady or man on Downham way where young kids are taking their little lives into the on hands and no one there at the bend by glenbow rd to help keep them safe.

Free parking, if you pay road tax

Functioning cash parking MUST remain possible

Getting more angry, as I progress this consultation. It penalises the low income households and vulnerable and less able.

Girton Road car park in Sydenham is now deserted since parking charges were introduced. This means that the streets of Sydenham are being clogged up and the car park is empty!

Great questions

Happy to pay above one hour but for quick trips it's a real pain to go through the My Ringo process although I have done this. It is especially difficult if you have an elderly adult that needs mobility help with you and you don't qualify for a disabled badge e.g. my father who is nearly 90 years old and doesn't walk very well and trips up on uneven paving stones if left to walk on his own. If he trips and breaks his hip, his chances of survival are considerably less due to the nightmare of our local hospital.

High streets and local independent businesses are struggling. You have to make it easier for people to park locally, without fear of heavy fines or the shops won't survive.

High-emission vehicles should be charged more for parking.

Higher parking charges would impact local businesses.

Hike up the parking payments and make them operate 24/7

Hospital parking is a disgrace and needs looking into

Hospital parking should be free for staff and patients.

Hourly parking is more to do with essential services such as builders, plumbers, electricians, nurses and friends.

The Councils policies on short stay are also encouraging the further isolation of many members of our communities.

It does not appear that there has been any evidence provided as to why short term parking should incur costs for local residents.

How about dynamic charging, peak off peak etc

How are you going to demonstrate additional funds are used directly for the purpose of improving air quality? Normally this is soon misappropriated into other areas of the councils funding requirements

How can I judge the correct payment for parking? It's a service we all need and can be expensive. Do you aim to cover your costs or make money?

I am all for payment for parking and permits but make the charge as low as possible. I think 70 is too high per year. A charge is good but not too high

I am from a small city that I visit often, the local council got rid of free parking and as a result people started to stop using the shopping centre which resulted in shops closing.

I am wandering if the person which create this consultation is actually a Lewisham Resident. You are basically asking permission to tax further more the resident of the his council .

The traffic of Busses has become horrendous, the building site have a never ending transit of heavy vehicle that obstruct t he traffic , the road work create more and more traffic from early morning, the new layout of the round about has been designed by a child as the traffic at the pick hours is static and the only solution you find is to increase taxes to resident for the car they own.

I believe higher hourly rates will help reduce the parking at machines in our roads

I believe some of your traffic wardens have a little scam whereby they put a ticket on a car if the driver leaves the ticket on the drivers side and also on the road side. They claim it is too risky to inspect the ticket as they must 'leave' the pavement. I saw with my own eyes this disgusting behaviour. The warden claimed it was too dangerous to inspect the ticket. Our road is exceptionally quiet and this was on a Sunday morning.

This is fraudulent behaviour exploiting health and safety and unreasonable behaviour.

I believe this is to make money not improve air quality. I believe the proposals would make life even more difficult for residents and would have no positive affect on health whatsoever.

I can't comment on the cost of parking, don't know the arguments

I can't help but think Lewisham sees car owners as a lucrative source of income to be milked for as much money as possible

I do actually feel that the council should not be profiting from car parking costs, pay and display should be to cover costs, such as maintaining car parks etc, but not profiting from this, so the cost for parking should be minimal especially as majority of car parks are close to local shopping facilities where people are encouraged to spend money.

I do not agree that the hourly charge should be increased and do not agree that the RingGo service charge should be passed on to the motorist

I do not agree with the overall policy to make the parking paid for EVERYWHERE in the borough!

I do not want to see the borough change or introduce new parking zones, where they exist now is sufficient.

I don't think costs should be too high

I don't understand why the permit system is different either side of Hither Green station. On springbank road and Wellmeadow Road for example we have permit requirements all day. On the other side of the station it is only for a 2 hour period

I don't agree there should be a flat fee across the borough. Some areas you may want to discourage additional vehicle journeys to and so may want to charge more. In other areas parking is less of an issue so may be worth making cheaper.

I don't think high parking charges deter driving, if anything its just increased hassle. Driving overall should be discouraged, but sometimes it is necessary such as transporting large items

or moving house. I would say it's better to have less parking but make it cheap or free. There should be little to no parking on high-streets and main roads as this encourages people to drive to the shops for short journeys.

I don't think it is fair to increase pay and display parking because it will stop people from visiting their local shops

I don't think parking should be charged everywhere

I don't think the price should be the same borough wide, it should vary depending on the demand in a particular road or zone. It is more frustrating needing to park somewhere and being unable to than it is to pay a higher parking fee. General parking space is a scarce resource and should be allocated as such.

I don't think there should be a flat parking rate in the whole borough

I doubt it is a consultation only, it's all been agreed.

I find this consultation meaningless as it omits to ask about hours of operation. Charging for parking in residential areas in the BHA zone until 7pm on Saturdays is designed to increase income for Lewisham council, not to help residents.

I find this consultation to be incredibly biased towards increasing revenue generation from parking under the guise of "improving air quality". Many of the questions are misleading. To get less people driving, public transport needs to improve! I do believe that parking restrictions and no stopping rules need to be more strictly enforced around schools, as school run traffic is becoming a bigger issue as Lewisham's population continues to grow beyond the capabilities of its' infrastructure and resources.

I give £1.50 as a price as it's middle of the range but also it makes it easier to pay in cash and those using other payment methods are able to work out how much it will cost them over several hours easier. This could mean better financial management for them if they're paying for a lot of parking over the course of a week or longer.

I have always been impressed with Lewisham's approach to parking management. It is an example of how it can be managed fairly and other boroughs can learn from this. We don't exclude our elderly or new visitors to the borough from our residential facilities. This is very important for both longtime Londoners and people who are newly arrived to our borough or visiting loved ones.

I have elderly mother who is in her 80's, and she has Arthritis and other mobility issues. If parking becomes so fraught in Lewisham area. The fallout from this, will be I will take her to another location just like I have mentioned in Q29 or to Bluewater shopping center with its onsite free car parking. To sum up we will lose our custom & business elsewhere and Lewisham will lose out.

I have taken my suggestion of £3.70 from figures in Tower Hamlets, just over the river - although they actually have a quite complex parking cost structure which goes higher than that in some areas (and lower in some others). I am not really convinced that parking should cost the same across the whole borough of Lewisham - some areas have more problems with parking than others, and prices should be higher in these areas to discourage parking and car use.

I hope those making decisions sincerely and objectively look at all concerns- what is the point of penalizing cars when planes are so much more polluting

I like being able to pay in 15minute increments as usually when I stop off somewhere in the borough outside of my own CPZ it is usually just to pick something up.

I like that in some car parks it's free for the first 2 hours i.e. Sainsbury's Forest Hill and the carpark near the station that also has access to the post office collection depot .

I like the all day weekend charge in the multi storey.

I will not shop in Bromley because of extortionate parking charges which has a knock effect in the retail industry

I often look to stop off at local shop on way back from work for small purchase, and if there is a charge I tend to go elsewhere..

I rarely pay to park anywhere in Lewisham or surrounding areas. I would rather drive to a shopping centre that doesn't charge any parking fees.

I can take my time, not worry about carrying all shopping on public transport.

Not rush

I think £1.20 is a reasonable amount and affordable. I think all this charging is just keeping people and families apart from each other

I think any increases on parking prices need to be matched by investment in the right clean infrastructure: e.g. cleanly powered buses and also the below:

- Install covered bike rides in residents streets.
- Build safe cycling lanes
- Existing cycle lanes need to be well kept (some of them are in a dreadful state and therefore very dangerous)
- Pedestrianise as many streets as possible (make it more difficult for us to drive and therefore easier for us to take the streets by walking/cycling!)
- Plant LOTS of trees - get citizens engaged, most people will be willing to contribute towards greening their streets

Otherwise, it will feel like a punishment with no tangible return/benefit to the residents. Clean air is, of course important, but also an invisible issue that most people aren't aware of, so there has to be compensatory measures that will, in turn, reinforce the ability of residents to make the right choices.

I think if you already have a permit with in the bourgh for controlled resident why should you have to pay for for pay and display on a machine more money again for Lewisham bourgh

I think if you go for a quick shopping the first hour should be free.

I think it should be £1.60 as a bus is £1.50 and it incentivises the use of the bus otherwise it's still more. I do think it should be for 2 hours though.

I think some boroughs have free parking so why not us

But 1.20 is acceptable

I think the cost of parking should be a bit reasonable for residents

I think the council really need to consider many elderly people who struggle to with pay and display machines some of whom do not know how to use apps.

I think the current about of £1.40 is just right. I think that car parks should offer parking in minutes in the same was as on street parking as not everyone wants a full hour or two.

I think the policy that has been suggested on charging £1.60 for cash or app/Ringgo is another way to get money from car owners. I expect to pay an extra 20pm for using Ringgo as it is an additional convenient service, but you are suggesting that even if you pay by cash you still have to pay the extra 20p which goes straight to the local authority. I do not agree with that, it is very underhand.

I think the first 15 minutes parking should be free to allow for set downs/ deliveries etc.

i think the first hour need to be free and the charge will start after the first hour

I think the hourly rate should be reflective of the demand in the area. The fact that out of town shopping areas do not charge for parking should be considered when thinking of supporting local businesses

I think the parking cost should be consistent

I think there are many older people for whom a card/app only system would be very difficult.

Similarly, I believe there are people who do not actually have a bank account.

I think this consultation needs to be done in line with different strategies:

Health and Well-being Strategy (i.e. encourage walking)

Transport for London Strategies - it is pointless encourage people not to use cars if we do not have adequate transport (reliable, air conditioned, better links (i.e. I live in Lewisham but need to take two buses to work)).

Cycle Strategy

Sustainability Strategy

Encourage flexi schemes at work - when my daughter was young I found it difficult to drop her

to school and get into work early, so the flexi scheme was ideal
 Encourage agile working - working from home (supported by organisations - staff should be incentivised).
 The Council could start running their own private bus service in areas where there are for staff getting a subsidised rate - the general public could pay more.
 I think we pay enough charges to keep our cars on the road as it is so why should we have to pay to park them aswell, as long as they are not parked illegally
 I think we should keep parking charges low in areas with shops
 I think you could have a way where lb Lewisham permit holders and subscribers to the app could receive a discount vs non-residents.
 So you could charge someone with a permit £1.60 per hour to park somewhere else in the borough. Whereas someone who is not contributing could be charged £2.00.
 I trust your rate, but please check EQIA process
 I would like more clarity about exactly what the funds raised by the Council from Parking are used for.
 I would like to add that there should be free borough wide parking permits for carers, whilst on duty, along with stiff penalties for proven abuse of this.
 I would suggest first half hour free close to shops and post offices etc. People need to be able to pop in and out of places easily, it's good for business.
 I wouldn't drive within Lewisham if there were better transport network.
 If a method/technology could be found to support such an idea (registration of car details in an LBL database, eg), differential charges could be made for parking in the borough by residents and non-residents to enable non-residents (commuters) to be charged at a higher rate than residents
 If parking charges are too high local shops suffer
 If parking is relatively cheap, drivers wouldn't mind paying to park their vehicle
 If the charge is too low it would not deter people from driving and parking.
 if the cost is too high people will drive to find free parking.
 If the Council wants people to support local shops reduce parking charges!
 If the council wants to go to cashless machines they need to get the 50p charge removed from the app payments
 If the meter is not working tell people it's free to park there
 If the price of the parking is not dissuasive, people will continue to use their cars in situations where they could use public transport or where they could cycle or walk.
 Otherwise, increase the parking fee at the weekend.
 If we have only 47% of the borough with cars and you want to squeeze us still by asking to us to pay again and again. Travel on buses and trains are too expensive reduce oyster fares.
 If you already have a resident permit then should be free to park anywhere in the Borough
 If you don't want Lewisham to be a car park then charge more for people who are using it as a car park and have no business being here
 If you go ahead with this scam for money lewisham will suffer as a whole as residents will go to bluewater and lakeside to pay to park. Its already dying...
 If you keep the cost of parking down then people are more likely to use the high streets, though I do think there should be some sort of parking for commuters, preferably not outside my house
 If you make parking charges too high it puts people off supporting local businesses and high streets
 If you make the parking charges cheap (£1.00 per hour eg), but enforce a strict time limit, you would bring more people into the borough to shop, eat etc
 If the parking is expensive, people will naturally park in the already clogged up streets around the area.
 If you need to keep the current levy to balance your budgets then so be it, but don't dress up an increase as a positive action.

If you pay for two hours and use only 1:30 should be able to refund outstanding 30 minutes
If you put more emphasis on clearing up the filthy streets rather than ripping off motorists I might understand these policies more.

If you want to stop Lewisham becoming a car park, you need to provide lots more safe off street parking at a reasonable rate.

I'm a little concerned that I haven't come across a string emphasis on inclusivity in this questionnaire so far, and that not everyone has yet embraced the cashless economy. I wouldn't want pensioners to be less able to get their shopping or visit friends as a result of these changes.

I'm sure it would suit the council to have higher parking charges as I'm sure will be reflected in parking charge notices also. Since parking in one of the councils highest earners, I would be interested to know how these extra funds would be distributed fairly by the council in order to improve the community for the people.

Improve the online parking payment system!!!

Improve your cycling policy! You do pretty much nothing for cyclists. When you redo roads, you don't add cycling lanes. The Lewisham centre redevelopment is an absolute shame. It's all very well and good to 'punish' diesel, but what do you do to encourage cycling? Nothing. There is no cycling policy. We don't need different types of cars, we need less cars.

Improving green spaces and encouraging residents to now buy into cleaner and greener technologies

In less congested areas parking should be free except for an hour or so mid-morning to deter commuters. This should be strictly enforced.

In my view the price of parking does not need to be the same everywhere. The council could, and should, charge more in popular areas or where there is lots of congestion/ pollution.

In relation to last question payments should be easier to be paid a one coin transaction would make it easier on users or give change

In some boroughs you pay less if you are a resident at the machine if you scan your resident card. Visitors pay more. However this is a bit of a deterrent for visitors who may be helping business. Maybe residents could have one hour free then pay the same as visitors.

In some cases the controlled parking zones are too small and residents are not able to use their parking permit a matter of a few hundred yards from where they live. Would it not be better to have larger controlled parking zones so that people with a permit would be able to park for free in their neighbourhood?

In streets with parking bays the time restrictions on yellow lines should be reviewed

In town parking will cost up to £15 an hour. £5 an hour seems reasonable and if it discourages people from making unimportant journeys then that would be good.

Increased charges will drive people away to better shopping centres, Bromley, Bluewater or Kingston.

Increasing hourly parking charges would prevent people visiting the borough for shopping.

Personally I avoid anywhere with high parking charges in favour for locations with cheap or free parking.

Increasing the charge won't stop people parking or cut down pollution it will just create more money for the council. We already pay enough for parking.

Invest in your cycle scheme and extend it. Give free bikes and free bike repair to residents, students, and workers. Bring more cycle hire schemes to the borough. Invest in bike paths.

Make more roads car free. Invest in TFL and public transit. Make businesses pay a surcharge for every van or lorry making deliveries to them. Make businesses pay a much higher fee for diesel vehicles and any non-electric vehicles. The lowest earners should not have to shoulder the burden of this when businesses in the borough are by far the largest polluters.

Is a way expensive to have a car and by adding all these charges no one is going to get a car in the future.

Is it possible to give Lewisham residents who pay for an annual parking permit free parking throughout the borough?

Is one hour the minimum parking time that can be purchased? If it is, it would be preferable if much shorter minimum parking times, eg 10 minutes, could be purchased in select locations such as pick up spots (dry cleaners, post office, takeaway restaurants etc)

Is the cost of employing /or contracting out) so many parking attendants worth it? Could electronic monitoring be used instead for more constant coverage?

Is this a genuine consultation or have decisions already been made?

It is appropriate to charge more for pay and display parking (which is available to everyone including non-résidents) than for visitors permits (which are available only for residents and are paid for by residents necessary for essential visits to their homes).

It is expensive enough to run and maintain a car for those that need it. Parking should not price out those on lower wages.

It is expensive to park in Lewisham . I no longer shop in Lewisham but drive to Bluewater which adds to pollution.

it is ludicrous!

It is so difficult to go shopping, we are forced out of the area to large shopping areas where there is free parking.

It is stupid to have the same cost for parking anywhere in the borough. Why should my domestic road cost the same as a town centre car park

It is very important not to discourage visitors or those coming to the area. Businesses are needed to pay business rates and taxes, and if no-one can visit those businesses, or if you make it so expensive or difficult to visit , then this has the reverse effect.

It seems odd that parking for an hour is cheaper than getting the bus when we want to encourage people out of their cars.

It should be convenient to park in Lewisham. Fines should be set at a much lower level for over staying.

It should be decreasingly cheaper the longer you stay and a max amount for half a day of £7.

It should be high, but with discounts for residents' visitors.

It should be minimum 20p per half an hour for over 25 Of age

It should be more expensive to park than to take the bus.

It should be possible to make a delivery, load or unload briefly, free of charge.

It should be simpler to get visitor parking. At the moment it's very complicated.

It takes a long time to pay online so an app would be useful

It would be amazing if Lewisham councillors could actually think of the borough's local tradesmen for once. We need to drive diesel vans (they really don't make petrol or electric vans to suit serious plumbers and electricians) and should be given special free permits to park in any residents zone for a day. They should also have a reduced rate for their residents parking.

It's a con,

A money grabbing excuse

it's all aimed at diesels it ain't fair

It's just another tax .More money in your bank's.

Jacking up prices for permits and for parking on short notice is mean. Revising what the MOT and the car dealers have established as a 'low emission vehicle' is curious. You want to punish drivers and you want to monetise the roadside. It all makes living in the borough less friendly, more complicated, and we all are convinced there are a few millionaire fat cats on a beach in Spain cashing in on all the parking 'management' in Lewisham.

Just a money making exercise to increase the charges

Just please don't price out people from shopping in Lewisham..

Cheap short stay / more expensive long stay

Just wondering if you have not made up your mind about this already

Keep parking free on weekends and bank holidays.

Keep prices down

People can't afford to keep paying out money to the council all the time.

We pay council tax and the roads are shocking so we shouldn't be paying sky high rates

Keep the cash option otherwise many residents will be excluded.

Keep the prices low. Lewisham Town Centre cannot hope to entice more shoppers in via car parking until it invests in quality shops. Take a look at Bromley. Their car parks are nearly always full and this is a reflection of the variety of shops they have. Until Lewisham can offer the same it will see car parking drop even further as who wants to pay expensive charges for a shopping centre that offers so little?

Keep the prices the same as Lewisham shopping centre, but free on Sundays

Lewisham just looking for a way to increase parking charges using any excuse possible

Lewisham council should introduce CPZs in more of the Lewisham borough.

Lewisham is as big a attraction as Greenwich, hence cost for parking should be less

Lewisham is much higher priced than Greenwich Borough this should be addressed

Lewisham is not Chelsea or some posh places a lot of low income families live here please remember this

Lewisham is one of the most expensive boroughs to park

Lewisham need to consider the affect in businesses in Lewisham

If parking becomes too expensive or awkward to use - people won't bother and do more and more shopping online or at out of town centres in Beckenham, Bromley and Blue Water
Lewisham needs to listen to what its residents say and not just pay lip service to so called consultation while totally ignoring public opinion

Lewisham residents should have discounts for pay and display parkings,

Lewisham should encourage and support more local shops so people do not feel under pressure to drive into Catford or Lewisham to shop. It will not go down well but, why can't

Tesco or Sainsburys be allowed to open a small store on the Corbett Estate? This would negate many needless trips to the town centre when one runs out of small items. It's the small trips which increase pollution.

Lewisham should make parking within a 15 minute radius of a railway or bus station more expensive than those areas further away.

Lewisham should remove the car park at Lewisham town centre, knock the shopping centre down, build a new attractive shopping centre and make the town centre car free.

Lewisham's hourly parking rate is more expensive and has been for a number of years in comparison to Bromley. Considering we are a borough with high deprivation, poorer communities I don't agree that the hourly parking rate should be increased. Also the condition of some of the car parks in Lewisham are pretty poor. Perhaps improving them might make the increased hourly rate more plausible.

Like many of these in the past our thoughts will be ignored

Limit the opportunities to pay in machines by controlling parking better and using park and ride etc. Don't use this as another stealth penalty on the poor.

Local shopping in my home town is a pain in the bum because of Lewisham's ridiculous poor service. Pull your sock's up! I live here and you make my life so much more difficult.

Local shops suffer from parking charges whereas supermarkets have free car parks.

Longer stays should be possible at a flat rate eg when my parents visit for 3 days or I rent a car for a week (which I do to avoid owning one) it's really hard to find somewhere

Low cost for resident visitor parking.

lower the hourly parking charge to 1.20p per hour

Machines must take cash and cope with part hours - I am most likely to need to drop in to a shop etc. for a short errand and only want to pay for 15 or 39 mins which also encourages quick turnover of parking spaces

Machines should accept cash payment as that is our currency. Machines should also be pro rata. Not 1.60 per hour....we should pay for what we use. If I park for 1.1 minutes I shouldn't be paying 2 hours. You are double charging the same parking space.

Machines should always remain cash as well as card and app

Machines should be checked often to make sure they are working properly

Make it cheaper to use public transport. With discounts for businesses that need to use vehicles

Make it expensive then people will ditch cars.

Make parking a private car prohibitively expensive. Make more dedicated shared car parking spaces, and make them free to park.

Make parking affordable, it will generate better business for small shops.

make parking fees to high in shopping areas it will hurt local shops and some times less is more as a reasonable fee will encourage visitors but a maximum stay time should be used to discourage commuters

Make the fees reasonable so people pay for the duration they stay and stop issuing tickets in 5 minutes it's not fair

Making people pay more for parking per hour may do more harm than good, if people coming into the area to shop are discouraged by the higher prices then we are all far worse off.

Time restrictions as to when people can park and for how long are far more effective ways of managing parking.

Perhaps we should be running free parking for an hour or two in the car parks to encourage shoppers.

Making the cost too high will encourage parking in residential street not in a controlled parking area.

Making the parking a premium, people will be more likely to use active transportation or public transportation.

Many of us need cars to work and travel. For instance, I couldn't visit my mother in Hampshire regularly without my car. She has Alzheimer's and I want to take her out. I need to travel to Bexleyheath and it would take too long by public transport.

Many parking machines are often out of order forcing everyone to use the app .. it is a blatant form of extortion much like loan sharks preying on the most vulnerable and poor ... shame on you!

Many residents especially those who lived here pre- location location location are being priced out of the borough. What could be considered is increased pricing in popular areas.

Maybe making 30 min free parking in areas where shops are will help other businesses

Maybe but I would need time to think about it

Me hey grabbers

Meet me discuss if you dare face the residents of Lewisham

More enforcement for vehicles not parked properly in bays

More pay and display spaces along the side streets of Lee High rd!

More restrictions lead to higher stress levels which are detrimental to mental health

Most people park for less than 20 minutes, yet the minimum charge is £1.40 therefore you are making on average 3 times the amount for the same rental space for the hour.

Please rethink this strategy. maybe pay-as-we-park.

Multi storey parkings instead of only streets

My residence is blighted with commuters leaving their cars near Honor Oak Park and a lot of them go away for weeks on holidays, so we the residents can't even unload our cars and never mind about parking. The council can generate some income from the open space not fully operated by the side of the cemetery where the commuters can park their cars and the council could that way to create some residents permits that will provide additional income for the residents at Honor Oak Park

Needs to be a balance between reasonable charges, whilst not impacting on high streets that are struggling. Commercially.

New cross gate has no parking permits, residents have taken to blocking 'their' spaces...makes it more of a problem for others

No cpz

no matter what the people you will do what you want anyway.

No point it's already decided

No, just to think about all types of drivers, visitors from out of London, non English speaking drivers, anyone who needs to have a cash option.

Not everyone has the use of a card or mobile phone. There should always be an option, even if it is one machine that is able to accept all payments types in a car park.

Not sure about this. Businesses may benefit from a free hour parking but may have the undesirable effect of more people driving into the centre as they know they can park for an hour for free.

nothing wrong with cash.

Use cash, credit and apps.

Its a no brainer.

Offer more free parking for electric vehicles and more charging points and standardise a single payment app across all London boroughs

Ona Saturday it costs £1.40 to park in Clarendon Rise for 1 hour and £2.00 for the whole day at the shopping centre over the road?

one simple way is to buy a monthly or annually parking permits for parking anywhere in lewisham borough; just like season train tickets.

another way is to issue a prepaid card similar to oyster card, so that Lewisham council can replace all the charge machines in the borough to contactless process

Only have pay parking near station, do not do it near parks, this will discourage people.

only that i think many councils are using the car owner as a quick cash cow.

Only that this is another way to get money out of drivers.

Other than the fact that local governments are suffering from lack of funding, there is not adequate justification for increasing parking charges. Wages have not risen in real terms in the last eight years.

Parking should be free for the first 20 mins to allow for someone parking just to drop off an elderly relative or simply pick up a package which only takes a few minutes.

Parking affects businesses greatly. We need them small ones with no private parking to survive. They require help to do so- the answer is to give 2 - 4 hours free parking etc.

parking around health centres should be free for 2 hours, e.g. kaleidoscope.

Parking charges are already high enough and just because they haven't been put up in so many years doesn't mean they should be .

Parking charges are killing local businesses.

Parking charges kill business in Lewisham

Parking charges should be priced by the council on a non-profit basis.

Parking charges should not be profiteering.

Parking charges should not restrict families coming to the area to use parks etc

Parking closer to train stations and commercial centres could be higher than other areas

Parking costs need to be varied to encourage use of shops - retail sector is a real problem at the moment.

Parking fees have got ridiculous we all know it's a money making scheme For councils we don't mind paying but it was up until 6-30 now it's 7 fess ave gone up and so have parking fines drivers are easy targets.

Parking for electric vehicles should be like Westminster borough, where you only have to pay for the first 10mins parking and then can park all day

Parking for the first hour should be free

Parking in borough needs holistic approach

Parking in car parks attached to shopping or retail centres should be free for a set number of hours, I feel. For example, in order to maintain footfall to Lewisham Shopping Centre, and increase dwell time, scrap charges to the multi-storey for a fixed number of hours e.g. three or four. It will not be helpful to the Borough if, under pressure of online shopping, outlets begin to close e.g. lower employment. Therefore shoppers should be encouraged, rather than discouraged, to visit.

Parking is a curse on the modern city scape. Look at any residential street and both sides are full of parked cars. No space to play and a danger to cyclists.

Parking is already expensive, Bromley is cheaper.

There are always times when you can't take public transport - eg carrying bulky items and need to drive

Parking is far too expensive everywhere and is taking advantage of a captive audience

Parking is stupid. Cars sit around for most of the time doing nothing. Millions of these cleverly produced, fine machines don't do much. It would be better to reduce parking space and promote car sharing, car clubs, cycling and walking.

Parking is usually near shops and shops are struggling, so parking needs to be kept reasonable - UNLESS at a major transport interchange, when people should be using public transport

Parking near to hospitals is too high. It's stressful enough without having a ridiculous cost for parking.

Parking needs to be more expensive than a bus fare if you want to incentivise people to use public transport

Parking Permits & CPZ are not dealing with the core matters. Cars pollute - so enforce severe restrictions or ban them from Lewisham.

Parking rates at hospitals needs addressing.

Parking restrictions and the customers stay away - see Penge and Sydenham

Parking restrictions are often bad for business if people can't make short stop

Parking revenue should be used to plant trees and shrubs that help clean and. Purify the air

Parking schemes in the area need to be designed to help local residents not persecute them.

Every step needs to be taken to ensure local residents are given flexibility in enforcement.

Parking should be discouraged as much as possible

Parking should be fair. It is because of high parking charges that people drive around on residential roads looking for cheaper alternatives. This just exasperate the air pollution problem.

Parking should be free for carers, health or social care professionals visiting patients/ clients

Parking should be free on weekends to promote high street. Parking charges just force me to buy online which means local business owners loosing out.

Parking should be free to encourage more footfall for local businesses.

Parking should be low or customised for low income families. Eg if you were unable to park at Lewisham hospital and parked at the nearest street meter, then unexpectedly had a delay and spent several hours at the hospital. If meters were set at £2.00 or above and you spend up to 4-5 hours in A&E. That could be a £10 or more meter charge for a low income person on state benefits. Who need to drive.

parking should be made more expensive than a bus fare or a travelcard fare

this is the only way to shift the public to use public transport

Parking should be more expensive than taking the bus.

Parking should be more expensive to discourage short journeys

Parking should be reasonable

Parking should be tssinanky priced. This is a low income borough and parking costs should reflect this.

Parking should not be used to raise taxes.

Parking should remain affordable - consider providing a subsidy to local residents.

Parking times should also include smaller time limits, such as 10/15 minutes - parking is often used to pick up and drop off and paying for an hour minimum often discourages payment.

Pay & display should mean exactly that. Not everyone has a smart phone or a card you can swipe. We need to be able to use cash

Pay and display machines can be v useful in some areas and if the population is older it helps those older drivers re access to shops

Pay by card at the machine will be better for the elderly and or visitors. My 78 year old dad visits the borough occasionally and three times a year we've needed to use a pay and display machine. He wouldn't know how to use a ringo app but he could manage to put his credit card in a machine and cope. Please think of the elderly too when making these changes.

Payment for guests visiting residents should be less than the standard rate.

Payments should be decreased for longer periods eg £1.60 per hour for the first 3 hours then £1.20 per hour for longer periods.

Payment should be maintained as both electronic and cash. Not to do so would be discriminatory against people who do not have, or cannot afford the technology required.

People don't generally park in pay and display unless they are here for a reason. having unduly high parking fees does not help the local economy other than raise revenue for the council so should remain fair and not restrictive. Londoners get ripped off at every turn with regards transport costs and parking charges

People living in area should not have to pay at all

People should not be penalised for parking their cars by having to pay.

If public transport was cheaper, more people would use it!

People uses cars to have flexibility.

By paying for parking, you discontinue the flexibility

People who use electric vehicles should be given a discount to encourage use.

Perking permits

Permits and parking meters only move the problem to another area. Business need short term free parking

Phase this in to take effect in 2025. People bought diesel cars in good faith and now the research is indicating that govt policy needs to change. In my case this would be a 257% increase and not acceptable.

Phones and apps do not suit everyone

Please spare a thought for older or less able residents who still want to participate without mobile phones

Placing the burden on visitors rather than residents is much more preferable in order to make sure we don't become a massive car park.

Please be reasonable

Please consider volunteers to Lewisham and acknowledge their contribution to the community.

This consultation may impact on volunteers and has not been considered.

Can you please introduce a volunteer permit for a number of hours free parking per year.

Please do involve directly residents from different background in planning these changes.

Whoever is proposing them sounds white, privileged and unaware of the struggles of people in Lewisham.

The Cash free idea is offensive!

Please don't get rid of cash parking machines!

Please don't use this exercise to simply raise revenue, under the pretence Lewisham Council is concerned about the environment. Do you have free parking for your employees, at your

council offices or are they obliged to only use public transport, bikes, or similar, or be on foot?

Please encourage more shared car ownership so we just have fewer cars on our streets and less need for parking in the first place. Our residential streets are jam packed with parked cars. What's the point of them? They're just sitting there.

Please ensure that motorcycle bays are available in parking zones

Please ensure that the pay and display machines all work.

Please make life easier for the poor ones that drive as well, there are thousands that can't pay all these fees cuz life is hard or have a large family to feed all cents are useful to them.

Please remember that older people will not be able to pay by app/ phone.

Prices should be accordingly to the area of Lewisham, not just one fixed price.

Probably said most of my thoughts in the preceding questions.

Problem is that people fight for the "free" spaces locally. This causes congestion especially at the weekend and Sunday being the worst. These areas need to be closed down (Clipper Way for example)

Provision should be made, especially near shops, for a short window (e.g. 10/15 minutes) of free or low cost parking, so as not to deter/penalize quick stops by drivers for local visits, errands or purchases which hit local communities and businesses.

Public transport should be encouraged. An hour on bus and back is £1.50 on fare-hopper rates so an hour's charge should be more expensive than the bus, not less.

Put electric charging point system in all car parks. Put electric charging points in all new car parks in new housing developments.

Put in electric car only parking bays and make these free to park.

Raising awareness by helping yourself in people pocket is not efficient

Raising the Residents permit price for a low emission hybrid (£30 to £70) smacks of greed when you penalise BOTH a high polluting diesel (+£50) and a low polluting hybrid (+£40) too? Shame on you.

Re. the hourly car parking charge - I don't know about about this. I assume that various research can be undertaken to work out an appropriate amount, taking into account the desire to encourage people to use public transport (which needs to be continually invested in)/walk/cycle, while not seriously disadvantaging people who may need to drive and may not be wealthy.

Reduce charges where parks or times are underused.

Reduce parking fees.

Reducing the machines and related maintenance costs should mean parking charges could be reduced, which may encourage more people into the borough's shopping areas, therefore helping local businesses, which are closing all the time

Remove all cycle lane and discourage people to cycle as these are dangerous to road users.

Offer discount only on parking for most cleanest vehicle, ie. 50g/km CO2 emission or lower or full electric. Extra Surcharge on all parking for all diesel vehicle new or old.

Replace cycle parking spaces with bike parking

Resident parking should cover the whole borough with different hours dependant on area

Residential parking is enough dont be abusing the system with fear factor about your health and bla bla bla with out any evidence.

Residents should be given a proper vote on if their road/street becomes permitted. Some may chose it due to being near a station or bus route, whereas other areas are happy to leave things as they are rather than be put upon again to pay yet more money!!

Residents should get a bigger discount for visitor parking, but people not visiting residents should be charged more.

Residents should get free parking

Residents should have a quota of free parking

Residents should pay lower parking fees

Rewards for people who don't have a car

Ringo is not always great to use

Same mobile app for all parking throughout the borough

Save expensive permits for Posh areas.

See above - I am genuinely dismayed that this is all about money to you.

See above about the Ringo app usage charge.

See answer to q28. I don't think proposals go far enough - any payment method that requires the physical machine should cost more, given maintenance/installation cost

see previous comments. LB Lewisham needs to manage the parking bays as a first exercise. I'm specifically talking about Ivorydown (as that is the area I live) that have way too many illegal driveways within permit bays which limits the parking actually available. The residents of these households think they own the road and LB Lewisham do nothing about it despite complaints

See prior comments about Clarendon Rise Car Park - this, and surrounding CPZs should be pay for 7 days a week so as to reduce Sunday congestion caused by pricing arbitrage vs. the TC Multi-Storey.

The Council should have a stated aim to reduce the number of car parking spaces over time, and have spaces progressively changed into tree-bays and green areas. For example, Clarendon Rise car park should be turned into a public park to service the Lewisham Central ward.

See response above.

Environmental protection is not the only motivation for parking charges - your proposals on charging needs to be placed on the context of more info on the cost of managing the system and what the revenue is used for other than to employ wardens and maintain machines

It may be reasonable to suggest keeping parking charges down by going to app only payments if that helped to minimise your costs so as not to pass that on to residents.

I walk to work wherever possible which takes 35 minutes I sometimes catch a bus back - if I use a car it's because I need to do my job in the public sector - I have submitted approx 5 monthly travel claims in 40 years despite the cost of parking for a day being eye-watering (£15 in Catford car park)

I would like to see consistent application of length of parking - Catford car park jumps from 6 hours at over £8 to 12 hours for £15 - so anyone working a full day overpays by approx 3.5 hours. If I parked in Bradgate road I could park and pay for 8 hours shops are closing down as shoppers stop using the high street why parking is expensive

Shops need shoppers not to be penalised for spending time shopping

short term parking for a reduced cost, ie £1 for up to one hour in busy areas would be useful Short term parking should be free. Eg first 20 minutes in town centres. I got a ticket recently while dropping items to the British heart foundation in Lewisham. I was away from my car for 5 minutes and didn't have the change to pay and display - I thought I'd get away with it and in other towns or cities you get a ticket to allow 15 minutes to allow for drop offs and quick chores.

Should keep cash facility as well as adding card payment if possible. If not, card should take priority.

Should look at continental cities and how their superior cycling and pedestrian access works, without detritus found in Lewisham streets.

So many promises made to push through money making proposals which are not fit for purpose.

Be more creative yet practical with solutions to sorting out the pollution levels in Lewisham. NOT add to them.

some of the parking charges are extortionate

Some of these questions are designed to get a particular answer, rather than to get an unbiased opinion. Disappointing.

Some people just need to drive to Lidl to get their weekly shop. We know that a Lewisham has a large percentage of people living below the poverty line - 2 hours free parking would really help people make ends meet

Soon you will be charging to walk down the street

Stainton Road. Who patrols that area? There are vans dumped there and are an eyesore. They are in a pay and display area but nothing is being done about that.

Stop being greedy

stop being so generous to car users. invest in public transport instead and aim to halve the number of car journeys - you need to be ambitious to cut climate change

Stop charging people and make more affordable to change car with more money and not scamming them always, we bought car to move the economy not for just fun, now you want us to change our car out of the blue immediately but do you ask your self we maybe the people's don't have any extra money to spare??? We are already at the limit. Stop this now make better choose help the car owner not dry them from the last drop of blood.

Stop fighting drivers - they are not enemy of people, they ARE people along with pedestrians, cyclists and all others.

Stop fly tipping name and shame

Stop cars on Sydenham high street parking on the pavements most weekends and evenings

Stop focusing on cars, you are doing it wrong. Focus on the alternatives (I have a Masters in Transport Design).

Stop putting up charges. It used to be free to park outside my house !!

Stop trying to penalise residents. Some people have to drive.

Stop using motorists as a cash cow and come up with some real innovative ideas, people like me who are given a car by their company have no choice as to what type of vehicles we drive. My employer is still issuing diesel vehicles despite political opinion therefore I (and others like me) will be penalised with a surcharge for something that we can do nothing about, whilst I appreciate the motivation behind this idea it is inherently unfair.

Stop using parking as a money making exercise and start making it fairer for all residents.

There are some residents who don't have much money and already have to pay for Council Tax.

stop using parking as a revenue generator.

What are you going to use the millions that you raise to reduce pollution.

what about your vehicles? We will no doubt have to pay for those and you will obvious get a pay increase for doing a great job in increase tax which we will pay for and still have big jams and loads of pollution.

Sunday reduced charges

Teach some of your Attendants with Customer service training.

thanks

the side roads where my salon clients park should have a free time allowance. it affects the amount on my business.

The aim should be to price local parking such that people are not encouraged to drive to out of town shopping centres. At the same time, we need to make sure that local residents can take visitors at reasonable prices. The key factors should be: tax all people for having a car; tax out of area commuters who park locally on the street; encourage people to shop locally rather than drive to Bluewater or Bromley.

The amount should be higher in busy areas

the car parking charges really affect how i use public services/local shops. i end up visiting supermarkets rather than the ladywell butchers, or hither green lane plastic-free shop because i can't park for 10 mins outside very easily. my library use has also declined since having two children because the buses are overcrowded with buggies and the car parks are

full/expensive. could there be parking spaces put aside for those with small babies like they do in france? so mums can pop to local shops for 10 mins easily.

The cash use will decrease further but will not disappear. There needs to be the option.

The cashless method disadvantages the poor & elderly.

The charge for parking should be enough to easily have the coins £1 or £2 coins not too low to encourage people staying too long and not too high to deter them from parking but not paying.

£1 is too low but £2 is reasonable with having to find coins.

The charges are already perceived as too high for example the Rushey Green car park adjoining Tesco's is largely empty therefore generating little revenue for either Lewisham or the shops in the Catford town centre. No wonder the area is in such decline.

The cost of parking for an hour should be equal or less than the Hopper bus fare which allows you to take unlimited buses within an hour, this is currently £1.50

The cost of parking is contributing to the decline of Lewisham high street. It's cheaper and more convenient to visit, eg Bluewater, so people will go there if prices continue to rise to the proposed levels.

The cost on app should not include a fee for using as it does now so should charge the same rate per hour as pay and display and no extra cost.

The cost should depend on the area. When parking for shopping it should be free for the first 1-2 hours to allow for general shopping/eating and avoid forcing people off the high street.

When parking for longer, a cost would be acceptable.

The cost should not be the same borough wide anywhere in London not just Lewisham

The council should cover its costs with regard to parking but should not see it as a cash cow.

The council should discourage the ownership of a car as much as possible.

We have good transports, no Londoner really need a car

The council should reduce the amount of parking spaces, especially residential parking spaces, with disadvantaging those who truly need them. Two of my three neighbours have cars (3 in total) and we live 5 minutes walk from Lewisham Station. This should be discouraged.

The current hourly charge is far too high. This appears to be a money making exercise for the council

The current system works fine for me. I use the RingGo app.

The first 15 mins should be free

The first hour should be free and any after that will be charged.

The first hour should be free to help the high street shops

The flat rate will clearly make parking admin easier, as would app/card machines, but I think it would be anti-inclusive. Active travel etc is great until you can't walk very far, (without being officially disabled), then the use of a car to travel modest distances is liberating.

The GLA's ULEZ move will already act as an incentive to deal with air pollution. Your policies already threaten to kill off small businesses. Have you noticed that the wealthier boroughs have a much better mix of business and residential rate payers? Lewisham will actually contribute to air pollution if it continues to cram in more residents who have to travel for work purposes. Raise your revenues by becoming a business-attracting council.

The higher the price the better.

The hourly charge for one or two hours should be relatively higher than that for a longer period of parking. Short hops should be discouraged otherwise people will make many short hop trips...

The hourly parking charge on my street is £1.40, not £1.60 as stated above. This leads me to believe that these measures have already been implemented and this consultation is being done in retrospect.

The hourly rate could depend on what car you have, ie electric could be cheaper than a diesel car

the increase in parking fee can lead to ppl avoiding parking spaces and park somewhere 'random' (like on bus lanes and cycle lanes). That would def be something to keep an eye on. The onus is on every individual citizen. Town halls, government, trade unions, the UK's biggest organisations and businesses should ALL be onside about talking about air pollution and every other kind of pollution. It has become a civic duty for the entire population.

The option to purchase in units of 10 or 15 mins so visitors do not get put off visiting

The parking charges are already high and there is not enough parking.

The parking in Lewisham cost 35p per hour which makes it expensive.

The pay and display machines should be removed and bays made resident only. This would reduce traffic and pollution levels

The price calculator does not work if one does know CO2 which is unhelpful.

The problem with lewisham council is you only have labour councilors and there is no voice of opposition. This consultation is just a sham before this policy is rubber stamped.

The question of cost is biased by another part of the survey that revealed what you want to charge per hour!

The rates don't need go higher. The deterrent effect of the zone has worked well in and of itself

The whole pay for parking is getting out of control. Areas that are free are getting squeezed because of the paid zones. Of course it's an issue if people from outside london come and park for a day. But as a resident of Lewisham, having to go and pay for parking near the park or the market makes no sense.

There are less costs involved when paying by card/app than cash costs should reflect that.

There does not appear to be any justification for increasing the cost of residents parking in fact I think the cost should be reduced.

The fact that I own a car means I need to park it. In most areas this is free. The reason we are having to pay is because we live close to a station. Those people who don't are not contributing to the cost of cleaning Lewisham's air although the majority of diesel and older cars are probably parked on the large council estates.

There should always be a maximum stay of 2 or 3 hours to stop commuters parking up and just paying up.

There should be a 10 minute grace period at the end of every hour before people are hit with fines.

There should be a facility to pay for less than 1 hour as drivers may only need as little as 15mins to drop a book back to the library for example.

Bromley borough have the option on some streets, for paying in increment as little as 5p to offer flexibility to only pay for the time needed.

There should be a free 30 minutes period in all car parks to encourage the use of local shops

There should be a lower tariff for residents

There should be a sensible amount of free parking for brief visits, in particular to shops, as high street shopping is increasingly under threat.

There should be a sign display saying from what time to what time and days that the park is in control.

There should be free parking for certain times of the day in Blackheath Village. Trade is dying. Need to encourage people in.

There should be more double yellow lines to stop people parking (currently legally) in places where they block traffic and thus increase pollution (e.g. Honor Oak Park - thoughtless parking on the One Tree Hill side of the road when the residential side is fully parked so there's one-way traffic often in an already congested area: just one example).

There should be more parking bays that allow paid for parking.

There should be one hour free parking and thereafter £1

There should be options at a reduced fee that should you need to park for 30mins or less.

That you have that payment option, instead of having to pay for 1hrs parking when you only

need to park for 30mins or less. This applies to payment methods of paying via credit card, app or cash.

These consultations are always led by die hoarders who see no other way forward than the very hard line. They are mostly in the 30-40yo range and seem oblivious to the fact that many of us that are much older are not physically able to cycle or walk as far as the young and fit. Personally I have no trouble with the air in Catford... But then I grew up with steam trains and non smoke free open coal fires and frequent garden bonfires plus factories blasting out smoke etc... No lung or breathing problems here....

Things are hard and should not be made so difficult and frustrating for residents

This is a money making scheme, due to central government funding cuts

This is all about making money and has little to do with Air Quality.

This is clearly already determined as a price increase without benefit to the motorist. Another form of stealth tax

This is Lewisham council looking to extract more cash from motorists.

this is not Chelsea ..you must review people circumstances and money ..economy is hard at the moment ..people can't meet ends meat ..So don't PRETEND to pay extra money for parking. sorry !! Most of the people in this borough is poor / half working people ..benefits is high.

This is obviously an attempt to make it even more difficult and costly to use local shops etc. Why?

This is way too long for most people to bother completing.

This seems to be all about raising money

This Survey is FAKE and will not influence or change anything

Those residents with parking permits should be able to park free of charge anywhere in the borough and not just in their particular zone. For example when visiting the hospital I have to pay for street parking in addition to the annual permit which I don't think is right.

To avoid loosing more village and high street shops and making Lewisham a ghost town keep parking costs low

To discourage car use is the object of this exercise - heavier parking costs might help.

Simple! Please - for the sake of my lungs.

To have expensive charges means only the rich can have a car - transportation choice should be available to all.

Total traffic volume THROUGH Lewisham needs to be reduced. Although a factor, residents are not the main cause of pollution.

Road features that cause traffic bottlenecks and stagnation need to be eliminated so traffic can flow and not sit still while burning fuel.

Low pollution vehicles need to be prioritised and incentivised.

Town centre is less busy because people try to avoid the parking charges. Town centres will continue to see the impact.

town centre parking in Holbeach car park should be free

it is underused as it is expensive, so drivers tend to park in bays on the surrounding streets particularly of a weekend causing impact to residents in the surrounding streets

Try not to discourage local shopping by overpriced parking charges

Understand the need to pay for parking but it should be a reasonable price

Use of local shops should be encourage.

Use technology and automate

Visitors to Lewisham Hospital end up spending a fortune on parking charges. This is terrible and just adds to the worry of have a family member ill in hospital.

We already pay taxes and I have to come home & pay more to you thieving gits

we need more car parking in the town centre and more disabled bays

We need the free parking currently on local street for residents and local businesses.

Public transport needs to be improved as an alternative

We need to attract shoppers to come to Lewisham to spend their hard earned cash here if we increase charges they will shop elsewhere in Peckham or neighbouring Bromley

We need to consider that not everyone can afford to spend extra on parking cost of living is very high as it is. People are struggling to put food on the table!

We need to encourage cars to use the car parks by making them affordable. Instead Deptford high street which has limited parking on the street is now seemingly a free for all of people parking on the pavement to get fast food.

We need to put people off parking for comfort and ease. But we need to not make it prohibitive for visiting family and friends from outside the borough.

We pay enough council tax why should we pay more for parking.

We should all have the right for free parking on our streets. We pay road tax in which the roads are terrible with holes everywhere, if everyone put a claim into the council then you would see our hard earned money being spent wisely not on parking permits.

We should be consulted within an open debate I don't feel the results of this consultation will be correct and altered to allow the scheme to be implemented.

We should be encouraging residents and businesses to come to Lewisham. Parking should not be seen as just another cash raising mechanism, and more innovative thought needs to be given to promoting use of public transport and switching to cleaner vehicles.

We shouldn't be encouraging people to visit using cars.

Westfield car park takes cash, why can't you?

What are your reasons for the increase? Is it the private companies which operate these machines which will profit from it?

What does the council do with parking charges. I would like to see an investment plan that includes the maintenance and investment in green spaces in the borough for the benefit and wellbeing of its residents.

What is the Council planning on doing with the increases in income? It's becoming an expensive place to be a resident or to have friends or family visit.

What will the extra money be used for?

Whatever happens Lewisham council will do what they can to up their revenue throughout the year.

Those in charge of implementing this don't live in the borough and probably don't have parking permits where they live.

How about you check up on all your parking officers who turn a blind eye to their own and their friends. I witness on a daily basis their behaviour along Hawstead Road SE6 by the taxi office and Rushey Green Surgery. Also along Wildfell Road SE6 where there is a constant flow of illegally parked cars that never appear to have a ticket on them.

Whatever method chosen needs to be maintained to ensure it works properly.

There's nothing more frustrating than having parking facilities and then not being able to make the payment due to problems with the payment machine/system!

What's this got to do with air quality?

Answer: nothing

Whilst I am extremely supportive of reducing the air pollution, I see car parking charges to be more of an income stream for the council rather than a bid to stop people from using their cars. That said, I appreciate council funds are disappearing so I support car charges as long as there's support for local businesses who depend on people travelling to get to them, and investment in alternative methods of transport.

Whilst I pay for parking using an app myself I am aware that a number of people, such as older people in particular, may struggle to use cards or apps if cash payment was removed as an option.

Why do I have to pay for parking ?

Why has it taken a month to be sent to us ??

Start enforcing the current restrictions !

Why is Lewisham getting rid of trees, which help the environment?

Why not do first 20 minutes anywhere free like they do in parts of Hounslow then local shops benefit from the easier whizz in and out to get a pint of milk say.

With so many car-owners concreting over their gardens and getting therefore protected car bays with sloping kerbs, this much reduces spaces for other parkers. And they only pay a one-off payment for life-long free parking access. The Council should re-charge them every 3 years , and when newly resident, to balance out with all others their privileged parking status. With the highstreet being so close to me. I feel the charges should be reflective.

I also have a driveway by the school and I am constantly being blocked in by vehicles who leave their engine running, increasing pollution outside the school.

Would you consider variable pricing? E.g. hourly parking could be cheaper in remote streets, and more expensive in high pressure spots. In addition, visitor parking in the same zone as a resident could be cheaper, and/or parking could be cheaper for the first hour or two, but more expensive for a whole day.

Yea it's to expensive

Yes.

It appears convenient that when you want to make all the hourly charges the same, you propose to put the cash payment method up and not bring the other payment options down.

You always end up doing as you please.

You are attempting to penalise those that are unable to update their current vehicle due to funds and those that need a vehicle for work who are unable to afford to update their vehicle. Whilst I agree with improving air quality poverty is already a massive issue in Lewisham, DO NOT penalise the people that are already struggling PLEASE.

You are just a rip-off and this has nothing to do with solving a problem or tackling air pollution

You are just trying to squeeze more revenue out of motorists.

You are not doing this protect health or the environment. You are only doing this to generate funds because LCC consistently wastes tax payers money and has no value for money strategy with indicators, baselines and targets which encourage cost savings.

You can register with TFL and get a monthly bill for driving in the Congestion Zone. In the near future could this approach be applied to parking in the borough?

You currently charge me £30 for my hybrid car residents permit and you appear to want to more than double it to £70 when I've been a responsible resident of the borough and bought an eco friendly car. How do you justify such a price hike?

YOU intend to discriminate against certain sections of the community, you talk about vans. well we need our van drivers, they are the plumbers... the electricians, the delivery men, in fact they are the ESSENTIAL workers within our community... it would be wrong therefore to discriminate against them and the older people in the community who do not have bank cards, or like us, went out of their way to buy a diesel car as we were instructed by our government. It is wrong to penalize people who were trying to be good citizens, without compensating them first. I am waiting for my compensation for the huge loss I will have to incur if I have to sell my diesel vehicle. Give me that and THEN and only then, you have the right to fine us in this way, penalizing the community. Also, it is equally absurd that they are trying to stop new developments having any PARKING at all. They are simply shifting the problem onto all the neighbouring areas who will have to cope with thousands of new cars - a gross lack of consideration for long term residents of the area. WE have free parking now and intend to keep it so, this is a residential area for families, not a town centre for single people dormitories and we should not be penalized with having to impose a form of CPZ, and pay for a right we now have for free because some developer wants to cash in a big pay packet for himself and his associates. This is quite simply obscene and the mayor should be ashamed of himself trying to make London unlivable for families- some developments proposed do not even have delivery van spaces, handicapped spaces, or adequate spaces for electric vehicles

to be charged! These headline superficial proposals from the council are in that unrealistic mode, denying their people the right to live normally, Naturally encourage no new diesel cars to be bought, but NEVER penalize those who bought them in good faith!

You must provide more 30 minute free bays. Ticket machines must be properly maintained. You need to better educate people. Currently their only source of education may be The Sun or Daily Mirror.

you need to have better customer service and instructions on pay and display machines

You need to offer help and incentives , not just punish people

you need to weigh up the charges carefully, parking is expensive in areas like blackheath in lewisham centre the prices are much cheaper at the weekend but if you want to stay and shop don't penalise week day shoppers

Westfield in white city is £6 to park all day, this makes shopping centres like lewishams seem expensive so more miles to drive to westfield or out to bluewater/laekside for free parking

you should consider making the first hour free or cheaper to encourage local shopping etc

You should need to pay for parking anywhere as we all pay our tax's that should cover it all

You should not just try to clobber drivers. You could think about making parking easier by introducing more places to park for a charge and try to get rid of a lot of yellow lines. You should also stop the 20MPH madness. Even bus drivers ignore it because it's crap.

You should not seek to discourage visitor parking by way of penal charges.

You should prioritise facilitating walking around the borough and public transport use instead of parking

You should try to align your policies on pay and display parking with the policies of the surrounding boroughs.

you will bankrupt the borough

You will not regenerate the town centre by keeping the current policies.

Your parking restrictions are anti business, small businesses suffer. You should have access to short term parking bays where there are local shops. I am pleased I am not a small business in Lewisham, you have no empathy for them

Your proposal doesn't discuss the cost of policing pay and display parking. Do the penalties more than cover the cost of enforcement? Hopefully, the answer is yes.

Your workers should be checking conditions of their machines much more often

- The replacement of existing pay and display machines will cost a lot to the community and the funds would be better used improving the public transportation system that has become overcrowded

"Hourly parking charges should be the same, no matter the payment method?" Isn't it the law that you're not actually allowed to charge a fee for someone who wants to use a card to purchase a service?

Maybe this doesn't apply to paying for parking, but that's clearly a technicality. You shouldn't disincentivise people from using their card to pay for parking.

.35 per unit time is a little bit of a con and this should either be done online via ringo

£1.60 an hour is ludicrous!

1. Not everyone has access to a debit or credit card. Better to have machine that offer options than exclude people even further just to make your lives easier

2. I agree that everyone should pay the same at the machine. The best way to do this is to eliminate the extra 20p altogether and charge everyone £1.40. Again, your answer is to increase charges to feed your coffers.

Do the right thing for once, and treat people fairly.

1. Payment with coin of the realm should always be an option on all machines.

2. Council to ensure they are fully maintained and in working order.

A lot of older people do not do smart phones!

A lot of people still use cash for these machines. To prevent them using cash would, I think, cause problems for older people and those who are less comfortable with card technology. Having said that tapping your card would be so much easier for the rest of us.

A lot of them are faulty

A move to a cash free environment makes sense to me as it reduces the need for cash to be collected from machines and the risk of caladium/theft.

A switch to card or app only machines may prove too problematic to elderly and less tech savvy people, and I don't believe the systems/instructions are clear and well communicated.

Above all, pay and display machines should be simple to use and accessible. They should not penalise people who do not have smart phones or credit cards.

Absolutely hate the parking app. Have given up on trying to park in a carpark once (when mum visited) as could not get it to work and then phone battery ran out. Not everyone has a mobile!

Add more card payment machines. Don't get rid of cash

Add that idling motors are illegal?

Again assume you will consider equality impact - not everyone owns/ can afford to use a smart phone

Again penalising older residents who cannot use apps etc. You need to make things user friendly across the board.

Again, this could affect the poor and elderly. Lots of elderly people would not know how to pay by card or app - setting it up is a challenge in itself. They might not have mobile phones and if they do, they often don't remember to take them out and about with them. Although I understand that it's a positive development, it does alienate the more vulnerable.

Again, this disadvantages the poor & elderly.

Again, while it would be perfectly convenient for me to pay for parking by using the Ringo app or credit card, not everyone is technologically savvy or comfortable using cards in this way, e.g. the elderly.

Ain't broke don't fix it. Having different options of payment is more beneficial for drivers.

All can be changed to card or app only.

All car parks in a Lewisham should have multiple electric charge points, secure bicycle parking and free parking for low emission vehicles.

All cashless- safer and more efficient.

Residents of the borough should be able to park for free for a certain amount of time in pay and display areas.

All cash-only machines should be replaced ASAP.

All charges should be the same, yes. But please allow us to have the choice of payment method. Some people just prefer cash.

All Machine should accept contactless payments.

I would encourage the phase out of cash only.

All machines as standard should have the parking app aswell, sometimes change isn't available but small change in bank accounts will help

All machines should be able to use cash as not everyone has cards or mobiles to use apps especially the elderly

All machines should have three payment methods: cash, card and app. Please provide more options, not fewer.

All machines should still have the ability to take cash payments as well as cards

All machines should take all kinds of payment as to not disadvantage anyone.

All machines should take cash, card or app payments. Not everyone has the app facility or card option available to them so to give equal access to parking for everyone this is the only way it can be done otherwise it will be discriminatory.

all methods of payment should be available for all machines, what is the problem?

Not everyone has a card or phone.

All methods of payments should be accessible including app/card and cash...as it not all of the time the app or card payment system works so cash comes in handy and via verse for cash sometimes you do not have change on you so using your card is easier and the app to extend your parking time.

All money from parking meters should be invested into better public and cycling transport.

All of them should be solar powered

All options should be available. Card use would probably increase where it is made available without causing difficulties for those who are using cash e.g. to manage their finances effectively.

All parking machines should provide the widest number of payment options for customers.

All payment methods should be available.

all should allow all types of payment

All should allow use of app

All should also accept cash

All the machines should charge the same regardless of how you pay for it

All this money being squeezed out of residents/business owners is just making people stay away from the borough. There isn't exactly much to come to lewisham for as it is without having to pay through the nose every time you do decide to visit.

How you expect an elderly person with poor vision/hearing and limited understanding of technology to be able to use these means is an outrage. Again, another system to bleed people of their money and not give a damn if it causes stress to people who do not understand it.

All too complicated

Already very expensive especially being pensioners

Although I always use Ringo to park- you have to account for people not using technology and for times when your phone battery has died etc. It would be excluding a lot of elderly and non tech literate people to remove all cash facilities.

Although I currently pay by card and an app, many people (especially the elderly and vulnerable individuals) still do not use or trust these newer methods. They should not be pushed out and penalised for this.

Although I prefer to use an app to pay for all of my parking Zipcar imagine there are a lot of people who would not be able to pay for parking in this way. Also occasionally there is a problem either with the phone network, phone, app etc where it is not possible to pay by app so an alternative is necessary.

Although many machines are technically cash only, I don't think they need replacing as there is always the option of using ringo instead

Although many places operate card or app parking methods of payments, this is a big disadvantage to many who do not know how to use apps and do not use card for payment only cash. There are many older people that do not possess mobile phones and if they do, they do not use apps and only use it for their basic needs. This is the same with cards, many do not use cards and use cash only. This places them at a big disadvantage.

An app would be easier

Any changes to pay-and-display machines should ensure that those who prefer to pay by cash or don't have a card, or app aren't punished.

Any free-for-half-an-hour spaces should offer pay-to-stay longer as an option

Any new machines should be simple to use and have very clear instructions in large print for older drivers. Some new machines I have come across are very confusing.

Any reason you are charging 1.60 everywhere and not variable amounts in different locations?

App and card machines are frequently franchised out to external companies. When issues arise - which they often do - these businesses just charge and offer minimal customer service or redress for their errors. There is also significant fraud and other smart practices deployed in card payment meters. Greenwich Park is played with it at moment. The council I believe will just outsource as they have done so many services and end up with no real service and paying over the odds for delivering it.

App and text payments are currently more expensive due to service charges applied by your supplier. This must be rectified before removing the cash option.

App based parking payments is a pain in the bum and I assume this technology will be privately owned and operated as opposed to publicly by Lewisham council.

App charges are excessive and unfair ... charging 75p for 15mins compared to 35p when paying by cash .. it is. RIP off and automatically discriminates against people who do not have smart phones by choice. NO unless those payments via apps are reduced to cash prices I personally see no value only a money making cash scheme much like many of the current council policies .much like the hiked up parking penalty charges ...

App is future

App only can be difficult to manage if you're not computer literate or have a smart phone, credit on the phone etc,

App only machines very rarely work and are very complicated. Cash are far better

App only should not be allowed as many people do not have smartphones. Card payment is potentially acceptable.

app or card based machines are not easy to use for some groups.

App parking allows commuters to top up parking remotely and thus defeats the object of pay bays - see use of bays outside stations. So payment needs to be in person to stop this.

App payments are convenient, but some sections of the borough are not technologically competent (the elderly, the poorer etc). The more available methods of payment, the easier, and the better.

App, card and cash payment options should be available for all parking machines
app/ smart phone payment systems used in other boroughs can be slow and frustrating.
Ensure the technology utilised includes a simple card payment system.

Apps and telephone numbers can be quite difficult to use - card is easier!

Apps are a bit of a pain in general (and the council's website for buying residents/visitors' permits is particularly awful) and phones can run out of battery. It should remain possible to pay for parking everywhere without using the Internet, ie with either cash or a physical credit/debit card.

Apps are OK if you have a mobile phone. Many elderly people don't have this facility to pay for their parking. It is often very time consuming to pay by phone. What do you do if your battery runs out and you haven't paid??? Some people don't like using cards to pay for parking either. Leave the machines for cash as before.

Apps can be hard to use and take ages to set up. Card machines on pay and display machines themselves are great.

Apps may be tricky if they are different across boroughs.

Parking for electric or hybrid cars should be free.

Apps require registration and adding card payment. Not everyone wants to pay this way, Are app/card machines lower cost to implement and operate? Not clear from information provided.

Are you increasing the hourly fee?

Are you trying to e clue elderly people from the Borough?

As a resident it should be free for 2 hours

As a transport professional, including duties to submit Community and Equalities Impact Assessments on transport-related schemes as well as public consultation responses as a LGA key stakeholder to DfT, HE etc., I can categorically state that changing ANY machines to credit card & app only will fall foul of Equalities Law.

Anyone who does not have a bank account or own/know how to use a smartphone will be negatively impacted by this proposal.

These impacted groups include the most disadvantaged members of our society and community: the elderly, people living in poverty, people with cognitive impairments, travellers, migrant workers, recent immigrants etc. These are all *legally* Protected Groups.

Lewisham will make itself liable to significant and multiple legal challenges / class-action lawsuits — at local taxpayer expense — if it pursues and implements either, or both, of these two proposals (2nd and 3rd) above. I personally would take it upon myself to lead these legal challenges. Please consider the entire community you have been employed to serve — all community members, not just those like yourselves (and like me) i.e. Council officers with secure, steady employment including the luxuries of pension rights, paid time off, travel-to-work and salary sacrifice schemes, health & wellness offers, etc. etc. We are not the majority, we are not representative of our average community member, and we benefit from huge privilege, which needs to be acknowledged explicitly and owned. Check your privilege.

Thank you for your consideration of my (professional expert) comments.

As mentioned before, the cost of visitor permits for those who live in a controlled area should be cheaper in comparison to the pay and display price.

As part of CPZ being introduced, we were promised parking meters. (St Johns)

Along with EV points, this failed to materialise.

Again, Lewisham is misleading us.

As the amounts are usually relatively small, i think cash should still be an option, at least in areas where cash use is higher. You may not get phone signal/battery to use an app or have a card on you or it is rejected. Also there tends to be less security at parking meters than say a cash machine and so security might be a consideration

Auto location recognition for phone payments instead of using location codes

Ban pay and display to discourage car use

Because of the small amount, i believed people prefer to pay by card. Consider putting in place advance annual car parking payment by given discount or this.

People buying a year parking in advance could enjoy maybe 5 to 10% discount. This would save the council time in administration and reduce the use of cash n less card transactions thus reducing the fees paid

Better maintenance needed. The only I regularly user is often broken

Both cash and card options should be available

By reducing parking people will be encouraged to make other arrangements do get to their destination.

By wanting to remove pay and display machine which do not take cash would be prejudicial to those who may have physical or mental issues in accessing card payment methods. It would be wholly unjust to prevent or remove a viable payment method for no just cause.

In addition, card payment should be the same as cash payment £1.40

The reasons provided in the consultation for increasing to £1.60 are baseless and clear doubletalk.

It states that Lewisham will no longer take the their 0.12p so what increase to £1.60?

Lewisham has not provided any sound justification for this except wanting to make more revenue.

Nowhere in the consultation document does it detail how this generated revenue is going to be or has been used to improve the lives of the residents and visitors to Lewisham that they are so keen to penalise financially all in the name of air pollution.

Car parking machines in Lewisham borough are poorly maintained. I rarely, one a year used a pay and display machine but I needed to pick up a prescription quickly for my very ill child (hence I'd used the car and not walked. So I parked and tried to pay the machine on Springbank Road. It "ate" my 70p and didn't supply me with a ticket. For people in the borough who have little money it doesn't help. It will save on the need to have change in the car "just in case". If I could have paid by card I would have done so but I so rarely park at pay and display I don't have a ringo app. Paying by card on the machine would have helped.

Car parks and hourly parking charges should be the same

Card and app are good but I don't think it's fair if people don't have cards or phones to pay

Card and app only could be an equity issue for the elderly etc

Card and app payments are convenient and easy for most people. But the method disproportionately affects people without smart phones or digital skills. I would wager there are a lot of such people in our borough.

Card and app payments should be cheaper, to account for the fact that they are cheaper to collect.

Card and app should be significantly cheaper as the cost of security, collection and banking will be removed

Card is preferable over apps.

Card only or app may prove difficult.

Bromley council already have card or cash payment on some of their machines.

Card or App only machines would unfairly discriminate against people, often older or disadvantaged, who do not have a bank account or who find it difficult to use the App

Card or app only-machines could be a concern for those that do not hold a card or smart phone. Coins/notes payments should still be available.

Some boroughs such as Bexley offer machines with different types of payment, cash, card, touch, app.

Card or app payment only significantly disadvantages residents on low incomes and the elderly. Given other recent things not related to this going on in Lewisham, not sure the council cares but it has to be said.

Card or app payments are time consuming, and difficult to impossible for many residents. There should always be a cash option, without raising the price for everyone.

Card pay and display machines sometimes don't work.

Card payment is better than app as if you haven't set yourself up with the provider a poor mobile signal can mean that it is impossible to register and therefore you can't park.

Card payments should be a choice.

Card security by using these machines is a concern. The Dart charge is managed by creating an account which can then be debited each time it is used. Is it not possible to have a similar system. Link it to the Oyster card?

Card/app only is unfair for older residents who may rely on cash

Cars in band 1, with under 100 Co2 should not be charged more!!!

Also

Right now older motorcycles are not tested for emissions and therefore automatically are not ulez exempt. This should be changed as this data is available from the manufacturers. For example I own 1999 Suzuki SV650 which is known as a vehicle with low enough emissions to be ulez exempt but due to its age this data is not registered on the V5 and therefore is bound to be charged. Which is absolutely unfair to those that like older yet efficient motorcycles.

Cash and card and app options on all machines. All at the same price

Cash is easy

Cash is still a currency. Not everyone has contactless cards, phones, etc. Options for cash should still be valid

Cash machine should be more expensive than online

Cash machines often don't work, usually due to being unable to enter the registration number of the car. Cashless systems are good. However, in some car-parks where you can have 2 hours free parking and just need to display a ticket, I do object to paying 20p for cashless due to the machine being broken!

Cash machines should remain in situ throughout the borough.

Cash only machines out of order sometimes make it difficult to pay for parking taking away the commodity of parking nearby your destination you are actually paying for as you have to walk around to find a working machine to avoid penalty charges

I personally prefer pay by phone or app

Cash option should always be available. No everyone has a smart phone or contactless payment card

Cash option should always remain. Not everyone who uses pay and display is as proficient with tech.

Cash parking meters are essential for older people &/or those who do not trust the technologies that underpin online payments with regard to the risks of data 'hacking', consequent compromise of card details and other possible identity problems. An 'electronic wallet' similar, say to TfL's Oyster system could be a solution to this: 'wallets' (creditcard-sized cards) could be charged at, say, libraries, newsagents &/or other outlets and paid for by cash or ... credit card.

cash payments are expensive to collect; money should be spent on air quality work

Cash payments available

Cash remains an important method of payment, especially for disadvantaged sections of society (see recent "Access to Cash" report) so removing cash as a payment method from all machines would seem to disadvantage most those who rely on cash today and who, for various reasons, may not always be able to or want to pay by card or app.

Cash should always be an option

Cash should always be an option

Cash should always be an option, as well as credit card and app.

Cash should always be an option, not everyone can work an app or has the means to pay by card.

The price should be the same for everyone.

Cash should continue to be supported.

Many people don't have mobiles/ apps.

Some are outside the banking system.

People are forgetful but can keep emergency parking money in the car.

Cash should remain an option for accessibility but should be a higher costs.

Cash should still be expected

Cash should still be offered as an option, even though i know this is logistically favourable (you need to empty them, also moving parts so more maintenance etc).

Cashless machines only if a system for charging cars of more than 3 years old is implemented e.g £3.00 per hour for a car older than 3 years and £1.60 for a newer vehicle.

The app or machine should be able to calculate the relevant charge according to the input registration number of the vehicle

Cashless payments are fine when technology is working, but should not be only choice

Cashless should be cheaper, due to not having to securely collect coins and process them to a bank. There should never have been a surcharge for cashless payment. The proposal here is clearly to increase the cash rate to match the cashless, so the wording of proposal to 'remove the surcharge' from cashless payments is disingenuous.

Certainly the availability of card payments should be standard across all parking facilities - even where the Council decides to maintain cash payment as an option. App based payment is less ideal. Downloading the apps is often a pain in the a**, takes up unnecessary space on your phone and then entails unwanted tracking and ads into your phone or email address.

Charge a lower rate for Lewisham residents.

Charges are already higher than Bromley, so I'd have thought that any further increase could be detrimental to Lewisham's retail sector.

Charges should not be same for different payment methods. Should reflect costs or reflect behaviours Lewisham wants to encourage. In particular cash has other costs: physical maintenance of machines, staff to collect cash etc. So costs of processing cash payments likely higher than the 12pence per hour Lewisham pays ringgo

Cheapest method should be used

Citizens Advice recently released a report about the risks to vulnerable people when moving to a 'cashless' society. I don't think it is right to move to card/app only machines, as this would exclude people who rely on cash from using the council's parking services.

The better solution would be to provide machines that use both cash, card and app.

Coins should still be used to make them accessible to older people but younger people should have the option of app or sms or phone.

Commuters who park on Fernbrook road after 12 noon should be charged.

Today a car parked outside a residents house at 4pm, guy on his commuter, car engine on. Make him pay for polluting the air. Registration no.

Con to put money into Lewisham shithole coffers

Concerned that some people might not have a card or smartphone.

Consider the elderly.

Consider the user

COST OF PARKING SHOULD BE REDUCED

Costs saved by Lewisham council by going cashless should be reflected in revised (ie lowered) parking charges

Costs should be higher for cash payment to encourage people to use card/app, so that pay and display is more cost effective to run and money made can be used for air pollution reduction initiatives across the borough. The point about making payments fair and equal seems to suggest those paying with cash might be more disadvantaged, but this does not account for two important things: 1) it is virtually impossible not to have a bank card or phone nowadays, so the vast majority of people will have this access - it's just that some people prefer to pay with cash 2) more importantly, anyone who is able to afford to drive a car and pay for parking will not represent the poorest people in Lewisham; those people will be represented within the 47% of people who don't own a vehicle and whose health is impacted by other people driving. Therefore policies should always take account of the need to protect the health of the most vulnerable overall, and having a charging policy which makes having pay and display easier to run and make money from should overall have a more positive impact on those who need the council to focus on reducing air pollution.

Could parking be lower priced for electric cars?

Council should understand that we are living in time when cash is no longer used. Stop wasting money on the machine upgrade. If the person driving a car they have a phone with an app to pay for parking.

Create a bit more of Pay & Display parking

Create an exclusion zone around schools and pick up and drop off, This will improve their quality around schools for primary school children.

Current cash ones are really not consumer friendly

Current machines are too timely to use and often do not work

Currently the machines are not well maintained. If cash machines are retained they must be properly maintained.

Cus not everybody has money in their account and not every body understands how to use the internet

Definitely agree that they should all have a card/contactless payment option

definitely fix the broken machines

Didn't quite understand questions 3: I strongly disagree full stop that machines should be car or app only whether that is on the street or in areas / car parks where use of cash payments remains high.

Differing people have differing levels of technological savvy, you need to be mindful of diversity of access to the internet here too. Not everyone will want to use their cards considering the high level of identity fraud reported in the press.

Difficult to use and some are broken e.g. By Glass Mill

Direct money into charging infrastructure rather than money making schemes for parking. App only potentially penalises older people. Parking charges deter residents from supporting local businesses. Yes, if the business is very close, most people will walk. But we've many great businesses throughout the borough, meaning sometimes it's necessary to drive.

Disagree with hourly payments only. Very expensive to be charged for 2 hours when you have only been 1 hour and 15 minutes etc.

Discontinuing cash payments would discriminate against people who don't use smart phones or who find using the technology difficult or too challenging. This would be very unfair.

Although cash payments only accounted for 37% of payments last year, that still represents a large number of people who prefer to pay that way and their wishes shouldn't be ignored.

Diversity / age ranges in the Borough need to be taken into consideration and their ability to pay + pay methods.

Do not have those RIngo things (apps ?) they are a real pain !

Do not increase pay and display charges - it will have an effect on us using local services and shops. In times of austerity they are high enough

Do not raise parking charges. People already strapped for cash. Wages not risen for years. People already struggling.

Do you want Lewisham to completely crumble?? The centre is full of shops closing. It costs less to park in MANY nicer boroughs and nicer towns in the UK where there are genuinely nice places to visit, decent shops etc. If you want to bring people into Lewisham then you should give them free parking , certainly NOT increase the costs.

Does making all methods of payment the same cost mean that the cost of parking overall increases? Whilst some may want to pay for the convenience of using card payment, some may not. However, if there is a cost to collecting the cash then perhaps the cost of revenue collection should be the same across all methods of collection.

Don't charge hospitals

Don't discourage visitors for local shops and businesses

Don't penalise the older generation who may not be able to use apps on phones or may not have contactless cards

Don't assume everyone relies on technology, you may be putting barriers in way for older people that could isolate them or stop them getting out and about

Don't change the App provider. Ringo is much better than the apps used in some other boroughs. However encourage them to add functionality to charge for the amount of time you actually parked rather than guessing in advance how much you will need. Same with cards. It should be tap in tap out like Oyster

Don't go cash free! That's a way to exclude low income families and people. Some communities (not the white British that are likely the proposer of this bulshit might be!) don't go around paying everything with card, apps (what about old people? You are serving an intergenerational, multicultural community assholes!)

Dont like machines,

Don't penalise the elderly and disabled and others who either can't use a mobile phone or choose not to have a mobile phone or don't have data use for whatever reason.

Dont raise the parking cost as an excuse to bring in the roll out of new card knly machines.
Don't use them
Driving should be a luxury, especially in a borough with so good transport.

Having said that, the borough is poorly connected. More frequent buses may encourage people to not take cars.

The bus stops outside the train station are chaotic

Elderly don't use apps why punish them?

Elderly may not have these facilities.

Elderly people and those without smart phones cannot pay by app/phone. Machines which use cash are important in areas where they are used highly, in order for people to still access shops etc in areas they need to and pay by cash.

Elderly people are struggling to keep up with technology so making it cash free will result in fines for them

Elderly people who may rely on their cars to get about often are not technologically clued up so there must be an alternative for them otherwise this is discriminatory and they end up penalised.

Elderly residents do not use mobile phones to pay for parking and they need to be able to access parking easier than the rest of us.

encourage card and app payment

Ensure parking meters are working. Especially on Elverson road near the DLR.

Some elderly dont have card or apps so still require cash meters.

Ensure that parking across the borough is enforced 24/7 . Sunday parking is the most polluting.

Ensure that they are working.

Ensure the app used is the same across Lewisham. I review patients across Lewisham.

Using the same app is helpful. An e mail/text receipt would allow me to claim my money back.

Private parking areas are a real problem and can lead to a long walk with equipment to see a patient.

Ensure the machines are working and the app works

Ensuring that the machines are working and finding a reliable partner should be priorities.

RingGo manage to charge for free parking.

Equality Act

I do not believe you cannot fulfill your public sector equality duty by removing cash

Evelyn ward should also have pay parking and a CPZ

Even though its declining, I think there should always be an option to pay cash. Sometimes you don't have your cards with you or some people may be using an old mobile phone.

Every Lewisham resident should be able to park in any residents bay whatever zone

Everybody has a phone these days, payment machines should change to simple display the parking zone number, so payments can be made via apps or by text/call. Having sufisticated machines that support any payments is a waste of public money.

Everything should be card/app operated. It is a nonsense for the council to invest in cash-orientated technology given the inevitable and rapid redundancy of cash.

Extend pay and display to discourage free commuters

Extortionate charges in and around Lewisham hospital!!!!

Extortionate fees compared to other boroughs

Elderley people dont all use cards and mobile phones so paying by cash should always be a option

Fees are too high for Lewisham. People should be encouraged to sharp in the area, high parking charges discourages me as I'd rather go to Bromley where there are more shops

Find your machines generally in a state of disrepair.
 Fix machines which are not working. Been many issues when only had coins on me and around Hither Green 6 machines in the same road were not accepting cash.
 Fix the machines around Lewisham park. And not confuse visitors by having half one side of the Rd pay and display and zone L permit.
 Fix your machines ! I've lost countless pound coins in non functioning pay and display meters . Pretty impossible to get that money back .
 For an occasional user like me, it's difficult if I cannot park without paying for it in cash. I don't want to download an app and my last experience with Ringo wasn't entirely successful.
 For disabled people and older people, it would not be appropriate to reduce the ways in which payment can be made.
 For goodness sake! Just make it easy for people to comply with your charges. Cash AND card option throughout the borough. Many people are not comfortable using online services. Be flexible.
 For some people cards and apps are an effective option. These people are likely to be on low income and or be vulnerable. Why target them. Why did anyone think this was a good idea?
 For those who refrain from using card payments, I believe that it would be discriminatory to force people into a position where they cannot use cash.
 Forcing everyone to use an app or mobile would unfairly penalise the poor who may not own a mobile phone. It also assumes that the driver has his phone with him at the time.
 Free for electric cars..
 Free parking for 30 minutes would be fair as driving around in circles to attend and repair my customers washing machine pumps unnecessary diesel fumes
 get rid - just use the app. The machines are unsightly, need maintaining and are often out of service.
 Get rid of the cash machine.
 Get rid of the machines and make everyone do it by phone or text. Then spend the savings on machine maintenance on environmental improvements.
 Get rid of the ones that are there to simply raise revenue and not to stop congestion. Nothing worse than having to pay for parking when there's acres of empty pay-to-pay space, but no free parking
 Getting rid of cash options usually affects the poorest people
 Give option of cash, card and app. Older residents are not accustomed to using card and apps. Keep cash and incorporate other methods
 Give options of how to pay and have charges proportionate to costs of receiving payment in the different form.
 Give people the choice of different forms of payment options to be fairer, such as cash, cards and app.
 Give the poor old people a chance
 If we with smart phones want to make something more convenient then great but you don't get to pay less or more offer both, that's way people who don't want to pay or think they can get away with it are the ones getting charged not people who can't get the app to work or don't have a smart phone or may have lost it or had it stolen
 Go AppyParking . Where people can pay by the minute and not fix rate by the hour. Other borrows in England have collaborated with this company and they are very successful.
 Great when they work. The phone method is always a nightmare - cash, card and app only.
 Greenwich has parking much cheaper but not sure what this does to driving in the area.
 Half of the time it doesn't work
 Hard of hearing and people without smartphones (mostly elderly) will find it increasingly difficult to use non cash machines
 Hardly anybody uses cash and the numbers that do does not justify the huge cost of maintaining P&D machines. Scrap them totally and used the money saved to sweep the streets a bit more frequently!

Have both cash and card machines available. Some people use cash only and will be excluded from parking if card only machines

Have more machines visible not everyone can speak clearly or could be deaf and id you change car the phone thing isnt user friendly - have more machine method of payment cash or card -

Have the option of cash, card or app.

Have tried using my debit card, only for the phone to not register the correct numbers. Total disaster

Have you calculated the percentage of older residents who do not use cards or apps for payment? Pay and display machines should be mainly money only.

Also, if you are going to increase the rate, then, in order to encourage shoppers you need to have certain times that are FREE eg Thursday morning until 11.00am or fri evening from 4.30pm.

Parking charges are yet another way of reducing the activity in the high street!

Haven't used them ever since Ringo app became available. Much much more convenient.

Having the flexibility to use cash or an app is important, given the risk of batteries running out or signal blackspots.

Here's the thing. I'm a younger generation and I love paying by app or cards. I don't need cash and I think we should get rid of all the cash flow. Look at Scandinavia. They hardly accept cash.

BUT here's the problem. Changing to app and card won't help older people. Some don't even know what an app is. Or hardly using cards.

But this could be a chance for Lewisham to have a dedicated team who helps people (older age) how to get use to those new methods.

High streets are dying because of parking charges. Reduce them. And make hospital parking free for the visiting hours.

Higher charges in areas where parking pressure is particularly acute?

How are older people mentally to use a smart phone to pay I don't know any that could

How does an 80 year old use an "app" or "on-line" ?? Costs increase with apps !

How well are they policed? Is there enough paring in Catford, where a lot of the parking problems are acute?

How would you work out which areas to use the cash payment machines??

I think the machines should be open to all 3: cash, card and app as not everyone uses card, not all are technology smart and not all like to carry cash so it can adhere to all needs and preferences.

I think that it should not be a different price when you pay by card for parking on a machine cause lots of your parking machine are out of order eg opposite Lewisham hospital the one way street opposite the hospital never has them working so you have to pay by phone through a card which costs more money once again how convenient for the council when they are not working gets extra money which it not fair again

I absolutely hate using the card and app. Please let the cash ones as well as card and app. I have had problems with the card payment and spent 10mins sorting out my payment and made late for Drs appointment. It also penalised older people or poverished people who don't use smart phones

I agree that cash users of pay and display machines should support the additional costs of card/app transactions. Please consider people that are unable to use credit cards or apps on a smart phone. Maybe you could offer a Lewisham card or better yet integrate with some other cash card like Oyster. Lewisham is not the most affluent London Borough and we must be considerate of those that don't have the ability to use credit cards or apps.

I agree that the convenience charge (for using cashless payment) should be removed. However your proposal does not address this. The convenience charge is not being removed. You are in fact proposing to add the charge to all payments - even though there is no "convenience" to the person paying by cash.

Cashless payers have long been paying a 14% surcharge which has been unfair. But you now propose to charge cash payers the same surcharge. This does not address the unfairness.

This argument about "equality" is disingenuous and is merely a mechanism for increasing charges. The 20p convenience charge should be removed, thereby bringing the cost per hour to £1.40 for everyone.

If you wish then to generally increase parking charges, you should deal with this separately.

I always use my card to pay and think most people with a car will have a bank card.

I am almost certainly in a minority in having a mobile phone which is not a smart phone. The phone I have cannot manage apps and neither can I, so, for me, using my phone to pay for parking is not an option. Card payments I could cope with.

I am an older resident and am not comfortable using contactless cards and do not use a smart phone, it sounds like you are going to discriminate the older resident again.

I am not sure going completely cashless would work for senior drivers.

I could not imagine my mum being able to manage this, so this would be discrimination by age group.

I am only in favour of switching to cards or apps if this in the long term saves money to the Council so would need to see a study showing that it is worth it and that it is not just a fancy investment in technology!

I am very put off parking where I have to use my mobile phone. I would prefer cash machines. I appreciate the cashless /app option might save money but I worry it excludes the elderly who may not be able to use technology efficiently. Could there be a smart card option where a card could be pre-loaded with credit to pay?

I appreciate that pay-and-display is an income generator for the Council. However, if the Council is truly serious about air quality it would reduce the number of pay-and-display bays. I believe electronic payments are the way forward. Machines that take physically card payments are great and I would be happy with that, but the Apps are a huge pain and if the suggestion is to remove cash options and replace them with only an App, which requires a phone to use, then I cannot support that.

I believe that switching to a card only system will be a massive disadvantage to visitors and people who may not understand the systems. A cash system like currently is best

I believe the charge is too expensive

I can only pay in cash.

I cannot understand why you want to change the Pay and display. What is wrong with it?

Many people do not use Apps or find it difficult to navigate the Ringgo system. What if you are visiting from out of borough, you do not have ready access to Ringgo without a big performance. Some people prefer using cash.

I currently use the app to park in Lewisham and have experienced the app not working correctly and had to leave my car without payment. Will there be a number available to call should there be a problem paying for parking via the parking app.

I definitely agree that all payments should be the same regardless of the payment method. Why should they method of payment determine the price you pay?? It simply does not make sense.

100% up to 1 hour parking should be free, because there are times where the whole duration of an hour is not required but a £1.20 payment (or more in some places) is required, which is a total waste!

I disagree I think there should be an option to pay cash not everyone can manage payments with a phone and some don't like putting their payment cards into the machine this can be difficult for some older people

I do not agree with emissions surcharges on pay and display machines

I do not own a car. I do think that you should have two forms of payment. I notice that many areas only allow for payment by phone. There should be also be the option to pay by machine by card.

Signage for payment should be more visible and especially indicating to machine paying.

I do think that cash payment is an option which should be always on the table. Though Lewisham Council says that the online and app systems for parking are easy to navigate, the reality is that there are not. In addition, I have security concerns with any app. And please think of the elderly who don't have apps etc and still want to go to the shops or visit friends. I do think that they should switch to card- who carries cash, especially coins?! Bound to have a higher rate of pay, but i think there should be a reduced rate as surely this reduces maintenance fees, and would encourage more people to pay.

I do use your parking app, but also cash. There's always the time the phone is forgotten.

I don't feel equipped to answer this one - I think it would depend on what your research on users of the system reveals about need (e.g. Those who need to pay by cash)

I don't know what proportion of vehicle drivers are able to pay by card. Might some people simply not be able to pay by card? I don't know.

I don't think parking prices should be the same in all locations. You need to encourage more people to use lewisham shops by reducing parking fees

I don't think people should be forced to have an app . I think it's much better that they should be able to use debit or credit cards.

I don't think the cost should increase

I don't use P&D bays, but think consideration needs to be given to the equalities impact and also people would need to have confidence that the payment will work and it needs to be clear what happens if the card / app payment fails.

I don't use them in this borough, but once again, switching to app or card only will penalise the poorest who still use cash the most.

I don't want the parking fees to be high as it means my family and friends who live out of the borough are unable to visit me easily and freely which leads to social isolation

I don't want to have to sign up to an app or use a mobile phone in order to park somewhere. I think if one pays for residents parking in Lewisham one should be able to park in the municipal car parks for free.

I feel it's important to remain inclusive and allow access to pay and display for those who do not have access to smartphone/app based payment systems.

More choice, with effective control through pricing and enforcement.

Perhaps make clear how revenues from on street parking are used by Lewisham for the benefit of all residents.

I feel that again you are using drivers as a cash cow - £1.60 for an hour is excessive in my opinion. Even the current prices are too high

I feel that the same options should be provided for the whole borough. I regularly use the app and card purchases but there have been occasions when I cannot access these so therefore use cash.

I FEEL THAT YOU ARE TAKING AWAY peoples options if you make them card and app only. many elderly members of the community do not use smart phones and prefer to pay with cash. Its is nice to have the option

I feel this is a very leading survey. Of course we all have an invested interest in improving the air quality around us. I fully support this and where ever possible walk or use public transport. But I feel the way the survey is worded is very (mis)leading (and what looks like your intention of rising costs) is only taking advantage of the situation. On the website is quotes: 'low

emission vehicle owners will see charges reduce, while those who own the most polluting vehicles will pay the most.’ But I think what you mean is that you are raising the cost and those that have low emission vehicles will pay the same.

If we pay £280 for annual parking – what service are you providing for this rise? Please don’t say that it will ensure that there will be space for us to park. Quote from Lewisham Borough website: ‘47% of households don’t have a vehicle at all’ so it can’t be the case that local residence will be fighting for car parking spaces.

So, where does this (extra) money go? What extra service do I get? How are you investing this extra money to help improve the air quality? Please can you provide me with an estimate as to the extra funding/money you expect to receive in the first year and how this money will be spent. I feel this should be transparent to Lewisham residents otherwise it feels that you are riding on ‘Air quality & Emissions’ wagon and just taking the opportunity to exploit local residents.

Quote from the Lewisham Borough Website: ‘We now have the opportunity to introduce measures that will help to improve our air quality.’ – what are these measures that will help to improve the air quality?

In many areas of my life I have a choice. We are a family of five and both my husband and I work fulltime. We carefully choose the bank we use, the utilities companies that provide us with gas and electric, schools that our children attend, shops that we buy food/clothes from, insurance companies, internet providers, (all to fit with our own morals and financial budget – (that just gets squeezed and squeezed every year!)). With regard to parking, I don’t get a choice. You have a monopoly over that. Either use your service or don’t park. My husband works very hard for his living and needs a van in order to do this. We have chosen not to purchase two cars so manage to use the van as a family vehicle also – which at times proves difficult. We feel we are being forced to purchase a new van. A vehicle that fits the emission requirements and our family needs equates to £1000’s of pounds. I feel that by you raising the cost of parking hinders this. We are being forced to get rid of our very purposeful and reliable vehicle for a more compliant vehicle for emission zones - which we know will help with the environment and are happy to start to save to make this new investment for the environment - but our hopes of saving for this is isn’t being helped by you penalising us with higher parking costs. It only hinders us. Please advise.

On another note, I don’t understand your Amazon voucher offer of £50. Surely it goes against your apparent intentions to help improve the environmental air quality. You are encouraging people to order online (a lot of products come from overseas/China) and as a result encourages vans\lorries to drive and deliver to people’s houses equating to more pollution. It also baffles me that you would support this type of business that have a reputation of not paying full UK taxes. Would it not be better to offer vouchers for local businesses? Would it not make more sense to support your ‘greener system’ by offering vouchers for public transport – ie Oyster Card vouchers. I would happily pay £280 (double cost from last year) for residential parking if this extra costs were invested in Oyster vouchers. So £140 of this money to be awarded to free (Oyster) travel per application. Surely this would encourage people to use public transport and the required result of lowering air pollution. And this would encourage me to believe that this isn’t just a money making excuse on your behalf.

I find app/card payments to be very easy and am pleased with the current way pay and display works.

I find older people complaining about the app/card system. There still need to be some machines for those who can’t use the new system

I imagine there will be many users who can’t access apps & who don’t have cards so it seems unfair to phase out cash

I know of older drivers who find the app and card only payment type challenging to deal with. Whilst personally the convenience is high, it does not cater for all.

I know other boroughs charge more for app parking - I think in this day of contactless payments that is ridiculous. Stronger than "Strongly" agree on this one - will save the council a fortune in cash collection

I know quite a few older people without phones so how are they supposed to pay for parking. I like card payments - apps are ok but cash payments should be retained for those who wish or can only pay in this fashion.

I like the range of options. If you have no cash options you mustnt just have app only payments, card useage would be ok for me.

I love using Ringo and other apps to make parking charge payments but I know that this is not being inclusive, as a lot of elderly people cannot use the machines. A lot of the elderly people are low incomes and to charge them more, if they can only use the machines seems unfair.

Is there not a way that the Council can sell daily/hourly permits in Laurence House which can be used in different locations. So the permits are pre-paid. You can put a cut-off usage/expiry date (say 6 months) on each permit.

I n longer visit Lewisham shops because all the parking metres around about are mobile phone or app only payment. I am 75 I do not have an expensive mobile phone and certainly will not be buying one to park in Lewisham! So I do suggest that coin operated machines are made available to us oldies as you must be aware of the increasingly large numbers of elderly people who still expect to be out and about for some years yet.

I object to giving my details to a third party app.

I am in favour of cashless machines providing you can use a credit card to pay.

I often pay for pay and display on the Ringo app but sometimes I may want to pay at the machine using money

I personally use the app and it is very helpful but older people would struggle so I think the options are necessary.

I personally would be happy to use app or card payment but this excludes some residents who are elderly or vulnerable as they may not be able to use these machines and will therefore be excluded which is unfair.

Could parking tokens be bought from shops to reduce the cash elements and they could be displayed is the car?

One simple rate for all is a brilliant idea.

I propose to get rid of them and payment should be automated by calling a number as not everyone can use apps.

I rarely use these but do have concerns around safety using or having card details in public I recently witnessed an attempted break in of a pay & display machine in my street (reported to police) if these were cash exempt no break in's would occur

I strongly believe that authorities, whether banking, government, local government, should stop pushing people towards a cashless system. By doing that you are also enabling banks to close their branches, which disables many people, particularly those who do not have internet banking or do not want to have internet banking.

I strongly disagree with price rise as online apps require much less maintenance as machines. Machines should stay . You can't make mandatory for people to have phone and with internet access on it. Or you should introduce machines with internet access.

I struggle with paying by phone and find they have charged me when it goes wrong and I've started but card has problem. My elderly parents would never work out and not sure phones would work with app.

I think all metres should have the facility of paying by cash, card and/or app.

I think all the machines should have the option for cash , not just in car parks

I think cash should always be an option.

I think even in areas where cash payments remain high Lewisham Council should still switch to app/online payment for parking. I appreciate that not everyone is adept to using this method but it is safer and more convenient!

i think it is best to have a variety of payment options

I think it is reasonable that visitor permits charges should be less than pay and display. It is unfair that you have to fork out lots the same money as someone doing business or shopping to have a friend or family member visit your house, or have a tradesmen visit. It is ripping off residents.

I think it should be optional to pay via cash / card / app

As the app is sometimes unpredictable and I cant access it sometimes, so more than one option to pay would be better.

I think it's important to still offer cash payments. Not everyone has a smartphone.

Unbelievable I know but it's true!

I think local shops would benefit from a review of where the pay and display areas are. There are a few places within the borough where there are only a few P&D display spots near shops with the rest quite a way away. Mount Pleasant road and all the way along there into Catford.

I think more free 30min parking should be allocated close to retail outlets and NHS services.

I think not having a cash option is funnelling people to phone only methods and limits a lot of older people and poorer people from being able to park. Some people don't own phones or have bank accounts for example.

I think older people who are not tech savvy would struggle if they can't pay by cash.

I have ringo and love it

I think parking should take card & cash or as we all do at times forget our cards or cash so it's good to have both options.

I think that card payment or app payment is good but should also be cash payment as not everyone is that tech friendly

I think that having a standard rate through out the borough is again simplistic.

To encourage shopping areas the hourly rate near shops should be low; however with only a limited time allowance. In residential areas the hourly rate could be higher to discourage commuters.

I think that variety should be kept so it doesn't make it harder for anyone to pay the charges.

I think the option to pay by cash should be retained. Some people don't have access to cards or credit.

But I think it should be slightly cheaper to pay by card or app, in recognition of the fact that it's less expensive to administer.

I think there are far too many parking restrictions already

I think there should be the option to pay cash.

I think they should respect the fact that some people do not use cards or Apps

I think you keep free parking for first hour or two to encourage use of local businesses. My concern is people will just use supermarkets where parking is free otherwise.

I thinking parking machines should be cash or card, but cash should be a payment option.

I understand cash collection is very expensive to maintain and should not be borne by our Council where the alternatives are so ubiquitous. I do not accept the arguments around equality in this day and age. Everyone has reasonable access to cashless payment methods even if ,for a very small minority, this would require a little more initial effort (eg, obtaining a preloadable card). The Council could provide assistance to those in obtaining preloadable cards etc in the early days.

i use lewisham car park and pay by card and cash, i think all pay and displays should be all options, many elderly people do not like using their cards and should not be commanded to do so, all ways get money in the end, and if there are high charges at some car pay and displays then this should be investigated why their prices are different.

I use only cards however I strongly disagree with card only as it excludes the most vulnerable and negatively affects them

I use Ringgo all the time. It's OK when you have a good connection. There are 3G zones (that should be 4G) where Ringgo hangs - cannot be used. Until that's sorted, you need both options to pay. Also, some of us (accidentally) leave home to run an errand without a phone. No phone, no Ringgo. Unless you've got a Ringgo 'card' coming that you could just tap on a pay & display machine for parking credit...

I use Ringo but not everyone has phones

I use the app but you get charged more per hour for using it and that doesn't seem fair. if you want people to use the app make the prices the same, as if everyone pays electronically surely your overheads are reduced? Give that saving back to residents

I use the Ringo App in many local car parks and I think the cost should be the same for all parking, I think it is about £1.00 per hour at the moment. I would be prepared to pay £1.20 per hour. I don't think cash machines should disappear as not all drivers are used to the Apps and if they come from a long way away to visit London, it can be confusing if there is no possibility to either pay actual cash or cash over the phone. Apps tend to be specific to the area.

I usually only need to park for 15mins. Ringo app makes you pay for 1hr.

I don't have a smart phone. How am I meant to park.

I worry that question 3 is at odds with question 2. Surely if someone has answered they strongly disagree with q2, that then makes q3 superfluous.

I would be against a solution where only app payments are possible - people shouldn't be forced to use a smart phone for paying parking. Paying by card seems more generally accessible for people if one must choose a solution, with regards to continuing cash payment as an option I would base that on social consideration, i.e. whether card only would likely disadvantage people with lower incomes who may not always have cards.

I would like to know why the parking is so expensive.

Is this the main revenue for Councils?

Ideally no machines would be needed as payment can be made online or via an app. If machines are needed then they should take card and not cash.

Residential area parking should be different from that on high streets and near train stations. If a business case suggests changing methods of payments to digital only proves to be a more sustainable business model and helps to reduce costs to residents parking then I would support the change.

If anything there should be a premium for paying with cash, because of the extra work and risk associated with handling cash.

If cash machine usage is high does this reflect a need to use cash or the lack of an alternative. In principle cash is on the way out but Bromley has machines that accept both.

Why not Lewisham

If cash machines cost the council additional money or energy sources than card only machines then I agree that the switch should take place, but I don't believe you should replace them for the sake of it as this is a waste of materials.

If cash transactions are more expensive for LBC to administer, I am in favour of switching to card or app only machines.

If existing cash machines are still functional then I fail to see why the expense of replacement should be incurred, however when no longer viable it makes sense to replace them with new technology

If it's not broken, don't fix it.

If it's card only you disadvantage older people who don't use this type of payment

If machine is not working, then parking should be free. Or more machines installed as it can waste time for ppl trying to find another machine. It's really annoying

If my Greenwich experience is repeated I would be very unhappy. The Dash system is hugely frustrating to use for the first time. It all feels very haphazard. For short term parking the meters are so old they often suck up coins without giving you parking time. I want

machines to give us as many payment options as possible. Horrible to stand in the rain trying to access internet to register your car...or hang on the phone waiting for assistance.

If people have to use them, payment methods should be as easy as possible

If possible have PAD machines take all forms of payment to make them available to all users

If the cash machines still work there is no need to upgrade them as people will still have to pay or risk a fine. Use the investment money elsewhere

If the cost of updating the machines is prohibitive then we should not spend that money, however the cost of parking should be consistent no matter the payment method.

If the online / app was easier to use then I would be happy for it to swap however I have encountered many issues trying to pay online to believe the system is capable of being fit for purpose at the current time

If the use of cash is low the cost of parking should go down not up. I will never Park in Lewisham even though I drive and live in the borough, I can't afford it and therefore seldom do any shopping in Lewisham centre

If there's one thing that needs to be changed regarding pay and display issues in Lewisham, it is the need to enforce this on Sundays as well as the rest of the week. Otherwise the whole point of trying to improve the air quality in the borough is wasted, as Lewisham streets literally do become a car park on that day.

If u make it only cards or online what if i dont have my card with me but i have cash or i dont have enough money in my card to pay?so i think the machine should be card or cash acceptable.

If we are going to have them, they need to be maintained properly. If we had fewer we could have more trees.

If you are asking these questions you are out of touch with many people.

You are just looking at ways to make life for the tech poor to be even harsher.

If you are elderly then using an app would be difficult so there should be one machine that is still cash for the next 5 years then phase out with cash

If you can afford to run a car you should have a bank account with a card. The costs of maintaining pay and display machines are not worth the money.

If you charge too much for parking the people will find cheaper parking elsewhere and Lewisham will lose customers, I've seen this happen in other areas and all the local shops are shutting down because of parking restrictions.

If you go cashless how do you pay when you cannot get internet access which we have seen on numerous occasions.

If you have machines, it is important to have every way of payment available. From cash to Apple Pay.

Also, they should be in working order and really visible! Some sign should be put up for the driver to find the machine if you only want to put a machine every 100metres

I think, the machine should apply a discount for the borough resident no matter if they leave in this street or the street in the other side of the borough

This is only to encourage residents to stay around the borough to do their shopping.

If you live in a 2 hour parking zone then people can still park all day to go to work as they can just phone and book their parking. Well thought out Lewisham council, your ideas just get better and better

If you maintained the machine's in the first place residents wouldn't be being penalized.

If you make these changes this will be the death of Lewisham, people including residents will travel to other areas for shopping

If you need to pay via cash. Insure that the machines are working at all times and clearly marked the hours of parking inclusive of stating free parking on public bank holidays.

If you pay by app or card it should only charge for the time used. Rather than me having to guess how long i will need to park which costs more

If you switch to app and/or card only, you're discriminating against people who can't or don't want to use smart phones. E. G. People with a disability, those who may not have credit on their phones, or tourists who don't want to use their phone in a different country

If you want the money use all forms of payment.

If you wish the borough's high streets to survive, I think you should be looking at reducing the cost of parking, there is the law of diminishing returns. Bromley is nearby, better shops, better environment and cheaper parking. Lewisham and Catford are grim.

I'm always concerned that non-cash payments may cause problems for the elderly or those who are not so tech-savvy (who may not have a mobile which they know how to use in this way). Cash machines are often faulty and can cost to maintain. Why not extend the scratch card system, allowing people to buy parking tickets in many more places throughout the borough to use for both visitor and pay and display? Even better, don't have a scratch off but a simple stamp machine, so people could insert a 'one hour' card and have it stamped with the day and time before displaying it in the car?

I'm not a strong cash user (I barely use it at all), but I think choice is the essence of a liberal society.

Improve the ringgo app. It doesn't work well on android.

Improve your cycling policy! You do pretty much nothing for cyclists. When you redo roads, you don't add cycling lanes. The Lewisham centre redevelopment is an absolute shame. It's all very well and good to 'punish' diesel, but what do you do to encourage cycling? Nothing. There is no cycling policy. We don't need different types of cars, we need less cars.

In favour of card or app payments but not the phone line parking services that take up to 5 minutes to make a payment

In general, we need to encourage the use of cashless payment methods by charging less for cashless payments. My concern about eliminating cash altogether is that some people might be excluded from using local parking altogether. If a method were found of enabling all people to pay using cashless transactions (eg prepaid parking card), then we could go completely cashless.

In high public use areas there should always be an option for cash or charge.

In my view the council should pursue the option which represents the best value to council tax payers. Even if use of cash remains high in some areas, that does not mean that people would not switch to another option if given no choice.

In raising car parking charges will discourage those using local shops. All charges should be equal but match the lower of the charges, not the higher.

In relation to the last question card payments should still be an option as the use of coins has decreased as noted by your stats

In some areas, they are difficult to find. Better signage and more meters

In terms of accessibility, still allowing cash would allow more people to be able to park. Not everyone has a smartphone or likes using card payment

In the same way as national government agreed continued access to free cash machines, it is important that local access to paid for parking is guaranteed by continuing the provision and so the choice of paying with cash as well as credit or debit card.

If you have no credit or cash cards... Or charged phones when you need to park, it is essential that residents can still choose to pay with cash. There are many people who, for one reason or another cannot always depend upon or use a card to pay to park. The fines for not paying are very high, and it is unfair to expect anyone to risk a huge fine by not paying if they find they are short of the right kind of coin, card or mobile phone when they need to drive /and park somewhere in Lewisham.

Increase charges. It's cheaper to drive than take public transport for many journeys. No wonder everyone drives...

Increasing costs to park directly affects traders & is a big part of why our high streets are dying

It's the council's fault driving business out of Lewisham

Charges should be reduced near shops to help them

Install machines that are reliable.

The charges for parking near shops should be affordable to all users otherwise traders will suffer.

Instrument machines with air quality sensors and dynamically scale parking prices with levels of pollution

Is it not possible to have machines that accept any payment type: cash, app or card? I imagine that any cash machine needs emptying, and therefore someone needs to be employed to do that. I can only see the value in card / app only machines if whatever labour savings there are will result in lower parking fees.

Is it possible to have dual cash and card machines?

Is not a good ideal to switch paying parking by card or app only because not everyone are good using those method, like old people, technology is always confusing. I think is a good idea to keep same prime and pay every methods.

Is the council going to buy me a smartphone ? I have a 2g nokia so no apps, so does my 80 year old mother in law who drives still. Not everyone has them, so no app only please must include cards.

Isn't it healthier for the environment when no paper tickets for parking are used? In my opinion it's better for the environment to pay by app or bank card and to encourage this it should be cheaper than paying cash.

It is important to keep the cash facility on parking machines. Once again when this is removed it disproportionately affects the poor and those who are not computer literate.

It feels a rip off when the minimum time unit purchasable is an hour.

It is best to retain a cash option as not everyone has a card they can use.

It is discriminatory to require a person to have a debit/ credit card and a mobile phone to park their car therefore it must not be made mandatory.

Also it means giving personal sensitive information obligatory which I am not willing to do.

37% is almost 4 out of 10 people who prefer cash - that's a huge number of council taxpayers who deserve a real say.

It also makes the process much more difficult and time consuming.

A bad idea all round plus the cost to council tax payers of altering the machines which have recently been updated for the new £1 coin!!!

I do hope you will LISTEN.....

It is discriminatory to segments of residents to require app based parking. Maintenance of machines needs improvement. Pricing for short periods of time needs to be considered in the context of supporting local shopping and maintenance of an attractive and convenient retail environment on the high street and local shopping. Independent retailers with a physical presence are at considerable risk at present, and there needs to be appropriate available short term parking options at reasonable prices.

It is ESSENTIAL that cash payments remain possible . I do not wish to pay by card or app, and there are also many people who are NOT ABLE to use this method at all.

It is exclusionary to make facilities card only. Some people do not have cards. Is the plan to do away with money?

It is important to retain the ability to pay by cash

It is inappropriate not to permit visitors to pay with cash, infrequent visitors or those without access to card or apps would not be able to park legally.

It is not fair to force people to switch to paying via an app and then charging more for the 'privilege'

In the Catford car park you have to use Ringgo and it is more expensive than parking on a meter on the street because of the 'admin fee' charged by Ringgo.

If you are not going to give people the choice of using cash or an app the prices should be the same and that does not mean increasing the machine price to match the app price.

Furthermore not everyone has a smart phone or wants to use an app!

It is rare to find one that works!

It is sometime easier and quicker to using coins that are readily available in the pocket

It is very difficult to get your money back from the machine when it is faulty.
It is vital to have both systems- older people do not have cards they can use and no bank accounts either, so they use cash. You would therefore be ageist and be discriminating against a large section of your community in Lewisham. We would fight this and we would win.

It is wrong to assume all drivers will have access to a mobile or bank cards when parking

If an app is used or should cost the same. After all it is more convenient for the council for drivers to use this method. We should not be charged for making your life easier
It seems unfair that people who pay cash should find it difficult to be able to pay cash. Having had numerous experiences with internet access and not being able to remember password etc, it would be good to have an option to pay cash. I appreciate that the machines need to be emptied but this surely is a very quick and simple task

It should be 24/7

It should be abolish

It should be card, cash app think of the elderly and technology

It should be card/app only as this is cheaper to operate and the council should be saving money by going cash free so it can protect other services.

By doing it this way (with cars/vans registering registration numbers) you could also consider emission based charging on pay and display to make sure non-lewisham residents are reconsidering driving polluting cars into our town centres.

It should be easy to Pay for all sections of society

It should be free to park in Lewisham.

it should remain an option

It would be good to have as many payment options as possible. And please note: the pay and display machines are often out of order - e.g. the ones behind Forest Hill Sainsbury's seem out of use 50% of the times I go there.

It would be nice if they generally worked.

It would be wrong to exclude the elderly or disabled off the road through a digital only payment policy. You better make sure you have carried out an equality impact assessment before moving cash free.

It would help if they were maintained as machines are often out of order

It would help if they worked. .

It would help if the attendants weren't on a bonus for ticket issuing

It would help if they worked. Some people don't have smart phones.

It's frustrating that the next area uses a different app for paying. Our post office for collecting parcels is in Eltham and when I go there to collect a parcel it seems I can't use RingGo that I use around here.

It's important people can use different modes of payment whether it be cash / card / app to cater to all

It's not fair on older people who aren't tech savvy or are adverse to change to remove the option for them to use cash. Cash is still a valid form of currency / payment in this country and suits some people better.

It's ok as not all can go online so I think it's only fair to still have machines

It's concerning when machines are out of order - leaves you feeling vulnerable to possible fine.

It's fair for the higher cost of processing cash to be reflected in the price charged for parking.

It's not fair on those who use cash to do away with the cash payment option.

It's great to have the functionality of all available payment options. Still including cash

It's not impossible that someone would have neither a phone nor a credit card

it's time to get digital

Just make all machines able to take all payment methods - this suits everyone in the borough who may have different financial situations, needs or just simply face different circumstances

on different days. The vast majority of shops and businesses accept multiple payment methods - at least card and cash - so why seek to restrict with inflexible P&D machines? Just make sure they work and PCNs are not issued when I am at the machine to pay.

Please eliminate paper tickets for app/card based machines.

If electronic each bay should have details of how to pay so no need to go to a machine.

Just make the machines both cashless and cash and be done with it.

Just that some people don't have smart phones so it makes it difficult for them to pay

Keep cash machines

Keep coin operated parking ticket machines in case people do not have a mobile phone credit card or app like I have it on some occasions

Keep it at the rate it is because we pay for enough as it is! There's no valid reason to increase these charges at all

keep it how it is, all you seem to do is bring in new technology which will apparently reduce the price. I have yet to see even a penny dropped from charges despite installing solar panels to these meters absolute joke.

Keep it simple for all age and ability groups

Keep machines as pay by cash or if they choose, pay by card. People prefer to have a choice and not every one has bank cards.

Keep them cheap, it's really important for local restaurants and business to have these customers

Keep them serviced

Keep weekends and evenings free!

Keeping the cost of parking down helps the high street, too high and it will affect small businesses adversely

Leave the machines and spend the money on something useful. Fix potholes

Leave them be.

lewisham parking machines should except all payments not every one has a phone.

some people forget there phones but do have loose change in the car some

older people dont understand how to do this on the phone

Lewisham Council are really not considering the elderly when making such changes. Lots of old people do not get the use of cards and apps.

Lewisham council urgently need to update the payment machines for pay and display parking.

People should be able to pay by card or cash, not just coins and telephone.

Lewisham council's methods appear punitive and discriminatory. The use of card machines and app parking machines restricts who can pay for parking such as people who dont have access to an android phone, those who dont understand how to use the phone/app service, those who may not have access to their bank cards.

lewisham has to understand that many people do not have smart app friendly phones. and must not discriminate against these, or non mobile owners who are car owners

Lewisham is dearer than Bromley

Lewisham is dearer than Bromley and Croydon

Lewisham needs to be really clear between which bays are pay and display and which are resident parking only.. It's not always clear.

I think the cost should reflect the transaction cost. If its cheaper for the council to have cards then make the parking cheaper.

Lewisham residents who already own a permit should be able to

1: not pay to park in other areas of Lewisham

2: should receive a discount on fee to park in other areas.

Again a policy which does not help benefit Lewisham residents but sees them as a means to extract more cash. Disappointing and unimaginative policy.
Lewisham should not discriminate against those who neither have, nor use, modern technology.

They should be able to pay by card, app or cash for the same length of time.

Lewisham should provide roadside electric charging points

Lot of them is out of order

Lots of older people are not as tuned into using smart phones & online systems.

Going cashless is going to isolate them more.

Plus many may not have money in bank account towards end of month.

Cash should always be an option.

If machines lose data link how can you pay?

Lots of older people find card and app payments difficult. They prefer cash.

Lots of sections of society don't have card / technology - keep cash an option otherwise parts of society (elderly, certain communities) will be disadvantaged

Lower the costs

Machines need to work

Machines should accept cash payment as that is our currency. Machines should also be pro rata. Not 1.60 per hour....we should pay for what we use. If I park for 1.1 minutes I shouldn't be paying 2 hours. You are double charging the same parking space.

Machines should all have the option to pay in a number of ways.

Machines should all take cards. There should be no app only machines.

Machines should be able to be used via an app, credit or debit card and cash. Basics!!!!

Machines should be able to take cash to enable everyone to make a payment. Some people are not tech savvy and therefore prefer to use cash only.

Machines should be clever enough to not taking the money outside the parking hours. I have seen people putting money in the machine outside the hours and the machine would not return the money when they try to cancel.

Other areas outside Lewisham with newer pay and display machines, the machine automatically refuse to accept payment outside the hours (e.g. Sunday) or over payment (beyond the enforcement hours).

Machines should be updated to allow card & app payments, but should also be able to accept cash payments

Machines should be updated to take both cards/apps plus cash for parking, as well as giving correct change for drivers who don't have the correct amount.

Machines should enable all payment options at the same price

Machines should have all options to ensure people who are willing to pay can pay. It is a discrimination to not provide all methods. If you don't want people parking then don't provide the parking spaces, don't use a payment method as a means of 'catching people out'!

Machines that are necessary should have a card payment option.

Make all machines card payments only.

Make all machines charge only for the hours used. Although this would reduce your income from ticketing overstayers

Make all pay and display machines card and app-only.

Make charges greater to reduce driving to parks etc -

Make machines charge less for less polluting cars.

Make more card machines available in Lewisham

Make paying by app easier, align all boroughs to a single app, make paying by app cheaper than cash payments!

Make sure people who park for 5-10 minutes actually use them.

make sure the machine work, this is a major problem within Deptford
Make sure the third party you select had an app that is user friendly!!

Make sure card payments can be taken using Apple Pay and similar “tap” payment methods
Make sure they all work.

Make sure they all take cash, card or app.

They should be the same cost for cash, card or app with no additional fees for using app/RingGo etc.

Make sure they are maintained.

Make sure they are working

Make sure they work if you are going to keep them and that people feel safe getting their wallets out to pay, and they have change!

Make the machines to be able to insert money, use card such as contactless as it's quick, easy and on the go. Also, on the app.

Make the screen/machines more disabled friendly

Make them as simple as possible.

Ideal if they can take cash as well but must accept card via tapping system.

make them easier to read - print is too small.

Make them easier to use for card holders.

Make them easy to use. Phone payments are a pain

Make them more reliable

Make them more secure , limit access to cash collectors to daylight hours as some are being emptied at 3am , CCTV coverage at each machine to stop muggings for cash and cards.

Making machines card / app only will exclude people without smart phones or who are vulnerable to card fraud.

Making machines card or app only excludes those who do not have easy access to these payment methods. Also, if people do not have phones with them for any reason this also excludes being able to use other forms of payment.

Making payments only accessible by card / app will discriminate against certain sections of the community such as elderly people. Also, such systems notoriously fail with reasonable regularity.

Making people pay by phone or online only for parking excludes those that don't have access to a phone or the internet. I think that is fundamentally wrong and shouldn't be allowed. Some people don't have easy access to credit cards. Cash is a vital method of payment for those on lower income levels and should always be an option.

making sure they are looked after regularly

Many aren't working or the road covered isn't on My Ringo, ie Hare And Billet Road, where the only machine is at one end of what is a fairly long road

Many of Lewisham's pay and display machines are very poorly maintained., especially in Deptford. There should ALWAYS be an option to pay for parking with cash, as situations do arise where using a phone or the card is not practical and as a business, those running your car parking have an obligation to accept legal tender.

Many of the older generation and disabled struggle with the use of technology and they need to have a simple way of paying.

Many of the Older generation would find this really difficult potentially. Be better to have range of methods that actually work. Understand why this cuts costs for the borough but not how it has a big impact on environmental issues.

Many older people do not use apps for payment and instructions for card payments need to be clearer.

Many older residents may struggle with app/card payments only. If more than a third of payments are still made by cash, that is the preferred option of a significant proportion of users.

Many people are unable to use and confused about apps and card payments. Unfair once again.

Many people have difficulty accessing banking services, so continued support for cash payments is a must, to ensure that these already marginalised people do not get further marginalised.

Many people still use coins. You are relying on the technology functioning perfectly all the time - technology often fails.

Many residents are elderly and do not have a smart phone. They are the very people who should not be penalised by having fewer machines that they are able to use. Cash machines are essential.

May require to keep them when older people find them easier to use than apps

Minimum wage doesn't reflect your cost

Mobile app payments should be reduced.

£1.60 via mobile app

£1.80 via cash or card

Money making machine for the council

More expensive to pay via app than at the machine. Some don't work either

More machines as so many times the machines do not work.

More pay and display machines should be installed in residential areas to enable people to regularly visit friends and family for short visits. The elderly need visitors to help with their mental health.

most elderly people do not want to pay for parking with a card.

Most of the cash machines are old and when they break are not fixed. Forcing people you use the online method when they don't want to. That is probably why the use of cash is down.

most of the machines are too complicated to use

the website is awful needs to be made simpler

no way of getting redress if a mistake is made no one answers the phone

Most of them are in terrible state - e.g. in Blackheath they are rusting hulks in many cases with the bases rotting through with rust and at least one with its former cashbox area used as a rubbish receptacle

Most of them are unreliable and don't work.

Should be made to be simpler and less time consuming.

Most of them don't work. Get them fixed!

Most of us elderly do not have card and telephone for charge is impossible to navigate

Most people today have a smart device which means not many have coins in their pocket therefore it would be a good idea for young generation only to take advantage of current technology but coins machines should also be available as it will be needed.

Move to an app

My only concern if they go card/app only is that the elderly who are used to having cash will struggle to use them

My parents when in their 70s could not work out how to operate a parking machine except with cash, and had could not use mobile phones. But the car was an important aid to independence. 37% is still a lot of people using cash.

My personal preference is for card/app payments being available at all machines in the borough however replacement of the existing machines should be done in a cost-efficient manner and not just for the sake of switching to card/app payments.

Presumably the number of pay&display machines can be reduced in areas where app payment is prevalent.

My problem with pay and display is that I am not happy using either an app or a card as I worry about how safe they are. With all the online fraud or if you lose your phone/gets stolen. Having said that, charges are so high I would never have enough cash to pay that way.

Maybe the answer is contactless. As long as I don't have to give my credit card details to some third party company; so contactless would get around that problem.

My wife uses my computer to pay for parking which means that I can't pay to park by land line if I am using the car because the ridiculous system won't let two people operate from the same internet address. I don't have a mobile phone on principle and it is a nightmare trying to park using machines which force you into having one.

need consider for all age groups not just young generations who know how to use new technology

Need duality on payment at parking machines, due to not everyone having smartphones or cards.

The payment using cards - often not working on many machines.

The phone lines to pay - I find that overall I have had negative experiences almost every time. Laboriously long winded, often cut off - terrible in general.

need more original cash payment machines, which is monitored daily.

Need to be able to use alternatives to app/phone parking as it takes an inordinately long time to buy parking currently if you are a visitor and not much better if not. Sometimes I only want to park for 15 mins and I don't want to spend 5 of them buying parking. Card payments at a machine would be fine.

Need to be accessible to those who may not have access to card and phone payments.

Need to be more aware of the impacts of a phone/app only policy on the elderly, vulnerable and poor. Cash remains an important payment means for them (in general), and so the Council's policy should not be just driven by economics but should reflect residents' needs and means! Too little focus is given to this!

Need to ensure that everyone can pay for parking if they need to - including elderly people who may not have a credit card or may find it difficult to use an app

Need to ensure they are properly maintained and repaired

Need to make these accessible to all. I know older people who use pay and display because they can't work the app for permits so you need to keep a cash option

Needs to be brought in line with other boroughs. Lewisham is way behind.

Needs to be digitised but accessible to all

needs updating, change the paint colour from black to another making it more user friendly

Never used in my area as uncontrolled parking

never used them

Nightmare to topup online

No - but I never know when it is ok / 'safe' to park on a single yellow line, so some guidance on that would be appreciated (eg, guidance on this included when you provide parking do's and don'ts.

NO additional charges for app or card payments!

No additional spending should be done to upgrade the parking facilities in order to discourage car usage

No all drivers will want to by card or app what about visitors!

No cash as encourage crime

no everyone has a card or smartphone yo download the app

.So no only cash..

No need for so many P&D machines. Please help clean up our streets of any unnecessary signage and machines.

None of the carparks I use in catford are cash. I have to use Ringo!

No-one should be discriminated against for not having a card or app that enables them to park. Parking charges should be the same no matter how they are paid and cash should be kept.

Not accepting cash is likely to disadvantage marginalised and less tech savvy populations.

Not all car users use card or apps, we need to consider our older drivers.

Not all have Smart phones so you need a cash function too.

Not all motorists use a phone for everything - especially older people. Keep cash payments for parking.

Not all people, especially older people have mobile phones or are familiar enough with one to use for paying for parking.

Not app payments I'm never installing an app to pay for parking. Just use contactless. No need for an app. In fact it's just more cost and maintenance.

Not every has or wants a phone that supports apps.

Not every Lewisham resident has access to cards and apps to enable payment. The option of cash payments must be retained otherwise it will be exclusive.

Not every one has a smart phone or a credit card. Also half the time these machines are too complicated for older people to understand even if they do have a smart phone or credit card. Also they don't work half the time.

Not every one is up with technology this is unfair to impose card or app only I believe those cash machines in Lewisham that are not working should be checked regularly to ensure they are working properly

Not every one needing to park will own a bankcard or have it with them. What are they then to do?

Not everybody has a card or a smart phone especially older people. It is imperative that they can still pay in cash.

Not everybody owns a phone or card to make payments. Cash payments must remain an option as you will be discriminating if this is enforced.

Not everyone can work out the telephone apps, especially a lot of elderly people that may not even have mobile phones. Do not assume that everyone is technology minded

Not everyone especially the older generation use cards or apps so I think they will struggle with that change

Not everyone had a credit card or has a smartphone to be able to use apps

Not everyone had a mobile phone or bank card. This would discriminate against these people

not everyone has a mobile phone and apps to hard to use ie no wifi best have choice of credit card or cash

Not everyone has a card or a smart phone to be able to pay for their parking, some elderly people only have cash.

Not everyone has a credit card or mobile phone. Arguably, going "app only" shows a bias against the poorer end of the local population who may not have access to credit cards and mobile phones (admittedly, not many!)

Not everyone has a credit/debit card so cash payments must remain in place. The ringo app is long and time consuming and in the past has had personal data stolen.

Not everyone has a mobile phone or have money in the bank. Consideration should be given that some people still have pay as you go phones. Is calling to pay for a meter going to be a free phone number? What if a low income family has no money in their account and needs to park?

not everyone has a mobile phone or should be forced to buy one

NOT EVERYONE HAS A MOBILE PHONE!

Again, punishing the poorest people in the borough.

Not everyone has a phone or a card

not everyone has a phone or card, or can access the technology for such payments. Cash should be an option.

Not everyone has a smart phone especially older people. If all machines were app or card payments it may exclude them and those that are not so tech savvy.

Not everyone has a smart phone or can easily use apps - it is a generational thing - and many people don't have cards. This measure would be punitive on the elderly and those on low incomes.

Not everyone has a smart phone or card and sometimes even those who have don't always carry them all the time. I object to the stealth strategy of abolishing cash transactions - no one has voted for this and a thorough social and economic analysis has never been presented to support this policy - which I suspect is likely to increase social exclusion.

Not everyone has a smart phone or uses apps.

Not everyone has a smart phone, a lot of older residents still prefer to use cash. DO NOT IGNORE THEM!

Not everyone has a smart phones or use cash cards especially the elderly & disabled (who has not got a blue badge) so therefore if you make all of them card or app pay points it will discriminate against those group of people.

Not everyone has a smart.phone!

Not everyone has a smartphone

Not everyone has a smartphone and older and poorer residents would prefer cash

Not everyone has a smartphone, just make all 3 options available (cash, card & app)

Not everyone has access all the time to a phone or a bank card so cash needs to remain an option

Not everyone has access to a mobile phone or have issues with the technology e.g. older residents, those with socio-economic challenges, etc. My elderly neighbours have challenges adapting to these systems.

Not everyone has access to a smart phone. You need to think of the elderly. Lots of which do not use cards or apps. Leave it as cash, card or app. Give people the choice and be more inclusive

Not everyone has access to a smartphone or card payments - this will restrict older, less tech able people. Both options should be used on machine - cash and card.

Not everyone has access to app and like to use cash

Not everyone has access to card payments. Again the council is creating a two tier society that works against low-paid workers

Not everyone has access to phone apps or credit/debit cards. You cannot discriminate against anyone because they can only access parking through cash payment.

Not everyone has access to smart phone or knows how to use one. Switching to card payment or app only will make the life of some people harder.

Not everyone has cards or smart phones i.e. elderly people so how would you expect them to pay if its card or app only?

Not everyone has cards to pay for parking

not everyone has smart phones or cards to pay for these machines or like myself even like paying for parking that way

Not everyone has smart phones or money in a bank account so cash is always handy especially for OAP's

Not everyone has the use of a card or mobile phone. There should always be an option, even if it is one machine that is able to accept all payments types in a car park.

Not everyone is tech savvy. Many older people drive and do not have smart phones. Many older people do not pay with cards.

Not everyone is able to navigate using cashless

Not everyone is always carrying change around. The likely hood of people carrying there card or phone is higher, except for the areas where using cash remains high.

Not everyone is capable of paying by card or app

Not everyone is tech savvy

Not everyone owns a smart phone and not every one wants to stand in the street giving out their card numbers -

Not everyone pays by card!

New machines will be costly

not everyone uses a app . its a lazy ay for councils to collect money. give up a choice. i go to bromley for everything.. its cheaper to park

Not everyone uses a card or an app to pay for parking. Better maintenance of the machines would prevent cash payers risking penalty notices being issued as they do not have access to the app.

Not everyone uses card or apps, and generally speaking those who don't are the most vulnerable. Don't exclude these people.

Not everyone uses cards, what about the elderly people.

Not everyone uses cards. The restriction to two hours parking around Hither Green station means I no longer use that station.

Not everyone uses technology

Not everyone will have access to cards at all times .

Not fair to pay more by phone than cash. Which we do currently. Not enough meters. Very expensive

Not having a cash option is not user friendly to all, I think elderly people are less likely to use an app and need the freedom of being able to use cash too

Not in favour of on line or cashless payments

Not in favour of them. Another tax on the driver.

Not providing a cash option will disadvantage those without a phone or cash
nothing wrong with cash.

cash is easy and simple to use.

Offer more free parking for electric vehicles and more charging points and standardise a charging app across all boroughs

Often I either don't have charge on the phone, or am in a hired vehicle which I don't know the reg for. At present the ringo system is slow if you are inputting different details each time, so I often use cash. The machines are a bit unreliable, but I appreciate having both options available.

Old fashioned and dirty. Not always working

old people have difficulty dealing with APPS etc .what is wrong with CASH paying machines??

Old people who use cars most may not be able to use cards and apps. You would be penalising population with mobility problems.

Older generations who do not use apps/have smart phones and who generally carry cash should be able to still pay cash

Older generations, in particular, who may not be tech savvy could rely on being able to pay with cash instead or card/the app. I don't think they should have to pay a higher price for paying in cash either.

Older people do not want to use cards or phones. Keep the, cash

Older people don't like using apps and like paying for things with cash. Don't alienate the older population please.

older people may not be able to use cards or apps

Older people may not be able to use phone apps.

Older people may not have smartphones and apps. Pay by phone app doesn't always work. There should still be an alternative way to pay

Older residents do not always use phones/apps/cards etc and often, for reasons of mobility, are the ones who need to drive the most.

On the occasions I have needed to pop out quickly to pick something up the farce of trying to get parking paid on the phone can often double the amount of time i spend parked there. It's slow and inconvenient and I have to fumble with my wallet in the street to find a card. If I could only just pop a pound coin in the meter it would be so much easier.

Once again, older residents are being discriminated against with the focus on cashless payments and online permits. There are a lot of older residents in Lewisham and they should not be ignored.

Once again, the means of payment should be readily available for all. The charge is what would make a difference to air quality. It's becoming increasingly obvious that this survey is

aimed at getting the answers you want to be used to claim another sly taxation rather than genuine concern for the air our children and our children's children will continue to breathe. Option to pay by cash should be available to all.

Options to pay by cash or phone should be available and they should be the same price

Outrageously expensive!

over-reliance on apps and card payment machines will cause problems in the future there is an extremely large proportion of the population who rely on cash while you say any 37% of payments were made in cash I suspect those 37% or essential to the individuals making them I strongly disagree with relying on card or internet based payments structures.

Oyster card payment system would be good

oystercard use to pay for it should also be introduced

this makes sure everyone will have oystercard or contactless bank card to pay for it, that way the machines can potentially be smaller and not blocking the sidewalk

Parking

Parking anywhere in the borough should be free for vehicles already covered by a valid parking permit. The use of 'zones' is very confusing.

Parking charges in pay & display should remain the same and the machine need updating

Parking charges should be reduced to encourage people to park appropriately.

Parking is a nightmare. Not enough and when you're in your own zone and have to pay as it is a pay and display is outrageous. If you have a permit in that zone, you should be able to park without having to pay extra

Parking is not £1:60 as there's a 50p fee for using the app. The app saves the council money as cash does not need to be collected from machines so fee should be withdrawn, if necessary the council should come up with its own app.

Parking should be free for a limited period e.g. less than 2 hours to encourage local business

Parking should not be so expensive, money making racket.

Parking will become a rich mans pleasure to the detriment of all others.

Pay and display machine are more expensive than cash in some areas where we actually have to use our own internet connection so we are double paying for parking. Also sometime we run out of battery or even internet connection and are stuck as the cash option is not available so please think about those times.

Pay and display machines are old and sometimes they are faulty that they just take money and not issue a ticket so I strongly agree that they should be replaced by card/app only machines

Pay and display machines don't really affect me so I don't have any comments other than that parking costs for residents and their should be lower than commuter parking and shopping or entertainment parking

Pay and display machines need to be able to deal with both cards and cash payments. Rest of the world can do this, so not sure why Lewisham can't.

Pay and Display machines need to be easy to use and in PERFECT working order at ALL times. This is NOT the case at present.

pay and display machines should be cheaper and accept all methods of payment - card, cash, app

Pay and display machines should be kept as they are as not everyone has a smart phone also the parking charge should be the same everywhere as people should not be penalised for having a sick relative in hospital or for going shopping and by doing so investing in the area with local trades people. No matter what you drive and no matter where you park the charge should be the same and the residents should get to see the benefits of all the revenue that is accumulated from this.

Pay and display machines should be left flexible payment methods.

Pay and display machines should offer all means of payment; this includes cash as well as card and App.

Rather than focusing on reducing machine costs, please focus on the Customer and how you can best serve them by offering all options for taking payment.

Pay and display machines should take as many types of payment as possible.

Pay and display machines should take cash or card. It is unfair to make them app only payments. Not everyone has a mobile phone. And even if you do have one they don't always work (battery dies at the wrong time!)

Pay and display machines should use both cash and card

Pay and display machines should work with cash, card and app. It's a mixed age society and all young and old should be able to pay for parking as they wish.

Pay and display should be used more widely in residential parking zones in order to raise revenue and to allow parking for local shopping.

Pay and display should have both cash and other methods of payment.

Sometimes your phone is dead and all you have is cash.

pay and display zones deter trade - u need to think carefully - shopping in Deptford is now much harder because of the residents only bays and the car park - it puts people off

Pay and display... particularly in The hospital car park is extortionate

Paying by app only is not a good idea because smartphone batteries do die on you. Card only, sometimes people go out without them

Paying by card leads to abuse by people parking all day and using their card to pay for 2hour slot thus limiting the space available for other s this applies in Staplehurst road

This is an example of the theory being great put the practise not

Paying by non-cash can take up to 15 minutes, it is completely inefficient. Contactless would be the best way

Payment in cash is going Dow due to how .payments for anything now on the whole has been channelled towards card or an App.

There should always remain a choice for all of the options that are available, even if this may mean a reduction of/in some of the lesser used option.

Payment methods should be consistent so you know how you can pay!

Payment methods should be costed and the most economical option available throughout the borough.

Penalizing people for not having a smart phone is not fair.

People don't carry sufficient cash these days for parking meters.

People need a choice and the phone service is often so awful to these companies and their systems so slow you give up and use cash instead.

People of a certain age don't necessarily use apps.

And not everyone has a card.

People parking should still be able to put coins into a meter

People should be able to pay cash at machines.

People should be allowed to pay however they wish, not everyone uses cards or apps.

People should be allowed to use cash as not everyone has a phone with an app, also Apps don't always work.

People should have options to pay. Some people don't like using apps or cards, cash is king.

People should have the choice. Not everyone uses a mobile phone.

Make sure that the machines work. no use being in a controlled area if you can't pay for parking.

People should have to pay to park wherever they park in the borough. No free parking anywhere - then people will walk or ride

People who pay for parking permits the have to pay £1-60 per hr to shop in the borough forcing people to abandon the shopping centres and shop elsewhere further adding to the decline of high strrets

Perhaps do away with car parks.

So no encouragement to use car.

Save money on parking wardens.

Build more homes on carparks

Encourage use of public transport.

Perhaps the borough should increase the parking charges for areas like shopping districts that are accessible by public transport - not residents that park outside their houses.

Perhaps there could be some sort of enforceable contract or incentive to ensure that Ringgo properly maintain and update their app, to make sure it is in best in class. It's better than some other services I've used, but e.g. does not have in-app notification for parking expiry (instead relying on SMS), does not integrate with Google Pay.

Personally I only ever use the Ringo app for parking in Lewisham, but am conscious this isn't the case for a number of people, particularly elderly drivers

Personally I use and I am happy to pay by phone, however, seniors do not always have a smart phone or use a mobile phone at all.

A move to cashless payment for parking feels discriminatory and cash payments shouldn't cost more. As cash machines need to be emptied and poses a security risk, could payment be contactless by using your card. I can tap when I park in Bromley.

Just a thought.

Phase this in to take effect in 2025. People bought diesel cars in good faith and now the research is indicating that govt policy needs to change. In my case this would be a 257% increase and not acceptable.

Phasing out of cash payments is in effect a discriminatory policy (particularlry age discrimination) against those who do not have a mobile phone.

Please allow people to use whatever method of payment is easier for them.

This method of controlling residents is becoming ridiculous. We are not children and we would like to enjoy what is left of life

Please can you make more cycle lock up spaces available on high streets. Often a cycle lock up is in a dingy, vandalised corner that doesn't feel safe for leaving a bike. Bike supports are often badly designed so it's difficult to get a D lock round them, or the bike easily falls over. I'm totally fed up with what's on offer for cyclists to park bikes safely.

Please consider older generations who still use cash and do not have smart phones.

Please consider volunteers to Lewisham and acknowledge their contribution to the community.

This consultation may impact on volunteers and has not been considered.

Please do not raise the cost, and please allow customers to pay with cash and card

Please don't squeeze the life out of Lewisham , that is what parking charges do

Please ensure that you take full account of the limitations and needs of D/deaf/ened residents when designing parking charge machines for card or App.

It is not acceptable to install machines which give only verbal instructions. These MUST be written out in clear text as well. Otherwise profoundly deafened residents like myself simply can't use these machines and so are discriminated against when needing to use paid parking.

I suggest you also take account of residents who don't have phones which accommodate Apps or don't know how to use them.

Please make sure cards can be used for meters as well as apps. Some residents including myself find the process of downloading this kind of app rather anxiety provoking.

Please make sure they are working properly, THIS means checking them, not relying on the general public to phone in to advise you there out of order, and then take weeks in some cases to fix them, that's ALWAYS surprised me they generate INCOME.

Please reinstate all the pay and display machines opposite Lewisham Hospital in Lewisham Park

Please stick with Ringo

Please stop discriminating against those residents or visitors who prefer to carry on paying by cash. All payment options are equally valid.

Please use a very reliable provider if moving to app or card. Sounds obvious but some are a nightmare to use.

Pls fix the ones in sainsburys car park

Poor and old people cannot always use cards and apps.

Poorer sections of the community still rely on cash and so making machines cashless could discriminate against those groups.

Prefer to pay cash. Older drivers not likely to want to use app.

Price too high

pricing should be uniform across the borough

Private operator charges should not be levied on the road user via telephone/phone app or card machines

Probably it would be acceptable to not have any machines whatsoever and go with website, apps and phones. Especially if this saves the council money that can be put to better purposes.

However, in order to do so the website, app and phone service in question must actually be super easy to use. The current ringgo service is not bad, but could be streamlined in some areas. In other boroughs where I occasionally park the only parking is via app or phone, and I've found the website and phone service to be completely unusable, meaning I need to download an app to park just a single time. This has been completely frustrating and has led to taking 30 minutes to pay for parking for trips that do not warrant it. Lewisham needs to avoid this if it wishes to remove or reduce the maintenance of its meters.

Quite a lot of the residents in LEWISHAM council are on lower incomes than others
Remove all cycle lane and discourage people to cycle as these are dangerous to road users.
Offer discount only on parking for most cleanest vehicle, ie. 50g/km CO2 emission or lower or full electric. Extra Surcharge on all parking for all diesel vehicle new or old.

Remove old machines that don't work. The litter the streets and cause confusion.

Remove the pay & display meter on Bradgate Road! It is too congested and most days parked back to back with cars on BOTH sides! Why??

Remove the service fee charged when paying via an app

Removing cash payments of any type excludes large sections of our society, who for whatever reason, are unable to use web based payments. This is needs to be noted as an inequality risk.

Removing the ability to pay for pay and display parking by cash will penalise older drivers who frequently do not have cards / app enabled phones and struggle to use new technology.

Removing the cash option can exclude the unbanked, and should be discouraged

Removing the option to pay cash altogether will have a serious impact on those unable to use other methods of payment. The elderly, with, perhaps, less facility regarding more technology based methods of payment, but are, nonetheless, reliant on their cars to move around the borough, are just one group who would be impacted if cash was not an option.

Removing the option to pay in cash would be unfair to those who do not wish to pay by card (because they do not have them or their card issuer charges extra for such use) or who do not own smartphones. This is discriminatory against the elderly, those with mental health issues and the most vulnerable.

Repair broken machines. That is the main reason people use Ringo.

Resident visitor parking should be cheaper than non-resident visitor parking.

Residents should be able to park there for free.

Instead of charging consider limiting parking stays for visitors.

Any changes should be revenue neutral otherwise an added burden on hardworking residents.

Residents should get a discount on parking if visiting other area's in the borough
Residents that pay Council Tax and have paid for the land and the building of the car parks should have use of them free -

Ring and go is terrible. If using app based or mobile solutions, they must be user friendly

RingGo is a rip-off and should not be used for Lewisham parking.

RingGo works well.

RingGo is useless! We need a better system

RingGo - my experience is more than disappointing.

I tried on several occasions to use the phone method to pay for parking in the Catford multi-storey and the number was not recognised - there was one pay machine hidden in a corner!

I won't even start on the 3 hour marathon experience to try and re-new my parking permit- it was a nightmare. Poor and confusing operating interface then poor customer service experience trying to sort out the issue. I'll leave it there.

RingGo app is a very good method to collect parking charges. however, there should be no extra costs for admin, reminder texts etc. It should all be included in the initial fee.

RingGo app is very easy to use

ringGo app works really well.

RingGo is great but shouldn't have to pay surcharge.

RingGo is highly effective and available in the borough as well as many other neighbouring parts of London and many places in the UK

RingGo is not easy to use. When was the last time this was reviewed?

RingGo works very well and is easy to navigate but you should engage with public more so that they know and trust the system.

See comment on previous page

see previous comments. LB Lewisham needs to manage the parking bays as a first exercise.

Seems like you are increasing costs to generate revenue

Seems to be a lack of enforcement

Service cash meters, many don't work.

Encourage the use of cash.

Services such as RingGO should not be able to put a 'surcharge' on electronic payment systems simply to park a car. The fee in total must be the same either by cash, card or app.

Should accept both card and cash or be able to use app

Should accept both cash and card payments there are many times when the online payment system is down and customer service advice is to keep trying ☹️

Parking Wardens should be on foot on bicycle not mopeds

Should be a discount for paperless parking tickets

Should be able to use all methods of payment and give change

Should be cash and card available

Should be easy to use. People carry less coinage - fact

Should be maintained more often

Should be one app for London.

Multiple apps makes parking a long task

Should be solar powered if possible

should be able to use both cash or card/app

Shouldn't it be that the pay and display machines exist to enable as many different options as possible to be available. You never can predict that you will have enough change in your pocket or enough in your account when money is tight.

Signs need to be more clear as to where someone can park and for how long
Since the practice of charging for the use of cards for payments has been outlawed, why not REDUCE the app cost by 20p. But oh no, this isn't a money raising exercise of course... so you will make it more difficult for the elderly, vulnerable and disabled to park. trying to do right thing.

Some car parks should be free so cars are not parked in narrow residential streets
Some disabilities mean that users can't use an app or card payment method and need a phone system. Have a good think about disability before implementing anything and speak to focus groups or organisations who can advise, make sure it's accessible.

Some drivers prefer card/app payments and some prefer cash or a combination.
Some elderly people cannot use apps or pay with cards you cannot take away options from people who cannot use digital devices.

Some elderly people do not have a phone that supports a parking app. The option of paying at the machine should still be an option.

Some elderly residents may not know how to use apps or cards in machines so use cash.
Some machines are not easily visible perhaps some sign or plaque above them to make them more visible.

Some of our older residents will not use card/apps - be careful that we do not ostracise them in favour of new technology.

some of us don't have smartphones!

Some old people have no idea how to use an app or by card. The cost should be the same all around

Some people are going to use cash no matter what, you shouldn't get rid of it all together.

Some people are not computer or app literate and it's not fair on them

Some people are not tech savvy. Penalising those people to!

Some people can be put at disadvantage if card / app only machines are available. Not everyone has smartphone or want to use apps.

Some people can find the card or app tricky so cash option should remain available.

Some people do not have smart phones or like to use card in machine or online. This is not giving access to all . This means Lewisham will be discriminating cash users.

Some people don't carry cash, some don't have contactless payment or are able to use the app... Accommodate for all

Some people don't have access to card or apps.

Some people like to pay with cash, especially older people and people without access to phones

Some people prefer using cash

Sometimes it is easier to pay with cash so this should always be an option

Sometimes using an app is not possible.

I hate not being able To pay by cash.

Sometimes you don't have a card with money to use cash payment machines are still necessary

Soon the parking bays will earn more than the workers!

Stay on top of there maintenance

Stop cash use in pay and display machines - the unbanked will find themselves unable to park. Car use will drop accordingly.

Stop charging peoples and make more affordable to change car with more money and not scamming them always, we bought car to move the economy not for just fun, now you want us to change our car out of the blue immediately but do you ask your self we maybe the people's don't have any extra money to spare??? We are already at the limit. Stop this now make better choose help the car owner not dry them from the last drop of blood.

Stop excluding older people and those without bank accounts!

Stop focusing on cars, you are doing it wrong. Focus on the alternatives (I have a Masters in Transport Design).

Stop making life difficult

Stop making things hard for people. Especially the elderly

Stop making things hard for people. Especially the elderly

Strongly disagree as not all users have access to cards or apps

Switching to card only is divisive. For example, I work with refugees. They often do not have access to either a card or an app/mobile device.

Switching to card or app only unfairly penalises older drivers as there are generational issues with trust of technology and so on.

Switching to cashless machines only, you make lives of foreign visitors driving to the area miserable - I have experiences these things abroad, and it was awful. This is a right way of killing tourism in Lewisham.

Taking away cash options will hit at the poorest and most deprived - those are the people who the now intellectually corrupted Labour movement was once established in order to represent and help, remember?

Taking pot cash wastes resources. People are used to using cards to pay

Text/online payment should always be an option, even if it's a cash-only machine.

The ability to use contactless payment would be useful. Often people using car parks are in a hurry, so it needs to be swift.

The amount charged should be designed to encourage people to use lower administrative overhead forms of payment. As in, collecting payments with an app or SMS is (or should be) cheaper, therefore it should be cheaper than a cash payment.

The app is a terrible piece of garbage. It often does not work!

The app isn't very good - you need cash machines

The app payment are extremely long, sometimes complicated and frustrating. When people are pushed for time it is so frustrating trying to pay for 10minutes over the phone. What about people without phones? If your phone is out of battery? Cash is still a necessary part of society.

The app should be simple and easy to use. I always seem to have problems with RINGO

The app system in London is an absolute train wreck. The overall design is extremely poor: difficult to use and understand. Signing up is a downright nightmare. There is a different app in different parts of London (omg...).

The apps are really useful especially when no one carries change anymore!

The apps are somewhat complicated and older generations may have trouble

I would advise cash and card options are a good idea also

The apps provide a way to bypass permit regulations. This needs to be looked at.

The bays outside 2-8 Clarendon Rise SE13 should be for Residents and not Pay & Display, as there is a car park next door which more than caters for shoppers.

the borough should use one type of app for every pay and display (except private car parks)

The cash option needs to remain for a bit longer.

Phone parking is too complicated..

Contactless card payments would be good.

The cash option should be available!

The cash option should remain for older residents who struggle with the modern technology

The cost is too high in Lewisham car park which has a negative effect on local shops and businesses

The cost of maintaining pay and display machines is wasted - we need to move forward to a web based system

The cost of parking is not fair, I do not expect to park for free, but the state of some of the car parks, seems to me the revenue is not used to improve the car parks.

As an example the Car Park at the back of Tesco, not the Multi storey, is always flooded when it rains, leaving a huge area that is not fit to use.

I think there should be a blanket permit for the borough, so that since I have a Resident Permit I can park anywhere in Lewisham.

I am sure it would even out the parking demand in many areas.

I also think there should be more of the 10-12 midday Parking Restrictions, in some areas that currently have all day Restrictions.

The cost of parking should be cheaper for people that live in the borough.

The Council is facing funding pressures so should maximise cashless payments to reduce the revenue costs related to the collection of cash from parking machines. It will also aid to reduce emissions as a van will not need to go around collecting from machines.

The council is the responsible of so many business closer, Lewisham centre have become a pound land and many of the big shops are moving away. Many people are going to shop in Charlton as they have free parking and all the shop you need. What is the attraction for people to shop in Lewisham if you cannot even park or spend a fortune in parking fines.

The council needs to provide a number of ways to pay in order to suit Lewisham's diverse population.

The council should be mindful not to disenfranchise people who do not have access to electronic means of payment.

The current Ringo app and online system are a nightmare. If it is at all possible to talk with staff on the phone, they are extremely rigid and unhelpful.

The elderly and those with a disability may have difficulty paying for their parking by card or phone ok only. We can't put them at a disadvantage.

The elderly and those with SEN or learning difficulties may not be able to switch to a cashless economy.

The elderly prefer to use cash

The excess charge for card payments is something which I have felt for a long time is wrong and leads to inequalities of treatment.

The fad to switch everything to app payment discriminates against the elderly who find these technologies harder to use. both should remain available

The fees are too high

The fees for using cards on apps need to be scrapped.

The few occasions where the machines are working to accept money, make it quicker to pay for parking instead of having to download an app that may not be used often then upload card details to pay. Having to phone up and pay by card can also be a headache.

The future is electronic, but don't squeeze out the elderly.

The High street is dying. Internet shopping and expensive parking are the cause. More favourable parking incentives are needed. Lewisham residents should have a discounted scheme for a day, number of days, week(s) and month(s). Those who work in the borough, should also be eligible.

Enforced PCN fines for illegal parking, also in disabled bays, would go towards funding this.

Catford car park (Milford Towers) is a smelly, dark and dank. It floods when it rains and has dark recesses where anyone can hide in, if they wish to cost anyone harm. The same applies to the car park on Thomas Lane (except it's not covered). The large holes fill up with water and drivers and pedestrians alike, have to be carefully to circumnavigate them.

The car park on Frankham Road in Deptford is very good. Visible CCTV cameras, are well positioned. But you do need disabled bays at the beginning of the road (there are plenty behind Barclays bank)

The hours of parking operation should be uniform across the borough, so 9am to 5.30pm would be the norm.

The instructions are not very clear and the little screen hard to read. I would like to see a 'tap in' and 'tap out' linked to an app so that enforcement officers can also check. If you forget to tap out, a penalty - not a PCN - should be applied.

The low cost of pay and display allows a lot of people to shop locally supporting great local businesses on the way through. Most people won't pay an extra pound so they can buy a loaf of bread or a croissant on their way home.

Card is a good backup

The machines near the hospital seem to have been purposely decommissioned to 'oblige' you to pay by phone.

Many people, not only 'the aged', don't have expensive phones with 'apps' etc. There are many reasons why it is easier to pay with cash. (Poor reception, afraid to make a mistake when tapping in details, shortage of time etc, HEARING problems)

The machines should be able to make any kind of purchase

The need to be made vandal-proof

The number of drivers wishing to park in Lewisham off-street who do not have access to either a contactless debit card or a mobile phone is likely to be negligible. Therefore it is reasonable for the Council to remove cash progressively from the pay and display machines, reducing theft risk and cash collection cost. However, this should be supported by 'boots on the ground' campaigns at high cash volume locations to proactively encourage app sign up or to explain how to use the cashless service and to reassure motorists worried about data security.

The number of pay and display machines that are out of order or have poor display screens or are just not user-friendly is astounding. Also sometimes there is no parking area number displayed in order to use the Ringgo app

The older generation tend to rely more on cash. Machines should still take cash or you are excluding them.

The one on our street seems to be broken more than it is working.

The ones near Lewisham hospital were in a bad way when we near lived there 2 years ago. The signage was really confusing too, with the different sides of the road having a different system.

The only time I tried paying by phone, it took ages before it failed...very frustrating.

Also I have an old phone and these things don't work easily and discriminate against poor and elderly.

The opportunity to use cash should not be lost. It is important for the elderly. V

The option to pay cash, app or card should be on all machines as some people don't have the means or know how to pay online.

The parking machine at the Catford Tesco is miserable. The app is miserable and another provider should be commissioned as soon as possible.

The people should have the choice to pay by card or cash not not only cash or card.

The prices seem to be high in areas where there seems to be no alternative parking solutions the prices should be higher

The process of registering with RingGo via Lewisham Council could be made more user friendly. It is very long winded and temperamental.

The proposal document rightly states that the machines are out of date and I often observe users having difficulties getting tickets at the machines near where I live. So updating the machines would be a good use of additional revenue.

The reason cash payment is down is because Lewisham stopped this payment. Not the public. The reason I suspect that pay and display payments are mostly card, not cash, is that the cash ones rarely work.

If you propose replacing with machines that allow users to pay by card then that is ok but

please don't go for only being able to pay by app. Elderly people do not have the facility often to use apps yet.

The relative cost of parking should reflect the cost to the council to facilitate such payment. If you have to spend to maintain & out new machines then the cost should be reflected in the machines cost.

Conversely, app or card payments should require little maintenance and therefore should be cheaper and encouraged.

The ring go surcharge should be dropped as this makes parking for a single hour disproportionately expensive

The ring go system has been faulty on a number of occasions so need option to pay at machine. Also some people don't have app or like to use card especially older and low income affected here.

The Ringo app is not always easy to use and could prohibit some people from parking at all

The Ringo app is not friendly and it takes a long time to to pay for residents visitors permit .

I prefer a system in which the driver who parks their car does not have to pay in advance for specific amount of hours / minutes . It is not always accurately predictable, and can cause a lot of stress trying to avoid parking fines . There are other systems in which the driver registers the time they start and end their parking, and are charged accordingly . (ie Parkmobile)

The should continue to give a free period near local shops so local business are still encouraged

The system should allow residents to have visitors so offer discount. The area also needs customers to its shops, restaurants and businesses so each area should have a parking facility for this.

The telephone app frequently does not work and takes a lot of time compared to a cash payment.

The telephone system needs to be improved as it sometimes just doesn't work.

The transaction free for using the app should be abolished

The use of cash should gradually be reduced until it has stopped.

The use of cash will likely end across London in the coming years. We should not be afraid of this change.

There are a lot of old people who drive some don't know how to use phone app or cards so rely on cash

There are many for whom the use of a 'smart phone' to access the payment system is not possible. With 'shaky' hands to strike the correct key is difficult and can be exacerbated by rain or poor weather.

If the Council is genuinely looking to be friendly to residents then it should cater for those who do not, or can not, use 'phones and must use cash.

The presumption that everyone has and uses a smart phone or computer for every "service " is a source of constant annoyance and inconvenience. This is compounded when there seems to be no means of speaking to an individual who can resolve the problem.

If the incidence of the use of cash has fallen then, presumably, the pattern for the emptying of parking ticket machines must also have fallen. Thus the collection intervals should be longer. The cost to the Council of emptying may even fall and satisfaction with being able to use 'old fashioned' cash will rise.

There are situations when it is handy to be able to pay cash e.g. phone out of battery. That said, I find the Ringo app very good.

There are still a lot of people who don't own mobile phones and would not be able to park if cash was discontinued!

There are still people more comfortable with cash only so we have to be able to cater to this

There are those that still prefer to pay in cash - the council only want to change these machines so they don't have to employ someone to empty them.

Pay & Display should only be used for car parks within Lewisham, not in residential streets.

There are too many areas where there aren't any and you have to walk several streets to find one and a suitable area to park

There is a machine on the pavement outside my house that is shabby & dilapidated & could do with some TLC.

There is a surcharge on app payments with Ringo, it's more expensive than cash.

Card payments should be an option for older people or people with no smartphones

There is no need for cash machines; most people use cards anyway.

There is no perfect world where you can make everybody use apps. Being able to pay by cash must remain an option for those who need it. It would help a lot if all Lewisham parking machines were exactly the same rather being an odd mix of machines which can make it difficult for some people to work out how each machine works.

There is no reason to permit cash

There may be moves make us a cashless society but you will be discriminating against people who don't have smartphones and don't feel comfortable using apps. This may also apply to a smaller minority of people who don't use cards and prefer cash. Some older residents in particular don't have the technology to cope with this at this time.

There must be more than one way to pay for parking permits. It must not be assumed that all people have phones. Often people do not have their phone with them.

Therefore it is essential that people can pay cash.

Also, please ensure that across Lewisham the cost is the same (in the past, I have noticed that charges vary).

Also, please ensure that people are able to park and pay for periods shorter than an hour e.g., 15 mins.

There need to be a number of methods that people can pay but it should not disadvantage people that don't have a mobile or a charge card.

There needs to be a way of paying for parking so that non paying periods are credited.

So for instance parking near Lewisham hospital starts at 9am. If I arrive for an appointment or to visit an in-patient at 8.30 I need to be able to pay for parking to commence at 9am but in advance of that time.

This routinely happens in Italy.

There should always be a cash option

There should always be the option of cash payments in all pay and display machines because not all people carry mobile phones or cards.

There should always be the option to use cash. Not everyone uses apps or wants to use their cards (for security and other reasons).

There should be a code / discount for residents visitors

There should be a discount for card and app payments. The companies who collect the money charge us a fee and this should be offset by lower charges for non-cash payments. I presume it saves LBL money if they don't have to empty cash from the ticket machines, so why not share this?

There should be a gradual move (say 5 years?) towards cashless payments to reduce the cost to the Council of dealing with cash. Charging more for cash could assist in achieving this.

There should be a pay & display machine on every road within a controlled parking zone.

Each machine should take cash as well as pay by phone. The charges should be the same & should not increase.

You should be able to pay for 15 or 30 mins parking not just 1 hour within controlled zones.

There should be as many ways to pay as possible. people do not always travel with cards or phones

There should be cash and card payment options on all machines.

There should be cash, card or app machines

There should be different payments methods including cash.

There should be local discount rate for those of us who live in the Lewisham borough.

There should be machines with options to pay cash and card/app.

Personally I use card/app but there are plenty of people who prefer cash. The council should provide all payment options.

There should be more of them

There should be more of them. They are very hard to find

There should be more.

There should be the option to pay with both. Not everyone has a smartphone or a card

There should not be a surcharge for using an app - this saves the council money by not needing to empty cash machines and bank the cash etc.

There should not be pay & display machines on market days etc. to support the local economy/small businesses. I don't believe Pay & display machines are a solution to make people drive less and it affects local business.

There shouldn't be additional charges or fees imposed for paying by phone

These are far too expensive

These are poorly maintain and the alternative assume drivers have apps or mobile phones to charge costs . Keep payment by coin.

These could be replaced with card/app payment machines only when the machine needs to be replaced, but money should not be spent on this unnecessarily.

These do not differentiate between diesel and petrol cars we should have one policy irrespective of where the car is parked or the domicile if the driver.

These electro-mechanical machines are prone to failure. There should be a phone number on every machine where one can leave a message if your attempt to pay has failed, and you can then attempt to pay by other means.

These machines are helpful where there is an unexpected visitor who does not have access to online parking apps or do not have these already set up. They are essential. The elderly are also not able to manage the online systems and apps.

These should be kept to accept cash or card at same cost. It is not always possible to get the Internet connection needed on your phone to pay this way... I have had several frustrating occasions when the app kept saying it couldn't connect me

These should be made user friendly I often watch and assist the elderly who struggle they are a bit of a nightmare. often broken - and then you don't know if you can park or not. especially dislike the ones that don't give change. just feels like another way to take money of lewisham residents.

They are badly maintained, and often the only way is to use RingGo, so most of us have been paying £1.60 anyway. This means the 20p one-off becomes 20p per hour, so it will affect those parking for longer disproportionately. Parking for a short time becomes cheaper by RingGo now. I appreciate cash collecting costs, but many people in Lewisham have no choice.

They are being abused outside my shop. When the parking warden appears drivers then rush out and put on a ticket, only then.

We have 8 parking spaces for customers all taken up by people either parking there all day and getting the train, you only have to pay the charge between 10am and noon, or people who as I explained earlier rust out to put on a ticket when the warden is about.

have been highlighting this problem for years. Have the charge there all day to give my shop a chance.

They are complicated and confusing!

They are difficult for disabled people

They are expensive and tend to penalise residents, like the Catford shop car park and by the gym in dogget road

They are frequently broken, making it impossible to pay for parking without using the app. They are in a very poor state of repair. I think they should be properly maintained and cash or contactless payments should always be available. My own experience of using Ringo has been quite negative - I have found it very unreliable, and cash/contactless would always have been more convenient. I have only ever used Ringo due to the machines being out of order.

Also, paying extra for the very questionable 'benefit' of using non-cash payments is quite an insult. The council's proposed solution of increasing the fees for all payment methods is a poor idea.

In addition, the poor state of the machines' repair is very detrimental to the overall perception of the area. It makes the area seem run-down and unattractive. The solution is not to get rid of them but to ensure they are properly maintained.

They are not well kept

They are often out of order

They are poorly maintained and often you have to walk a long way to find a working one

They are too often out of order

They are usually out of order whenever I try to use them.

They can be all, card, app and cash. Why is there an either or option? Inform yourselves!

They must be able to supply both in order to allow everyone to use both forms of payment

They must be maintained well because I know that most elderly users are unable to pay online/app and they are often not confident enough to pay by card so they need to be able to take coins.

They must not be dependent on owning a mobile phone.

They need to be better

They need to be properly maintained and always in working condition

They need to be properly maintained.

They need to be regularly serviced.

They need to be updated most definitely although I do not think you should take away the option to pay with cash.

This again feels like you are trying to catch a large portion of the population out. You are assuming all people have a phone. You are at risk of penalising people like my Dad who finds Apps and making payments online difficult.

They need to take both cash and card payments

They need to work

They need to work most usually out of order

they seem fine and being to pay via card is good

They should all be cash, card or use the app machines

They should all provide the option to pay by cash, card, app.

they should be able to take card, app or cash payments

THEY SHOULD BE ABOLISHED

They should be better maintained and the option given for cash given the number of elderly residents who are still frequent users of Lewisham's commercial centre and dependent on its accessibility.

They should be card or app only everywhere

They should be checked regularly to ensure full working order at all times.

I remember reporting one as broken (showing incorrect time). This took weeks to be repaired. The space was not able to be used.

They should be easy to use with clear instructions

They should be kept in working order! There should be plenty of free short term places as well at local shops, post office etc.

They should be maintained full, leaving them in disrepair and forcing people to use an app is dishonest. They should be cash or card. Those that want to use app can do so but do not force everyone to do the same.

take the money you are going to make from the different permit bands and fix the machines.

They should be multi payment method machines

They should be used, its easier to take money from your pocket / purse than make a phone call.

They should continue to have facility to accept cash. It is correct that Lewisham raises hourly charges as a method to cutting emission in the borough

They should leave cash alone and also be able to give change

They should not be for complete hours only.

You should get the number of minutes that you pay for.

They should not replace residents bays, or compete with them.

They should remain cash machines

They should take cash and card

They should take cash so residents who maybe have difficulties using apps or have limited credit on their mobile devices can pay for parking.

They should work!

They shouldn't exist on streets which are residential and car parks exist near by

Think about the elderly who cannot cope with new technology and need to pay by cash or people who are poor and don't have access to a credit card

Think of older people or people that don't have phones or cards with them.

Think this is a bit silly. Pricing can be used to shift parking behaviour. It's not just about emissions.

This doesn't stop people driving to the borough its just a money making machine for the council

This has very little to do with emissions, just a cash generator

This is a harsh, elitist policy that assumes everyone can afford a smart phone. Do you want to alienate older people, who do not know how to use such systems? Do you want to prevent people on low incomes from being able to use your car parks. This is a hugely punitive move, which will no doubt create huge profits for your outsourced parking provider.

This is a small step in the right direction.

This is pointless exercise. Waste of council tax payers money.

This needs to be a phased process and can not be of detriment to people with complex needs.

This will discourage people from shopping within Lewisham as they will have to pay excessive costs for the privilege. It will encourage people to shop elsewhere.

This would only work for a car park but if a car park was pay on exit then I would have it be 1 hour free.

If you leave after that then you are charged at £1 per hour (at least for the first hour, a reduction like £2.50 for 3hrs)

This wouldn't work for on street pay and display machines so I would have that as pay upfront £1 for first hour then following same pricing as a proper car park.

This would steer people more to using a car park and potentially reduce congestion on streets with pay and display if they only needed 30min a car park would be better.

This would penalise the older generation who may not be comfortable with card / app payment.

Why can't you have machines that do both!!?

Those machines accepting cash are regularly out of order, is this deliberate?

Older people struggle with apps especially if their eyesight is not great and likewise those with partial hearing loss struggle with phone contact in busy streets.

Cash machines are necessary in these cases

Those of who are essential car users (employed by the LA) and who visit multiple addresses during a working day need to be able to pay cash to park or we cannot reclaim the expense (which we already get taxed on). Removing cash as an option will make it harder for us to visit vulnerable individuals.

Ticket machines need to be better maintained. Cashless systems discriminate against vulnerable people who are less likely to have a bank card or smartphone.

To ensure accessibility and, keep all payment methods, please. E.g. older people might not get on well with apps, etc.

To make pay and display refuse cash would discriminate against people who, for whatever reason, continue to rely on using cash.

To reduce maintenance costs and security issues cash payment should be taken away totally.

Too expensive

Too expensive ! Lewisham will become a ghost town if your parking and permit prices are too high. People will go to the likes of Bluewater to park and shop rather than Lewisham if they have to pay more. Small businesses will suffer also. Why would a resident pay £1.60 an hour to park in Lewisham when they can have a nice drive out to the likes of Lakeside or Bluewater and pay the same? You will kill businesses in Lewisham.

too expensive id rather go to bluewater if youre going to charge me £1.60 an hour

Too expensive.. encourage more park ride.. cheap all day parking to get the trains..

Your current system and proposals will kill the high street even more

Meet me open air meeting Infront Lewisham shopping centre any Saturday morning if you dare face the people of Lewisham

You got on from the lowest votes and only because labour and not because you had any policy

Too many of the machines are faulty, and the system of paying by phone or card doesn't function reliably.

Turn them into electric charging booths.

Unclear as to why parking fees are not the same whichever method of payment is used. The service is provided on behalf of the Council so should already be meeting equal treatment, should it not and if not why has this not been addressed with the service provider. £1.20 for one hour is comparable to private parking in neighbouring boroughs - if you want to attract people to visit, shop and come to Lewisham Borough to spend leisure and social time, higher charges along with the vehicle charging policy will discourage this, especially when you can go elsewhere which are more attractive, not only in parking costs but ambiance, environment, things to do. It needs to be made easier not harder.

Unfortunately card/app methods sometimes fail and having the cash option is very helpful

Use cashless payment systems is difficult for some people, either because of access to technology or because systems are complex or difficult to understand.

Use of cash is still vitally important.

Use of cash payments may be low in areas where the cost is higher because of that penalty.

You can't use this measure to conclude that cash payments may not be popular in some areas. Card only payments discriminate against the most disadvantaged in society.

Using app payments is slow and difficult. You need multiple apps for parking in different council areas.

Contactless or card payment machines in the car park would be preferred.

Vehicle type should also be priced in. I.e. e-vehicles practically free, diesel expensive.

This could easily be implemented with app only machines.

Very much in favour of pricing being the same for card/app as it is physical cash

Visible accessible and well lit areas

Visitors need to be able to pay by what ever means possible cash card app.

Visitors, people not able to use the internet etc and those with no credit card etc would be excluded from parking. Unacceptable!!

Want to retain the ability to use cash as not everyone has a car

We are being forced to go cashless far too quickly

We can only use cash machines because of profound hearing difficulties.

We do not all have phones with apps a lot of people prefer to use cash. Also these machines can be unreliable leaving you unable to get your car out. Has happened to me.

Also you had this stupid system in Rushey Green a few years back I had to pay the parking fee and on top of that a 90p mobile phone cost.

What about giving the local high street shops a break and make it easy for drivers to be able to get to them. Otherwise it will be yet more empty shops and loss of your business rates and yet more put of town retail parks.

We dont all have apps or cards - this is discriminating

We have an ageing population who rely on being able to make cash payments.

We have to consider the elderly and unemployed who may not have access to cards or apps.

We should be able to tap a phone to pay, not download an app

We should have a choice of payment.

We should not be forcing a cashless society on anyone choice should be there.

We should not discourage visitors to our borough by requiring an app, which often varies by location.

We should retain some cash machines in areas where use of cash is still high. In time this will in all likelihood phase out, but currently I don't think it is appropriate to assume all residents and visitors to the borough will have access to an app or cashless payment methods.

what a waste of our taxpayers' money!!!

What about elderly people who may not have the app or a card at hand? Do you know how many people would be left out by app/card only?

What about older people who don't use apps?

What about older people who wouldn't understand how to use the machines?

What about people who don't use apps and cards i.e pensioners?

What about people with no access to bank cards?

what about the elderly forcing them to have a card or an app. should be equality irrespective of the payment method.

What are the proceeds used for? Perhaps worth making that clearer for people at the point of payment eg with notices or pictures on the side of machines.

what is the cost benefit of replacing the machines to allow card/app payment only

I use an app for parking, however the ability to pay cash if required should still be available unless the cost of these machines is significantly higher than a card/app only machine

Most people are accustomed to cashless

Whatever machines you replace must have a choice of card or app and not just be phone/app based machines.

The obligation to go through the registration process is time consuming for infrequent visitors, or as recently happened to me, I had changed cars and my credit card needed updating on

the app and it took me 11 minutes to achieve this before I could start my parking session and get on with what I needed to do, all this with my baby in tow.

What's the point of pay and display machines if illegal parking on Catford Broadway is just ignored. Potentially a great street with the ability to eat outdoors at the various restaurants ruined by constant illegal and inconsiderate parking. Barrier required for the day time to restrict access given there is a car park a few yards walk from the various businesses.

When I visit the doctor I have to pay and often the bays are full. Simply increasing the charges allows the wealthier residents to park and poorer ones cannot. Parking in areas with health facilities and other social

Amenities should be reduced if possible.

When these charges were originally brought in, it was for affordable parking which brought people to shop in the borough. Now the multistorey car park in Lewisham is excessive. There has been a drop in people coming to the borough overall. Catford and Lewisham markets are dying out. Free parking/affordable parking will rejuvenate the borough. Cut the hours you have parking limits.

Lambeth in some places around hospitals doctors etc have no parking except permit parking between 10 am and 12 noon or 10 am and 2.00 pm. You could do that along Doggett Road for a start and free on Saturday/Sunday.

When they are broken get them repaired quickly instead of just covering them up and putting out of use notice.

When trying to pay by telephone the machinery used never recognises my car number. This makes life very difficult and I have to find somewhere that can take money. So please, if you are going to move to a cashless payment system please ensure that your machinery recognises Z.

When will old machines be removed from the streets

Where does the money go?

Where I live there are not spaces anywhere with the CPZ for pay and display. I know this is a problem for local businesses. There needs to be a few spaces added for pay and display.

Where machines are broken, no fines for failure to pay

where my salon is on Hither Green Lane, so clients park down the side roads often the pay and display machines are not working.

Where there are currently no functioning pay machines, clarification of whether payment is necessary or not

While cash remains in common use (and 37% is still a significant level of use), it should be a permitted method of payment, along with cards and apps. All users will not necessarily have bank cards or mobile phones, not everyone is comfortable with mobile phone apps, and technology is not 100% reliable. If it is uneconomic for every machine to be cash-enabled, there should always be one clearly signposted within a reasonable distance of controlled bays.

While I completely disagree that the machines should be card only (there are a lot of lower income people who still like using cash) every machine should be able to do contactless card transactions.

While non-cash machines are easier, more cost-effective and less likely to be damaged, it surely cannot be right to penalise someone who doesn't have a bank account or an app. It is exclusionary, unfair and would probably result in disadvantaging the elderly and least well-off. Similarly, the rates should be the same for cash and non-cash. In an ideal world, non-cash machines would be the only method, but at present, and in Lewisham, the social cost would be too high.

Whilst I understand the desire to remove cash payments because of cash and maintenance it sometimes makes life easier for some people probably the elderly.

Why are wasting time on this. Leave it as it is. More expense and guess who has to pay for this. The Residents!

Why are we paying an additional 0.20p for using card payments. Surely card payments is cheaper than cash (i.e. travel to the bank to deposit cash and the bank charges a cash handling fee)

Why ask these questions, when the majority of parking machines in the borough when drivers already have to pay by card or app as the coin option has been removed? They also incur an additional .40p charge for using card or app when paying.

When using card or app, you are often only given the option of parking in hours, not minutes. Why can't the machines be both? Card/app AND cash? Many other councils have machines that do both!

Why do they continually break down?

why do we pay more for using an "app"?

Why do you need to increase the prices??

Everything is now done virtually and cheaper to maintain

When will the price go Down

why do you need to put the price up - you always put the price up on everything but never give a good proven case for doing so other than getting us to pay more money

Why does there have to be a blanket rate? Why can't different rates be applied using a dynamic pricing model?

Why is it that in the so called "affluent" areas of the Borough e.g Forest Hill Car Park it's cheaper to park there they get an hour free parking? I suspect this was implemented to This should apply to all areas In the Borough to encourage residents/visitor use of small businesses.

Why not have a machine which issue a ticket and is paid when leaving car park charged against a card.

Why reduce ways to pay?

why should car users get special treatment? bus users cant use cash any more, why should it be allowed if you have the luxury of a car? it cost the council [taxpayers] extra to deal with cash, cash can get stolen from machines, and somebody has to travel to pick up the cash, all unnecessary extra miles and extra CO2 emissions. Stop being extra generous to car users.

Why should u pay for pay display machine u already paid for everything else when you have a car.

Why the assumption that a)everyone has a mobile/credit card and b) especially with older people, are confident using mobiles in this way. It is dictatorial

why would you spend £750k to change them waste of money

Will overseas visitors be able to pay using foreign bank cards?

With an ageing population a large proportion of residents would be disadvantaged if machines were card or app only. This would also put those individuals without access to a phone and or the internet at a disadvantage, say for example if they don't have their purse or access to a phone.

With Lewisham having high levels of poverty and the existence of immigrant population, could there be some residents who may not have access to cards/apps? This should be evaluated before the switch to card/app-only machines is made. In larger car parks, retaining at least one machine that takes cash may be desirable.

Would be nice if they all worked on a regular basis!

Would it be possible to have machines/ systems taking cash, card and app payments together?

Wouldn't it be cheaper to remove on-street P&D machine altogether to reduce maintenance costs?

yes - residents park in the pay & display bays but business permit holders cannot park in resident bays - totally unfair. There should be bays that allow "permits only". Zip cars seem to park wherever they want and remain there for days.

Also, bays should be marked out per car space to deter bad parking (over two spaces)

Yes should still have displayed machine. That can take cash/card.

Yes apps does not work for older people and it assumes everybody has a mobile and they don't. Cash option is vital for the older demographic.

yes ban them

Yes should be able to pay using common apps such as Parkright etc.

yes, too many people park in my road that haven't got resident parking permits and most are never fined

Yes.

I don't think the machines should not accept cash from now on. Not everyone has access to the facilities to be able to pay by card or the app and if the system goes down, which I have experienced before and had to use cash, what happens then. Would someone end up with a ticket through no fault of their own, because the payment systems are not working?

Yet again your question is skewed to support your proposed policy.

Yes I am strongly in favour of there being no difference in charging between electronic and cash payment methods but I am strongly against your proposal to increase the charges for both to match the current electronic charge. Again this smacks of the Council taking the opportunity to increase income under the poorly disguised pretense of positive change.

You say you want to reduce charges for electronic parking to match cash parking, and in the next breath you raise the new mutual charge to match the higher, existing electronic value. A cynical income generating proposal.

You are discriminating against people without smart phones. I do not have an app and have had to leave Deptford as I couldnt pay in the car parking area. The charge now is high enough. There are not enough areas/ car parks to park for free. The public transport is overcrowded, unpunctual and missing many links, eg Brockley to Deptford. As usual you are punishing people who need to drive for many reasons to make more money. Dont believe this is anything to do with being green

You can only introduce App based / cashless service if the tech partners are up to the job and make it quick and easy to pay.

RingGo were terrible when they first took over the paperless system.

It still takes a long time and is overly complicated to pay by phone. Machines are not maintained, so they take your money then you find out it's not working.

Cashless is fine, as long as it's quick and easy and not stressful...

You can place more of them near schools and parks.

You can't totally remove cash it penalises people who might not have card access

You cannot expect everybody who needs to pay for their parking to have either a mobile phone or credit card. To change to this method of payment discriminates against those older residents who perhaps do not have smart mobile phones and/or those who do not have credit cards

You cannot put machines in the residential area as this will be used from visitor that fill up the space you have already paid for.

you charge an admin fee, sometimes the app doesnt work plus if your signal is poor, and there should be an option to take a ticket and pay afterwards, only getting penalty if you dont within 24hours, rather than get ticketed on the day when parking in a car park, like the toll roads do or like you do in multi-storeys. This saves you needing so many traffic wardens and catching people out driving round looking for a meter or different place to park if you are in a hurry.

You have not provided any sound justification for replacing machines with card only machines. All machines should accept cash. Older people do not necessarily use cards or have apps on the phones.

The first hour should be free to residents.

You have to be inclusive. Not everyone has a smartphone and access to apps.

You have to consider older residents who do not have cashless access and are not technology literate.

You must provide alternative ways of paying. Coins and cards a must.

You need to be able to pay by cash in case app not working, card machine not working or no telephone reception.

You need to continue to use cash in the machines. the machines must be maintained and if they aren't working you cannot say you have to pay by phone. Not everyone has a phone and if they do, not everyone always has their phone with them, charged or working. Payment by card is a good idea but again must also be cash available. IF the machines are not working then there has to be no penalty as you can't pay. You cannot fine people if they can't pay. You can't have to rely on people having a phone with them.

You say charges are the same on the app and in the car park but they 're not. Ring charge a usage fee each time so an hour's parking is already £1.60 on the app. Will it be £1.80 on the app? If so that may put some people off using the app.

You should accommodate everyone not everyone is tech savvy the older generation needs to thought about too!

You should allow any type of payment. Perhaps offer a discount for those buying weekly/monthly/annual tickets for certain zones.

You should be able to pay by card as not everyone has cash

Prices should vary depending on times

You should be able to pay by cash

You should be able to pay with cash.

You should introduce them on roads that currently have yellow lines that dont need them such as Lewisham Road

You should not penalise the elderly by going cashless - the village should accept all payments as should areas around community centres churches hospitals go surgeries

You should still be able to pay cash where it is near services that the elderly use. Not sure how practical that is. If not practical just card only

Your solution is to penalize both cash and cashless parkers. Come on Lewisham we are not stupid!

You want to get rid of the guy that's goes around to collect all the money from the machines. Shame on you

Your (Ringo) system is very complicated.

Until it is improved, pay and display should remain.

Your logic here is counter to the argument. If machines are costly to maintain and cashless is easier to manage and has been increasing then why wouldn't you encourage this method of payment? To then say you're removing the convenience fee but increasing the charge across both methods to a level equal to the provider fee plus convenience fee plus parking charge is another revenue generation scheme. Is it actually that you want to increase cost of cashless payments as this method has been increasing but trying to sell this as a good thing you're doing?!

Your phone may be out of battery or not be able to use app, should always be a cash option.

Your proposals about the parking machines will discriminate against older residents who may not have smart phones or who tend to use cash. Your policies should always be inclusive.

Section 10 - PCN proposal response (verbatim comments)

LB Lewisham public consultation

PCN - verbatim comments

858 comments out of 3,767 surveys – 22.8%

Q: Do you have any comments that you would like to add about parking tickets?

£100 Maximum

£20

£30 is sufficient to realise a mistake. £60 to some families could really have a negative knock on effect for the month

£65 is way too much especially when given because someone is a minute longer than allowed... As a parent of a young child I find unexpected things happen that demand my immediate attention, like a fall, nose bleed, nausea or simply just tantrums... It's painful and unfair on the kids the upset felt and expressed in that minute when a ticket issued is found

£70 reduced to £35 is the max they should cost

5 mins over should be allowed

5-10 minutes grace period to slow for loading and unloading. Also avoid having different parking restrictions in the same street.

7 day week parking restrictions for those streets that are around and lead onto the south circular. Enforced

A uniform lower penalty parking fine should be introduced across the borough for parity.

A fairer system is needed for PCNs.

A grace period should exist before a ticket is issued and this period should be set as standard across the borough.

A longer grace period. Most people risk the PCNs because they have no choice /alternatives. Eg. Lack of play and display parking.

Meters that make you pay a full hour at whatever rate when only minutes are needed

A money earner, nothing to do with pollution.

a parking contravention is a parking contravention. Why should it be cheaper in the south?

Make the north cheaper too

A parking offence is a parking offence irrespective of where it is committed.

A parking ticket should be to do with safety and traffic flow. the council has a responsibility to review current signage to ensure that emphasis is correct and not just a revenue stream

A ticket is a ticket. It doesn't matter where you live.

Adequate parking areas need to be provided in the borough

Adequate signage to avoid confusion and unnecessary fines. Fines should be a deterrent rather than a revenue generator for the council

Again Another ploy to transfer money from the poor to the rich ... redistribution of wealth is lessening due to policies like this.

Parking should be accessible , easy . The signs are too obscure ... not in plain English and appear to be designed to confuse !

again question doesn't say should north and south both be as cheap?

Again, is the Council simply using parking tickets as a revenue stream.

Again, motivated by nothing more than cynical greed.

Again, there is no valid reason why Lewisham Council propose to increase to £130 across the whole borough.

This is purely motivated by financial revenue to the council

How does increasing it help improve air quality?

Agreed they should be equal, but this doesn't mean making equal at a higher level for everyone.

All about money uuuurgh...

Make signs clearer. You've already lowered the signs in some streets so people don't see them then they get fined??? What's THAT about?

All boroughs in London should get together and have the same rules/pricing for fines. People need to be educated in how to park correctly. Not confused by all the different rules etc

All drivers should be treated fairly.

All parking penalties should be the same, not high but a reasonable cost

All road markings should be 100% clear and repainted if not. TFL are quick to fine but not to support when in the wrong

All seems fine to me, drivers has to follow the rules of parking.

An approach where people can park only on defined bays could help avoid dangerous parking on junctions etc. Yellow lines should be revised as well and no parking should be allowed where it lowers visibility and create pedestrians and cyclists safety issues

Another con for Lewisham shithole coffers

Another form of taxation provide better access to car parks instead of building more flats for people who by default bring in more cars!!!

Also better bus services to encourage public transport use and a tube station at Catford spend some money on the transport infrastructure instead of penalising the working classes

Another money making idea!!!

Another revenue raising scam. Ask yourselves what you are trying to achieve with this? If the answer is to raise revenue rather than to serve your residents then you should find a better way to serve them.

Another way of penalising car owners

Anything Illegally parked should be fined

As a driver I just ask for clear signage

As I've said before Lewisham Council will ensure they make more money out of the motorist no matter what any of us think or say

As long as the higher cost fine is not just adopted across the borough it makes sense that fines are consistent

as long as the prices do not go up

try to encourage small businesses

As long as the signage is clear. Signs have been removed / vandalised on our road, so no one knows what's happening.

As long as this is not just an excuse to increase all penalties it seems fairer to be all one charge.

As noted previously I had a ticket that was because my permit had run out, but I had had problems with doing it on line.

I appealed but still had to pay the £65.

In a case like mine you can check that I have had a valid permit for the last 8 years, so there should be a grace period, say two days to allow for any technical hitches.

As per my previous comments, please make sure you re-invest any money made through this into improving the chances of residents to make the right choices around transport.

As you say, extension of ULEZ could increase parking just outside the South Circular - where currently there are no parking restrictions, so CPZs will need to be added to prevent it from becoming a car park and make parking tickets uniform across the borough.

Assuming the council have undertaken the necessary due diligence to ensure this measure doesn't unfairly disadvantage residents in the south of the borough - this seems sensible.

AT THE LOWER RATE - I do not agree that all parking fines should not be raised.

back to the earlier point I made about zoned parking levels, and the people in the north of the borough have MUCH better transport links so don't need car use quite so much.

ban parking tickets for cars parked in a proper bay with just one tyre slightly over the edge of the white line

Base the charge on the lowest rate rather than the highest - doubt that Lewisham have the honesty or integrity to do this

Be mindful of issuing tickets in business zones.

You will be aiding and abetting Amazon in killing our high street.

If you must introduce new CPZs, a far better method is to have a two hour restriction in the middle of the day. This ensures you deter commuters from dumping their cars in our borough or local zone PLUS it will be much more cost-effective to enforce while not threatening revenues.

Be more clear signs and where you can and where you can park and what times like e.g. yellow lines at what times

Especially on the road behind Lewisham Turkish Food Centre and in front of council car park. Because I live in a narrow part of my road it is inevitable that cars would be damaged by passing vehicle if we did not park slightly on the pavement. However, I received a fine for this practice. I feel that discretion could be used in these instances, as long as there is no danger to pedestrians.

Bit confused here. Why don't we have a single Lewisham resident ticket so you can park any area in borough for 2 hours then can park in your area as CPZ?

Bit unhelpful not to list prices here..so not sure what difference you are talking about.

Think maybe make the north as cheap as the south.

Borough has already made 3.5 million from charges. I don't think raising them will benefit us. Bring the cost of fines down across the borough if you want to have parity - you have again worded your questions simply to justify raising the cost!!!

Businesses parking bays are very limited and it is often impossible to find one at peak times. A full penalty notice when a Bay is not available is punitive.

Can't see any reason for the difference.

Would like genuine consideration of parking appeals though, rather than knee-jerk rejections which require us to go to the next level.

Car sharing services should be exempt to aid promotion of said services.

Central Lewisham and more built

Up areas should have a higher fee than residential areas

Change the Regulation to stop people parking in different parts of the Borough, when the ULEZ comes into effect.

charges should be fair and borough wide regardless if you park in the north or south

Charges should be on a sliding scale according to impact to high traffic areas. It makes no sense to charge the same for parking incorrectly in front of my home or on the main road through central Lewisham.

charging more for this kind of thing helps people to question their relationship with the car.

cheaper is better

cheaper would be good

Clarification about times allowed for disabled parking. Have been told that disabled badge holders cannot park in residents bays but can park on single yellow lines or in pay bays. If this is correct then it should be made clear on notices.

Clear and up to date marking of restrictions should be maintained so there is no chance of misunderstanding

Clearer zone marking between residential and business zones would help.

Clearly the price in the SOUTH is the price that should be adopted. To use this to increase all parking charges would be UNACCEPTABLE- MOTORISTS are not milk cows. This whole

consultation smacks of an attempt to get even more money out of the motorists. we will not accept that and consider it discrimination.

Concessions for residents.

Congestion is not the same across the borough... differentials need to reflect that

Continuity across the board. Some traffic wardens apply fines some do not.

Costs of fines in north should be reduced to being in line with south, rather than cost of south being increased.

Could be higher around stations and areas where people try to cheat the system

Could it be like the dart charge (or similar) and if you pay within 24/48 hours it be limited to something like £15?

Could more be made of technical advances to introduce better monitoring rather than relying on parking attendants for enforcement?

Council should do something to tackle parking violations not just in few places but everywhere.

Should start a scheme where anyone can submit evidence of parking violations and those are acted upon. Otherwise people only adhere to rules where enforcement is. And 95% of the borough is not enforced.

I have a on-going issue of my drive-way been blocked by inconsiderate people but nothing can be done against it. I feel the law is on the side of law breakers and criminals in this country.

Council should notify residents for temporary parking suspensions

Councils need to find a way to enforce these better, eg I lived in a flat where a property that was let continued to receive PCN reminders after the tenant moved out. Not sure how you do this, but needs investigating as some people don't care and rack up PCNs knowing they can't be found.

CPZ zones surrounding a two mile radius of the ULEZ zone to discourage the area becoming a car park.

Currently it's a money making racket. Please deal with drivers who are endangering others not people who overstay by 5 mins or park slightly outside a marked bay.

Damn stupid parking tickets! I hate them with a passion

Did not know there was a difference across the Borough.

Did not know there were different fines

Didn't read the policy. Advertising of changes needs to be more easy read for those using the service

This survey itself is too long with a lot of comment boxes. What exactly is the information you're trying to obtain?

Different PCN fees implies different severity of crime.

Surely it is the same legislation that is being contravened? And hence should have the same punishment?

Do not create a division across the south circular road, otherwise change the boundary with Bromley??

Don't fine people for parking slightly on very wide pavements when buggies and wheelchairs can easily pass, it stinks of money-making

Dont change anything

dont understand why fines are cheaper in the south???

DRIVERS IN THE BOROUGH WHO HOLD A VALID AND UP TO DATE PARKING PERMIT SHOULD NOT BE FINED AT ALL

Either lower all the fees or leave it like they are. Don't hear poorer families increasing fees, fines or charges...people are struggling!!!

Email alerts would be useful in case e.g. you are away when you get a ticket because your residents permit has expired and you do not see the paper ticket. If parking meters etc are all moving to app only it seems fair to also make the pcn alert system app based

Enforce speed limits properly and fine drivers who speed

Enforcement beyond M-F, 9-5.

Enforcement should be more consistent and more comprehensive.

Enforcement would be great. Especially in front of schools on double-yellow lines.

Ensure contact information is correct in other ways than simply relying on DVLA.

Ensure that parking information is updated correctly and everyone is aware of any restrictions.

Ensure that parking tickets are given out legitimately.

I have had to fight parking tickets given out in the past by traffic wardens trying to reach their 'quota'. Eg having bought a parking ticket to visit a friend, I still had 45 minutes paid for when I returned to my car to find a parking ticket had been slapped onto my car. It took me too much time, correspondance, photo taking and a witness statement from my friend to get out of paying that fine. I would have preferred the cost of my time fighting this to have been reimbursed.

This has happened to me a number of times - and because I want to flag this up, I fight these parking tickets. However, I know too many people who just pay because it is not worth their time to fight.

Ensure your enforcement officers have a sense of reality and understanding of extenuating circumstances which sometimes occur.

Extend flexibility of wardens to allow for more than 5min overdrawn timing unless it's a cashless venue (when people can get reminders to extend)

Extend parking permits to every household, including new build properties.

Extortionately High, given our distance from the rest of the borough and distance from Zone 1.

fairer appeals process - online?

far too expensive

Fed up with people coming and using my road as a car park.

Fees should be the same.

Fine levels are one thing, but you need to start actually enforcing existing restrictions (cars that are too big parked in driveways and blocking pavements, driving on the Broadway, parking in loading bays etc etc etc) . Without effective enforcement the fine levels are immaterial.

Fines are too expensive which people avoid paying

Fines are too high

finer are too high and immorally wrong - its money grabbing business that earns councils millions and the money is not put back into the community , its a scum bag system that targets everyone wrongly and has no leniency, the system needs to be fairer and needs to shake off the bad reputation it has

Fines for "dangerous" parking (on corners, zig-zags, pavements, bus lanes etc) should be higher than for overstaying or failing to pay and display whilst parked in an approved spot. Badly parked cars are one of the main things which make cycling more difficult and more dangerous and so encourage more car use in the area.

Fines should be calculated based on the average income in the neighbourhood so higher income neighbourhoods pay higher fines. Or ideally tied to a % of the drivers income.

Otherwise fines are just used as parking costs for higher earners and they can park wherever they want.

Fines should be cheaper aine times the people giving it are con men

Fines should be harmonised across different boroughs

Fines should be more in higher traffic places.

Fines should be reduced rather than increased to make them the same

finer should be starting at 40 after twenty days should go up to 80 and capped at 120
Fines should be the same for everyone and notices should be clearly displayed, no obstructed sign and road marking clear displayed.
Fines should increase with each occurrence on that vehicle, i.e. 1st penalty £60, second penalty £75, third £100, etc.
Fines should not be too high, anyone can make a mistake
Fines should not be used as a source of income for the council. Setting enforcement targets leads to unfair or unreasonable tickets being given.
First ticket should be a warning
First time a person should receive a warning then after that 2nd time a parking permit can be issued
Firstly put the same amount of traffic warden in every street and not a concentration of the only in the centre. You want to reduce the CO2 in Lewisham and force people to drive around the residential area waiting for the wife doing their shopping.
Nice way to reduce CO2 emission
For someone who has over stayed on a parking bay they should not be charged the same as someone parked on a yellow line. That's not fair
Free parking for electric vehicles.
Free parking for hydro and electric cars.
From my point of view the council has done a great job and everything is good
Get more wardens. Make an app so anyone can report a parking violation by taking pictures and sending in.
Give a 10 minute grace period-we all have busy lives and do our best to get back in time but sometimes need leeway!
Give people more time to pay the fine at the lower price.
Give residents some warning if they make a mistake on their application form or actually check the details are right. Lewisham will fine you if you make a typo or get just one letter wrong on your application.
Go AppyParking and eliminate the need for Parking fines to be issued. People will pay for the exact time the vehicle was parked and will avoid penalties.
Good idea as people use their cars to pop to Aldis which is approx 200yards away - why not walk & help the planet.
Good idea. Without the real threat of PCN's, then parking in Lewisham will become unmanageable.
Have a five minute grace period for the driver to return to remove the vehicle before it is ticketed.
Have free parking
Having wardens do their jobs properly and not select who they give tickets to e.g parking their own cars and allowing their friends time on the markings.

Actually knowing when and when not to give a ticket for e.g Sunday's markings are free barring doubles and reds but still proceed to hand out tickets just to be an arsehole.
Higher fine the better
Higher fines near town centre only
how are the tickets different in parts of the borough this is unfair, and should be looked into
How can these be enforced - many tickets just thrown to the ground or torn up.
I agree that all parking charges should be equal across the borough.
I agree that there should be a uniform rate across the borough, but not as high as it stands now.
However I do agree that the charge for parking on yellow lines or creating an obstruction should be higher.
I agree the fee should be consistent across the borough

I always double check for my parking permits, and very rarely I am late. Then I have to pay a large amount. for a simple accident.

I always renew my Resident's Permit, but have still had PCNs due to issues with the website you employ to administer the scheme. It should be relatively straight forward, but is a pain when you change cars and have to submit documents and change multiple screens. Please go through it as a novice user to see what I mean and get changes made to make it idiot proof and avoid stressing residents with PCNs.

I am against fines having been caught a couple of times very unfairly. Where this has happened I Never visit that area again to shop so the shopkeepers are penalised.

I am disagreeing because I suspect the council wants to raise all fines to the price of the north of the borough rather than lower all fines to the price of the south of the borough. If it was the other way around then I would agree with the above.

I am happy for all of the borough to pay the same but not any more in the south of the borough. Make it cheaper in the north rather than increase the price in the south of the borough.

I am unsure why there is a disparity between the north and south of the borough. I do strongly believe Lewisham council wants equity in this matter so they can gain more money from parking tickets across the whole borough.

I believe there should be more 20-30mins parking options for short stays which could also be managed via the app as using a visitor permit to avoid a ticket is wasteful and uncompromising

I bet you increase PCNs to the most expensive.

I bought a permit for a car from abroad, giving full number plate as well as details of make/colour etc of car. Still, within the first hour and ticket was issued. It took months of complaining and not accepting the penalty until an apology was issued. This was a waste of time and money, and totally unnecessary.

I can't see a justification for charging different people different amounts depending on where they parked in the borough.

I didn't know it was cheaper to park in the south of the borough than the north. I don't want to see parking in the south of the borough encouraged doubly by both discriminatory parking fees and the new CPZ. The non-coverage of the CPZ could be compensated for by making charges higher in the south of the borough ... let's say by an amount that differs by the fee for driving in the CPZ for all drivers into the borough.

I didn't know there was a difference in PCN fines across the borough

I didn't know there were different levels of fines and would not be happy for fine to be levied up rather than equalised.

I do know that there is a high level of poverty in the wards of Bellingham, Downham and Whitefoot which are in the south of the borough, however, so is Evelyn ward which is in the north.

How have you come to the decision to make fines cheaper in the south of Lewisham? Why not reduce the amount of the fines charged all over the borough?

I do not fully agree with the move to increasing revenue by setting all penalties at the higher rate. Why not remove any rates relating to area? The higher rate is there for people who cause obstructions etc, so charge higher rate for such offences and the lower one for lesser ones, as intended when the rates were introduced.

I don't know what the charges are currently and what the differences would be. If there are tangible reasons to continue to keep the parking in the South of the borough cheaper then this should be considered.

I don't know what the difference is so difficult to comment

I don't fully understand the issues,
But why not equalise downwards?

Don't use PCNs as a means to raise revenue. Don't confuse policing and funding.

I don't know the exact cost of a parking ticket, but in my experience they are often far too high. They must function as a deterrent, but not be so extortionate that people have to scramble to take out a pay-day loan to cover the cost.

I don't know what south of borough is vs north....yet you offer me no don't know option. This is an awful leading methodology skewed to get the answers you want.

I don't know why it's cheaper in the south than the north but if it were to be equal then maybe don't just swap everything to the higher rate

I don't understand why charges should not be higher in parking hotspots

I don't understand why it would be less anyway... I didn't realise this was the case. Flat rate fine would make things more simple

I feel that the appeals process should be more accessible and decisions made fairly and explained well.

I generally do not agree with Parking Tickets as I believe that Parking Enforcement is trying to generate revenue. I believe that the rate of the penalty in relation to parking tickets is excessive and is just another way to bring in income on top of road tax and Council Tax.

I had no idea that fines differed across the borough. This is unreasonable but not a surprise !

I had no idea that the charges were different in different parts of the borough

I had no idea there are differential PCNs and feel rather shocked at the lack of transparency - it is not as if the signs in the north of the borough tell people 'you will be charged higher than anywhere else if you overstay your parking'.

I had no idea they were different prices. What is that all about? Crazy

I hate them, but if you make it easier to pay for parking - and use the cash to improve the public transport system then I support it.

I have been previously caught out before (in the Bromley borough) where I mistakenly parked in a poorly marked loading bay. (Which I appealed against had voided because of this).

I feel that as long as correct and clear signage is used across the borough, I highly agree PCNs should be enforced to get drivers to respect the parking systems.

I have had visitors get parking fines when they have been at my house for two minutes simply because the traffic warden hasn't given them the chance to come and get the permit and place it in their car which has caused me to become more isolated as now my friends and family say they don't want to risk getting a ticket if they come over to see me as I am a disabled person with mobility issues I can not always take the permit out to them

I have no idea what is actually meant by the south of the borough and I think the expectation is that the parking is the same throughout the borough. It is all close enough to central London that there should be no differentiation

I have no idea what is the defining line between north and south. I had no idea there was a difference.

I have received parking tickets outside my home for unloading my car outside my house despite having a permit, I think your parking services staff are by large extremely rude and uncooperative when you speak to them and I think need more training. How to deal with customers. Although there have been two exceptions to that rule in the five years. They shouldn't be used as an excuse to earn money for the borough, and discretion should be applied in some cases but I agree that if parked illegally then yes a ticket should be issued, the cost should be calculated by overall demographics for your area but I don't think that should be different for one person on one street to another

I have received two parking tickets, for friends outside my house. One I believe was fair (we had forgotten) the other we were in the process of purchasing the ticket online. I appealed the tickets and explained the situation and the fact that I regularly purchase tickets (which can be seen via my account and online), but to no end. I feel like the council is very quick to take money and the appeals process means nothing. I am very cynical of these charges, which is a shame.

I have, more than once, had to pay a hefty fine because where my car was parked became an area with a new penalty notice while I was away - on one occasion in hospital. On each occasion it was not cancelled for a minor reason, even though such evidence as copies of

tickets were given. And I have received in the post 2 letters, one cancelling the fine and the other imposing that same fine. If these notices go up without a one month notice, then there must be a fair way to appeal which cites acceptable reasons and evidence . Every time that there is unfairness, it suggests that the Council does not have the best interests of every resident at heart, but is dishonestly looking for ways to exploit them.

I hope the council doesn't use draconian enforcement like they do for council tax
I live in a road with double yellow lines that are there for safety reasons as there are two schools so multiple crossings the parking attendants thought they were only there to enforce the parking restrictions on the zig zags and had to tell them under the Highway code the law regarding the double yellow lines and even googled it for them it seems the law doesn't apply to those doing a school run so your enforcement officers need a common sense and b know the law and c continuity
I live in Deptford and when a fine is put on a car the car remains there for several months taking up a space that I pay for. When a fine is issued it should be acted upon immediately. I never knew that charges were different according to where you lived???

Why is there this discrepancy ?

I really don't understand why there is a price difference between the North and South boroughs. The parking fine should be the same.

I received a parking fine on my vehicle because it didn't have a permit, I had changed its over to my new. vehicle. I still had to pay the fine. This I find unacceptable. I am a resident, and the system is here to protect residents so that they have parking, a resident who makes a legitimate mistake should not be penalised.

I recently received a parking ticket parking in Lewisham Way (L permit holder). I was told this was due to "no parking signs" there was not any but I had to pay £65.00

I see a lot of cars parked on double yellow lines and dangerously blocking junctions but I never see these cars get tickets. Do we only issue tickets to cars parked in bays without paying. Can we better police it double yellow lines?

I see illegal parking on our street every weekend but it is never enforced. This needs to be improved.

I think differences in charges should more be based on the severity of the offence rather than the location.

I think enforcement should take account of signage and clarity of markings.

I think having a grace period of time before being fined would be nice. So if you are 10 minutes late that is ok.

I think in areas where commuters park and leave their cars all day, a better option than parking tickets is to have a period say between 10am and 12 noon when you need a parking ticket to park on the street. This will deter people leaving cars all day but also allows other car users to to park for a short period of time. I think this is also preferable to resident parking only signs, which are not great for local businesses.

I think it is a good idea to places cpz in areas that have high levels of parking especially by those who only park to use public transport or attend college or work nearby. However I do not think it is right that these zones are placed in residential areas where parking is not an issue or residential users are unable to park their vehicles for 2 hours out of 24 hours. I do think that is a bit ridiculous. This really should be revised .

I think it is too soon to judge this - wait until the ULEZ comes into effect and then see what is happening. Also if changed it should absolutely not take effect until the ULEZ comes into force, otherwise it encourages all the wrong behaviours.

I think it should be dealt with more from the council not someone who's running things their way that's how you come to have differences in prices and judgements and they shouldn't be reviewing their own challenges because as I've already said it doesn't work

I think it's Disgusting that the council think it's ok to hand out parking tickets to Residents that park outside their own home, we shouldn't have to pay to park where we live, the council take enough money of us as it is...it's just pure greed...

I think it's important to have fines but the appeal process needs to be easy as they can be given in error. Also please don't be too Draconian (debt collection agencies, high interest) in pursuing vulnerable people

I think local residents should be allowed some additional rights of appeal for their first parking ticket to cover extenuating circumstances.

I think obstructive parking that disrupts traffic should warrant a higher fine than just overstaying your parking time.

I think parking fines should be higher where contraventions will cause the most inconvenience to residents.

I think parking fines should relate to ability to pay, and two car households as there are many in my street and in Ladywell which is rich ward should pay more.

If you live in Evelyn and on low income a parking fine might break you

If you are Boris Johnson it obviously doesn't.

Bailiffs being sent in for non payment of parking fines for a person on low income, same for council tax is abhorrent to me and many like me.

I think parking tickets should be lowered in price across the whole of the borough.

I think PCNs should be standard across the whole country not just the borough!

I think residents should be given a warning notice after their permit expires, it's easy to miss a renewal email or it end up in junk mail, councils like us NHS workers should always step back and remember why the organisation exists, to support the local community, we all forget sometimes.

I think that health workers - district nurses, health visitors, care agency workers should be exempt from parking fees and fines whilst they are doing home visits as part of their jobs - in this technological world it should be possible to login and logout of parking fees expected.

I think that Parking fines should be different for non residents and residents (with permits). I have picked up parking fines on rental cars whilst in my house trying to add the registration number onto the parking app and friends have had fines when parking up and coming in the house to say hello before we sort out their parking (which I then feel is beholden on me to pay!). As someone who also holds an annual permit I find it frustrating that I have to pay the same as someone who has paid Lewisham Council nothing.

I don't think location in the borough should be a factor on what you pay.

I think that parking fines should be proportional to the income of the person being fined.

I think that residents who have a drive way and yellow lines across should be able to park across the drive.

I think that there should be a '1 strike' allowance for cars belonging to people who either are or visiting a Lewisham resident, as sometimes genuine errors are made, ie forgetting to add additional time on the parking permit or forgetting to renew.

I think the fees should be uniform at the BAND B rate across the borough.

I think the no of resident bay's out of action in the town centre is appalling and should be much more clearly marked so people aren't caught out.

I think the parking fine costs need to be reduced but it depends where the person has parked.

If for example a parking ticket has expired by 10 minutes and you get a ticket, then your fine shouldn't be the same amount as someone who has carelessly parked on a double yellow line or in a disabled bay when they are not supposed to.

The fines need to be proportionate to the action.

I think the penalty should depend on the location. Places where parking is scarce should have bigger fines and residential street parking should have low penalties.

I think the permit should have a direct debit to roll over to the next month, unless cancelled. allowing Residents not to be penalised for being failed to be reminded to pay for their permit.

I think the signs need to be clearer where you could possibly receive a pcn...

I think there should be a larger number of traffic enforcement officers, with the aim of educating road traffic users rather than always issuing fines, and with the authority to use discretion.

I think they should be the same, however, not raised to the higher level but equalized
I think this is sensible because it is straightforward and it sends the right message about the harm of driving.

I think vehicles should have a 10 minute grace period from first pulling up before a traffic warden issues a ticket

I think you get enough tickets issued through the post for silly things eg entering a bus lane slightly

I thought charges were the same across the borough. The fact that they are different is ridiculous. Charges should be equal and reduced to the lesser of the two amounts

I trust Lewisham would consider lowering the PCN to the price of South Lewisham, rather than raising it to the price of North Lewisham when the blanket price is introduced.

i understand that revenues generated by fees and fines are hypothecated back into parking services and enforcement. This should be extended to the actual facilities themselves, and in particular the surroundings such that wherever possible, borders of car parks and CPZs should be planted with trees, verges greened and flower-beds laid. A simple way of considerably improving the visual amenity of the Borough, with money the Council already have, but are obliged to put back into parking.

I understand the urge to prevent people parking here with the expansion of ULEZ. But in reality we live in the south because it's the only place we can afford- I think it could really disadvantage poor members of the community who cannot afford low emission cars.

I was unaware of this discrimination in parking fines for us who live in the north of the borough. If somebody breaches the parking rules they should be charged the same amount for the same offence.

I was unaware there were any price differences. What are the reasons for their difference?

I wasn't aware there was a price difference - why is this?

I would like the fined to be the same, but to be lower. The way you phrase your questions sounds like you just want to hike prices

I would like to see more enforcement and maximum penalties. Antisocial parking is a huge problem, and has costs to the Council and society at large.

I would like to see more given out. I see many vehicles illegally parked with no sanction.

I would like to see penalty charges for people using illegal off-street parking (with no drop curb) or require that they purchase a parking permit.

I would much prefer resident parking permits to be real pieces of paper that go in a car windscreen. In Southwark the car number plate is held in a database instead. I have endless trouble trying to book visitor permits at work online on Southwark website.

I would prefer to have officers issuing penalties to dangerous drivers who speed round the borough or drive recklessly endangering pedestrians and other road users.

I have witnessed some shocking situations but there is never any officer around to deal with such offenders which is much worse than exceeding parking time by a few minutes.

I would really like the highway code parking restrictions enforced more. It won't make the Council any money but it would improve road safety. The key restrictions that are constantly flouted are the distances from road junctions, parking near or on the approach to zebra crossing and double parking. It seems the approach to enforcement is also about income generation rather than a more holistic approach to road safety and the flow of traffic.

I would support the new parking ticket fee being equalised but at a figure between the current two rates. The current rates should have been displayed in this consultation.

I'd like to see better enforcement, for example, the lewisham renaissance development frequently has people parked on the walkways.

I've never seen a parking ticket issued in Brockley, and people ignore parking restrictions because no enforcement ever happens. This should be remedied.

I'd like to see clamping of persistent offenders.

(Very local issue: a foreign registered vehicle constantly parks in the street; appears to be ticketed almost daily. Clearly this is not a deterrent and should be clamped.)

If a resident's car is parked in a bay which gets suspended, he gets a parking ticket, even if it was already parked there and was not moved before the suspension came into place. Many local residents use cars only for long journeys or where large loads need to be carried, and even when away from home, might well not take their car. If a paid-up permit holder is stuck in a bay about to be suspended, is there a way to inform the owner that the car needs moving? In many places it is not possible to park anywhere near the house, even in a CPZ.

If a single price fine is used it should be the lower of the two currently in force

If a ticket is issued there should be a longer time for the person who has been issued the ticket longer to respond and to contest.

if car use is to decline which is Lewisham's proposal why increase the fines? just a money making exercise it seems.

If it was properly displayed and explained, but yet they choose to ignore it, then they should pay for the privilege of telling the borough that they do not respect neither the borough or the citizens. I have no mercy or patience for people who freely choose to spit on the right of others

If parking fines are to be the same, make sure they're the cheaper of the two

If residents have paid for a residents parking permit but all the bays are full, residents should not be issued a PCN as they have no alternative to park.

If the aim of the charge is to eliminate pollution, it makes no sense for varying charges to apply in different locations.

If the North of Lewisham will not be affected by the ULEZ then I feel it only fair that the South has lower penalty charges

If the offending user has a RingGo account it should be automatically be charged for minimum time and text sent as reminder.

If the proposal is to bring the charges in the North of the borough down to the same rate as in the South then yes sure uniform charges would be great. However if the council is trying just to find an excuse, a "justification" for bringing charges up in the South then no, leave them be.

If ULEZ is likely to increase parking in the south, wouldn't it be worth the charges being higher there to try and drive some people away and stop it becoming a car park?

If uniform parking across the borough is brought in, it should not be at the higher northern rate.

If you are a resident and pick up a penalty fine this should be reduced.

If you are going to consolidate the two different charges, then I think the only way to do this fairly is to charge the average of the two current prices - rather than just hike it to the higher charge, which is what I am presuming you are planning on doing.

If you are going to implement a change then you need to enforce it. You also need to protect those issuing tickets.

If you are going to level out the playing field, it should be done at the lower rate, not the higher. Again, this just comes across like you are taxing drivers unfairly

If you get a parking ticket it cost more than a 3 months Permit it's very expensive and like I said before it cost so much money to run a car as it is I've been a traffic warden myself once upon a time so I know all the things NSL does to get money out of drivers at the end of the day it's not Lewisham fault entirely is the whole London system which is making poor people poorer and rich are getting richer

If you have the ability to have a car your fine should be the same no matter where you are in Lewisham

If you park illegally then it's a fair cop if you get a ticket.

Introducing more pay and display bays and phone/app payments mean little excuse for non-compliance.

Make more bays mixed between resident permits and pay and display = drivers can find somewhere legal to park and pay to do so = fair income.

If you provide enough Parking and less red route.

If you want the high street to prosper and grow then cheap and lots of parking is what you need !

If you're going to change it, please lower the charges in the north of the borough rather than raise them in the south please.

Illegal parking is illegal wherever it takes place

I'm sure you intend to standardise the fines by raising the fees in the North of the borough.

Why not standardise at the lower rate? It's still enough to put people off.

Important all parking restrictions are clearly labelled

Impound vehicles who repeatedly park illegally

Improve communication as post can get lost and penalties incurred for late payment. Email phone

Improve cycling infrastructure before increasing fines

TFL needs to run south London ToC,S also

Improve your cycling policy! You do pretty much nothing for cyclists. When you redo roads, you don't add cycling lanes. The Lewisham centre redevelopment is an absolute shame. It's all very well and good to 'punish' diesel, but what do you do to encourage cycling? Nothing. There is no cycling policy. We don't need different types of cars, we need less cars.

In busy areas the parking tickets should cost more than in less congested areas

In my area, Sydenham, enforcement of parking outside of main daytime weekday hours seems almost nonexistent. People park everywhere regularly causing obstructing

Parking that obstructs pavements seems rarely enforced. I have encountered disabled pedestrians and people with baby buggies unable to pass parked vehicles, but never seen a badly parked vehicle ticketed

In what world would they not be the same!?

Include tickets for idling vehicles or app to report those drivers idling via video

Inconsiderate parking isn't necessary and should be equally dealt with.

Increase the fine to an amount that would make drivers think twice about even entering the borough - £1000.00 (or £500.00 if paid within 28 days).

Increase the payment time to 28days

Increase the restriction times to include Sundays.

Enforce the current restrictions to all, why are builders being allowed to park for free.

increase them

Incredibly high cost. The residents should be provided with a breakdown of who receives these notices - business users/ other users/ residents and a plan put together to then target and discourage those type of users. It's unclear where the money for PCNs gets used.

Introduce increasing fines for repeat offences within a given time frame, or scale them based on the car owner's income.

Introducing CPZ to handle extra parking from ULEZ

Issue all residents with some free visitor parking tickets to avoid them risking this fee when they have no choice but to rely on a friend with a car.

Issue more of them!

Issue them / enforce them... the threat without follow through is pointless

It depends. No doubt you are proposing raising the fees across both areas rather than lowering. I note you do not make that 100% clear in your questions.

It doesn't stop vehicles coming into the Borough its just a money making scheme for the council

It is not acceptable the some charges are higher than some .

Also why should the price of a tick cost over a £100 pounds.

It is not always clear when you can and can't park, especially on bank holidays.
It is not an issue that concerns me.
It is not stated why the notices are currently more. As in the previous comment, if you are proposing that the notices will all be the same, are you bringing the south charges up to the north, reducing the north, or having them somewhere in the middle?
It really depends upon the pattern of parking infringements. In principle parking penalties should be the same, except if there are areas where parking infringement is higher - in which case it would be logical to issue higher charges until the infringement rate is brought down.
It should be fair by being the same price across the borough
it should be the same charge accros the borough, it isn't fair otherwise
It should be the same regardless of where it is.
it should have the same rules to everyone regardless their job titles. If traffic wardens make "mistakes" in their jobs, they should also be fined to stop of misusing the power
It should not matter were you are fines should be all the same price.
It would be good if you can say where the money is going that you paid for the parking ticker
It would be great if this was at the lower rather than the higher price
It would be nice to feel that tickets were issued to maintain traffic flow and for people extensively over staying - not just to make money for the cojncil
It's all about revenues! You are stifling business with your parking policy. But you do not care about that!
It's legalised robbery
Especially when the wardens are given no discretion
It's like being stalked by vultures. You don't dare stop anywhere. I go to the supermarket where there is free parking. Local business loses out.
It's unfair that people who are poor pay the same fines as rich people. No idea how you would deal with this but it is clearly unfair
It's all Lewisham. Why should some people pay more than others?
It's always about money...
I've answered "No" to 34 because I do not think they should be cheaper than the North (or wherever is being compared to).
I've no idea what this is about
Just a money making scheme.
More grace should be giving for those who have paid for parking
Just a rip off people should be given more lenience where this is concerned as parking is ridiculous in the borough anyway
Just because it may result in increased parking in the south doesn't mean it will. Seems like an excuse to increase fines
Just should be given longer to pay the min as people get paid monthly cannot afford to pay straight away. Pay half fine by 1st of the following month or increased to max fine. Something like that
Just too high. And those parking signs are so unclear, it's a complete money spinner and so unfair. All this "no parking between 7-7, except 4-7" lark.
Leading questions in this survey
I think parking fines should be charged at south Lewisham rate
leave things as they are...it aint broke it doesn't need fixing
Less threatening letters about potential prosecution would be preferable!
Let them be managed by the Council themselves and not a private firm like NCP!!! No commission for wardens for booking vehicles!!!
Let's also enforce fines for idling and parking with engine on.
Lewisham borough should facilitate parking
Lewisham has made an industry out of this process.
It would be more constrictive if speed limits are well enforced.

Lewisham is particularly bad for insuring in reasonable tickets. You should ban officers being rewarded for hitting targets or penalised for missing them.

Lewisham is poor ..people can't afford huge penalties .

Lewisham PCNs are far too high anyway. £60 is criminal and for what?

Lewisham residents should register for pay and display parkings, and have discounted penalties, commuters parking their cars for whole day on the streets and taking trains to work should pay daily charge, same as minicab drivers parking cars on Lewisham way every day and taking spaces that should belong to residents

Living near the two Catford Stations and the main bus interchange in Catford Centre we are convinced that people from other parts of Zone 'E' drive to our part of the zone, park up and then catch trains or buses to other destinations.

Local parking enforcement MUST BE INHOUSE the use of private companies is a total disgrace

Longer time frame to pay fine at lower rate

Lower the price of the PCN across the whole borough and introduce a system where people can pay the PCN in instalments.

Make them all cheaper rather making them all more expensive

Make it easier to contact the council about these. It's a disgrace the current system

Make it fair

Make it really expensive and make money out of it like so many other London boroughs

Make it very plain and clear to all what and where and how you can , for instance, wheels on kerbs, especially where kerb height full width road calming height humps are applied.

Make parking charges equal to the current lowest rate rather than jacking up the lower rate to equal the higher.

Make same but reduce to lower charge.

Make signs clear, only give PCN when it is clear that the vehicle is parked in such a way as it causes harm to others

Make sure areas are clearly marked so there is no question about the validity of a penalty notice

Make sure that the parking charge company can be contacted easily and there is a fair appeals system. Too many unfair penalty notices being issued as a money maker with no redress.

Make sure that there are signs stating if you can park or not, as I have had a ticket before for parking in an area in Bromley that was not marked up

Make sure the parking system is clear enough. They're too many rules when able to park, when not, from certain times or directions or hours and times. It's confusing and sometimes we can't deal with that when in a stressful situation.

Make parking and purchasing tickets (via app in ALL areas) available and easy. The app should calculate for me so I don't even have to think.

Make sure the signage is clear

Make sure you account for drop offs and pick ups of children from houses and at schools.

PCN issued to a parent who has stopped to pick up his/her child (5-10 minutes) does not help anyone.

Make the charges the AVERAGE of the current Southern and Northern charges. Not just raise Southern.

Make the difference between residential and business zones clearer.

Make the new fee the same for the whole borough at the cheaper rate!

Improve the permit checking system to reduce the relatively high incidence of incorrectly issued pcns, when a valid permit is held.

Make the north the same as the south.

Make the parking tickets THREE times more expensive. No private car should be seen as a utility, it has to be a luxury or something to be used in emergencies only. No journey should be 'free'.

Make the penalty charges fines reasonable and low. Uniform fines is supported

Make the signs about parking bigger and clearer.

Make them as high as possible

make them cheaper across the borough not higher

Make them high to act as a deterrent

Make them more affordable with staggered pricing so repeat offenders (using numberplate with registered owner) pays more

Make them uniform by using the lower of the two tariffs across the whole borough

Mandatory refresher courses for all parking wardens; too often I have been subjected to or witnessed tickets being issued incorrectly and when challenged the wardens behaviour has been rude, condescending, offensive and inappropriate. There should also be a clearer procedure in place to make complaints about wardens.

Maybe lower penalties in less prosperous areas and higher penalties where there is a particular problem with illegal parking.

Money grabbing with no thought of the folks that live here

Money making again!

More enforcement action - very frustrating to see people parked illegally over and over again in the same spots without ever having to face any consequences.

More enforcement against persistent parking violators is required

More enforcement and more frequent patrols.

More enforcement needed - currently many cars violate parking restrictions eg parking on yellow lines but with no sanction

More flexibility should be given. A temporary parking zone was implemented outside my house. Nothing was posted to me and missed the signs. Not enough signs. I got a fine and I was refused on appeal.

More frequently enforcement

More Inspectors need to be out. The pavement parking and parking on double yellows and on corners is very high in the Wild West of Deptford and needs targeting with increased Inspectors.

The High Street was recently improved but the value of these improvements have not been fully realised due to the poor driving and parking habits in this area.

More parking fines should be issued against the coaches which are regularly coming into Old Road in Lewisham. They cause traffic hazards. They drive the wrong way down one way systems. This ultimately causes maximum inconvenience for the residents and visitors to the area.

More restrictions everywhere

More ticketing for people parked Bradley and illegally this will allow for parking in spaces to be paid for. Do this using camera not parking wardens. Parking wardens could be used to man cameras in a cctv centre to issue tickets to ensure everyone parking incorrectly is issued a ticket

More traffic wardens are required to effectively enforce parking restrictions

more wardens. If a car has no ticket displayed the drivers should not be allowed to place a ticket only when the warden appears.

Most times people forget to display their tickets and runs off to do their chopping or otherwise , if they can produce their tickets within 5 working they should not pay a fine.

My area is rife with pavement parking. I report it every day but nothing is done. I have to walk in the road to get from A to B. How is this fair?

My comments are qualified by the fact that I am not sure why parking fines are lower in the south in the first place. Would be good if the rationale can be explained here.

My father was recently issued a parking ticket when visiting my property despite having paid the online visitor permit. He contested this and it was declined. I am very angry that despite paying for a yearly resident permit and for visitor parking permits, my guests are still receiving penalty notice charges! What is the point of paying if this is happening?

need better clarification of parking in borough also can we stop people parking across our drives and not give tickets out at 7.30 pm on sundays

Need more details

need proper scrutiny, as in most cases the penalties are unfairly issued.

Need to issue more of them... the amount of illegal parking outside my children's school at pickup time is disgraceful

Needs to be better signage in most areas regarding restrictions.

Needs to be clearly stated where fines could be present.

Needs to be consistent

Needs to be double to deter the parking offences

Needs to be more enforcement 24/7 . Pavement parking that causes obstructions very common at weekends.

Needs to have extremely clear signage displaying rules for drivers.

Never had a parking ticket

Never see a parking attendant in new cross gate

No cpz

No fines if parking due to emergency services issues.

Be able to speak with people and not rely on entirely money making schemes

No parking fines ideally

No parking signs should be very clearly displayed in bold text and where they can be seen by everyone. Some years ago my husband was fined because he did not see the sign that was displayed near the top of a street light, so you had to look up to see it.

(This was not in Lewisham Borough)

No parking warden should ever be part of target and reward system.

No, but as previously mentioned, clarity on when (if at all) it is permissible to park on single yellow lines would be helpful.

No. We need more people to use public transport whenever possible.

Nobody likes them. But they are essential to control parking and are a much needed source of income to the council.

North London councils have better public transport

North of London is perceived as far more prosperous (generally) than the south.

Means tested fines would be a good move forward.

North should reduce to south charge not south rise to north charge

Not a fan of them as they don't add anything to society

Not sure I understand why parking fines should be different depending on area. If you break a rule then the fee should be the same no matter where you are.

Not sure why the prices were different to begin with. In reality it makes no sense

Not sure. What's the rationale?

Now that parking permits are becoming digital, it is hard for people without mobile Phone access or a computer to keep track and renew permits.

People should still be able To display a permit if they choose. And pay over phone.

of course fines will be higher ..

Of people start parking outside the ULEZ then parking ticket charges should be the same borough wide.

Often parking areas show ambiguous signs. It is unclear whether you can park. Like they are trying to catch you out deliberately.

Oh my god - really????? So you want it to be fair for everyone but the only response that makes sense in answer to your 'leading question' would mean those in the south have to pay more. Clearly the option of those in the North of the Borough having their fines REDUCED to make PCN's fair across the borough is not an option we are allowed. Well that's the option I choose!!!

On 2 occasions we have been mistakenly given A Penalty Notice (even though we had a valid Residents Permit) because of carelessness by Enforcement Officers or office staff administering the system. It was much simpler when residents displayed a paper permit on their windscreen.

One of the biggest issues is inconsiderate and selfish parking. Fines should be issued for blocking drives. Stopping inconsiderately on bus stops yellow lines, rather than penalising people who have paid but are maybe a few minutes late to their car.

One price for all

Online process for appeal with transparency and genuine consideration into circumstances .
Not a money making process

Only that the staff issuing the tickets need to be protected from abuse.

Perhaps even larger signs to avoid people claiming that they didn't know about parking restrictions.

Only that they should be £100 (£50 if paid within 14 days)

over the last 5 years I've noticed that the traffic wardens in my area particularly target state school drop-off and pick-up times, equally I've noted that they disappear entirely during that time around any of the private schools I've also noted that there are rarely to be seen in the village high Street where double parking occurs on a regular basis they disappear entirely they look very easy targets where they will have little resistance I think it's absolutely appalling the way they operate

Parking areas should be CLEARLY indicated. I live on a busy street in the middle of Victorian terraced housing. Parking is dreadful. As some houses were transformed into flats there is not enough space.

Parking places delimitations are invisible. People park on pavement. Passing on the road with a buggy is a struggle.

And it seems no one knows the rule that you are not allowed to park within 10m of a traffic island!

parking attendants should not receive commission or bonus

they need to assess the situation and not find ridiculous fining situations, for those who do not process common sense and writing parking tickets for drivers, should be FIRED and dock their salary.

we all see and read about them in the news every so often, they are making a fool of themselves and they should be making public apologies on behalf of the council as punishment, to be named and shamed

Parking attendants should be civil and advise motorists not just slapping tickets on the screen for extra pay incentives.

Parking attendants should do more to target people parking inappropriately outside of CPZs. I frequently see people parked on corners, double parked on roads, parked on double yellow lines. Some roads in the borough are almost impassable because of poor parking, but the parking attendants only seem to patrol the CPZ areas.

Parking capacity should be reviewed before considering pcn charge amount changes

Parking charges are fair however the ineptitude deployed in their issuing and process needs to be investigated.

Parking charges should only apply where there is a clear and obvious obstruction to other traffic. Giving a ticket for stupid reasons is excessive; a wheel being a little outside the box; a wheel slightly on the pavement; 5 minutes over the time. All unreasonable and money spinning.

Parking enforcement officers need to constantly vary their routine in terms of areas checked. Parking fees should be driven and scaled by specific parking pressures/issues, as well as (but less so) the relative affluence of each area (so the North/South divide seems reasonably fair)

Parking fines should be set at the level necessary to minimise bad parking. The problem is not uniform though out the borough so the penalties do not need to be the same throughout the borough.

Parking fines across the borough are already too expensive. Don't increase them further, it won't make any difference other than punishing local residents, their friends, and families in the event that they get caught out and forget to buy a ticket.

Parking fines and restrictions should be introduced in roads near to the south circular which non residents may start to use when ULEZ is introduced

parking fines are crazy expensive in london compared to other european cites and seem to be a cash cow for councils to reap money off already cash strapped drivers.

I was in a rush the other day to a job. Blackwall Tunnel was closed - as ever - so i went to Rotherhithe - i got a £65 fine for using it - cos my van is no longer allowed - it used to be allowed - they changed the rules - it's that sort of catch you out culture that makes people hate TfL and councils and others that seek to make money out of people just trying to get by. Parking fines are disproportionately high. Propose reduction to £30 and rescue penalty for later payment / contesting.

Parking fines are far too high for many crimes you pay less.

Parking fines are far too high. These should be reduced. I think there should be gradation of payment depending on offence e.g., if someone is just 5mins over their time limit, should not be the same as if someone has not paid at all.

Ensure parking charges are cheap in the first place, and ensure that there is a working machine which takes cash.

Parking fines are far too much and far too easily handed out. Pure greed on behalf of the council.

Parking fines are inappropriate in any circumstance where people cannot easily pay for parking

Parking fines are incredibly high

Parking fines are not enforced for people who do not have residents permits. There are multiple vans that park on our street within the resident permit hours which have never been fined

Parking fines are ridiculous as makes residents furious. There is no humanity in the system

Parking fines are too high

Parking fines are unfairly issued when a car is registered to a resident's account. There should be a means of issuing a lower fine if a car that is registered to an account but doesnt have a permit is parked there. This wouldn't unfairly penalize residents who forget, but would still keep the deterrent of the permit system.

Parking fines for misuse or overstay/inadequate payment of allocated parking bays should be uniform, but there should be a higher fine for parking in bus lanes or red routes etc

Parking fines for residents should be lower.

parking fines in CPZ should not be brought in line with the TFL/main arterial route fines for those who hold a residents permit

Parking fines in the north of the borough should come down to match those in the south of the borough to rid us of this discrimination.

Parking fines need to be issued to petrol and diesel cars that park in electric only bays, as when they park here it means electric cars cannot charge their cars.

Parking fines seem very high and poorly enforced. The use of the money is at best dubious

Parking fines should be a set price and if paid within 7 days it should be 1/3 not half

Parking fines should be at a level that deters illegal parking

Parking fines should be cheaper across the borough

Parking fines should be cheaper in general

Parking fines should be cheaper.

Parking fines should be consistent to avoid confusion and limit bureaucracy.

Parking fines should be increased by 200%. Additionally, cars should be towed whenever possible.

Currently, a lot of people treat the risk of parking fines as a small price to pay to park more conveniently. Getting caught a couple of times a year is worth the fines that they're paying. However, illegal parking will not be worth the risk of getting caught if the car gets towed instead. Having to collect the car offsets any convenience of illegal parking and will curb illegal parking much more significantly than fines EVER will.

Parking fines should be lower where the offence causes less disruption, rather than on a blanket North = high, South = low basis. So a parking offence on the South Circular should be high, an offence on a quiet side road (north or south) should be low .

Parking fines should be lower. Signs should be bigger and clearer. Signs should be easily understood not confusing which most are confusing

parking fines should be more expensive in areas where residents have paid for their parking permits and people park in their places instead

Parking fines should be more expensive on roads where infraction causes a bigger issue

parking fines should be no more than £20 and parking limited made clear.

Parking fines should be proportionate to the seriousness of the offence. For example, staying 2 minutes longer than was permitted on a side road should be treated differently from obstructing a major road for a whole day.

Parking fines should be set at a flat £60. And £30 within 14 days of payment

Parking fines should be the same across the borough but this does not mean they should be raised to a higher level across the Borough.

Parking fines should be the same price all round.

Parking fines should be used as a deterrent. The deterrent should be highest in areas where there is the greatest problem.

The ULEZ "may" result in increased parking in the South of the Borough but this isn't something that is yet known. Any adjustment of the deterrent should be done only when there is evidence that the ULEZ has changed the parking situation.

Parking for residents should be FREE. If you live in Lewisham and pay council tax, a parking permit should cost only the cost of administration. ie £15. This is a

Money making scam

Parking illegally on main roads should have a higher penalty than parking illegally on side roads because it obstructs traffic and causes more pollution

Parking illegally or dangerously should be heavily penalized. It is selfish, dangerous and can cause obstructions and delays.

Parking in central Lewisham should not be considered the same as parking in the outskirts of the borough (ie Grove Park)

Parking penalties need to be lower.

Right now they have too much of an impact on low income families.

Parking penalty notices should be as high as possible. It's the only mechanism that the council has to change people's behaviour.

Parking restriction signs should be clearer in their wording as to when the restriction is in effect.

Parking restrictions around Telegraph Hill, esp. on Gellatly Road are very rarely enforced leading to:

Parking on or very near to junctions

Parking outside of designated zones on Gellatly road

Parking on school markings during restricted times

Idling engines around Edmund Waller school at opening and closing times

Parking restrictions should be legible, understandable and, properly and adequately displayed.

Parking should be fair for everyone.

Parking ticket cost should be constant through the country and not extortionate. They should represent the severity of the offence, with a grace period being given.

Parking ticket fines should be reduced

Parking ticket price should be based on value of the car. There is system in place to tell you value of the car. Use it. Nobody treats you as serious investor if you are bricklayer. So why penalising should be equal to all?

Parking tickets = stealth tax

Parking tickets are a tax on the poor and an inconvenience for the rich. Ticket revenue/profits should go to charity to ensure that there is no sinister agenda

Parking tickets are a valid way of generating revenue and I strongly agree with the principles of being fair across the board while ensuring we all have the opportunity to park legally. This must, of course, be dealt with professionally and transparently.

Parking tickets are another way to cash in on the London motorist. Parking attendants do not give any time before issuing a ticket.

Parking tickets are unfair because sometimes people go to buy something less than £10 and returns to see a parking fine of £40-£60.

Parking fines also sometimes affects the small business because customer don't know where to park to shop especially if they have a lot to buy

Parking tickets must be fair and not run as a desire to make money. Money made must go to the council not third party providers.

Parking tickets need to be controlled, if a crime has not been committed it should be treated as breach of condition of usage of the road in the local area and advise red yellow system or a warning first before tickets are issued, I believe these tickets do not stop anything or help with parking in any way as theres new road users in the area every day but it's the locals that get fined for overstaying in a bay?

Parking tickets need to be especially enforced around schools as a deterrent against unsafe parking and to help tackle pollution around schools.

Parking tickets need to be issued to motorbikes and mopeds that park in the Milford Towers resident car park. They are NOT residents and DO NOT purchase valid parking permits, yet they park in our parking bays for days at a time. The bike owners congrega-te in the car park at all hours, smoking cannabis, drinking alcohol, being loud and intimidating to residents especially those with children. I speak from personal experience. They stare you up and down when your parking your vehicle that I am scared they are going to rob me or my car. When speaking to traffic wardens they tell me they cannot ticket these people because they claim they do not get the tickets? What? In what world is that a good enough excuse? Maybe if the traffic wardens did their job and kept ticketing them until they receive court/bailiff letters, then maybe they'd stop parking where they have no business in parking. Please sort this out!!!!!!!

Parking tickets need to be proportional to the offence and circumstances. At present they are simply a fundraising device.

Parking tickets need to have a clear option for appeal as the website wording is unclear on charges etc.

A friend of mine in Lewisham paid for an all day parking permit with a time statement of midday. The website states that the ticket is for the whole day despite the time it says it starts, this leaves plenty of ambiguity. The car arrived at 11.55 so five minutes before the ticket started at 12.00 and of course a parking attendant arrived at 11.58 and gave the car a penalty

ticket despite there being a whole day paid ticket. Absurd that the attendant wouldn't use common sense and not do this especially as it takes at least 5 minutes on the system to book a ticket. Such an infuriating system that doesn't need to be.

Parking tickets should be a sanction for unlawful parking, not a commercial judgement call and so offering a "cheaper" option to park unlawfully in one area over another is at odds with that.

Parking tickets should be cheaper

Parking tickets should be given out more fairly to individuals. Signs on roads should be easier to understand, clearer to see and larger to be noticeable. There should also be more signs up and down the roads where you cannot park.

Parking tickets should be given to people parking dangerously. Generally whilst cycling in London I see people parked too close to the junction curb radius such that visibility at junctions is extremely poor. I never see parking tickets issued to these vehicles, yet they present a significant safety risk which should be challenged more than someone parking without a valid residents permit.

Parking tickets should be issued for drivers who park incorrectly in bays

Parking tickets should be proportionate

Parking tickets should not be given at Pay & Display when the ticket machine are faulty i.e not working and there is no alternatives for payment

Parking tickets should only be issued to persistent offenders. As with the Dart charge a first transgression should result in a reminder letter. The level of fines should be more proportionate to what is often an oversight or mistake and should only rise for repeat offenders.

Parking tickets should only be issued where the intent to park illegally is clear. Not for being slightly outside the lines, not for overstaying a ticket duration by 5 mins. Not for parking in residents zone 20 mins into the prohibited time. Tolerance should be encouraged rather than draconian enforcement. It creates an overall negative feeling.

Parking tickets should reflect the area and the cost of living in that area. Many parts of the borough have very low income areas and they should not be made poorer due to excessive fines

Seems like your making the cost of parking more expensive anyway

Parking tickets should reflect the crime. At present they don't.

parking wardens should be in operation at school drop off times. We have 2 local schools to us Launcelot Primary school and Haberdashers Aske's Knights Academy. The parents park like idiots, usually on yellow lines or in the permit bays. They park both sides of the road which is very narrow large vehicles often struggle to get through. If there was a visible presence it would prevent people from parking so inconsiderately and, more important, dangerously. Residents have asked for this but LB Lewisham blame the budget and cannot afford enforcement. makes a mockery of the CPZ if you can't police it properly. I don't see LB Bromley having any problems on that front, maybe take a leaf out of their book.

Parking wardens should clearly identify themselves

Parking, especially illegal parking makes it very difficult to cross for children who are too small to look over the cars.

Parking. Fines should be harmonised at the lower rate.

patrol more on engleheart road as people tend to drive up the no entry side which is dangerous

Pay displayed after tickets expire people should be given extra 30 minutes

payment machines need to be simpler and easier to use - and appropriately maintained so that parking tickets are not seen as merely exploitative for revenue raising

PCN for an expired residents permit should be one charge per week, not one charge per day
PCN review team needs to think logically about PCN queries (as pointed out on earlier comment regarding permits linking with Ringo).

PCN should be fair in the situations where they are issued. With respect to this aim:

* Signage should be clear and unambiguous. It should be prominent and visible along the entirety of the controlled zone.

* The enforcement officers used by Lewisham should be employed directly with the council and not with a private profit-making contractor. Their salaries should in no part be made up of any bonus payments dependent on the number of tickets they issue.

* Drop-off and pick-up points should always be available at transport stations and these should be clearly marked and sign-posted.

PCN's should be more expensive if you park illegally causing an obstruction etc as oppose to expired time in an authorised bay.

PCNs are already too frequent and too high. Don't waste time and money deploying this as an income generation policy. If it's a PCN make it sensible. Across the whole borough not just the south. This divide is based on assumptions that may not be valid.

PCNs are way too expensive.

Penalties are not the same as prices. One could argue for prices in accordance with local income. A fine though should be the same anywhere.

Penalties for parking in zoned parking area's without a permit should be higher and would support reducing cost to residents paying for permits.

Penalties should be increased to deter abuse

Penalty charge is unreasonable

Penalty charge notices are very stressful - they need to be issued correctly and fairly. I am aware that neighbours subject to resident permits are ticketed incorrectly as permits are online rather than paperbased. This creates unnecessary stress and costs to administrate. The concept of quotas for parking attendants must not exist. Warning notices could be issued is there is a slight infringement of a line - i.e. dont look for TINY reasons to give a ticket.

Penalty charge notices should be higher for central lewisham where there is more pollution.

Penalty charges are already extortionate and it's a way for the council to cash in. I strongly disagree with the proposal to increase penalty charges.

Penalty charges are far too high. £130 for a resident permit holder who parks in a bay that normally is for resident but suddenly has a restricted parking sign (for water works or someone else's renovation team) out of sight of the driver is far too much. How can that be justified as a reasonable amount to punish a person doing something that in any normal day is allowed. That's more than a speeding fine!!

Penalty charges need to be applied consistently - the borough's parking wardens - there are too many instances where they let off people who they appear to be acquainted with, such as customers to the barber's shop on Campshill Road, where as they will penalise anyone else.

Penalty fines should be cheap you already make your money from parking permits

fuck knows what you do with the extra penalty fine definelty havent been using it on saving the planet thats for sure.

People in the south of the borough, living in residential roads, are better off. Counter intuitive to charge them less for parking offences

People moan about the cost of parking tickets but then they shouldn't park in restricted places and should pay their dues.

People need to learn that they do not have the right to take the car wherever they want to - hopefully something like this will begin to turn back 60+ years of motor industry propaganda

People never want to get a parking ticket. Increasing some prices won't make people want them less, because that's pretty much impossible. If the current prices don't make people avoid getting a ticket, increasing them by £20 won't make much of a difference.

People occasionally make mistakes don't set tickets too high.

But if someone is issued ticket in same area as before then it should be higher

People should be allowed at least 20 minutes grace before getting a parking ticket.

People should be fined for ICEing charging bays

People should be means tested

People should be more able to take personal situations into account when considering appeals.

People should not get tickets parking in residential areas as this does not cause obstructions, unless on corners or dangerous location.

People vote for same thing in borough so all should be affected equally.

Perhaps the wardens could check whether vehicles are taxed and insured, parking penalties are a very low priority for the council. Social care, housing and crime are far more important than parking penalties, it's a question of priorities. Is a mother who has lost her son by violent assault worried about parking penalties?

Perhaps they could be cheaper but should be the same in the borough

Photo evidence of the violation should be provided with every penalty notice (or at least a link to where that evidence can be accessed). I have not received a penalty notice, so this may already be standard practice, but it is what I would expect in today's world.

Photographic evidence is needed on all ticketed fines

The period for early payment discount should be increased

Photographic evidence.

Please be really clear with signage. It helps when you get a ticket that you can look at it and say " Oh, what a wally I was parking here, that's really obvious now I'm not in a hurry/late for work/concentrating". Rather than "The swines, they tricked me into parking here. This is so unclear and so unfair"

Please can there be a full review of the company providing the parking wardens. Saturday mornings all over Blackheath a blind eye is being turned to those picking up kids parking for football etc in resident parking zones whilst on other days a wk die cleaner will receive a pcn when 5 mins over a permit

Please clamp down on the inconsiderate drivers who park on pavements!

Please could it be considered to allow short term (30 min/1 hr) parking on certain roads to allow access to local businesses?

please do not raise them

Please enforce more as there are many people who park on our street who don't pay and keep people who do pay from spaces.

Please enforce to the greatest possible extent and also properly police and fine people running their engines while parked.

Please ensure that the signage is really clear.

Please ensure your website to purchase visitors parking permits is easy to use (it isn't at the moment).

Please focus enforcement on areas where illegal parking is actually a problem (causes a danger) as opposed to places where transgressions are common, but don't actually cause a problem.

Please make an effort to enforce parking suspensions. It is annoying when people have paid specially for a clear road, especially for a wedding or funeral, and then people park in the space anyway.

Please start issuing them to people who park all over the yellow lines on St Josephs Vale, SE3!!!!

Please tow those with more than three that look abandoned, rather than clamping.

PNCs should remain as they are currently.

Poorer areas should pay less

Price are far too expensive and road sign are not very clear

Private controls should have no place in tyr borough.

private land enforcement agents hired by Lewisham won't even give a vehicle two minutes to go into a property to get a visitor permit. I have seen this several times, they issue on the spot. Waste of time and resources.

Provide better parking facilities before penalising drivers.

Provide parking for residents and adequately police them

Provided they are at the lower south cost.

Rather than increasing cost on parking fine in south area, why not just reduce it in the north so it levelled up with south. Again is this scheme an attempt to racket more money from car drivers? By constantly increasing, costs, fines, parking...? I wonder what are the real motivations from the council...

Rather than sending out those idiots on scooters putting parking tickets on vehicles who have parked up for a few mins to find a working ticket machine, focus on the vehicles that have been dumped and left at the roadside without Road Tax, MOT or insurance. How hard can it be to target illegal road users?!

Reason needs to be exercised - I have been penalised for entering a 0 rather than an O on a registration number

Reduce charges in the higher zone to those in the lower zone

Reduce parking fines fees

Reduce the cost

Reduce the Pcn charge

Reduce the penalty fare as is sometime unfair

Reduce them

reduce them ALL across the borough

Refund process is long

Penalty fines are bias

Penalty fines should also pay the client extra on top for there time if the appeal was successful

Regarding the fine is good ideas to make it cheaper to encourage people to pay quickly.

France Belgium parking charge is 15 Euro. With any body can easily pay but here government is making everything harder and harder.

reminder by text 24 hours previous to expire parking permits

Remove all cycle lane and discourage people to cycle as these are dangerous to road users.

Parking tickets should be at least £200. Offer discount only on parking for most cleanest vehicle, ie. 50g/km CO2 emission or lower or full electric. Extra Surcharge on all parking for all diesel vehicle new or old.

Replace expensive traffic wardens with cheap number-plate recognition cameras which will happen sooner or later anyway. Much of the technology is already in place.

Critics will say that this is big brother and the nanny state further encroaching on personal liberty. The opposite is true. Both a big brother and a nanny are people who have our best interests at heart and protect us from criminals and cheats.

Residents should be able to park anywhere in the borough if you have a permit

Residents should be give 12hour grace when buying a new permit on the day the old one

expire I have had to pay a fine for buy my permit 30 min late even through i have had a permit for over 3 years

Again very unfair 30 min not a day or 1 hour just 30 min i had bought a new one before leaving my house and going to work again 30 min late

Restrictions are restrictions. They should be followed and therefore there should be no price difference across the borough.

Revenue from PCNs should be ploughed back into the council, and used for "green" spending that directly benefits residents, that is, on anything that encourages cleaner living such as cycling infrastructure, parks, trees, electric vehicle charging, etc.

Right of appeal to be properly managed vs current situation.
road signs and road marking need to be very clear to be fairer to road users.
Rules must be strictly enforced
S more understanding in some circumstances
Same - inline with the upper or lower figure?
Same borough same fine
See comments from before.
See previous comments.
seven-day resident permits, to stop people using side streets for free parking
Should be a common PCN charge
Should be allowed one mistake before being penalised
Should be banned
Should be lower
Should be more humane.
Should be proportional to the offence caused
Should be proportionate to demand.
Should be reduced for leiwsham permit holders and increased for non residents
Should be robust
Signage needs to be clearer
Signage needs to clear and visible larger print where possible street markings should not be faded
Signage should be clear and visible and the intention obvious
Signage should be really clear.
Persistent parking ticket offenders should be dealt with more rigorously.
One grace should be allowed for every resident (we all misread a sign sometimes).
Signs need to be clear. Better training is needed for Traffic Wardens issuing tickets in error.
Signs should be clear and in simple language
Since moving to lewisham, my asthma has gotten much worse. I'd like to see people encouraged to drive less. If you don't have a disability, there's no reason to not get buses, trains etc. to get around in the area. The fines/penalties can be used to improve the bus service - i.e. Improve their emissions.
Since the parking restriction is in place i have hardly seen a traffic warden in my street they are all concentrated in Or near the shopping centre and people know that so we are back to the same point why should be the resident parking be paid if the control is almost zero?
some cars have lots on them and cars dont move
Some discretion could be used
Some leniency should afforded to residents forgetting to renew their pass, but only for 2 weeks after expiry.
Some of the controlled parking zones are unclearly signed:
e.g. Loading/unloading only, at specific times, on specific days. Can this be simplified and/or clarified?
You seem to have different views as to what constitutes unloading - eg delivery donated goods to Barnardos does not seem to count as unloading.
Some of the road signs are not clearly viable so therefore parking fines get issued through no fault of the driver.
Some parking fines are totally unnecessary, and are made by genuine mistake.
Sometimes errors are made and a huge parking fine is issued. parking fines should be higher for repeat offenders but lower for one off errors.
Sometimes people find themselves in situations that cause them to be unable to return to their car in time . If evidence is available to confirm that for instance an appointment at a local

business was running late the fine should be reduced appropriately. An example would be For somebody living on benefits who gets stuck at a job interview and goes over time on a pay and display. A fine could mean no food for a week for that person who was trying to decrease their burden on the taxpayer. On the other end of the scale a wealthy person may make no effort to return to their car after a meal because they can afford to pay the fine with no impact in their life.

A more human approach to appeals would be appropriate

Sometimes people get tickets for being 1 minute over even with a working permit.

Sometimes suspension notices of a bay are poorly signed i.e. on a house railing not on the parking bay post.

South of the borough is far more residential so charges should be less

South of the ULEZ will become MUCH more congested on the roads as well as for parking.

This is going to cause as many problems as it solves - I don't have any bright ideas but as long as you all know that it's just going to push problems south, not get rid of them, that's a good start.

Spend your efforts on something else worthwhile like cleaner streets and stopping fly tipping instead of preying on innocent hard working residents

Standardising the offence is very important.

Stop charging peoples and make more affordable to change car with more money and not scamming them always, we bought car to move the economy not for just fun, now you want us to change our car out of the blue immediately but do you ask your self we maybe the people's don't have any extra money to spare??? We are already at the limit. Stop this now make better choose help the car owner not dry them from the last drop of blood.

Stop pouncing on parents outside the Bellingham childrens centre. They are no blocking anything and the parking bays are not clearly marked. Irresponsible resident parking should be subject to fines when blocking the footpath.

Stop trying to gouge money out of residents.

Stop using penalty tickets as a cash cow.

Streets aren't monitored in forest Hill (I've seen unticketed non-resident cars parked for months without tickets)

Stricter enforcement, severer penalties

Sunsnsndnsnaba

Supply more places to park

Surely enforcement is more important in higher use age areas

Surely there is a difference between parking infractions in an area of potential risk or severe disruption than a residential area that creates a minor inconvenience?

Take more photos to avoid challenges being upheld. rather than raise the price to match the North of the borough, calculate the new rate by adding the 2 existing rates together and dividing by 2.

Take the cars away and fine them £500 at least, people need to learn how to drive and park,they have to obey the law,it is ridiculous how people don't care about the highway code at all.Got blocked in many times on my own drive way and there is nothing I could do....

Take the contract away from NSL who are punitive. They failed to renew my pass by missing the documentation I emailed them, then issued me with a parking ticket within 20 minutes of the renewal date starting.

That way no borough can be charged a higher price than another. The price for the charges of penalty fines for the Boroughs are the same.

The 14 day charge should be reduced

The A and B scales for contravention type should be retained.

The above questions are contradictory and designed to catch the viewer out!

If I agree that charges should be cheaper in the south then question 35 is a non starter!!!

Please look at these again!!!!!!!

The appeals process should be changed

The appeals process should be quicker, it takes months to get a response to an appeal at present.

The areas near transport and shopping spaces should attract higher penalties ensuring users keep to parking times with more users access parking.

The borough should ensure any charges are clearly marked and easy to understand.

The charges should be levelled down and not levelled UP!

The cost of a fixed penalty is just to raise money and does not have any relation to the offence.

The cost of these Fines must be more practical though I appreciate its use as a deterrent, fines should not be an extortion to the motorists

The cost should be reduced

The costs of these are punitive enough. Why not lower those in the north to meet the cost of those in the south?

The council needs to be tougher on parking tickets.

Again, where I live, Malpas road/Shardeloes road, the drivers make a total joke of parking restrictions.

The Council should enforce penalties against cars parked on or partly on the public footpath except in marked areas. Other London boroughs do so - why not Lewisham?

The council should look closer at anti- social parking, like someone parking across one's drop kerb causing a obstruction (section 56). Parking fines should be issued to those who park in a anti-social manner.

The Council should offer the service to private landlords that are currently using private enforcement companies. Again streamline the charging and there are a lot of rogue companies operating out there.

The current PCN notices are issued without proper regard to the circumstances in which they have been issued and a visitor of mine has been unfairly penalised and accused of lying and manipulation by Lewisham Parking and RingGo. I am aware that others have been similarly penalised. I understand that PCNs do need to be enforced but there is no real means of challenging such wrongful penalties as the appeals procedure does not allow proper representation.

The employees who gives out the parking tickets should wear a body camera like some police officers do.

The enforcement should concentrate on illegal parking on double red and yellow lines and less on time expired tickets

The fee should be the same however should not be as high as it is

The fine should be the same across the borough but that is not to say that the South should be increased to that of the North - find the middle or make North the price of South.

The fine should only be the same if using the fine charged in the South

The fines are too high. Also more time to pay should be given. before the fine increases.

Many people are paid monthly so a month would be fairer.

The fines should not be increased and should remain the same across the borough

The first question is badly written and biased.

The move to make all parking fines the same in the North and South of the borough has not been adequately justified. Saying 'other councils are doing the same' is not a good enough reason. Ordinary people are suffering from stagnated wages, or in real terms, dropping wages. While I'm aware that local councils are under-funded I don't feel that this suggestion has been thought about in any other terms than raising funds from the public.

The north of the borough is nearer to the centre - it makes sense for them to charge more

The north of the borough rate should be the same as the lower rate imposed in the south of the borough.

The officers are not very good!

The parking attendants shouldn't themselves park illegally when issuing parking tickets. Who's checking their parking. They are a disgrace!

The parking charges need to be clearly signposted in such a way that people are prepared (certainly local residents who are essentially getting screwed by this given the vast majority of Lewisham based housing doesn't come with a driveway and need street parking) for any changes.

The parking charges should be the same but be an average between those charged in the north and the south of borough, not just increasing the charges in the south of the borough. The parking fine system is outrageous and is heavily biased in making money. I as a resident have paid hundreds many even a thousand pounds in parking fines because of system errors.

There has been no communication on the current scheme and no reinvestment to make the scheme better money is being taken via penalty charge from us residents which is completely out of order and goes against the reason why we implemented the scheme. I have complained to NSL who have done nothing to resolve i have also lodged a complaint with Lewisham Council who have done nothing to resolve therefore any new scheme will follow the same process and us as residents will be penalised.

The parking metres, road signage need to unambiguous, easy to read so people with impairment can fully understand the conditions relating to parking

The parking penalty industry, such as it is, seems to be more developed and aggressive than actual availability and convenience of parking within the borough.

The parking restriction should be are clearly marked.

The appeal process should be made simpler.

More cameras on box junctions

The parking restrictions are very confusing and should be the same every where

The parking situation in the southern areas of the borough is already not ideal because not everything is accessible with transports or Car Clubs. Families are often needing a car to be able to safely travel across the borough. Areas with fewer amenities are more subject to the above. It would be better to provide better parking than to target those who have to be subject to fines.

The PCN charge should be the same- it should simply tackle parking issues whether they are found in the North or South of the borough

The penalties are far too high and are crippling those who can't afford to pay for a ticket.

The penalty charge is extortionate and should be REDUCED. Also, the wording in the first question is deliberately chosen to suggest increasing charges in the South rather than considering reducing the penalty in the North. Why is the penalty so high anyway? You have regular wardens putting penalty notices on cars but how about providing Street Wardens issuing fines for littering which is a real problem in the borough?

The penalty should not be increased. It is very easy to fall foul of complex parking rules.

The phrasing of the question indicates parking fines should be equal, but doesn't indicate that it should be moved to be uniformly cheaper or uniformly more expensive. To be a fair response the survey should say whether uniformity means higher or lower fines or meeting half way.

Unfortunately because of how online permits work it is not clear that a visitor has a valid permit. In the past despite attempting to pay for visitor permits the visitor has received tickets due to typos or miscommunications or different arrival times. The inflexibility of the online permit system and poor myringo system/website is big impediment to ensure correct permits are provided.

The price is too high.

the problem is Ulez- it is not well thought out and will result in more bureaucracy and unnecessary work/charges for all involved. it is a bad domino game.

The proposals to move all charges to Band A is wrong - the charge is too high. They should all be in Band B.

The staff that issue tickets seem to be issuing them just to make more money for the borough. It seems to be that quite often people get tickets for no reason.

The system for dealing with parking fines is not easy to navigate. For disabled passes displayed in areas that have no close disabled bays and a blue badge is displayed parking enforcers should be more understanding. In addition, enforcers should be more diligent in pedestrian and cyclist only areas where vehicles are parked and using as cutways.

The system for dealing with those disputing penalties needs to be made more efficient, probably by more computerisation.

The tax should not be added more tax on top of ulez

Because that mean that we would be fined twice!

The way you've worded the questions make it difficult to answer clearly. If you think the charges should be the same but all charged at the cheaper rate that is not clear from how you've worded the question. It could lead you to come to the conclusion that people who think charges should be the same across the borough would like the south increased in line with the north when actually we want the North decreased to match the south.

The whole Parking Penalty is a joke. On a stretch of road called Maythorne Cottages, the garage is allowed to park illegally there all day and the parking inspectors dont bother. But yet around the corner you will get a fine. Why are you allowed to park for free on Maythorne Cottages. Even parking on the zig zag lanes is acceptable on Nightingale Road. Lewisham dont care

The whole point of a penalty is to fairly treat all drivers and introduce an even penalty for all drivers. An illegal act in the south of the borough is not less bad than in the north.

There may be a case for differential penalties based on ability to pay (so poorer 'offenders' are hit more equally to richer 'offenders' based on their own individual circumstances and expendable income)- similar to speeding fines in court being proportional to income.

However, I accept this would be too complicated and expensive to administer so a fixed PCN seems more equitable. Otherwise the extreme case doesnt make any sense: a rich resident in the south committing the same offense as a poor resident in the north of the borough, but paying less?????

There appears to be too much emphasis on seeing parking costs / penalties as a revenue stream first and as a way of controlling emissions / congestion very much second.

There are so many people living below the poverty line. Stop with the excessive fines and charges. We can't afford it.

There are some roads where parking meters are on one side only. I received a parking ticket because I got confused which side of the road to park on. i had purchased a parking ticket which I displayed yet still was fined. Signs must be clearer.

There is no info online about CPZ operating times in Lewisham. NONE! It is not possible to check if you are parked a when restrictions are in force or not. This is fine if you are there and can check the sign. However if you are no longer in the immediate location, there is no way to check when you have to leave.

Parking regulations should also be enforced 24 hours. There is a lot of inconsiderate parking (on double yellow lines, or in designated turning spaces for example) when restrictions finish for the day - because people know the attendants won't be checking.

There is nowhere near enough parking available in the Borough and it is ridiculous that lots of areas do not allow parking at night which can affect nighttime industries. It's just too too much about how much money you can squeeze out of people

There is too much enthusiasm for issuing parking tickets. These can ruin an area with no problems to begin with. Money making is the objective. I have witnessed in other boroughs the idiocy of parking control officers assaulting members of the public and damaging their property - clearly beyond the scope of a p.c.attendant. One idiot booked a Sunshine Variety mnibus parked in an appropriate bay.

There is very little opportunity for recourse, and as such people do not get the chance to challenge them effectively, so they just pay up, to avoid hassle. But then you know this and the system is biased.

There must always be a means to challenge, for instance if a machine is not working to give a ticket, if the local markings are unclear, if the ticket turns over in the wind as once happened to me, those kind of issues.no

There needs to be given some leeway to new residents coming into the area. If someone can show they are resident and have purchased a permit within a set timeframe (e.g. a week), then PCNs should be wiped as a sign of good faith.

This exact issue happened to me - moving into a new area, I had a PCN even though less than two hours later I had purchased a year's permit. I argued the case that I didn't have a chance to request the permit sooner but this was rejected.

There needs to be more enforcement. Around Deptford Park, vehicles park brazenly on corners, double yellow lines and school hatching in full confidence that they will never be caught. This is really unsafe for the children at Sir Francis Drake School.

There should always be a paper ticket left on the car, not notified separately online or by post

There should be a 10 minute leeway before fines are imposed.

There should be a 5-10 minute allowance for ticket expiry, older people cannot always run or walk fast enough to return to their vehicle, especially after queueing for shopping.

There should be a clear policy on how many minutes grace a driver should get. Five minutes grace would be fair.

There should be a discretion period of around 10 minutes before a fine is imposed to avoid the hawk enforcers who issue a penalty the second a ticket expires. That is unfair and makes people detest the system.

There should be a five minute leeway past the parking time before a ticket is issued

There should be bigger fines for those areas that are busier.

There should be grace period before issuing fine. This should be made public. Eg 5 mins or 10 mins.

There should be some sort of sliding scale for re-offenders and more le-way for one offs

There was comment recently on the possibility of 10 minutes discretion. Do the Borough's Parking Enforcement Officers enjoy that specific discretion or any other form of intelligent assessment of the situation so that a penalty may not automatically be imposed?

There's a Range Rover that parks on double yellow lines on our road pretty much every day (Addington Grove) - I don't believe it's ever had a ticket. So please enforce restrictions.

These have become more a council income than helping residents. Need more leverage - especially for local businesses and deliveries.

These should be lower no more that £50

These tickets are a tax on people life's, you should be a shamed

They are another money making scheme and should only be issued in certain circumstances, like obvious road blocking etc

They are easily issued and only resolved with the utmost struggle on the part of the recipient, also fines are very high for a low income borough.

They are far too expensive

they are to expensive

They are toi high and often issued incorrectly

They are too often wrongly issued for parking within poorly marked bays. Money making scheme for the council and enforcement officers should face a penalty themselves for wrongful issuing of tickets as it causes unnecessary stress from poor judgement. This could also lead to mental health issues due to a poor performing employee who seeks to wrongfully penalise people and take enjoyment from it.

They have to be issued fairly. People need a few minutes grace e.g. queues in Doctors, shops, crossing roads .

They need to be handed out more often. I never see enforcers in central Lewisham or Catford where I live.

They need to be reduced in the north and increased in the south. That's only fair.

They should be a uniform price. If people stick to the rules they don't have to pay it.

They should be cheaper

They should be consistent and fair.

They should be fairly administered, within the spirit of the rules. I have been given PCNs for extraordinary things such as parking in a resident's permit holder bay, with a valid resident's permit for that bay, but with one tyre marginally touching the painted white line. That for example is unfair and not in the spirit of the rules, especially because many of the boxes are not evenly painted i.e. one side is often narrower than the other, making it difficult or impossible to fit into the space perfectly.

I've also been penalised for parking with all four wheels on a private driveway, because the vehicle was infringing the pavement area by a couple of centimetres. Again - over-zealous penalising of law-abiding drivers is not the way forward please

they should be lower around £30.

They should be means tested and weighted according to personal finances so that the poor aren't crushed by a fine and the wealthy can't just ignore it.

They should be minimum £100 so people just don't break parking laws due to the cost. Where I live people are CONSTANTLY parked illegally, to the point I can't walk into my front path.

Also my neighbours with blue badges for their kids CONSTANTLY use the blue badge when it is just the parent, no kid in car entitled to use blue badge, just so they can illegally park - kid I'll be at school and this is middle of the day when they come back from shop; none of the parents are disabled, they then put a time on the badge hours in future to allow them to park for long time - and come out and change time and don't move car. All the while the child with the blue badge is no where around.

They should be set at a rate sufficient to discourage illegal and inconsiderate parking. This may well vary from place to place. I have no problem with standard charges for inconsiderate parking but overstays seem to be set at a rate for income generation and not discouragement. They should be used issued with the environment in mind not just as a source of income for the council.

They should be used more often. NSL is useless in many parts of the borough leaving cars parked illegally all over the place.

They should match the cheaper fines - not the most expensive; otherwise keep them separate and have criteria on why some should be more expensive.

Given that fines are NOT meant to be revenue, but to change behaviour, there is an argument that they should be higher when it causes a big issue

They should only be equalised to the lower value, not the higher value

They should put people into consideration especially situation whereby they are challenging the fine, a second thought should be given. The charges are way too much, £65. To me is extremely hard to leash out that just like that when have got children to feed. Also that's the reason why ppl never pay in time and makes the bill pile up and they never pay it off

They should stop ripping drivers off

They're too expensive

They're too expensive with not enough time to pay the reduced rate. Although some people flout the law by parking anywhere, others accidentally make a very costly mistake

They're extremely expensive already. Increasing them again, will just add money to your own pockets. It's not a solution to a problem.

Think fines should be the same across the borough but do not agree that they should increase in the south. You should reduce the cost in the north

This inequity is a nonsense, it should be equalised if policy of issuing PCN notices is retained.

This is a poor borough. How do you expect tickets to get paid. Someone up top are delusional in thinking Lewisham is Fulham High Street! Get real.

This policy is not about air quality. If it is please can you write down what you intend to do with the money raised? Will it be used for planting trees in streets? My area in crofton Park could accommodate loads of trees especially along the main roads. Or you could use the money raised to compulsory purchase the existing green space owned by developers such as the Buckthorne road cutting. However, forgive me for being cynical but none of the proposals include a business case for how you are going to use the money? Why not?

This seems like it could be a money making exercise, and drivers shouldn't be leaned on to fill funding gaps. I agree that all drivers across the borough should park safely and in accordance with the rules. If an equalisation exercise happens, I'd expect the PCNs to be charged at rate between the current rates in the North and South.

This seems to be enforced ahead of speeding, why?

This should mean that some penalties are reduced.

this survey should detail the net Lewisham makes from parking penalties income from parking tickets

Ticket those Park on corner of roads, those who Park on single AND double yellow lines.

Those parking on pavements and in dangerous places.

Tickets given to local residents should be cheaper than for people outside the borough.

Tickets issue management by private firms at Lewisham hospital should be addressed for disabled blue badge holders

Tickets need to be issued to influence behaviour.

Tickets should be lower in price

Tickets should be much cheaper but double what the normal parking charge, so for example if a car was parked for 2h and payed for 1h only should now pay the extra hour double so £1ph plus the penalty for the extra hour. This is fare so the fine is only for time they owe not a penalty for being late.

Tickets should be posted rather than attached to the windscreen. Save the stress for drivers and for wardens.

Tickets should be the same everywhere as suggested. Everyone will know where they stand with the cost.

Tickets should not be issued the second your time has expired. 5 minutes grace would be better

To be a fair system of penalties it should not differentiate between areas. It's an absolutely disgraceful action. It smacks of division and favouritism and should not be allowed in any legal system which monitors illegal activity of any kind.

To be clear on signs, particularly loading bays

To ensure the PCN charge is set at the lower price of the two. This because, the lower fine would obviously be a fee that both sides of the Borough are more able to meet.

To give each car at least 10 mins Lee way to get back to there car or pay more longer time if need to

To increase fines is only for council revenue.

Too expensive

Too expensive. Its just another back door tax.

Too many tickets issued at present for borderline offences and appeal system is cumbersome and inefficient since being outsourced

too many traffic wardens on the road, misusing the position.

Traffic wardens should give 10 minutes leeway.

unashamedly make a profit from parking fines. Since when did it not become ok for local authorities to make money from fines?

Unfortunately, PCNs in Lewisham are just a money making tool and have nothing to do with controlling parking. I agree with making sure cars are parked safely and securely but bay

parking should be controlled in a different way, maybe with different levels of fine depending on the level of the fault.

Uniform fines are fair, but they should be an average and not put up to the higher figure.

Uniform fines is sensible as ensure fairness

Uniform parking fees = less administrative time = lower borough costs???

Unless there is significant income gaps between Lewisham and the south of Lewisham, they should be the same

Use cameras to enforce in areas where the problem is prevalent.

Use higher parking fines in the south of the borough for an initial period after the ULEZ comes in to effect to discourage displaced parking instead of increased use of public transport or lower emission transport.

Use reliable contractors. I have a permit and was issued with a fine totally wrongly.

Use the money for community awareness events

VERY VERY expensive - sometimes i have hired a car - and as i have a permit for my normal car (which may be being serviced) it's very easy to forget - there should be allowances on occasions like this to have the penalty charge cancelled.

I don't think the system allows communication - ie you have to write - there should be an email that any problem can be sent to.

Wardens should be reminded that they are there to serve the person public, not act as covert tax collectors. Council to abolish performance bonus schemes based on tickets issued / revenue raised.

Wardens should not be remunerated on a commission basis

Wardens should wait 10 minutes before give tickets but must stand by car or ticket be out by ten minutes or more for fine and maximum fine is £25 as you are running people from shop in Lewisham

Wardens to use common sense when issuing tickets

Was not aware of different cost to fines

Why?

Was ticketed at a funeral. Had car shared to reduce impact. Parked sensibly, but back wheels hit start of double line! The number of parking enforcers was more than I usually see there when there is no funeral!

A relation lives in the road & believes that they look online & target funerals unnecessarily.

Was unaware of this disparity and it needs to be rectified

We find it adds cost to our window cleaner and service or repair calls.

We have received a parking ticket on our road opposite our house because it is apparently a pay and display bay. I think if you have a permit then you should be allowed to park in any bay in that area (exc. disabled bays)

We need a fair system

We need clearer signs to avoid contraventions. Giffin street SE8 near Tidemill school changes should have had a 6 month grace period for drivers to get used to the one way and road markings are confusing on entering from Church road Se 8.

We see people who don't live in our borough park illegally all the time and only occasionally get a parking fine

We should have at least a month to pay the fine

Weighting fines depending on location is a rather stupid idea.

What about carparks operated by private companies? Their rules should come in line with those used by the Borough - otherwise it is a license to print money!

What has North London got to do with charges in Lewisham? Just an excuse to charge more.

This survey is just a box ticking exercise and an excuse to charge drivers more for parking and in fines.

<https://www.google.com/amp/s/amp.theguardian.com/environment/2019/apr/12/london-housing-approved-in-area-with-illegal-pollution-levels-lewisham>

When a company eg Thames Water disables parking spaces and then fails to turn up to carry out the work, or when they leave the disabled notices in the road after the work is finished they should be fined at the level of a PCN for every day, the money to be paid in recompense to each of the residents in the road. Twice I have received PCNs when there is no work being done. There are 14 houses in our road and 7 parking spaces. Utility companies should not be allowed to disable spaces when they are not doing any work.

When bays are to be suspended, individual residents should be notified. A notice on a lamppost is often insufficient. I live several houses from where notices are posted. Leaving my house and going straight to my car, I may well not see it, and this has cost me a parking ticket which the greedy NSL refused to waive.

When the Council has issued a PCN incorrectly, it should admit the mistake instead of rejecting the appeal in the hope that the motorist will pay the lower charge rather than risk it doubling, only to then cancel the ticket before it goes to London Tribunals.

When the ULEZ comes in in 2021 people will park outside the zone and get public transport. And yet most streets outside the zones do not have parking permits. Will this change?

When you have a population that is struggling economically, please develop a bit more concern

Where does the money go? make car parks safer.

Where to start

Why ask when this is franchised out

Whereas there is an argument for differential parking charges, I see no reason for any differences in the penalty charges. The principle is the same wherever it takes place.

While introducing a uniform cost there is no need to increase the fees.

Whilst I agree that PCNs should be the same price everywhere (why on earth should they be more expensive in some places - that is discrimination) I don't agree with their current price nor am I endorsing that you are going to use this as an excuse to increase revenue from PCNs by increasing them in some places. The fact they double after 2 weeks or whatever is daylight robbery.

Whilst I agree, I think it should not be an excuse to put up the cost of penalty fines to match the highest figure.

Whilst the equalising of penalties seems fair, perhaps rather than bring the low rate up to match the high rate - effectively a method to increase council revenue - you might consider selecting a median rate to be consistent across the borough.

Whilst you have different hours of operation for parking, then the penalties should be different. Why are they different now?

Just seems all of this is a money making exercise and nothing really to do with improving air quality

Why are they priced differently in the first place?

Why are you not decreasing the charge in the North to match the South rather than put the South up to match the North.

Parking fines are horrendous and the signs are still not clear in some areas.

Why change it a parking fine is a parking fine? I did not know that the south parking fine is cheaper than the North. Why not make the north parking fine as cheap as the South?

Why could the ULEZ result in higher fines in the south of the borough?

Why do they have to be higher because of ULEZ

No one wants a parking ticket and £30 is certainly a sufficient disincentive to parking illegally - making them higher seems like profiteering - if it is come clean about it

Why is an equalisation of PCNs being sought? It sounds good - equitable - but I'm not sure it will actually serve any purpose.

If I get fined for parking in a restricted zone in my area, I have no idea whether the fine I'm paying is higher or lower than that which might be imposed in another part of the Borough or,

indeed, another part of the country. The cost differential is irrelevant: I've contravened the rules, I've been fined, I'll try desperately not to be caught out again.

Work out what level of PCN suits each location - what amount is a sufficient deterrent: don't worry that levels may differ across the Borough.

Why is it different in the first place. We are supposed to live in a fair society, well that is not the case if you don't treat everyone the same

why is it different in the north and south?

Why is it necessary to align to the higher rate?

Why is there different penalty fees? That's prejudicial.

Why not make the north the charge as the south?

Why on earth should fines be cheaper for some?

Why on earth would they be cheaper in the south when the most deprived wards are in the north? They should be a set amount and strictly enforced at all times.

Why should parking tickets in Lewisham be so high? £80 is really expensive. Of course we want to discourage illegal parking but I have often seen people get tickets that were minor mistakes, like staying slightly longer than a permit allows whilst shopping and for most this is serious money. Tickets are there to discourage illegal parking not to financially cripple someone.

Perhaps you could run an escalating fine system for each car so first one or two offences doesn't cause such financial distress or hardship but promotes and encourages better parking.

Having watched traffic wardens in Lewisham hide around corners whilst writing tickets and be so motivated to issue tickets I'm left thinking that raising funds is often more important than simply advising drivers and moving them on. That said I've also encountered a few friendly ones too.

Why should the area where people park matter? Each car is causing pollution/problems with access etc.

Why was it different in the first place?

Why were parking fines allowed to be different? Very discriminatory !

Why were they different to start with

Why would it be cheaper south of the borough?

Why would there be a difference between north and south of the borough?

Why would there ever have been a difference between penalty fine charges in the north and south of the borough! I'm mystified.

Will the council motorcycle same as a car? If yes then is not a good decision.

Will they all be like in the south or the north? Harmonization is good but if it's just an excuse to put up charges I am against it

With the growth in flexible working the origins of parking restrictions have been lost., to enable residents to park when they live near stations. It should now be necessary to return to providing all day parking enforcement in residential areas near public transport hubs, locations where there are events, schools and businesses.

Would it be possible for the parking warden to stop drivin through the no entry sign and going the wrong way up Amblecote Road please. Maybe a camera there will make him think twice.

Would like to ensure wardens are around when non residents take up a parking space or unused car just sitting there taking up a space

Yep, should be used more widely. Frequent illegal parking in Forest Hill and pavement parking (also illegal but largely ignored)

Yes but charge all at the lower rate, rather than increasing those south of the borough.

Why is Lewisham always looking to charge people the maximum they can.

Yes I agree the parking fines be the same. I would not agree that they are made the highest rate though. I.e not make the north of Lewisham penalty charge the price

Yes I think drivers that continually abuse the system be fined, whereas sincere and honest one time failures by drivers be allowed the benefit of the doubt, when it comes to parking fines Yes lower the one north of the border and make it even that way. There is no extra deterrent for that sort of difference. Only a money spinner.

Yes they should all be cheaper. It again massively traumatic for poorer residents if they make a mistake. Your wealthy residents don't miss the money.

Yes they should be the same but put them at the LOWER rate not the higher rate !!!!

Yes they should be the same rate however they should a be same rate as the south of the borough....

..ie.the cheaper rate.

yes yet again there is ABSOLUTELY NO POINT in having rules regs & restrictions on parking(or anything else) if they are NOT enforced. my daughters primary school is half & half in SOUTHWARK & LEWISHAM. Southwark are proactive in parking enforcement while Lewisham are pathetically beyond useless. this has not gone unnoticed by the minority of idiots who park dangerously & illegally putting the children & their parents/carers at risk by parking illegally on the Lewisham side as they know Lewisham DO NOT ENFORCE the parking laws & consciously avoid parking on the Southwark side as they equally know that Southwark DO enforce parking laws. get a grip on road safety MORE ENFORCEMENT PLEASE!!!!!!!

Yes your other parking enforcement agency APCOA who enforce fir you incompetent to say the least and you need to bring them in line. I was caught in a nine month spat with them for parking at Kangkey Bridge Leisure Centre. Eventually it was only by MTV writing to the CEO of APCIA and pointing out the problem it was resolved. Not before threatened court etc, they are an absolute disgrace and why Lewisham doesn't bring them to heel is a mystery to me. Yes! The cost of a ticket (I have only ever had one, when I misread a parking sign and thought it was ok - but it wasn't!) is very great for someone with a low income. (Is it £80 now?). I suggest the first ticket should be , say, £10, then increasing in £10 jumps each successive time, to say a maximum of £80. That means the person who accidentally parks illegally just once or twice does not get unduly punished, while the regular offender is properly deterred.

In passing, it's very difficult sometimes to work out what a parking sign says - could something be done to make them absolutely unambiguous?

Yes, people wouldn't get tickets if they parked where they were meant to

Yes, simply reduce charges accross the borough. Do not penalize people for where they live. Do no punish small businesses and impede their expansion. Impose consistent, fair PCN's across the borough.

Yes. I got a letter saying I had not got a parking permit so was sent a letter

Rather sill as I have a annual parking permit as I proved

Yh give more time to pay so I get payed every 4 weeks and if like I have done b4 when I get payed it his pass the 14 day pay pay half the charge

You are penalising the poorest parts of our borough with this change at a time with UC being rolled out. Appalling !

YOu ask a question without giving pertinent facts....

do you intend to reduce the northern charges so they are the same as southern or raising southern.

once again you are seeking justification for what you want to do (raise the fines) and are eliciting a specific response from this survey. Very unscientific, very deceitful.

You don't say how much they cost in the north of the borough, this is a rigged question. A ten year old could be more balanced.

You haven't explained Why they are different in the first place. Presumably you will use this as an opportunity to increase revenue from parking fines.

You operate a disgusting penalty system whereby a resident can be fined for parking outside his own front door.

In truth we need to give all residents free parking and everyone else can pay.

This is a tax on the poorest motorist

You should give people 28 days to pay the reduced fine and not 14. Not everyone has the funds to hand like that.

You should not be charged if you receive a PCN but have subsequently purchased, for example, an all day ticket for a visitor.

You should not be increasing in the south but lowering in the north

You should not be liable to receive a parking ticket for parking outside the house you are residing in

You should REDUCE the rate in the North to be in line with that in the South.

You should try to make parking easier not more difficult and more expensive.

Your enforcement team need educating. We are sick to death of being given parking tickets in a car park we have permits for. It is getting beyond a joke!

Your questions are so twisted.

Of course it's unfair that fines are more expensive in one part of the borough than the other but when you ask the question you're not saying that very likely your plan is to actually raise the rate in the cheaper part which seems even more unfair.

And your statement "encourage drivers to ensure that they are parked safely and securely" is pretty funny! Everybody knows that PCNs are just used as a money making machine!

Your upcoming proposals to change one way streets to allow two way use by cyclists may require some double yellow lines being painted at dangerous junctions to stop parked cars blocking views across junctions for safety. Please ensure you enforce any new double yellow lines vigorously, otherwise more cyclists (and pedestrians) will be hurt in road collisions, when (for example) a parked car blocks the line of sight between a contra-flow cyclist and a car turning out of a side road into a one way street.

Section 11 - PCN overall consultation ideas (verbatim comments)

LB Lewisham public consultation

PCN - verbatim comments

263 comments out of 3,767 surveys – 6.9%

Q: Do you have any other comments that you would like to add about this consultation?

7 day week parking restrictions for those streets that are around and lead onto the south circular. Enforced

A £30 fine is enough to prevent people from parking illegally. Stop abusing the powers the people handed over to you against them.

A warning should be given before a fine.

Access to information about parking and fees.

Again, Lewisham council looking to increase costs to the motorist

All roads should be pay or residential

All wardens NEED to be retrained in how they carry themselves and communicate to the public e.g Actually showing interest when being asked for information rather than just walking away rudely.

Am so angry at the Councils obvious pretence at improving air quality simply to take more money from residents!

Appeal procedures committee should be more considerate

Are all religious institutions during funerals given 2 parking enforcement officers to ticket or just Christian ones?

As a resident of Lewisham borough with a parking permit i should be able to park anywhere in the borough for free or at least at a cheaper rate.

As above, the lack of effective enforcement is a significant issue.

Ask people what processes and evidence they would like to see in order to avoid unfair parking tickets.

Assure residents that complaints will be dealt with and not chuck on someone's desk , then be given a bunch of excuses.

Ban minicab drivers parking on the streets. Lesisham way Se4 is the perfect exsmple. Alesys full of minicabs and no spaces for redidents to park

Before you impose a charge you need to be 100% sure, and give the motorist the chance to challenge first,

Box not bow below.

Can you ensure that vehicles do not obstruct pedestrian crossing no matter what eg On Idonia street in deptford the pedestrian. Crossing gina always obstructed more often that not by vans and personal vehicles ..

Cars and vans driving round with spewing exhausts should be stopped. I have had the misfortune to be driving behind some.

Charges are too high

Cheaper = encouragement to use cars. Not hugely justifiable in urban areas...

Clean streets

Clearer road signs

CPZ for the Evelyn Ward please

current charges are to high more time should be given and also instalment plan

Different drivers that lives in the borough should be sought their views honestly , maybe by street conveyancing.

Do not increase resident permit costs, it is enough already

Do provide more car park

Do think much of Lewisham's ideas. The "road traffic management" system in central Lewisham is not fit for purpose. Traffic used to flow very well when there was a round about, now traffic seizes up and so this causes stationery traffic and lots of pollution!!!!!!!

Don't issue a PCN on first sight. I may be away paying for my parking. At least give us a 90s rule!

Don't play party politics - Lewisham labour have the reputation for only recognising the needs of their core voters

Don't ignore us

Driving in London is very frustrating and problematic at the best of times. Often signage and parking are obscured or interrupted by extraordinary circumstances, such as roadworks and accidents. Parking enforcement officers should be sensitive to this fact and be given leeway to waive any parking infringement incident at their discretion. Their role is to punish those who blatantly and habitually ignore the rules; not those of us who are 5 minutes late getting back to our cars from queuing up at the post office.

encourage the use of motorbikes and scooters as they produce the smallest Nox. They prevent congestion and if only 10% of motorists switched to PTWs pollution be lowered to 40% overnight. Why are the council not embracing PTWs?

Enforce speed limits and fine drivers who speed

Enforcement officers should be more active in the areas where badly parked vehicles are causing traffic delays.

Ensure signage is clear and unambiguous for drivers to make correct parking choices.

Publicise changes to parking areas clearly and in advance of new restrictions being put into force.

Offer a help line/online checker on all parking meters/signage.

Evenhandedness

Every road you make a CPZ pushes more commuters into my road Adelaide Avenue. This means I cannot go to supermarket or garden centre as I cannot park near my house to unload when I return. It is VERY unfair to push all the parking onto this one road making me a prisoner in my home of 44 years!

Extend parking permits to every household, including new build properties.

Extend resident only parking hours. My street is residents only from 8-10am but around the corner is 8am-7pm. It doesn't work having similar streets with considerably different restrictions and we suffer for having a smaller restriction time.

Extend the ULEZ to the whole borough, otherwise south of the south circular will become gridlocked super toxic death valley

Fear of attracting a PCN discourages parking and since people will often ONLY visit by car, discourages visiting an area.

Do you agree that discouraging visiting an area is good for society?

Final thought on prize draw - don't give a prize draw, say that every response you'll give 10p to Mayor's charity (or 1p if you want).

Fines should be like £20 same as taking a train without a ticket.

Free bus services for all.

Get rid of NSL. They are rude and incompetent. Only one person (Ola) does his job efficiently and fairly.

GOVERNMENT MUST CONTINUE Improving green spaces and encouraging residents to now buy into cleaner and greener technologies

happy its being done

Have a consistent long term approach. Don't just do something now and forget about it.

Have an non-biased independent adjudicator when it comes to disputes about parking.

Having read the consultation questions - this is a shameful example of a skewed consultation with loaded questions, designed simply to obtain answers the council desires to justify the policy, as opposed to a genuine consultation with open questions designed to determine what residents really want. Hardly surprising, as it's common knowledge that councils rely on parking taxes for revenue.

Having seen your traffic wardens driving around on mopeds or in groups in cars to seek charging opportunities this doesn't strike me as being in the interest of residents, more in the (financial) interest of those wardens. I have also witnessed wardens applying a pcn when the driver has been looking for a working machine to purchase a ticket, not revoking it and telling them it's not their problem. You're not going to get peoples backing with sharp financial gain driven behaviour like this.

How about some thought being given to the situation of tradesmen like plumbers coming to jobs in Lewisham but not resident in the Borough & needing to bring tools & ladders.

They may need to come at short notice for a short time.

Are you consulting with the various trade organisations?

How can they park in the south if the borough if there's resident parking?

I can't these questions as I don't know how much charges either pay.

How will you get loads more money to spend if you price motorists out of Lewisham?

I am amazed that in the option on penalty charge notices you indicate you do not wish to discriminate between the north and the south of the borough, but you are perfectly happy to discriminate against residents who don't have a car by not giving them any free visitor permits. Why is one form of discrimination worse than the other?

I approve of the proposals.

I Believe that the council should have lower pay to park charges in less congested areas of the borough.

I believe we need to protest against these unfair increases on the poorest motorist.

You have got it all wrong the rich can afford to pay more for their green cars not the poor.

This is a typical socialist policy which will backfire badly if introduced.

I can't see a bow! This has to be taken seriously, but there has to be a transition for residents who need to drive.

I could not find the bow so have written in the box.

Parking does not cause much pollution but driving can there for the cost is included in licence and insurance fees.

It appears to be just another of raising money to spend else where but where.

I did not know that there were differences in these costs

I don't know enough about the north south divide to comment

I don't like parking fines, it's punitive for often small errors.

i don't necessarily disagree with your proposal but this is a badly biased way of asking questions. not impressed - just be open and transparent (or more professional).

I don't think that people who use their cars infrequently but have older cars should be unduly penalised with the diesel surcharge etc as they can't afford to buy a new car and it's also damaging to the environment to buy more cars. There should be a discount for using oyster cards/ weekly and monthly tickets as the intention should be to reduce the use of the car rather the not have cars altogether. I use my car when I go to Hampshire to give my 96 year old father a lift to hospital. He can't manage on public transport. I use my car maybe once a week. My permit is currently £30 a year but as it's a modern diesel you're looking to make it £185! If you do this I'm likely to drive more as less money to pay for the train and bus. Please rethink and look at encouraging a reduction in the use of cars . If mainly parked while I use the train it isn't polluting.

I don't think the survey has been sufficiently advertised to residents in the borough - at the very least it should have been sent to everyone person with an existing residents permit.

I feel sorry for those people who are disadvantaged/poor who need a vehicle for their work/to help care for others who are further squashed by the draconian, bullying nature of these parking penalties (legitimate or otherwise).

I feel that the poor air quality is not caused by the people who live in the borough or the people who visit them, but the people passing through. Speaking as someone who lives on a main road it doesn't feel like this is a problem which can be addressed by raising parking charges. If you need to visit a resident or business in the borough of Lewisham and the only way you can get there for whatever reason is by car then a parking charge isn't going to stop you.

I find it frustrating that Catford bus garage have very little parking for their staff. This means that their staff park in the surrounding roads forcing residents to park away from their houses. If we want people to use public transport then why do their own staff drive when travel is free for them. I understand some early shifts have to drive.

You have answered your question that it is not always possible or convenient to use public transport.

I have a general comment about PCN's although irrelevant to this consultation. The town centre of Lewisham has a complex layout and the signage, appears to me, to be deliberately confusing. If one doesn't drive there regularly it is very easy to violate the rules. The borough should be more forgiving for newcomers and visitors.

As a revenue raiser, no doubt it works well - hence my use of the word "deliberate".

I have made my comments in other questions. Please see

I keep saying it, but you need to make driving prohibitively expensive, and make the alternatives easier.

I live and have loved living in Lewisham.

I also have the pleasure of using and driving my car in Lewisham.

Of late, maybe the past 2 years it has become an headache getting from point A to point B. There are hardly any yellow boxes on the main thoroughfares.

Cars block traffic, lorries over shoot lights, junctions where you think there should be no issues there are.

Lewisham train station "roundabout" the lights are so badly controlled things move through at a snail pace.

Catford town hall traffic system another example of poor flow control.

I don't know who pays for this....but WE the residents and road users are not getting OUR council tax worth.

I still think that all of these questions are leading and asked in a way to get the result you want.

I strongly disagree with any changes in penalties or parking raising.

I think that there should be some lenience on residents who you can see have consistently purchased resident parking permits and have forgotten to renew as the penalty notice is very high.

I think the cpz times around hither Green should be reviewed, 8am - 7pm is too long. If it is to act as a deterrent for commuters then surely 8am to 12 noon would cover this and allow residents tradesmen/visitors to park during the day

I think this idea of crucifying the average car user for parking their car in your borough is appalling!!! Most people need their car & cannot afford to (upgrade) to a newer one just because you decide to implement this draconian scheme.

I think you make enough money from the motorist which is what this is all about and nothing to do with clean air just another way of getting more money.

I understand that faster traffic and larger engined vehicles pollute more.

Could speeding and also noisy vehicles be identified and fined more often too?

Speeding is obviously dangerous and noise pollution is also stress causing.

Perhaps if faster, noisier, larger engines vehicles were more costly as a result of fines they might become less attractive.

I wasn't aware that there was a difference in prices of fines. That being said I've only ever been fined by Bromley Council!

I would like more penalty notices for drivers who drive the wrong way down Ashmead Road and do care when it is pointed out to them.

I would like to see parking wardens brought under proper control and not working to commission.

I would love to know where the money goes for parking ticket fines!

I would not like to see parkin enforcement handed over to private companies/contractors as we have all witnessed how wrong that can go.

I'd like to see the parking attendants properly patrolling the residential streets rather than just concentrating on the main "income generating" road in the village centre. I watch them in my road occasionally - Bennett Park - and more often than not they walk 100 yards up the street, check to see who is watching them, cross the road, and walk back down the street.

If there is scope to reduce the price in the south of the borough then this should be applied to all of the borough, parking tickets are far too expensive already.

Illegal parking is illegal wherever it takes place

I'm guessing you won't be proposing to unify the rate to the lower of the two costs.

Improve transport links

Improve your cycling policy! You do pretty much nothing for cyclists. When you redo roads, you don't add cycling lanes. The Lewisham centre redevelopment is an absolute shame. It's all very well and good to 'punish' diesel, but what do you do to encourage cycling? Nothing. There is no cycling policy. We don't need different types of cars, we need less cars. in the bow below?

who proof read this form?

:)

Incentivise residents who return/don't renew parking permits by giving them vouchers they can use in local bike shops

incentivise without increasing costs

Introduce parking restrictions on Sundays. Blackheath can become a car park and traffic becomes congested and therefore results in more pollution.

Is just another way to increase taxes

Is there a programme to educate and encourage all council employees to walk and use public transport for work, Glendale, other contractors, schools etc.

It is all about being fare. If you lower the fees and provided cheaper cost for the local then they have no excuses to not pay.

You will make your money back with the fines... for that I m sure!

In the mean time, those who are respectful will enjoy the special treats!

It is pointless you have already made up your minds and will penalise drivers living in resident permit holder places only to make more money

It is way too long for most to bother completing

It isn't easy to comment accurately without the full information. Where do the North and South lines stop and start? Is North Blackheath (affluent) and South Downham (less affluent)? However, where does the North stop and South start? I don't feel I can accurately answer this question without the full information? However, I wasn't able to post this without answering either one or the other so I chose no to price increased for the Downham and other areas.

It should be reduced as driving is expensive as a whole

It will be great to lower parking

It's not needed

its unfair on diesel owners lewisham council should be encouraging the use of PTWs

Just stop your proposal.

Just be a little fairer

Just ensure that your parking enforcement officers are properly trained and don't have to meet any ticket targets

Just price hiking again, no value to the residents.

Just that changing this to be uniform would be the best thing to do

learn to budget properly

Leave pricing the same

Lewisham is divided by ULEZ. The half in the ULEZ zone will achieve your aims or stop driving I would suggest. The other half may need encouragement but to penalise both halves equally is more than unfair.

Lewisham needs to make signage for bus lanes more clear and streamlined.

LewishAm parking shop staff are rude, discourteous and disrespectful. Your wardens are way too zealous, and issue fines even when a permit is in place. The whole ringo system is a nightmare. Not everyone has access to paying online. It is complicated and takes a long time. The parking staff are the most awful public see ants I have come across. They need to understand they are paid for by us the public.

Lewisham should lobby against ULEZ being extended as far as the South Circular.

Loading bays should be able to be used by everyone that is unable to unload even the smallest of items but there should be a time limit on it and a camera by the bay.

Long!!!! I have come back to this page as you cleverly don't put any other comments at the end.

The personal questions are irrelevant e.g. sexuality, religion.

How many people with low literacy skills or English as a second language. I am literate and speak English and it has taken me 45 minutes to complete. How many people will persist? It is complex and confusing. I was going to encourage the service users to complete it but they won't.

Longer period of time to pay fines

Lower the charge

Make developers pay for parking. They build so should make sure they support infrastructure

Make fines larger

Make it clear when camera enforcements are operational.

Make it possible to pay online or any bank

Make parking restrictions clearer with better more clearer signage

Make the signs clearer when parking and make more bays for shoppers visiting shops

Maybe if peoplepark I'm disabled bays without a blue badge they should have higher fines

Money making scheme, stop penalising the residents

More parking attendants that actually do their job properly especially outside schools when it's clear people who are parking do not have a permit but still park in the area and the attendant sits on his bike just watching them, not giving them tickets or asking them to leave. Pointless have a CPZ if it's not enforced

My road has ample parking available during the day when the restrictions are in force but parking is quite heavy in the evenings and at weekends. It seems absurd to charge/penalise people (especially if they are on business) from parking in a half-empty road during the day, but not when parking is heaviest, except for Saturdays.

Also, increasing the parking charges will have little effect on air quality. The most polluting vehicles do their damage while they are being driven.

Needs to be clearly stated where fines could be present.

No cpz

No parking fines ideally

None you would find acceptable

Not charging us for parking permits.

Not that you'd listen to

nothing will change because the councils mind is closed to road alterations

Only that I do not trust the council to sort anything out unless it is penalising people rather than helping them. The refuse outsourcing is a disaster and the guys treat people's property badly. Complaints about parking on the street, busses damaging cars, parking permits not being with having if those without one can park on our street and not get a ticket - all ignored. So, all you want is money and to provide not just a minimal service but a bad one! You need to earn trust back.

Parked tour buses appear to be a problem in Brockley. Provide more overnight coach parking and fine those who do not use it?

Parking attendants should be civil and advise motorists not just slapping tickets on the screen for extra pay incentives. Some of the attendants are so aggressive and militants. They need to be trained how to deal with the public.

Parking charges are far too high

parking fines are too high

Parking fines should be made easier to pay.

I challenged a fine and my appeal did not succeed

I tried to pay the fine but on multiple occasions it would not go through

I had to then pay £195

Parking fines should only apply where someone has gone 15/30 minutes over their time. A couple of warnings given thereafter if repeated offender then apply a parking charge. Your wardens are too gung ho.

Parking for residents should be FREE. If you live in Lewisham and pay council tax, a parking permit should cost only the cost of administration. I.e £15. This is a

Money making scam

Parking on Sundays can be difficult for residents when near a church or three neighbouring churches.

Parking schemes need to aid local residents and community. Enforcement policies should reflect this

Parking should be considered across the borough in terms of the rudeness of car drivers who think they can do what they like and park where they like.

Parking spaces should be bigger as there are lots of large cars around. I can get into a space easily but often there are larger cars parked over the line, which makes it hard to park in the remaining space left.

Parking tickets should be lower & the same amount throughout LBL - i.e. £25

PCN charges should be higher where the impact is higher (more congestion)

Penalties should be the same regardless of the area. If I block a disabled parking bay in the north or south of Lewisham the penalty should be the same.

If you buy a bottle of water at tesco in the north, You would expect the same price in the south right?!

Penalty charges should also be delivered by SMS to the vehicle's owner if available. The DVLA has owner contact details.

Penalty fees should be higher.

Penalty notices should be fair and only issued when it is clear someone is parking illegally or obstructing traffic flow/parking in an inconsiderate manner

Penalty Notices system is the worst in the western world. 9.9/10 appeals never wins on the favour of the plaintiff. It's a money making device for every council in the UK and the appeal can never be challenged properly.

People are struggling and your proposal is only about ways of having more income from residents (yes with some cosmetic actions we have seen them no worries)

People should be fined for idling, especially near schools

people with rich lawyers or celebrities shouldnt be allowed to get off on technicalities.

Perhaps there could be another punishment for persistent offenders?

Please consider volunteers to Lewisham and acknowledge their contribution to the community.

This consultation may impact on volunteers and has not been considered.

Please enforce the 20mph speed limit too!

Please see comments in Q36.

Please train officers to give five minutes time on occasions where someone has parked in yellow line with hazard lights

PN charges should be capped

Public spaces such as parks and swimming pools should not charge for nearby parking. Used to use Ladywell Fields a lot with my grandchildren but there is no parking anymore and using public transport to get there is not an option. Hence children do not get to use the park.

Publish the number of tickets issued, the amount raised and how it is used.

Question 34 should be changed to - Do you think that parking fines should be at the lower rate everywhere

Raising the Residents permit price for a low emission hybrid (£30 to £70pa) smacks of greed when you penalise BOTH a high polluting diesel (+£50) and a low polluting hybrid (+£40) too? Shame on you.

Rather than sending out those idiots on scooters putting parking tickets on vehicles who have parked up for a few mins to find a working ticket machine, focus on the vehicles that have been dumped and left at the roadside without Road Tax, MOT or insurance. How hard can it be to target illegal road users?!

reduce the parking fines

Reliable public transport

Remove all cycle lane and discourage people to cycle as these are dangerous to road users. Offer discount only on parking for most cleanest vehicle, ie. 50g/km CO2 emission or lower or full electric. Extra Surcharge on all parking for all diesel vehicle new or old.

Save money for residents please

Seems sensible.

signage for exceptions/exemptions etc . should be clearer

Signs need to be replaced

So if the charge comes in we can park anywhere in Lewisham borough? Or do we still need to pay and display?

Some of the Qs are not well-thought out.

Some people can't afford there should be some kind of understanding no one is perfect.

Sort out an easy way and the signage between Lewisham Shopping Centre and Lee High Road, as if you are driving you cannot read a tiny sign and there is no obvious way of getting there without being fined £60!

Still problem with yes / no answers in questionnaire, not listing full info.

You have parking discrimination, interesting bias choice of words in question.

You discriminate in how you set your CPZ? You don't charge less for more social hours ?

You don't charge more for people who have 9-7 no parking and empty roads?

Stop charging people have more free parking

Stop charging peoples and make more affordable to change car with more money and not scamming them always, we bought car to move the economy not for just fun, now you want us to change our car out of the blue immediately but do you ask your self we maybe the people's don't have any extra money to spare??? We are already at the limit. Stop this now make better choose help the car owner not dry them from the last drop of blood.

Stop focusing on cars, you are doing it wrong. Focus on the alternatives (I have a Masters in Transport Design).

Stop issuing parking tickets irresponsibly. Half your attendants sit and wait.. Walk away and spot Check vehicles

Stop the war on drivers.

Stop use of contract agencies to control parking as they are usually unfair and charge disproportionate tickets.

Stop using motorists as a cash cow and come up with some real innovative ideas, people like me who are given a car by their company have no choice as to what type of vehicles we drive. My employer is still issuing diesel vehicles despite political opinion therefore I (and others like me) will be penalised with a surcharge for something that we can do nothing about, whilst I appreciate the motivation behind this idea it is inherently unfair.

stop wasting taxpayers money on changing the system.

How much is this consultation costing?

Strongly oppose the introduction of additional parking charges for vehicles based on emissions. charges for emissions already covered by the ULEZ that will reach Lewisham in 2021. It does not make sense to charge vehicles higher rates linked to emissions whilst they are parked not generating any emissions.

Take account of parents with young children, people temporarily disables through ill health thank you

the consultation document and the response form make little attempt to offer a rounded consultation or to examine the issue of environmental quality in the round. Just after one objective that will raise income very significantly to the council in the long-term, will benefit those with newer more expensive cars and all the time using environment as a justification without proposing measures that will be more effective in that respect.

The council must act with compassion and this is not happening.

It must also be strict with the richer people in borough

Willow, the woman who lost her job because of very prejudicial Windrush investigation, would like to know if she has been reinstated?

The council should have a much more human face despite austerity. And to raise money target more affluent residents who will not face not eating for penalitiea

The council needs to do more to remind residents that parking permits are due for renewal and/or implement auto renewal to avoid fines being accidentally being incurred

The council seeks to be working against the residents.

Nothing in this consultation is positive for resident motorists.

The council ticket people are to quick to issue a ticket even if you have your hazards on yo rush into somewhere quick they will want to give you a ticket

The fee should be the same across the entire borough.

The fines and parking charges are extortionate already. You are making people poorer.

The first two questions are loaded to make the cost of fines to increase in the south of the borough.

the motorist is being used as a cash cow

The parking fine system is outrageous and is heavily biased in making money. I as a resident have paid hundreds many even a thousand pounds in parking fines because of system errors.

There has been no communication on the current scheme and no reinvestment to make the scheme better money is being taken via penalty charge from us residents which is completely out of order and goes against the reason why we implemented the scheme. I have

complained to NSL who have done nothing to resolve i have also lodged a complaint with Lewisham Council who have done nothing to resolve therefore any new scheme will follow the same process and us as residents will be penalised.

The proposals should be revenue neutral, as far as possible. They should be framed to achieve realistic behaviour changes and legitimate policy aims, and to cover admin and enforcement costs, not revenue generation.

The proposed surcharge is extremely unfair as a few years ago people were told diesel is better than petrol for a good air quality; some people would have purchased their cars based on this advise, however now they are being penalised.

Also people are already paying a diesel surcharge in the car taxes and the ULEZ, this surcharge is the council jumping on the bandwagon.

The parking permit allows one to park a car, the surcharge to penalise a diesel driver is therefore unethical as a parked car is not emitting any dangerous gas.

The proposed ULEZ will affect the less well off disproportionately and push the problem further out to the neighbouring boroughs.

The questionnaire is far to long

The questions are too generic.

The questions take too long to answer.

I doubt that Lewisham will consider anything outside what it has already decided it is going to do. So in a way I feel that this consultation is pointless.

The questions on this survey are framed in such a way to drive responses that you want to hear.

With ULEZ due to be extended this is not the time to penalise residents again, particularly those who rely on a car but use it infrequently, and cannot afford to upgrade their cars.

I feel these proposals are purely a money making exercise and should not be disguised as otherwise.

Reduce pollution by improving road systems - a prime example being the terrible junction introduced several years ago in Lewisham Town Center which causes more traffic hold up and pollution than the original roundabout.

The roads need to be well maintained to help drivers to prolong the life of their cars.

The sooner cycling improves the better . Benefits should be explained to everyone

The ticket on windscreen system is entirely flawed. For any reason at all, the driver, through no fault of their own, may not receive the ticket but the onus and subsequent significant increase in fine - all unbeknown to the driver - falls onto the driver. Iniquitous.

The time limit should be extended on permits so that we can continue working rather than having to keep moving our cars during the day.

There are bigger problems in Lewisham to deal with.

There are more than enough laws and penalties that treat motorists like societies pariahs and cash cows. Local authorities would be well advised to keep a balanced approach in their part of the enforcement process.

There are so many people living below the poverty line. Stop with the excessive fines and charges. We can't afford it.

There needs to be a lot more done to discourage drivers from parking dangerously - for example, at the junction of Cambridge Drive with Eltham road, cars and vans are parked within a few feet of each corner. This is also the case on many roads - when I learnt to drive some 45 years ago, I was taught never to park closer than 25 feet to the corner yet nowadays

drives park on the corners, on speed bumps knowing that no enforcement action will be taken - this is surely not right and is downright dangerous!

There needs to be stricter controls and a well structured arbitration/complaints procedure with private parking enforcement, overseen by the council. There is too much abuse of fairness in this sector.

There ought to be free parking for residents in the borrow. It is really annoying that we pay the council tax and every time one drives we have to pay again. No wonder the town centers are not doing so well and the high streets if parking costs so much.

There should be no parking outside schools

I have a problem with the tank like cars that take up space and obscure visibility

Go to Europe and see how much smaller the average car is.

These parking tickets need better monitoring... especially outside schools where parents park like idiots.

They should be considerate in decision and reduce the charges

They should be more expensive in South around ulez border.

Think you have made your minds up already so this consultation is pointless

This consultation says more about the Council's attitude by what it doesn't ask than by what it asks. See individual section comments.

The proposals are not inclusive. They will also impact negatively on those who can least afford to react to them or afford to deal with them.

Every proposal made by the Council is ostensibly for the public benefit, but in every decision of detail the Council decision is blatantly to generate more income out of the situation.

The Council could choose to address these environmental and parking issues at no cost to the community but has not.

The environmental issues of a few residents cars pales into insignificance in comparison with the pollution resulting from the clogged and evidently failed road layouts around the gateway scheme that the Council is committing Lewisham to. Past road arrangements experiments have at least retained flexibility for remedial or alternative layouts. The Council Gateway commitments makes them responsible for a layout which cannot be changed, even though it has already failed. These consequences are huge for Lewisham.

This feels like you have already made up your minds. This is consultation in name only.

This is a badly designed question as it is totally nontransparent about the policy and charge for parking tickets. How can you possibly get away with this?

This is biased and unfair and deliberately so.

This is not an attempt to help the borough with emissions. How can it be when you have turned Lewisham into a dump with those disgusting high rise blocks. Bringing in thousands of people condensed into a small area. No more GPS. Hospitals. Schools. Thousands of people over populating the area and you blame the cars. This is truly shocking and greedy. This policy is not about air quality. If it is please can you write down what you intend to do with the money raised? Will it be used for planting trees in streets? My area in Crofton Park could accommodate loads of trees especially along the main roads. Or you could use the money raised to compulsorily purchase the existing green space owned by developers such as the Buckthorne road cutting. However, forgive me for being cynical but none of the proposals include a business case for how you are going to use the money? Why not?

This will be a tax on people like myself who can't afford new or electric cars. Some people are just not fortunate enough to be able to do the aforementioned and thus will be penalised for being poor!!!!

Tolerance zero is the way to go. This is the only way to ensure that the plan is adhered to.

Too expensive

Totally leading and biased research methodology.

Train the staff in customer service. I have had occasions where they are rude even when moving your car

Train wardens better to check foreign number plates. "common sense" approach needed.

Try to keep it low as possible

Ulez should be re-thought as an opt-in or out. And could the Mayor of London kindly stop putting his name next to everything, but rather leave it as the Mayor of London?

Use parking in a sensible way?

Using electronic means of collecting data for this consultation means that some people are not able to provide their points of view. I have not seen anything in the post to invite people without access to a computer to submit their points of view in another way.

Very pleased that the Council is reviewing parking charge notice fees.

We do need a consultation

We need more motorcycle parking to encourage people to use less noxious machines

What about Mother and child parking facilities!

What's the point when the mayor is a coward to face me

Where's the bow?

The survey looks as though it was put together in a hurry, so some parts do not follow.

Policy is timid. There are too many cars for the space available - the success of marketing and production by car companies has the downside of reaching road saturation as well as air quality issues. The big move needed is to discourage private car ownership through cpzs, high parking fees and improved pavements.

While I agree with the increased use of Zip Cars/Vans and similar vehicles being placed around the borough, in order to offer non car owners an option to use a vehicle when necessary, the placing of these parking spaces needs to be better thought out. They should not be positioned in places where they are in direct competition with resident or pay and display spaces, particularly in roads already tight for parking space. There are generally spots in residential roads where such vehicles could be placed, but not for instance, opposite residents' homes, schools or shops.

Why aren't Social Housing tenants in the new developments eg Catford Green, Adenmore Road entitled to the same rights as other tenants in the borough? We can't get any parking permits (although the non-social housing residents are given their own bays). Especially as the properties were provided via Lewisham Homes (although the Landlord is Peabody HA). That's discrimination.

If this was really about Air Quality then why weren't any Electric Charging points installed within the new developments? Instead tenants find themselves with few parking options and heavy parking costs. The poor pay more the wealthier are given privileges.

The Penalty charge is too high, you should REDUCE it NOT INCREASE.

The first question is a 'loaded question' set to encourage an increasing in the penalty across the borough without considering or offering opinion on REDUCING the penalty across the borough.

Instead of so many Parking Wardens how about Litter Wardens fining people for littering, this is a real problem that's getting worse, unless action is taken this borough will be over-run with vermin's because people just don't care anymore and don't even bother to hide the fact that they are throwing litter, making the streets dirty and being inconsiderate.

Why is this consultaipn only on-line? this is not a full consultation i would have expected to have recieved this in the post

Will you take any notice at all?

Would have helped if the government hadn't promoted the use of diesels. Also I don't see any electric charging points so until infrastructure is sorted I don't see why residents should be penalised.

Yes - this is an appallingly structured and biased survey as you are all well aware because you deliberately constructed it that way. Not happy!!!

Yes the ability to contact a parking warden when someone parks on or across my drive so they can issue a fine

An Amazon delivery driver does this almost daily and it is infuriating as he constantly blocks access

Yet again, is this a money making scheme or an attempt for cleaner air?.

I refuse to believe you have a stat showing how an increase in parking fines will improve air quality.

You are not doing this protect health or the environment. You are only doing this to generate funds because LCC consistently wastes tax payers money and has no value for money strategy with indicators, baselines and targets which encourage cost savings.

You need a clear cross borough parking strategy if you want to be equal across the borough. Just charging the same for the parking fines won't cut it.

You need to make the penalty charge notice appeals process simpler and more efficient.

You sound like you want to penalise drivers with a n old car in the north of vorough, or those sold the lie that diesel were good. You are not charging more for larger cars which obscure vision of cyclists, you are not charging more for those who have an old or diesel car but only use it once a week!

Is there help to loose your car. is there a bonus for car pool or share etc? Help with understanding how car club works. Are you doing a leasing scheme for electric bikes. Lewish is very hilly.

to improve cyclong and walking design better routes and fund your street care and trees. Clear fiotpaths for walking have strategic bike racks.

Keep cars out of parks! Including council officers and sports groups.

Get people who use the streets to test schemes. The Central Lewisham development is so sad. You are still getting ease of use for cyclists pedestrians and even motorists so wrong in a very ugly windy centre.

Need better education.

Why can't every driver have a smaller fee to allow parking for upto 3 hours in whole of borough? Tather than thise with no druve living in most polluted area gaving to pay for visitors and themselves?

Above all can the money raised bt spent if qyality streetscape and looking after existing street trees..and planting new..in sensible places.

You will stop people who work in the borough continuing to work in the borough if parking fines are increased

Your aim to hit the defenceless motorist as they are an easy target.

Your statement "The implementation of the new Ultra Low Emission Zone (ULEZ), may result in increased parking in the south of the borough" is one reason exactly why the ULEZ is ill thought out and should never have made it through in the first place!